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## Subject to subsequent revision, the following is the proposed list of volumes of the Linguistic Survey of India.

Vol. I. Introductory.
, II. Mōn-Khmēr and Tai families.
, III. Tibeto-Burman family.
Part I. Tibetan Dialects, Himalayan Dialects, and North Assam Group.
" II. Bodo, Nāgā, and Kachin groups.
, III. Kuki-Chin and Burma groups.
" IV. Muṇ̣ā and Dravidian languages.
" V. Indo-Aryan languages, Eastern group.
Part I. Bengali and Assamose.
II. Bihārī and Oṛiyā.
VI. Indo-Aryan languages, Mediate group (Eastorn Hindi).
" VII. Indo-Aryan languages, Southern group (Marāṭī).
" VIII. Indo-Aryan languages, North-Western group (Sindhi, Lahndā, Kashmiri and the Pisācha languages).
" IX. Indo-Aryan languages, Central group.
Part I. Western Hindī and Panjābī.
" II. Rājasthāni and Gujarātī.
", III. Bhil languages, Khandésí, etc.
, IV. Himalayan languages.
X. Eranian family.
" XI. "Gipsy " languages and supplement.
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## LINGUISTIC SURVEY OF INDIA.

## SYSTEM OF TRANSLITERATION ADOPTED.

A.-For the Dèva-nāgari alphabet, and others related to it-


 प $p a$ फ $p h a$ ब $b a$ भ $b h a$ म $m a$ य $y a$ ₹ ra ल $l a \quad$ व $v a$ or roa

Visarga (:) is represented by $h$, thus क्रमश: kramaśah. Anuswāra () is represented by $\dot{m}$, thus सिंछ simh, वंश vamंs. In Bengali and some other languages it is pronounced $n g$, and is then written ng ; thus বংশ bangśsa. Anunãsika or Chandra-bindu is represented by the sign ${ }^{\sim}$ over the letter nasalized, thus, में $m \tilde{e}$.
B.-For the Arabic alphabet, as adapted to Hindōstānī-


| $j$ | $r$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $j$ | $r$ |
| $j$ | $z$ |
| $j$ | $z h$ |


in Dêva-Dágari, by ${ }^{\text {mover }}$
nasalized vowel.

$$
\text { , } w \text { or } \geqslant
$$

$$
\otimes \quad \bar{h}
$$

$$
\checkmark y, \text { etc. }
$$




In the Arabic character, a final silent $h$ is not transliterated,-thus, sunda. When pronounced, it is written,-thus, diگ́ gunāh.

Vowels when not pronounced at the end of a word, are not written in transliteration. Thus, बन ban, not bana. When not pronounced in the middle of a word or only slightly pronounced in the middle or at the end of a word, they are written in small characters above the line. Thus (Hindī) देख्बता dēkh $h^{a} t \bar{a}$, pronounced dēkhtā; (Kā́b-

C.-Special letters peculiar to special languages will be dealt with under the head of the languages concerned. In the meantime the following more important instances may be noted :-
(a) The ts sound found in Marāthī (च), Puṣ̣̣tō ( $\ell$ ), KāSmīrī (ج, च̣), Tibetan (i.), and elsewhere, is represented by $\underline{t g}$. So, the aspirate of that sound is represented by $t_{\boldsymbol{s}} h$.
(b) The $d z$ sound found in Marāṭhī (ज), Puṣ̣̣ō ( $\hat{\ell}$ ), and 'Tibetan ( $\mathcal{F}$ ) is represented by $\underline{d z}$, and its aspirate by $\underline{d z} h$.
(c) Kâémīrī (ज) is represented by $\tilde{n}$.
(d) Sindhī b, Western Panjäbī (and elsewhere on the N.-W. Frontier) $\ddot{j}$, and

(e) The following are letters peculiar to Puṣtō :-
$\leftrightarrows t ; \& \underline{t g}$ or $\underline{d z}$, according to pronunciation; $\ell d ; \downarrow ? ; z^{h}$ or $g$, according to pronunciation ;
( $f$ ) The following are letters peculiar to Sindhī:-
D.-Certain sounds, which are not provided for above, occur in transcribing languages which have no alphabet, or in writing phonetically (as distinct from transliterating) languages (such as Bengali) whose spelling does not represent the spoken sounds. The principal of these are the following :-
$\vec{a}$, represents the sound of the $a$ in all.

| $\stackrel{a}{\text { a }}$ | " | " | " | a in hat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ddot{e}$, | " | " | " | $e$ in met. |
| is | " | " | " | 0 in hot. |
| e, | " | " | " | $\boldsymbol{e}$ in the French était. |
| 0 , | " | " | " | $o$ in the first $o$ in promote. |
| ö, | " | " | " | $\ddot{O}$ in the German schön. |
| H, | " | " | " | $\ddot{u}$ in the $\quad$ muihe. |
| th, | " | " | " | thin think. |
| $\underline{d h}$, | " | " | " | th in this. |

The semi-consonants peculiar to the Munḍā languages are indicated by an apostrophe. Thus, $k^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, p^{\prime}$, and so on.
E.-When it is necessary to mark an accented syllable, the acute accent is used. Thus in (Khōwār) ássistai, he was, the acute accent shows that the accent falls on the first, and not, as might be expected, on the second syllable.

## INTRODUC'IORY NOTE.

T'He present volume deals with the Tibeto-Burman languages of India. For convenience it has been divided into three parts, viz. :-

Part I, Tibeto-Burman languages of 'libet, the Himalayas, and North Assam.
Part II, the Bodo, Nāgà, and Kachin groups.
Part III, the Kuki-Chin and Burma groups.
The materials for Part I were originally entrusted to Professor Conrady of Leipzig. After he had analysed part of the materials, but before he had thrown the results into a connected form, he was compelled to abandon the task by a call to other duties.

The materials and his notes were then made over to my Assistant, Dr. Sten Konow of Christiania, Norway, who went over the whole work again and prepared the part in the form in which it is now presented to the public.

Dr. Konow has also prepared the Kachin section of Part II, and the whole of Part III.

Dr. Konow has been allowed complete liberty for displaying individuality of treatment, and the volumes prepared by him are entirely his work. I have, however, no hesitation in accepting his views, and, as Editor of the entire series of volumes of the Linguistic Survey of India, I accept full responsibility for all statements contained in them.

GEORGE A. GRIERSON.


## THE TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY.

The Tibeto-Burman family is the most important group of Indo-Chinese languages spoken in British India.

The denomination ' Indo-Chinese languages' comprises an endless series of different Indo-Ohinese. forms of speech in India and China. They are all spoken by Mongolian races, and they all have some charaoteristics in common. The most important are the use of monosyllabic words and the so-called isolation, i.e., the absence of form-words and, consequently, of grammatical forms. Modifications such as are expressed by means of grammatical forms in Indo-European languages are indicated by putting side by side, according to fixed rules, words of which each retains its independence, without the possibility of a real inflexion.

It has been usual to consider the Indo-Chinese languages as forming one distinct linguistic family, but we now know that this cannot be the case. It has been shown that the monosyllabic bases, which were formerly considered as handed down from the oldest times are, at least in a great number of cases, derived from polysyllables. On the other hand, the grammatical system of isolation is by no means consistently maintained in all Indo-Chinese languages. Many of them are agglutinating, i.e., the various grammatical relations are indicated by means of form-words,-prefixes, suffixes, and infixes,-added to the bases. Some dialects have in this way developed a pretty full grammatical system. It has been shown that there is no fundamental difference between agglutinating and isolating languages, and the adoption of one or the other principle cannot be used as the chief starting point for the classification of a language.

The reasons for assuming a relationship between all Indo-Chinese languages have

Indo-Chinese comprises two different families. thus proved invalid, and it has been possible to distinguish, instead of one, two linguistic families, the one known as the Mōn-Khmēr family, and the other comprising Chinese, the Tai languages, and the Tibeto-Burman family.

A short aocount of the Mōn-Khmēr family will be found in the Introduction to Vol. II of this Survey. The Tai languages are closely related to Chinese, and the two form one distinct family as compared with the Tibeto-Burman forms of speeoh. Chinese does not fall within the scope of this Survey. The Tai group has been dealt with on pp. 59 and ff. of the second volume.

The Tibeto-Burman family comprises a long series of dialects spoken from Tibet

> Tibeto-Burman family. in the north to Burma in the south; and from Baltistan in the west to the Chinese provinoes of Ssechuan and
Yünnan in the east.
The greater portion of this district lies outside the territory included within the
Number of speakers. operations of this Survey, and we have no trustworthy information regarding the number of speakers, Local estimates have been forwarded from those districts which fall within the soope of this Survey. They will be given in detail under the head of the various sub-groups into which our treatment of the Tibeto-Burman family will be subdivided. In this place

[^0]we shall anticipate the detailed account and put together the totals for the sub-groups. We shall further add the figures returned at the Census of 1901. In comparing the two it must be borne in mind that the last Census was extended to Burma, which province was not inoluded under the operations of this Surver.

The number of speakers were then returned as follows :-


Of the $9,560,454$ speakers of Tibeto-Burman languages enumerated at the last Census, only $1,803,611$ were found within the territory included in this Survey. The rest were enumerated in Burma.

It is impossible to form even an approximate idea of the number of speakers outside British India. The population of Tibet has been estimated at 6 million people. No estimates are available for the States of Nepal and Bhutan or for the number of speakers in China. We may say, however, that the total number of speakers of libeto-Burman languages can hardly be estimated at less than twenty millions.

The Tibeto-Burman languages are very closely related to the Siamese-Chinese. The

Relation to Siamese-Chinese vocabulary. vocabulary is, to a great extent, the same. It will be sufficient to give some few examples. I shall give the words in Tibetan, Burmese, Khāmti, and Chinese. Khāmti has been chosen to represent the Tai family, beoause it falls within the scope of this Survey. With regard to Tibetan and Burmese, I shall give the written and not the spoken form.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Tibetan. | Burmese. | Khāmtī. | Chinese. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One |  | - | - | - |  | gchig | tach | $l \ddot{u} \boldsymbol{n g}$ | yit |
| Two | - | - | - | - |  | gnyis | nhach | shang | $r i$ |
| Three | - | - | - | - |  | $g^{\text {sum }}$ | $\underline{t h} \boldsymbol{H}$ | $\operatorname{sh} \bar{a} m$ | sīm |
| Fonr | - | - | - | - |  | $b z h i$ | le | $s h \bar{i}$ | ssit |
| Five | - | - | - | - |  | $l n g a$ | $n g d$ | $h e$ | $n g u$ |



It will be seen that in many cases the correspondence is striking. Sometimes, as in the case of Tibetan rta, Chinese $m a$, horse, it is less apparent. The base-word is ra or rang. Tibetan r-ta contains an additional word ta, and the original base is only represented by the single letter $\because$. Chinese ma must be compared with Burmese mrang. It contains a prefix ma and ma-rang, mrang, has been contracted to ma.

It will be seen that the Tibeto-Burman dialects are, on the whole, more closely connected with Chinese than with Siamese. It is not, however, possible to bring the relationship under one distinct formula. There are numerous cross lines of affinity, and some dialects show more affinity with Siamese than with Chinese.

The correspondence between 'libeto-Barman and Siamese-Chinese is by no means restricted to vocabulary. They have also some words in common which are used to denote the same relations in time and space. In the terminology of Aryan grammar, we should say that some of the case and tense suffixes are the common property of both families. Thus the Tibetan genitive suffix gyi is identical with Chinese $c h \bar{i}$, which is used in the same way. The yo which is used to form a past tense in Siyin, Köm, and other dialeots, should be
compared with Chinese yeu. The $o$ which is added to the principal verb in libetan is probably identical with Tai $\bar{u}$ and so forth.

Such instances of correspondence are not, however, very numerous, and they do not play any important rôle in deciding the question of the relationship of the two families. They only show that a tendency towards agglutination must be ascribed to their common parent tongue.

Tibetan as well as Siamese and Chinese makes use of tones. The Tibetan tone-
Tones and phonetical system. system will be sketched later on. In this place it is suflicient to note that Professor Conrady's investigations have shown that it has been developed on the same lines, and according to the same principles, as is the case in Chinese and Tai. Moreover, the whole phonetic system must originally have been the same in the Tibeto-Burman and in the Siamese-Chinese families. Intransitive bases could not begin with hard, but only with soft, consonants. In all dialects, the soft initials have a tendency to develope into hard sounds, while transitive bases were formed from intransitives by hardening the initial consonant, and, at the same time, pronouncing the word in a higher tone. The raising of the tone and the hardening of the initial were probably both due to the existence of an old prefix before the base-word. These prefixes have been lost in Chinese, but the tones still show that they once existed. This common use of prefixes in the formation of words in the common parent tongue from which the Tibeto-Burman and Siamese-Chinese families have sprung, shows that that old form of speech in reality belonged to the agglutinating class. The difference between agglutination and isolation cannot, accordingly, be made the basis of a classification of languages. An agglutinating language can become isolating, and vice versâ.

An account of the Tai tone-system and some general remarks on the tones in IndoChinese languages, based on Prof. Conrady's investigations, will be found on pp. 67 and ff. of the second volume of this Survey.

It has already been remarked that the speeches now under consideration are Monosyllabic bases. Isolation. monosyllabic and, generally speaking, of the so-called isolating class, but that these peculiarities in all probability are not original features of the languages. Nevertheless, at the present day, we find them very prevalent. Generally speaking every monosyllabic base-word is incapable of inflexion. The unaltered and unchangeable bases are simply put together into sentences. There are mo proper case and tense suffixes, and most bases can be used in more than one way, as nouns, as adjectives, or as verbs. Under such circumstances it might be expected that it is all but impossible to translate a sentence, there being no outer signs to show where we are to look for the subject and what word represents the verb. The confusion that is to be expected from this state of affairs, is remedied by means of a fixed order of words. Thus in Chinese, the subject comes first, then the verb, then the object, and genitives and adjectives precede the qualified noun. In Siamese the usual order is, likewise, subject, verb, object, but adjectives and genitives follow the qualified word. Compare the remarks on pp. 75 and f. of Vol. II.

It will be seen that the Tai languages agree with Chinese in using the order, subject, verb, object. The Tibeto-Burman languages, on the other hand, arrange the words of the sentence according to a different principle, viz., subject, object, verb. They also
make a much more extensive use of auxiliary words in order to connect the words of a sentence and to explain their mutual relationship. As a consequence of these important oharacteristics, the Tibeto-Burman languages stand out as a distinct family as compared with Tai and Chinese.

The Tibeto-Burman dialects possess a richly varied vocabulary. Thus we often

General character of Tibeto-
Burman languages. find that the different varieties of some particular animal are denoted by means of different terms, where we should use one and the same word. For instance, in Lushēi we find nine words for 'ant' and twenty different translations of the one word 'basket.' It will be seen that there is a tendency to coin a separate word for every individual concrete conception. This pecnliarity is shared by most languages spoken by tribes in a primitive stage of civilisation, and they are by no means peculiar to the Tibeto-Burman, or even to the Indo-Chinese forms of speech. Most Tibeto-Burman dialects are spoken by wild or semi-wild tribes, and it is accordingly only to be expected that in them this peculiarity should be so prominent.

Most Tibeto-Burman languages further evince a difficulty in forming words for abstract ideas. This is again a consequence of the uncivilized state of the tribes speaking them. We know from Chinese, and partly also from Tibetan, that such languages are quite able to form expressions for the most subtle niceties of human thought. It has been oommon to draw attention to the fact that languages such as Tibeto-Burman are unable to distinguish between form and substance, because they do not possess formwords, i.e., words which do not denote any substance or any material conception but simply the different ways of forming and arranging them in the mind. Professor Friedrich Müller of Vienna, in his compendium of comparative philology, says, -

- Such languages have no proper comprehension of form, and are quite anfit for the classification and combination of ideas. The principal reason is that they do not possess particles, i.e., words with a wider meaning, which support the act of thinking like algebraic formulas. When such languages are forced into modern conceptions, as, for instance, in translating the Bible, they are at once overcome by the substance; they conceive as substance what we conceive as form.
'The deficiency of such languages is, to no small extent, due to the fact that they do not possess a real verb, the whole expression starting from substantival conceptions.'

The history of the various Tibeto-Burman languages shows that many of them have developed a kind of inflexion by means of words which are now for all practical purposes particles. Although, as the example of Chinese shows, the absence of such particles does not, by any means, preclude the higher acts of thinking, most of these tongues, whether they possess these words or not, have nevertheless remained in the stage of individual conceptions and are unable to give expression to abstract ideas. The consequences of this state of affairs can be seen in several ways.

It has been already remarked that the vocabulary is richly developed, there being in most cases separate words for the most individual conceptions but few or no words to denote more general ideas. Thus several dialects prefer to use the word denoting an individual of their tribe instead of the general word 'man,' and we find translations such as sing$p h \bar{o}$, man, in Singphō, and $k h a-m i$, man, in Khami.

The same tendency towards individual conception of all objects can also be traced in the fact that many Tibeto-Burman dialects avoid using words such as 'hand,' 'foot,'
' father,' 'mother,' etc. They speak only of 'my hand,' ' thy hand,' 'his hand,' and so forth in the case of all words denoting relationship or parts of the body. Thus, Thādo has $k \bar{a}-p \bar{a}, \mathrm{my}$-father ; $n \bar{a}-m \bar{u}$, thy-mother ; $\bar{a}-k h \bar{u} t$, his hand, but does not employ $p \bar{a}$, father, $n \bar{u}$, mother, or $k / h \bar{u} t$, hand, alone. Similar idioms are common in dialects of the Bodo and Kuki-Chin groups and also in some Himalayan dialects.

The Bodo and Kuki-Chin groups also agree in using generio particles with numerals. The same is the case in Burmese. By means of such particles the numerals are restricted in their sphere and only apply to some special class of objects. The Burmese would not for example simply say 'one man,' but they would add a particle to the numeral in order to indicate the class to which the qualified word belongs. Thus, they say $l \bar{i}$ $t a-y a u k$, man one-rational-being, i.e., one man; and palang s'ay-l $\tilde{u}$, bottle ten-roundthings, or ten bottles.

It has been already remarked that Tibeto-Burman like Siamese-Chinese does not
Classes of words. distinguish between the different classes of words in the same way as Indo-European languages. The same word can often be used as a noun, as an adjective, and as a verb. The Tibeto-Burman dialects belong to that class of speeches regarding which Professor Friedrich Müller remarks that they do not possess a real verb. 'Their verb is a kind of noun, and instead of saying 'I go,' a Tibeto. Burman would say 'my going.' Under such circumstances it is not quite correct to speak of nouns, adjectives, and verbs. It would be better to speak of indefinite bases, of which the radical meaning is still so free and general that they can be used either as subjects or as predicates, and, therefore, as nouns, as adjectives, or as verbs at will. ${ }^{1}$

It will, however, be more practioal for our present purposes to use the well-known terms of Indo-European grammar, and the remarks which follow will therefore be classed under the usual heads of noun, adjective, verb, etc.

Nouns.
The words used as nouns in Tibeto-Burman languages differ from the Indo-European nouns in many respects.
There is no grammatical gender, and such words as do not denote animate beings have no gender at all. The male and female gender of animate beings can, of course, be distinguished. There are often quite different words to denote the male and the femgle, a consequence of the common tendency to coin separate words for the most individual conceptions; or the natural gender is equally frequently distinguished by adding words meaning 'male,' 'female,' respectively. The different methods of denoting the gender have thus nothing to do with grammar.

The Indo-European noun has different forms for the singular and the plural, and often also for the dual. That is not the case in Tibeto-Burman. The number is frequently left to be inferred from the context, or else it is marked by adding numerals or words meaning ' many,' ' all,' ' several,' and so forth.

There is no proper declension. Different relations in time and space can, however, be indicated by suffixing words mhich we can call postpositions. Originally, these had a full meaning of their own, but many of them are now only used as postpositions, i.e., have become real particles. They cannot be called suffixes, because they are separable

[^1]and only added to the last of a number of connected words. Thus, they are added to an adjective which follows a noun and not to the qualified noun, while, if number is indioated by adding a numeral, an indefinite pronoun, or something of the sort after the principal noun, the postposition comes after this addition.

The most important case of Aryan grammar is the genitive. It is often left with. out any sign in Tibeto-Burman languages, the governed noun being simply put before the governing one. In other cases an element is added which looks like a suffix. Thus in Tibetan kyi, gyi, or $i$, and in Burmese $i$. The Burmese $\bar{i}$ is also a demonstrative pronoun, and the same is probably the case with Tibetan kyi. Compare the remarks in the introduction to Tibetan, on p. 26, below. Similarly the so-called genitive suffixes of other Tibeto-Burman dialects can probably all be derived from demonstrative pronouns. ${ }^{1}$ An idiom suoh as Tibetan mi-i khyim, a man's house, thus literally means 'man-that house.' It will be seen that such forms are no real cases.

Adjectives are commonly undistinguishable from nouns in form. No fixed rule
Adjectives. can be given regarding their position with reference to the noun they qualify. The rule in Tibetan is that they follow the qualified noun or, if they precede it, they are put in the genitive case. The practice in other dialects is inconsistent. The frequent dropping of every sign of the genitive sufficiently accounts for this state of affairs even if we oonsider the Tibetan rule as the original one. It will, however, be remark ed later on that formerly the order of words must have been less fixed than it is at the present day.

Noun and adjective form a kind of compound, and postpositions are only added to the last component. This is of course a necessary consequence of the character of the Tibeto-Burman languages. There is no real inflexion, and the various relations in time and space are indicated but once in the case of several parallel words.

There is no comparative or superlative. Comparison is effected by adding postpositions to the compared noun in order to show that the meaning of the adjective is relative. 'Thus we say 'great as compared with him,' 'great from him,' 'great among all,' and so forth, instead of 'greater,' 'greatest,' respectively.

The numeral system is distinctly decimal. The rule for the formation of higher

## Numerals.

numbers in Tibetan and also in Chinese is to prefix the
numerals 'one,' 'two,' etc., to 'ten,' eto. For instance, Tibetan bdun-chu, seven tens, seventy. Bchu-bdun, ten seven, on the other hard, means 'seventeen.' The same is the case in Burmese, Kaohin, and in some other dialects suoh as Meithei, Slö, Mikir, etc. The common rule in the dialects belonging to the Bodo, Nāgā, and Kuki-Chin groups is, however, to suffix the multiplier. Compare Thädo, sonr-ng $\bar{a}$, ten-five, fifty.

Several Himalayan languages make use of a different system in the formation of higher numbers, which are not counted in tens but in twenties. Thus Kanāwarī has nish nizzā $\bar{u}$ sai 'two twenties ten' for ' fifty.' The same system is also found in some dialects belonging to the Bodo group, and a separate word for 'twenty' is, moreover, common in several Kuki-Chin dialects. Similarly, Kachin has khum, twenty. In the

[^2]case of the Himalayan languages this state of affairs is probably due to the existence of a non-Tibeto-Burman element in the population. Compare the remarks in the introduction to those forms of speech, on pp. 179 and 273 below. It is impossible to decide whether a similar explanation holds good in the case of the remaining dialects.

The use in some dialects of generic particles with numerals has already been mentioned.

The personal pronouns are comparatively simple, but there are several nouns in use
Pronouns. as pronouns, the use of which is regulated by the laws of etiquette. 'Thus in Burmese the simple word for ' $I$ ' is $n g \bar{a}$. It is, however, commonly replaced by other words, such as kywon-nuk, 'little slave,' when addressing an equal, kywon-dä, ' king's slave,' when addressing a superior, and so forth.

In some dialects we find different forms of the pronoun 'we,' one excluding and the other including the person or persons addressed. This is for instance the case in Gārō. A fully developed system of various forms of the pronoun of the first person is found in some Himalayan dialects such as $K a n a w^{\text {a }} \mathrm{ri}$. The details will be found in the section of this volume dealing with Himalayan languages, and it will be seen that here we probably have to do with the influence of non-Tibeto-Burman forms of speech.

There is no relative pronoun in the Tibeto-Burman forms of speech. Some dialects have adopted the Aryan relatives, and Aryan constructions are commonly imitated in all dialects, at least in the translated specimens forwarded for the purposes of this Survey ; but the indigenous Tibeto-Burman principle is to use a kind of participle instead. Thus, Burmese pyu-thi the $\bar{u}$, doing man, the man who does; Tibetan 'agro-ba-i $\underline{\text { s }}$ hong-pamams, going of merchants, the merchants who go.

The formation of such participles differs in the different dialects, though we may observe that, as a general rule, they are treated as nouns qualifying another noun, and that hence, in Tibetan, they are usually put in the genitive case. The Burmese relative particle $\underline{t h} i$, written $\underline{t h a n y}$, is probably the demonstrative pronoun $\underline{t h} i$, that. Compare the remarks on the formation of the genitive in Tibeto-Burman languages.

The Tibeto-Burman verb is properly a noun. It is not capable of inflexion in
Verbs. person, number, or gender. In some Tibetan dialects we and, in the Namsangiā Nāgā dialect, we apparently find a full system of conjugational forms. The same is also the case in other dialects, and more especially in some of those belonging to the Himalayan group, but the whole principle is foreign to TibetoBurman languages, and it is always due to the influence of other, different, forms of speech.

The nominal nature of the Tibeto-Burman verb is also apparent from the fact that the subject of transitive verbs is usually put in the case of the agent. Thus, instead of ' I strike' they say 'by-me striking.' 'The case of the agent is not, however, regularly used, and in the dialects of the Bodo group it has been almost entirely discarded. In such cases, the subject should, ascording to Aryan principles, be considered as a genitive qualifying the verbal noun which is used as a verb. In those dialects which regularly
insert pronominal prefixes before nouns governing a genitive, such prefixes are often also used before a noun performing the function of a verb. For instance, in Banjögi we have kei-mä-ui kä-vūak, me-by my-striking, I strike.

It has already been remarked that the ordinary noun has only one number. If it is necessary to indicate the notion of plurality, this is done, not by means of suffires, but by adding words meaning 'many,' 'all,' etc. The same is the case with the noun when used as a verb, although the addition of pluralizing words is not common. Compare idioms such as Yākhā cho-wä-chi, eatings, they ate.

The verbal noun can be used alone as a verb without any addition, but in many cases a particle is added in order to show that the action of the verbal noun really takes place. Such a particle is the $o$ which is added to the principal verb of narrative sentences in Tibetan; thus, song-ng-o, he went.

It seems probable that such assertive particles, in most, if not in all, cases, are various forms of the verb substantive, which, in its turn, often performs the function of a demonstrative pronoun. Thus the common assertive particle in Siyin is $h i$, and the same word is also used as a verb substantive and a demonstrative pronoun. It is related to the $h \bar{a}$ which is used as an assertive particle and a demonstrative pronoun in Hallām,
 translated 'my saying-is.'

Another consequence of the nominal character of the Tibeto-Burman verb is that it can be used in connexion with postpositions like an ordinary noun. In this way the verbal noun is used to form various kinds of adverbial sentences. Thus, Tibetan

- 'agro-na, going-in, if (I) go ; lang-nas, rising-from, when you have risen; leas-pas, seeing-by, when he saw, etc.

Ordinary nouns are incapable of inflexion in time. The same is, broadly speaking, the case with nouns performing the function of a verb. It will hence be generally observed that the use of the so-called tenses is very loose. If it is required to lay especial stress on the time at whioh an action took place, it is necessary to add a word indicating the fact. Thus we find idioms such as 'me-by striking-finishing.' instead of ' I struck,' and so forth. Such additions have, it is true, often lost their full rootmeaning, and are now exclusively used as suffixes; but in all cases in which we can trace the history of such tense-suffixes, they have a definite meaning of their own.

The various tense-bases of Tibetan are of a different nature. Modern investigations seem to show that they have nothing to do with time, but are simply parallel forms, of which the sphere has sometimes been restricted to one special time.

The negative verb appears to have been originally formed by prefixing a negative particle to the verbal noun. If a verb substantive or an auxiliary was added, the negative particle was often prefixed to it. This is probably the reason for so many dialects using a negative suffix. It must be derived from a verb substantive with a negative prefix. Thus the Old Kuki suffix mak, not, contains a verb substantive $u k$ and a negative prefix ma. $\quad U k$ is probably identical with Tibetan 'adug, is, Balti $u k$.

It has been already remarked that the usual order of words in Tibeto-Burman

> Order of words. languages is subject, object, verb. There is, however, oonsiderable inconsistency, and comparison with Chinese and Siamese shows that a fixed order of words must be a comparatively modern departure.

At all events, it cannot have sprung into existence before the old Tibeto-Burman parent language had branohed off from the common stock from which the modern Tibeto-Burman and Chinese-Siamese families have both developed.

It has been pointed out that the old intransitive bases of Tibeto-Burman

Classification of TibetoBurman languages. as well as those of Chinese-Siamese could not begin with bard consonants. On the whole, it is doubtful whether the common parent tongue possessed hard consonants at all. The old initial consonants of intransitive bases were soft. Although several dialects of Assam and Further India in many cases have preserved them, there is a general tendency throughout the whole family to harden such sounds. 'Ihe preservation of these soft initial consonants is most common in the dialects belonging to the Kachin, Bodo, and Nägà groups, which in this respect agree with classioal libetan and many Himalayan dialects. It is not, however, possible to base a classitication only upon this state of affairs, because it would necessitate our separating the modern dialects of Tibet from classical Tibetan.

The use of tones might possibly suggest itself as another basis of classification. Central Tibetan in this respect apparently agrees with Kachin and probably also with the central Nāgà dialects. The tendency to develope a system of different tones must, however, be assigned to the common parent tongue from which 'l'ibeto-Burman and Siamese-Chinese have been derived. It is apparently a consequence of the dropping of the old prefixes. The fact that it has not been developed in numerous Tibeto-Burman dialects is probably due to the more thoroughgoing preservation of the old prefixes, and perhaps also to the influence of the languages spoken by the old inhabitants whom the Tibeto-Burmans found in possession of the country when they first entered it.

On the whole, it is impossible to classify the Tibeto-Burman dialects satisfactorily. They must have split up into many different forms of speech at a very early period, and there are numerous crossings and intercrossings. The remarks which follow do not pretend to be more than a provisional attempt at a classification based on the facts brought to light in this Survey.

The most important 'libeto-Burman language is Tibetan. It comprises several dialects, and it is known in an old form which goes back to at least the seventh century A.D.

The old language makes an extensive use of prefixes, which had lost their character as separate syllables and had been reduced to consisting of a consonant alone. The old soft initials were well preserved.

The modern dialects bave all been developed from a similar form of speech. In Central Tibet the old prefixes have been lost, and the soft initials have become aspirated and hardened. Hand in hand with these changes the characteristic Central Tibetan tone-system has been developed.

In the west, the prefixes have, to a great extent, been preserved. The same is the case with the soft initials. There are, on the other hand, no tones.

The eastern dialects agree with the western ones in the particulars just mentioned. Some Tibetan dialects are spoken in the Chinese province of Ssechuan. They are characterized by the use of prefixes which are still full syllables. In this respect they connect Tibetan with the dialects of the Kachin, Nāgá, and Bodo groups.

The Kachin dialects agree with olassical Tibetan in many respects. The old soft initials have, on the whole, been preserved. There is, however, a strong tendency to aspirate them. The old prefixes are still pronounced in many words. Causals are commonly formed by means of prefixes. Kaohin possesses a system of tones similar to that of Central Tibetan.

In the south Kachin is spoken in the neighbourhood of Burmese, and philologically it can be considered as a link between Tibetan and Burmese. It agrees with the latter form of speech in many important details, e.g., in the use of several prefixes and suffixes and in the riohly developed system of verbal partioles.

The neighbours of the Kachins towards the west speak dialeots belonging to the Nāgá and Kuki-Chin groups, and there are many oharacteristic features whioh conneet Kachin with both. Thus the extensive use of the pretix $g a, k a$ is common to Kachin and Nāga, and the vocabulary and many suffixes in Kuki-Chin are strikingly like those in use in Kachin.

I'he Nāgà group comprises a long series of dialects which mutually differ much from each other. They are, on the whole, more closely related to Tibetan than to Burmese. The old soft initials have often been hardened, but they are also often preserved. Causals are still often formed by means of prefises, and prefixes on the whole play a considerable rôle. The dialects classed together in this Survey undor the head of the Central Nága sub-group are apparently more closely related to Tibetan than the other Nāgà dialects. They are said to make use of an elaborate system of tones, and the negative verb is formed as in Tibetan by means of a negative prefix, while other Nägà dialects, as also the Bodo and Kuki-Chin groups use a negative sulfix.

In the south and west the Nägà dialects are connected with the Bodo and KukiChin languages by means of several intermediate dialects.

Between Nāgā and Tibetan we find several dialects which have been put together as the North Assam Group. They also, in some respects, connect Tibetan with the dialects of the so-called Bodo-group. Before proceeding to those last-mention forms of speech it will, however, be necessary to mention a long series of dialects spoken in the Central and Lower Himalayas, which will be classed together under the head of Himalayan languages. They comprise many dialects, which dilfer to some extent amongst themselves, but which as a whole can be said to form a link between Tibetan and the dialects of the Bodo and Kuki-Chin groups. Some of them, besides, shöw traces of a non-Tibeto-Burman element. Further details will be found in the introduction to the Himalayan languages.

The Bodo dialects agree with Tibetan in many respects. The old soft initials have, to a considerable extent, been preserved, and causal verbs are commonly formed by adding prefixes as in Tibetan. It is, however, still more common to form them by adding a suffix. In this respect the Bodo dialects agree with Nägã, with whioh group it also has several other points of connexion, and also with the Kuki-Chin dialects.

With those latter forms of speech the Bodo dialects also agree in other important points, e.g., in the frequent use of the pronominal prefixes and of generic particles with numerals.

The Kuki-Cbin dialects, on the other hand, form the last link in the chain connecting Tibetan with Burmese, the southernmost 'libeto-Burman language.

Further details will be found in the introductions to the various sub-groups. The preceding remarks will have shown that the relationship between the various TibetoBurman dialeots is somewhat complicated, and that it is impossible to bring it under one single formula. If we ignore minor details the state of affairs can, perhaps, broadly be described as follows :-

Tibetan and Burmese, the northernmost and southernmost'Tibeto-Burman languages, are connected by means of two different chains of dialects. The eastern consists of the various Kachin dialects, the western has a double beginning in the north, which unites towards the south. In the first place we find the dialeats of the North Assam group merging into the Nāgā, and further into the Bodo and Kuki-Chin forms of speech, and, in the second place, we can also trace a line from Tibetan, through the Himalayan languages, into Bodo and further into Kuki-Chin. Those latter dialects then gradually merge into Burmese.

The first to recognize the unity of the Tibeto-Burman languages was $\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{H}$.

> Authorities. Hodgson, who in 1828 began to publish a series of papers on the Tibeto-Burman dialects. Some useful remarks bad already been published by Rémusat in 1820. Max Müller, in his Letter to Chevalier Bunsen on the Classification of the Turanian Languages, attempted a classification of the Tibeto-Burman languages, by sub-dividing them into two groups which he called sub-Himalayan or Gangetio and Lohitic, respectively. The latter sub-division broadly comprises Burmese and the dialects of the North Assam, Nāgā, Bodo, Kachin and Kuki-Chin groups.

Remarks on Tibeto-Burman philology were further made by Logan, Forbes, Grube, and others. The whole question was finally put forward in a new light in the works of Professors Kuhn and Conrady.

The list which follows registers some of the principal works dealing with TibetoBurman philology in general. Other works will be mentioned in the introductions to the various sub-groups and dialects :-

Leyden, J.,-On the Languages and Literature of the Indo-Chinese Nations. Asiatic Researches, Vol. X, 1808, pp. 209 and ff. Reprinted, with bibliographical notes, by Dr. R. Rost in Miscellaneous Papers relating to Indo-Ohina, Vol. I, London, 1886, pp. 84 and ff.
Remdaat, A.,-Recherches sur les langues tartares. Paris, 1820.
Müller, Max,-Letter to Ohevalier Bunsen, on the Classification of the Turanian Languages. London,

- 1854, pp. 97 and fi. Reprinted from Vol. III of Bunsen's Ohristianity and Mankind, London, 1854.

Logan, J.,-The West Himalaic or Tibatan Tribes of Assam, Burma and Pegu. Journal of the Indian Archipelago, Vol. II, 1858, pp. 100 and ff., 230 and ff.
Forbes, Capt. C. J. F. S.,-On Tibeto-Burman Languages. Journal of the Rojal Abiatic Society, Nem Series, Vol. X, 1878, pp. 210 and ff.
" " Comparative Grammar of the Languages of Furthar India: A Fragment. And other Essays. London, 1881.
Grebe, W.,-Die sprachyeschichtliche Stellung des Chinesischen. Leipzig, 1881.
Kdin, Ebnst,——eber Herkunft und Sprache der transgangetisohen Völker. Festrede zur Vorfeier des Allarhöchston Geburts und Namensfestes Sainer Majestät des Königs Ludwig II gehalter in der öffentlichon Sitzung dar K. Akadamie dar Wissanschaften zu München am 25. Juli 1881. Münohon 1883. Compare also Prof. Kuhn's remarks in the Jenaer Literaturzeitung, 1875, p. 424.

Avary, John,--The Tibeto-Burman Group of Languages. Transactions of the American Philological Association, Vol. xvi, 1885, Appendix, pp. xvii and ff .

Terbien di Lacodpraid,-The Languages of Ohina before the Ohinese. Besearohes on the Langmagas spoken by the Pre-Ohinese Rocos of Ohina Proper previowaly to the Ohinese Oooupation. London, 1887. Reprint from the Transactione of the Philologioal Soviety, 1885.7, Pp. 394 and $\mathbb{I L}$

Hodonton, B.,-Outlinas of Tibato-Burman Linguistio Palaonsology. Journal of the Rogal Amintio Society, 1896, pp. 23 and ff.
Conrady, Dr. August,-Eing indoohinesisohe Caugativ-Denominatio-Bildung und ihr Zusammenhang mit den Tonaccenten. Ein Beitrag aur vergleiohenden Grammatih dor indochinesisohen Sprachen insonderheit des Tibetischen barmanischen Biamesieohen und Ohinesischen. Leiprig, 1896.

## TIBETAN OR BHŌȚIA.

Tibetan is the language of Tibet and the adjoining distriots of India. It does not properly fall within the soope of this Survey. Important dialects are, however, spoken in British India, and it will therefore be necessary to give a short account of Tibetan and its sub-dialects. ${ }^{1}$

The language of Tibet has usually been designated Tibetan. The origin of the name Tibet is obsoure, and it would be waste of time to
Name of the language. enter upon the various explanations propounded by different scholars. It came to Europe through the Muhammadans of Western Asia. The Tibetans themselves call their country Bod-yul and their language Bod.skad, pronounced $k h o ̈-k \ddot{a}$ in Central Tibetan. 'A Tibetan' is Bod-pa, and this word has been changed to Bhauttca, Bhōtiā, etc., by the Hindūs. The name 'Bhōṭiā' is now applied by them to the 'libetans living on the borders between India and libet, while the people of Tibet proper are called Hūniyas, and the country Hūndēs. Several names have been proposed for the language. The one which bas been universally recognized is Tibetan. In the oldest publications about the language, it interchanges with Tangutan, a name which has not been adopted by scholars in that sense. The name Bhotanta, which was used in the first Tibetan dictionary, has also been discarded as being apt to produce the impression that the dialect of Bhutan is meant. It has also been proposed to call the language Bhotiaa and to distinguish the sub-dialects by adding the locality where they are spoken, viz., Bhōtiā of Tibet, or Tibetan proper; Bhōṭiā of Bhutan or P̣rug-kä; Bhōṭā of Sikkim or Dänjong-kä ; Bhōṭiā of Ladakh or Ladakhī, and so forth. Against such a terminology the fact must be urged that the Bhōtiā of Tibet comprises many dialects which are mutually more different than is Dänjong-kä from the Tibetan of Central Tibet. It would accordingly be impossible to speak of the Bhōtiā of 'Tibet as opposed to other dialects, and the name Tibetan would have to be discarded altogether. Moreover the inhabitants of Tibet proper are usually known to the Hindus of Upper India as Hūniyas and not as Bhōtiās. I therefore prefer to employ the name Tibetan as the common designation of the language, as the one which is universally recognized as such. .The fact that the language is also spoken outside Tibet cannot be urged against its being called Tibetan. Nobody hesitates to call the French language spoken in Belgium French.

Various dialects of Tibetan are spoken all over Tibet including Baltistan and Ladakh. The Zoji La pass, on the high road from Srinagar
Area within which spoken. to Dras, is the ethnographic watershed between the Aryan and Tibetan population. Thence we may draw an irregular line eastwards including the northernmost districts of Lahoul, Spiti, Kunawar, Garhwal, Nepal, Sikkim and Bhutan. Jibetan is accordingly mainly a language foreign to india, where it is only

[^3]spoken by immigrants in the frontier districts. Towards the east it extends into the Chinese province of Sseohuan.

Tibetan is not a uniform language over the whole territory within which it is

## Dialects.

spoken. The classical dialect of Tibetan literature represent the stage of development at which the language had arrived in the time when it was first reduced to writing. It was then a monosyllabic form of speech with a highly complicated phonctic system, abounding in compound consonants. These compounds were, at least in numerous cases, the final result of a combination of prefixes with monosyllabic bases. The prefixes must once have formed separate syllables. Their vowels were, however, very early lost, and the result was a monosyllabio word beginning with a compound consonant. Such compounds have been partly retained in the west and in the east. In the centre, on the other hand, the prefixed consonanta representing the last remnant of the old prefixes bave been dropped, and the old base-words have been restored, apparently without any traces of the lost prefixes. This dropping of the prefixes is however only apparent. "Their existence is still traceable by means of the tone, such words being, as a rule, pronounced in the so-called high tone. A short account of the Tibetan tone-system will be given later on. In this place it will be sufficient to remark that the dropping of the old prefixes and the resulting use of tones is characteristic of all Central Tibetan dialeots, which are spoken from Spiti in the west to Bhutan in the east. This group includes numerous sub-dialects which will be separately dealt with in the ensuing pages in so far as they are spoken within the territory included under the operations of this Survey. Proceeding from the west these dialects are Spiti, N yamkat, Jad, the Garhwal dialect, Kāgate, Sharpa, Dänjongkä, and Lhoke. The dialect spoken in Rubshu is also a form of Central Tibetan. It is probably identical with the Spiti form of the language. Our information regarding the dialects of this group spoken in Tibet is less complete. We only know the dialect of Central libet, i.e., the provinces of Ü and Tsang, which is a kind of lingua franca over the whole Tibetan territory. The dialect spoken in the so-called Chumbi Valloy between Sikkim and Bhutan apparently agrees with the forms of speech current in those States and not with the Ü-dialect. The valley itself is called Domo, and is divided into Upper and Lower Domo.

The western portion of Tibet, from a line drawn from Darjeeling and northwards, is called Ngari. It is divided into the three districts of Mangyul, Khorsum, and Maryul. Mangyul marches with Nepal almost to its western boundary; Khorsum extends along the frontier of Kumaon, Garhwal, and Bashahr; Margul inoludes Western Tibet, especially the Kashmiri States of Baltistan and Ladakh.

The dialects of Mangyul probably agree with Sharpa and Kàgate, which are spoken in Eastern Nepal. The language of Khorsum is probably closely related to Spiti, Nyamkat, Jad, the Tibetan dialect spoken in Garhwal, etc., while the dialects of Rudok to the north of Khorsum probably merge into Ladakhi and Baltī.

Those latter forms of speech belong to another group, which Jaeschke called Western Tibetan. It is spoken in Baltistan and Ladakh, and probably also in the adjoining districts of Tibet. Three closely related dialects of this group are spoken within British territory, viz., Balti in Baltistan, Purik in the old province of Purik, and Ladakhi in Ladakh. All these dialects agree in retaining a good deal of the
compound consonants of olassical Tibetan, and in being devoid of tones. In this latter respeot the Tibetan dialect spoken in Lahoul marches with Western Tibetan. On the other hand it simplifies the old compound consonants just as is the case in Central Tibetan. Final consonants are often dropped in Lahoul, as is also the oase in Central Tibetan. In that case, the preceding vowel often assumes an abrupt pronunciation in Lahoul as well as in Ü and Tsang. The Laboul dialect can therefore be described as a kind of connecting link between Western and Central Tibetan.

The dialect spoken in the province of Khams in Eastern Tibet agrees with Western Tibetan in being devoid of tones and in retaining many of the old compound consonants of classical Tibetan. Such compounds are, however, treated in a different way from that which is the case in Western Tibetan, and the Khams dialect must therefore be separated as a distinct group, which we shall call Eastern Iİbetan. Connected dialects are spoken to the North and East, in Sifan and Ssechuan. Short vocabularies have been published of several of them by Hodgson, Rosthorn, and others. They do not fall within the scope of this Survey, and it will, in this place, be sufficient to mention that they form the link which connects Tibetan with the Tibeto-Burman languages of Assam and Further India.

We have no trustworthy information about the number of speakers of the various Tibetan dialects outside British India. The population of
Number of speakers. Tibet is estimated at about six millions. Numerous speakers are also found in Nepal and Bhutan. According to rough looal estimates prepared during the preliminary operations of this Survey, the number of speakers of Tibetan and its sub-dialects within the districts included was as follows :-

| Tibetan | unspecified | . | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7,968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | Lshoul dialect | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,579 |
| " | Spiti dialect | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 3,548 |
| " | Nyamkat | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 1,544 |
| " | Jaḍ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , | - | 106 |
| : | Garhwal dialect | - | - | - | - | - | , |  | - |  |  | 4,300 |
| " | Sharpa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | 900 |
| " | Dänjongkä |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , | 20,000 |
| " | Lhoke | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,079 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | 45,024 |

This total is considerably below the mark, and it does not include important dialects sưth as Baltī and Ladakhi.

At the last Census of 1901 the number of speakers of Tibetan and its dialects was returned as follows:-


Of the 40,590 speakers returned under the head of Lhoke, 31,615 were enumerated in the Punjab. It is not possible that these speak the Tibetan Lhoke dialect of Bhutan,
and these figures will not therefore be added under the detailed description of that dialoct.

The figures entered under the head of Ladakhi do not include the speakers of that dialect in Ladakh, where they have been returned as speaking Budhi. Their number was 29,716 . 'Ihey are included in the 35,822 speakers under the head of Tibetan, others.

Tibetan was already a literary language in the early part of the 7th century. ${ }^{1}$

## LIterature.

 'The liev. H. Jäsckhe, in the introduction to his TibetanEnglish Dictionary, sums up tho history of Tibetan
## literature os follows:-

' There are two chief periode of literary activity to be noticed in studying the origin aud growth of Tibetan literature and the landmarks in the history of the language. The frat is the Period of Translations whioh, however, might also be entitled the Classical Period, for the sanctity of the religious message cunferred a corresponding repatation and tradition of excellence upon the form in whioh it was conveyel. This period begins in the first half of the seventh centary, when Thonmi Sambhote, the minister of Srongtsangampo, was sent to India to learn Sanskrit. His invontion of the Tibetan alphabet gave a twofold impulse; for several centuriea the wisdom of India and the ingenuity of Tibet laboared in nuison and with the greatest industry and enthasiasm at the work of translation. The tribute due to real genins must be awarded to these early pioneers of Tibetan grammar. They had to grapple with the infinite wealth and refinement of Sanskrit; they had to save the indepondence of their own tongue, while they strove to subject it to the rale of scientific prinoiples, and it is most remarkable how they managed to produce translations at ouce literal and faithful to the spirit of the origianl. The first masters had made for their later disciples a comparatively easy road, for the style and context of the writings with which the translators had to deal present very uniform features. When once typical patterns bad been furnished, it was possible for the literary manufacture to be extended by a sort of mechanical process.

A considerable time elapsed before natives of Tibet begen to indulge in compositions of their own. When they did so, the subject-matter ohosen by them to operate apon was either of an historical or a legendary kind. In this Second Period the language shows much resemblance to the modern tongue, approaching most-olosely the present idiom of Central Tibet.'

According to Sarat Chandra Das the second period begins about the year 1025 A.D. It is the age of Milaraspa and Atisa, etc. Sarat Chandra reckons a new stage from 1205 A.D.,-
' When Pandit Śākya éri of Kashmir had retarned to Tibet after witnessing the plunder and destraction of the great Buddhist monasteries of Odantapari and Vikrama Sila iu Magadha, and the conquest of Bengal and Bebar by the Mahomedans ander Baktyar Ghilji (sic.) in 1203 A.D. . . . Among the most noted writers of the time were Sakya Pandit Kungah Gyal-tshau, Dogon Phag-pa, the spiritual tator of Emperor Khabli Khan, and Shongton Lotsâwa, who tranglated the Kāryādarśa of Daṇ̣in and Kihemendra's Avadāna Kalpalata in metrical Tibetan. With the opening of the 15 th century Buton-Rinchen Düb introdgced a new era in the literatare of Tibot, ard Buddhism received fresh impulse under the rule of the Phagmodu chiefs, when T'ibetan scholars took largely to the study of Chinese literature under the anspices of the Ming Emperors of China. During this poriod, ealled the age of Di-nying (old orthography), the great indigenous literatare of Tibet arose. A host of learned Lotsāwas and scholars like Taonglihapa, Buton, Gyalwa Ngapa, Lama Tärānätha, Desri Sangye Gyatsho, Sampa Khampo, and others flourished. This was the age of the Gelug-pa or Yellow Cap School of Buddhism, fonnded by Tsongkhapa with Gahdan as its head-quarters.

The third period begins with the first quarter of the 18th century, when Chinese sazerainty over Tibet was fully established and the last of the Tartar kings of the dynasty of Gushi Khan was killed by a General of the Jongar Tarturs-an incident which traneforred the sovereignty of Tibet to the Dalai Lama, who was till then a mere hierarch of the Gelug-pa church. It is within this period that Tibet has enjosed anprecedented peace moder the benign sway of the holy Bodhisattons, and its layguage has become the lingua franea of Higher Asia.'

[^4]
## A.-Eably References.

The Tibetans are mentioned in old Chinese writings under the name of Kiang. The name of Tibet has come to us through the Muhammadans. In the form Tobbat it is used by Istakhri towards the end of the 6th century A.D. The usual form with the Muhammadans is Tibbat. Comp:ure the quotations in H. Yule's Holson-Jobson, sub voce. Some remarks on Tibet were published by Johan de Plano Carpini (1247), by Wilhelmus de Rubrak (1253), Marco Polo (1298), and others. They do not tell us much about the oountry. In the 17 th and 18th centuries Jesuit missionaries from Peking visited the country, and the Capuchin Friar Horazio della Penna Bella lived at Lhasa for 17 years from 1732 , and also learnt the language.

The first Tibetan writings which were brought to Europe were found in South Siberia and sent to Rome and Paris by the Emperor Peter the Great in 1721. They were recognized as Tibetan by La Croze, Theophilus Siegfried Bayer, Gerbard Friedrich Müller, and others, and the French orientalists Etienne and Michel Fourmont made an attempt at translating them. La Croze also published a note on the Tibetan alphabet.

The materials sent home by the Jesuit missionaries were utilized by August Antonius Georgi in lis Alphabetum Tibetanum, Rome, 1762. Some libetan words were made known by John Bell in his Travels from Russia to divers parts of Asia, Glasgow, 1763, and in a polyglot vocabulary compiled in St. Petersburg in the middle of the 18th century, further by Lorenzo Hervas, and others. A review of these and other works will be found in Adelung's Mithridates, quoted below. The Lord's Prayer in Tibetan was published by Cassiano Beligatti, Lorenzo Hervas, and others.

The first European who made the Tibetan language the subject of serious study was the Hungarian scholar Alexander Csoma de Körös, who for many years lived in a Tibetan monastery in Kumaon. To him are due the first Tibetan grammar and a dictionary.

> B.-General workb.

Rjblmann, Gottrr.-Vorläufige Aufösung der Frage: was es doch fur eine Bibliothelc seyn möchte, welche in einem von der Caspischen See Nordostwärts gelegenen Gebäude gefunden worden. Schleitz, 1721.

La Croze, Matcrin Vexssière,—Epistola ad J. B. M. de libris manu scriptis haud procul mari Oaspio repertis. Acta Eruditorum, 1722, pp. 414 and ff. Based on materials forwarded by Th. S. Bayer.

[^5]Adrldna, Jonany Cebletore, -Mithridates oder allgemeine Sprachenkunde mit dom Vator Unior als Spraohprobe in bey nahe fünfhundert Spraohen und Mundarten. Berlin, Vol. i, 1806, Pp. 64 and ff. ; Vol. iv, 1817, pp. 31 and ff.
Wollmer, Franz,-Uber die Verwandtschaft des Indogermanischen, Semitischen, und Tibetaniechen, nebat einer Einleitung über den Ursprung Lar Sprache. Münster 1838.
Desaoding, C. H.,-La mission du Thibet de 1855 à 1870, comprenant l' exposé des affaires religieuses, et divers documents sur ce pays, accompagnée d'une carte du I'hibet. Verdan 1872.
Defw, Frederic,-The Jummoo and Kashmir Territories, A Geographical Account. London, 1875. Chapter 21 contains remarks on Tibetan and the Tibetan alphabet.
Bjerell, S. W.,-The Early History of Tibet. From Ohinese Sources. Journal of the Royal Abistio Society, New Series, Vol. xii, 1580, pp. 435 and ff.
Deggodins, l'acbé,-Le Thibet. Notes linguistiques. Annales de l'extrême Orient, Février, 1880.
Teabien de Lacocremb, - Beginnings of writing in and around Tibet. Journal of the Rogal Aaiatio Society, New Series, Vol. xvii, J885, pp. 415 and ff.
Apery, Joun, - The Beginnings of Writing in and around Tibet. The American Antiquarian, Vol, viii, 1886, pp. 158 and ff.
Feer, Leon,-Etymologie, histoire, et orthographie du mot Tibet. Verhandlungen und Berichte des 7. internationalen Orientalisten-Congresses 1886. Hochasiatische and malayo-polynesieche Section, Wien 1889, p. 63.
Sarat Candra Das,-The Sacred and Otnamental Oharacters of Tibet. (With nine plates.) Journal of the Asiatio Society of Bengal, Vol. lvii, Part j, 1888, pp. 41 and ff.
Pongeácz, Sándor,-Tibet-magyar nyelvtanulmányok. Budapest 1890-91.
Rockhill, W. Woodville,- T'ibet. A Geographical, Ethnographical, and Historical Sketch, derived from Ohinese Sources. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1891, pp. 1 and ff.
Waddell, L. A.,-Place and River-Names in the Darjiling District and Sikhim. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. lx, Part i, 1891, pp. 53 and ff.
Fauvel, A. A.,-Oaractères tibétains sur des feuilles d'arbre. T'oung Pao, Vol. iv, 1893, p. 389 ; compare pp. 456 and ff.

Raverty, Major H. G.,-Tibbat three-hundred and sixty-five years ago. Journal of the Asiatio Society of Bengal, Vol. lxiv, Part i, 1895, pp. 82 and ff.
Franore, A. H.,-Bemerkungen zu Jäschke's tibetischer Bibelübersetaung. Zeitsohrift der dentachen morgenlëndischen Gesellschaft, Vol. li, 1897, pp. 697 and fi.
Latfer, Berthold,-Studion zur Sprachwissenschaft der Tibeter. Sitzungsberichte der kgl. baserischen Akademie der Wissensohaften. Philosophisch-philologische und historische Classe, 1898, Vol. i, pp. 519 and ff.
Wacer, E. H. C.,-The Tibetan Language and Recent Diotionaries. Journal of the Asiatio Society of Bengal, Vol. lxiziu, Part i, 1903, pp. 65 and ff.

## O.-Grammar.

Cboma de Kórös, Alexander, - A Grammar of the Tibetan Language in English. Prepared, under the patronage of the Government and under the auspices of the Asiatic Society of Bengal. Calontta, 1834.

Schmidt, Isaao Jacob,—Grammatik der tibetischen Sprache. St. Petersbarg, 1839.

$$
\text { " Grammatika tibetskago jazyka. St. Peterburg, } 1839 .
$$

Sohirfner, A.,-Tibetische Studien. Bulletin historico-philologique de l'Académie de St. Pétersbourg, Vol. viii, No. 13 ff ; Bulletin de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences, Vol. viii, pp, 9 and ff. St. Petersbarg, 1851-65.
Fodcadx, Ph. Ed.,-Grammaire de la langue tibétaine. Paris, 1858.
Lepsios, R.,-Über die Umschrift und Lautverhältnisse einiger hinterasiatischen Sprachen namentlich der Ohinesischen tund der Tibetischen. Abhandlungon der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1860, pp. 449 and ff.
Jüsonee, H. A.,-Öber das Tibetanische Lautsystem. Monatsbarichte der Königlichen Preass. Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1860, pp. 257 and ff.
Note on the Pronunciation of the Tibetan Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxiv, Part i. 1865, pp. 91 and ff.
" Über die östliche Aussprache des Tibetischon im Vergleich zu der früher behandelten westlichom Monatsberichte, etc., 1865, pp. 441 and fi.
, Über die Phonetik der Tibetischen Spraohe Monatsberichte, etc., 1867, pp. 143 and fr .

Jäsceme, H. A.,-A short practical Grammar of the Tibetan Language, with spocial raference to the spoken äalects. Kyelang, 1865.
,. Tibtian Grammar. Second elition prepared by H. Wenzel. London, 1883.
Sceiefner. A., -Úber Plutalbreeichnungen im Tibstischen. Mémoires de l'Académie des Soiences de St. Pétersbourg, Vol. xxv, 1878, No. 1.
Lewin, Major Th. H., -Manual of Tibetan, being a Guide to the Oolloquial Speech of Tibet, in a seriea of progressive evorcises, prepared with the assistance of Yapa Ugpen Gyatsho. Caloutta, 1879.
MOller, Friedrice,-Grundriss der Sprachwissenschaft, Vol. ii, Part ii, Wien 1882, pp. 334 and ff.
Sandderg, Graham,-Manual of the Sikkim Bhutia Language or Dénjong Ké. Calcutta, 1888. Seoond edition. Westminster, 1895.
Thomalk Phunchor Wangden, -Poikyi ka pe daūpo. Tibetan first Raading Book. Darjeeling, 1889.
Rai Lama Ugyen Gyatsho,-Tibetan Grammar. Darjeeling, 1893.
Shawe, Beceer,-On the relationship between Tibetan Orthography and the original Pronunciation of the Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. lxiii, Part i, 1894, pp. 4 and ff.
Sandberg, Gragam, 一Hand-book of Colloquial Tibetan. A Practical Guide to the Language of Oentral Tibet. In three parts. Calcutta, 1894.
Tlener, C. H. Polifle,-The Oolloquial Language of Tibet. Darjeeling, 1897.
Franeie, A. H.,-Die Respehtssprache im Ladaker tibetischen Dialeht. Zoitschrift der Deatachen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. lii, 1898, pp. 275 and ff.
Ladfer, Berthold,-Ueber das vazur. Ein Beitrag zur Phonetik der tibetischen Sprache. Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, Vol. xii, 1898, pp. 298 and ff.; Vol. xïi, 1899, pp. 95 and ff.
Desgodins, l'abne,-Essay de grammaire Thibétaine pour ia langue parlée. Hongkong, 1899.
Amondsen, Edward,-Primor of Standard Tibetan. Ghoom, Darjeeling. The Scand. All. Tibetan Mission Press. [About 1900.]
Francke, A. H.,-Skatoh of Ladalhi Grammar. In co-operation with other Moravian missionaries. Journal of the Asiatio Society of Bengal, Vol. lxx, Part i, 1900, Extra No. 2, Calcutta, 1901.
"
Klaine Beilräge zur Phonetik und Grammatik des Tibetischen. Zeitschrift der Dentechen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. Ivii, 1903, pp. 285 and ff.

A Language Map of West Tibet with notes. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. lxxiii, 1904, pp. 362 and ff.
Henderson, V. C.,-Tibetan Manual. Revised by E. Amundsem. Calcatta, 1903.
Francee, A. H.,-Das tibetische Pronominalsystem. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. lxi, 1907; pp. 439 and f.

## D.-Dictionarieg.

Bfll, Jobn,—Travals from Russia to divers parts of Asig. Glaggow, 1763. Contains namerals in Tibetan.
Hebvas, Lorenzo, - Vocabulario poliglotto con prolegomeni sopra piu di cl lingue. Cesena 1786, pp. 58 and ff. Contains about 100 Tibetan words.
", Saggio prattico delle lingue con prolegomeni e una raccolta di Orazioni Dominicali in piu di trecento lingue e dialatti. Cesens 1787, p. 150. Contains the Lord's Prayer in Tibetan.
Hémesat, Abel de,—De l'étude des langues étrangères chez les Chinois. Magazin Encyclopédique, - Oct. 1811. Contains some Tibetan words.
" San, si-fan, man, meng, hon tsi yao ou Récueil nécessaire dos mots Sanscrits, Tangutains, Mandchous, Mongols \& Chinois. Fundgruben des Orients, Tome iv, 1814, pp. 187 and ff.
A Dictionary of tho Bhotanta, or Boutan Language. Printed from a manuscript copy, edited by J. Marshman. To which is prefixed a grammar of the Bhotanta Language by W. O. A. Schroster, edited by W. Caray. Serampore, 1826.
Klaproth, M.,-Observations sur le Dictionnaire tubétain imprimé à Sérampore. Journal Asiatique, iie sćrie, Vol. i, 1828, pp. 401 and ff.
Hodason, B. H, -Notices of the Languages, Literature, and Religion of the Bauddahs of Nepãl and Bhot. Astaticic Hessarches, Vol. xvi, 1828, pp. 409 and fr. Reprinted in Illustrations of the Literature and Religion of the Buddhists. Serampore, 1841, pp. 1 and ff., and in Essays on the Languages, Liturature, and Religion of Nepal and Tibet. London, 1874, Part i, pp. 1 and ff.
Vocabulaire de la langue tubétaine. Journal Asiatiqué, iie série, Vol. iv, 1829, pp. 304 and ff.
-Csoma de Körös, Alexander,-Essay towards a Dictionary, Tibetan and English. Prepared with the assistance of Bandé Sangs-Rgyas Phun-Tıshogs, a learned láma of Zangokár. During a residence
at Kanam, in the Himalaya mountains, on the confines of India and Tibet, 1887-1830. Calcatta, 1834.
Willings, S. W., Comparison of Asiatic Languagea. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. vii, Part ii, 18:39. Contains a Tibetan Vocabalary after Cwoma.
Scimidt, Isac Jacod, -Tiletisch-doutsches Wörterbuch nobst deutschom Wortreginter. St. Petersbarg, 1841. " Tibetsko-russkij slovar. St. Peterburg, 1843.
Grrard, Captain A., - A Vacabulary of the Kunawar Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xi, Part i, 1842, pp. 479 and ff. Contains a Bhoteea vocabalary.
Oonninaeam, J. D., - Notes on Moorcrajt's Travels in Ladakh and on Gerard's Acoount of Kunawar, including a general Description of the latter District. Journal of the Asiatio Sooiety of Bengul, Vol. xiii, Part i, 1844, pp. 172 and f ., 223 and ff Coutaius a Bhotee vocabalary on Pp. $2 \cdot 5$ end ff.
Hodason, B. H., - On the Aborigines of the sub. Himalayas. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xvi, Part ii, 1847, pp. 1235 and fi. Reprinted in Selactions from the Rocords of the Government of Bengal, No. xxvii, 1857, pp. 48 and ff, and in Essays, etc. London, 1874. Part ii, pp. 29 and ff. The title of the reprint is On the Aborigines of the Bimalaya.
" On the Ollēping and Küsünda Tribes of Nepal. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xvii, Part ii, 1848, pp. 650 and ff. Heprinted in Salactions, atc., No. xyvii, Pp. 150 and ff., and in Essays, etc., Part ii, pp. 45 and ff.
Robinson, $\bar{W} .,-N o t a s$ on the Languages spoken by the various tribes inhabiting the Vallay of Aseam and ita mountain confinus. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xviii, Part i, 1849, pp. 183 and ff.
Hodgson, B. H.,-On the Aborigines of North-Eastern India. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengsl, Vol. xviii, Part i, 1849, pp. 451 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. London, 1880, Vol. ii, Pp. 1 and ff.

Sifin and Hörsōk Vocabulariss, with another special exposition in the wide range of
" Mongolian Affinities and Remarks on the Linyual and Physical Characteristics of tho Family. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxii, 1853, pp. 21 and f. Reprinted in Selections, etc., No. xxvii, 1857, pp. 173 and ff., and in Essays, etc., 1874, Pert ii, pp. 65 and ff. The title of the reprint is On the Tribes of Northern Tibet and of Sifan.
Conningeam, A., Ladīk, physical, statistical, and historical, with notices of the surrounding courtries, Londou, 1854. Chapter 15 contains vocabalaries, Tibetan, etc.
Schiefner, A.,-Buddhistische Triglotte, d. h. Sanskrit-Tibetisch-Mongolisches Wörtorvorseiohniea, gedruckt mit den aus dem Nachlass des Barons Schilling von Oanstadt stammenden Holstafoln und mit einem kurzen Vorwort versehen. St. Petersbarg, 1859.
Mason, F. F.,-Burinah, its people and Natural Productions, or Notes on the Nations . . . of Tenasserim, Pegu, and Burmah. Second edition, Rangoon, 1860. Contains a Tibetan vocabulary on p. 126.
JaEsonee, H. A.,-Romanized Tibetan and English Dictionary, each word being reproduced in the Tibetan as well as in the Roman character. Kyeleng, 1866.
Adsten, H. H. Godwin,-A Vocabulary of English, Balli, and Kashmiri. Journal of the Asistio Society of Bengal, Vol. xxxv, Part i, 1866, pp. 233 and ff.
Beames, J.,-Oullines of Indian Philology with a map shewing the distribution of Indian languages. Calcutta, 1867. The Tibetan numerals are found in Appendix A, and the pronouns in Appeudix B.
Honter, W. W.,--A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
Dalton, Edward Toite,-Descriptive Ethnology of Bengal. Calcatta, 1872. Containg a Tibetan vocabalary.
Campbell, Sir George,-Specimens of Languages of India, including those of the aboriginal tribes of Bengal, the Contral Provinces, and the Eastern Frontiar. Caloatta, 1874, pp. 142 and ff.
Jaebcere, H. A.,-Handwörterbuch der Tibeiischer, Sprache. Gnadau, 1871.
A Tibetan-English Dictionary with special reference to the prevailing Dialects. To "which is added an English-Tibatan Vocabulary. London, 1881.
Gill, W.,-The River of Golden Sand, being the narrative of a journsy through Ohina and Eastern Tibet to Burmah. Oondensed by E. C. Baber. Edited with a memoir anai introduotory ossay by $\boldsymbol{H} . \mathbf{Y} u l e$, London, 1883. The introduction contains numerals in Tibetan, eto.
Needeam, J. F.,-A fow Dîgârô (Târoan), (Miju) (M'jı), and Tibetian Words collected during a trip to Rima and back in December 1885 and January 1886. [Shillong.]
Rumsisy, H.,-Weatern Tibet: a practical Diotionary of the Language and Custome of the Distriote inoluded in the Ladak Wazarat. Jahore, 1890.
.Staox, E.,-Some Tsunglu-Bhutanesg Sontences. Shillongi 1897.

Rgsthorn，Artion de，－On some Border Tribes of Eastern Tibat．Actes du x $x^{\circ}$ Congres International des Orientalistes，1894．Section vii，Leide 1897，pp． 49 and ff．

Vokabularfragmente ost－tibetischer Dialekte．Zeitschrift der Dentsohen Morgenländischen Gesellechaft，Vol，li，1897，pp． 524 and ff．
Dictionaire Thibétain－Latin－Fraņais，par les missionaires catholiques du Thibet．Hongkong， 1899.
Śarat Ceandra Das，－Tibetan－English Dictionary with Sanskrit Synonyms．Revisad and Edited $u_{n}$ der the orders of the Government of Bengal by Graham Sandberg and A．William Hayde． Calcutta， 1902.
$W_{\text {alse }}, \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{H}$ ．C．，－A Vocabulary of the Tromowa Dialect of Tibetan spoken in the Ohumbi Valley． Calcatta， 1905.

The Tibetan alphabet is usually stated to have been adopted from India by Thon－mi－ sam－bho－ta，minister of King Shrong－btsan－sgam－po，about Alphabet． the year 632．It is，however，possible that the art of writing was known in Tibet at an earlier period．Two distinct characters are in use，the so－called $u$－chän，written dbu－chan，head possessing，and the so－called u－med，written dbu－med， head－less．The former is always used in printing and is distinguished by the characteris－ tic top－line of North Indian alphabets．The latter is the current hand of every day＇s writing and the top－line is dispensed with．In this place we are only concerned with the $u$－chän character．It consists of the following signs ：－

| T | － | －1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ka | $k h a$ | $g a$ | $n g a$ |
| $\Sigma$ | б | E | 3 |
| cha | chha | ja | nya |
| 5 | 9 | 5 | す |
| $t a$ | tha | $d a$ | na |
| $\square$ | $\pm$ | $\square$ | む |
| $p a$ | ${ }^{\prime} p h a$ | $b a$ | $m a$ |
| ช＇ | お゙ | E |  |
| $\underline{t g} a$ | $\underline{t s h a}$ | $\underline{d z} a$ |  |
| \％ | 9 | 三 | $a$ |
| wa | $z h a$ | $z a$ | ＇a |
| ＊ | $\pm$ | al |  |
| $y a$ | ra | $l a$ |  |
| －9 | N | 5 | 14 |
| sha | sa | ha | $a$ |

It will be seen that the above table does not contain any signs for the cerebrals or for vowels other than a．Cerebrals are found in all Tibetan dialects as the result of the simplifying of certain compound consonants．Compare the remarks under the head of pronunciation below．In borrowed words the cerebrals are written by means of the inverted signs of the dentals．Thus， $\bar{\gamma} t a ; \vec{F} t h a ; \bar{\gamma} d a ; \bar{\beta}$ ra．

All vowels are short if not resulting from contractions；compare the remarks under the head of pronunciation．The vowel $a$ is inherent in every consonant，and it is not separately marsed．If other vowels are to follow a consonant，they are indicated by means of separate signs at the head or the foot of the consonant，viz．：－$e,{ }_{i}^{\sim},{ }_{i}^{\sim}$,


Initial vowels are indioated in the same way，the signs $q$＇$a$ and $\mathbb{N}\{a$ being used as the bases of the vowel signs；thus， $\bar{\chi}, ' o$ ；$\underset{\mathcal{W}}{ } \boldsymbol{i}$ ．The sign $\mathbb{W}$ denotes the opening of the previously closed throat for pronouncing a vowel with the slight explosive sound which the Arabs indicate by means of the Hamza．$q$ is the mere rowel without that
 word Lilian would be written लेనిR币．This difference is only observed in Eastern Tibet．In Western Tibet both $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are pronounced as $a$ ．

It has already been remarked that the vowel $a$ is inherent in all consonants．That is not，however，the case if the consonant closes a syllable beginning with a vowel or another consonant．It is therefore necessary to mark the end of each syllable．This is done by adding a dot at the right side of the upper end of the closing letter．This dot is


Two or more consonants are often combined without any intervening vowel．
The letter $y$ is subjoined to the letters $k, k h, g, p, p h, b$ ，and $m$ ．It is then expressed by means of the sign under the consonant．Thus $\mathbb{V}$ kya，运 khya，गgya，5 pya，


Consonantal compounds containing an $r$ are of two kinds，those in which $r$ follows， and those in which it precedes the other components． $\boldsymbol{R}$ occurs after gutturals，dentals， labials，$n, m, s$ ，and $h$ ，and it is then indicated by the sign $\jmath^{\text {at }}$ the bottom of the preced－ ing consonant．Thus，可 kra，Э bra，す nra，\＄mra， 5 hra ．

When $r$ is the first component of a consonantal compound，it is indicated by means of the sign ${ }^{\top}$ above the consonant．In this way it is written above $k, g, n g, t, d, m$ ， $b, m, \underline{t s}$ and $\underline{d z}$ ．Thus，而 $r k a$ ；$弓 r t a$ ； $\bar{z} r \underline{t s} a$ ．$R$ also occurs before $\xi$ nya．In that case it is written in full over the 3；thus，会 rnya．
$L$ occurs as the last component of compounds beginning with $k, g, b, z, r$, and $s$, and as the first component before mute gutturals，palatals，dentals and labials，$n g$ and $h$ ．The sign 8 is in such cases written under a preceding and over a following consonant．Thus， 점 $g l a$ ；젝 $s l a$ ；귝 lha．

The sign is sometimes found at the bottom of a letter．It originally represented the subscribed Sanskrit a va．In Tibetan words it is commonly a diacritioal sign used in order to distinguish homonymes；thus 末́ $\underline{t s} h a$, salt； $\mathscr{E}^{\underline{t}} \underline{s} h a$ ，hot．

The letters $g, d, b, m$ ，and＇$a$ often occur as the first component of compound cou－ sonants．They are then simply written before the other components；thus， $\begin{aligned} & \text { I／}\end{aligned}$


If a syllable beginning with suoh a compound ends with an $a$ ，the sign $q$ is added in order to avoid the mistake of pronouncing the last eomponent as the final consonant of the syllable．Thus， 5 N $\mathbb{R}^{\circ}$ dga，but $5 \mathbb{T}^{\circ}$ dag．

## The numeral signs are

| 2 | 3 | 3 | $\ddots$ | $V$ | $s$ | $v$ | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 |

The Tibetan vowels are, broadly speaking, short. In Western Tibet vowels are comparatively long when closing a syllable, but really long vowels only occur as the result of a contraction; thus, Central Tibetan lü̈, written aN las, work. In borrowed words long vowels occur and are indicated by an $R$ under the consonant; thus,

With regard to consonants, it should be noted that the hard unaspirated mutes are pronounced without any admixture of aspiration.

The corresponding soft consonants are prosounced in different ways. When final they are usually hardened. When initial they are pronounced like the corresponding English sounds. In the East, however, they are pronounced with a strong aspiration so that they are scarcely discernible from the corresponding lard sounds. Thus, gang, which? is pronounced ghang or even kang. 'Ihis tendency is traceable from Spiti eastwards. It will be seen in what follows that it goos hand in hand with the tone system. When the soft consonant is the second component of a consonantal compound, the dialects of Western and Eastern Tibet have developed in opposite directions. In the West, a prefix before a soft consonant tends to harden it. In the East, on the other hand, the soft sound is retained if it is preceded by one of the prefixes $s, r, d, g$, and $b$, while it is hardened after $m$ and ' $a$.

Compound consonants are treated in different ways in the different dialects. Those which end in a subscribed $y$ and $r$ are often retained, especially in the West. The $r$ which is added above other consonants is also dialectally pronounced.

Other compounds are generally simplified. Some of them are, however, still pronounced in the Khams dialect. The initial $q$ of compound consonants is often pro-
 commonly pronounced Kanjur. Both the component letters of the conjunct $d b$ are dropped in most dialects; thus, dbu, pronounced $u$, head.

Further details regarding Tibetan pronunciation will be mentioned under the head of the various sub-dialects.

It has already been remarked that Central Tibetan possesses a system of tones which does not exist in the West and in the East. The fundamental lines of this system were already discovered by Jaeschke. Ho distinguished between two tones, the high and the deep one. The latter, he stated, was found in words beginning with uncompounded soft consonants in the written language, the former in words beginning with soft consonauts preceded by a prefix or else beginning with hard oonsonants.

The Rev. Grabam Sandberg went farther and distinguished three tones, the highpitched, the medial, and the low resonant. 'The high-pitched tone,' he said, 'is rendered by an elevated treble or feminine style of voice, continuously sustained at one pitch; and the medial being scaroely lower, that must be the key in which the ordinary flow
of words ought to run, merely subduing the voice to the low resonant tone, which is guttural in oharacter, whenever a word or words proper to that tone are introduced.'

Still more details have been given by the Rev. E. Amundsen, who began his studies of Tibetan with an ear trained for the tone-system by his previous study of Chinese. He distinguishes six different tones, which number can, however, be reduced to four, as in two cases the difference depends only on the length of the tone, and not on its musical height. The Rev. A. H. Francke has shown that Mr. Amundsen's system bears a striking similarity to the system propounded by the ancient native grammarians. The six tones are described as follows:-

Tone 1. High pitched, often nasal, and short as if butted against something ;
Tone 2. High like tone 1, but long.
Tone 3. Medium pitch and short like tone 1.
Tone 4. Medium pitch and long.
Tone 5. Curved tone; deep but gradually raised to medium pitch, like saying 'two' in a surprised questioning tone.

Tone 6. Descending long tone.
With regard to the two main divisions of 'Tibetan tones, Professor Conrady's. investigations have shown that the state of affairs must be explained as follows. Intransitive bases originally, as a rule, commenced with soft consonants. Transitive bases were then formed from them by adding prefixes. Ihe soft consonant preceded by a prefix frequently developed into an aspirated hard consonant. 'The prefixes were originally independent syllables. In the course of time, however, they lost their character as such. At the same time the following base-word was pronounced in a high-pitched tone, while the old soft initials were combined with a low tone.

The hard initials must, as a rule, be considered as a secondary development from soft sounds preceded by prefixes. 'I'hey are sometimes in their turn preceded by new prefixes. They do not, however, change their tone on that account, and such hard sounds preceded by prefixes are apparently a comparatively late departure in the development of the Tibetan language.

Tibetan is a oomparatively well known language, and it is not necessary in this place to give a detailed account of its declension and con-
Inflexional system. jugation.
Several features of Tibetan grammar will be mentioned under the head of the various sub-dialects. In this place it will be sufficient to draw attention to some general features which characterize the classical language and run through all, or most, dialects.

Nouns.-Nouns are monosyllabic base-words, with or without prefixed consonants, or else they are followed by suffixes. The most common sulfixes are pu, ba, ma, po, bo, mo. $B a$ and bo are pronounced wa, wo respectively after vowels and after the consonants $n g, r$, and $l$. Po and $m o$ are sometimes distinguished by $p o$ being employed as the male and mo as the female suffix; thus olassical Tibetan rgyal-po, king; rgyal-mo, queen.

These sufixes give a distinct nominal character to a base. They are thus used to form verbal nouns and participles.
$P a, b a$, and $m a$ are used in a very wide way. $P a$ is often used like Hindōstāni wāal $\bar{a}$ in order to denote a person who is in some way connected with the thing denoted
by the base-word; thus, chhu-pa, water-man, water-oarrier; rta-pa, horse-man; Dbus-pa, a man from Dbus, i.e., the province of Ü. If a corresponding feminine is intended, $m a$ is added to, or substituted for, pa; thus, Dbus-ma, a woman from Ü.

The suffix po more especially denotes the performer of an action; thus, byed-po (or byed-pa-po), a doer. Colloquially it is frequently replaced by the suffix mkhan; thus, byed-mkhan, the doer.

Other suffixes which are used as mere formatives are $k a$, kha, and ga. I'bey are used after some few nouns, especially such as denote the seasons, and after some numerals and pronouns; thus, dgun-ka, winter; ston-kha, autumn, etc.

All these suffixes are dropped when the word containing them is combined with another word into a compound; thus, ston-mo, feast; but ming-ston, name-feast.

Gender.-There is no grammatical gender. The natural gender is distinguished by using different words, or by means of qualifying additions; thus, pha, father; ma, mother : bu, son; bu-mo, daughter : rgyal-po, king; rgyal-mo, queen.

Number.-Nouns do not change for number. If it is necessary to denote plurality, suffixes are added such as rnams, dag, tsho, etc. They are originally independent words denoting plurality.

Case.-Cases are formed by adding suffixes, which are the same in the singular and the plural. The oase suffixes to some extent differ in the different dialects.
'Ihe suffix of the genitive in the classical dialect is kyi after words ending in $d, b$, and $s ; g y i$ after those ending in $n, m, r$, and $l ; g i$ after such as end in $g$ and $n g$; and ' $i$ after vowels. The suffixes in use in the dialects can all be derived from these forms. It is apparently possible to define the original meaning of this suffix. It occurs in vulgar forms such as $h a-g y i, p h a-g y i$, that, yonder; ma-gi, the lower one, etc. In Chinese a genitive is formed by adding the suffix ch $\bar{\imath}$ : thus, thiēn ti ch $\bar{\imath}$ shing, heaven earth of nature, the nature of heaven and earth. The same suffix also forms adjectives and relative participles. Originally it is a demonstrative pronoun, or a pronoun of the third person. It is impossible not to compare with this the Tibetan genitive suffix, which in the Jad dialect is sometimes pronounced chi. The literal meaning of a phrase such as bka-blon-gyi lha-lcham-sku-gzhogs, the minister's wife, is then probably 'minister-that wife.' If this explanation is correct, the genitive is originally formed by adding a pronoun. The Chinese pronoun $c h \bar{\imath}$ is used as a pronoun of the third person in the dative and the accusative. We can accordingly compare its use as a genitive suffix with the German idiom 'dem Vater sein Haus,' to the father his house, the house of the father. The Tibetan idiom differs from the German in not using any possessive pronoun corresponding to the German ' sein', his. Even the genitive suffix is often dispensed with.

The Tibetan language does not possess anything corresponding to the Aryan cases of the nominative and the accusative. The subject and the object are sufficiently indicated by their position. There is, however, a tendency to use the dative as the case of the object. The dative is in all dialects formed by adding the suffix $l a . \quad L a$ is a postposition denoting the relation of space in the widest sense. It often takes the form of a in the west.

The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent. The Tibetan verh is properly a noun, and a sentence such as 'the man strikes his son' must be expressed
by 'the-man-by son striking.' The suffix of the agent is 8 , or, in Jad and Nyamkat, su. In most dialeots it is added to the genitive, in others directly to the base.

The dative suffix is often used also to denote the locative. There is in addition a locative suffix na, and by adding s to this sulfix an ablative sulfix nas is formed. This $s$ is probatly inentical with the suffix of the agent.

Tibetan further possesses a case denoting motion to or into. It is usually called the terminative, and it is formed by adding $r u$ or $r$ to bases ending in vowels; tu after $g$ and $b$, and, in certain words, after $d, r$, and $l$; sı after $s$; $d u$ after $n, r, l$, and the other consonants. In some dialects this case is only used in adverbs. In ordinary use it is commonly replaced by the dative.

Numerous other relations are indicated by adding postpositions to the base or to the genitive. The latter class are properly case forms of nouns.

Adjectives.-Adjectives do not differ in form from nouns. They follow the noun they qualify or, if they precede it, are put into the genitive case. Colloquially the genitive suffix is, however, often dispensed with, just as is the case with ordinary nouns.

Comparison is effected by adding a particle of comparison to the compared noun. In classical Tibetan bus, pas, and las are used in this way. Baltì has pä and Purik basang, i.e. perhaps bas yang; Ladakhī, Jaḍ and Spitī use sang, which is perhaps abbreviated from basang, while Kāgate has borrowed the Aryan bhandu from Nepal dialects. The particles ending in $s$ probably contain the same sutix as is used to form the ablative. The suffix sang is probably connected. A sentence such as rta-bas khyi chhung-bu yin, the dog is smaller than the horse, therefore literally means 'horsefrom dog small is.'

Numerals.-The numerals of the various sub-dialects will be found in the lists of words. Higher numbers are counted in tens as in Chinese. A smaller number before a ten, huudred, etc., denotes multiplication, while after them, it denotes addition, just as is the case in Chinese. Thus, bdun-chu, seven-ten, seventy; bchu-bdun, ten-seven, seventeen. Thampa is often added to the tens from ten to hundred; phrag to hundreds and thousands, and so forth. Thus, bchu and bchu tham-pa, ten.

Pronouns.-The common forms of the personal pronouns will be found under the head of the various dialects. In this place we shall only note that several respectful forms are in use in addition to the ordinary pronouns. Such forms are khyed, thou; nyid-r•ang, thou; khong, he, and so forth.

There are in all dialects two demonstrative pronouns corresponding to English 'this' and 'that,' respectively. In classical Tibetan they are 'ndi, this; de, that. In addition to them the colloquial dialects use various more specialized pronouns such as pha-gyi, yonder : ma-gi, that down there, etc.

There are no relative pronouns. Relative participles are used instead. Thus, instead of 'the man who sees' we say ' the seeing man.' Such indefinite relative clauses as are introduced in English by words such as 'he who,' ' whoever,' ' that which,' eto., can be translated by means of an interrogative pronoun in connexion with a participle.

Verbs.—The verb is the most interesting feature of libetan grammar. It is virtually a noun, and, accordingly, it does not vary for person and number. There is, it is true, in some dialects a tendency to distinguish the person of the subject by using
different suffixes, but their employment is irregular and inconsistent, and the tendeney can only be described as incipient.

The verb is a kind of noun. It does not, however, govern its sulject in the genitive, as in the case when an ordinary noun qualifies another noun. The subject of intransitive verbs does not take any distinguishing suffix; the subject of transitive verbs, on the other hand, is put in the case of the agent.

Though the verb is a noun, it is often rapable of denoting action with reference to a definite time. Many verbs have different bases in the present, in the past, in the future, and in the imperative. These different tense bases are formed, partly by adding prefires and suffixes or by changing the initial consonant, and partly by means of a change of the vowel of the base. This fact is of interest because it shows how a monosyllabic and isolating language sometimes presents characteristic features which look strikingly like a real inflexion. Thus, the present base gtong, gives, has a past base btang, a future base gtang, and an imperative base thong. Some verbs have four, some three, some two, and some only one base. The modern colloquials usually substitute the past base for all the rest.

It is impossible to give definite rules about the use of prefixes in the various tense bases. $B$ is the most common one. In the future it often interchanges with $g$ and $d$. The only suffix used in this way is $s$, which is often added in the past and imperative bases; thus, lta-ba, to see; past $l l t a s$, imperative ltos. When the vowel of the base is an $a$, it is changed to $o$ in the imperative, and often also to $e$ in the present; thus, 'agegs-pa, to stop, past blag, future dgag, imperative khog. This example also shows that the initial consonant can be hardened and accompanied by an aspiration.

The details concerning these different bases will be found in the usual dictionaries and grammars. In this place I only draw attention to their existence. It may also be noted that the tense bases were most probably originally general transitive or active bases without reference to a definite time. This conclusion seems to follow from the fact that no rule can be given for the use of definite prefixes in each tense. The same prefix may occur in any of them, and the various tense bases are occasionally used as verbal bases in all tenses. Moreover the same prefixes are commonly used to form ordinary causal and active verbs. Lastly, the common tendency of the colloquial dialects to substitute the past base for the rest cannot be considered as an instance of the decay of a more fully developed language, but is a simplification of the different parallel forms in actual use.

Such tense bases are formally nouns. Several suffixes can be added. The most common one is $p a$, or (after the final consonants $n g, r, l$, and vowels) $b a$. By means of this suffix a common verbal noun and participle can be formed; thus, lta-ba, to see; gtong-ba, giving ; btang-ba, having given, who has given.

Another common suffix is chas in Balti ; chā in Purik; chas, ches, che, in Ladakhi ; che in Lahoul ; ja in Kanawar; che and $z e$ in Kāgate; she in Sikkim and Tsang, etc. It is perhaps connected with the Chinese che, which forms verbal nouns and participles.

The usual case suffixes can be added to such nouns and also to the mere tense bases, and in this way various participles and verbal nouns are formed. Thus, ltas-pas, looking-in-the-past-by, when he looked; mthong-bar, seeing-to, in order to see; nam langs-nas, night rising-from, when the night had risen; 'agro-na, going-in, if (I) go; song-la,
going-in, having gone; nyal-ba-las, lying from, after having lain; dgos-kyis, necessaryby, because it is necessary.

Other suffixes of the same kind are mkhan, te, ching, gin, etc.
Mhhan is used to form a noun of agency and a participle; thus, dngul-btang-mkhangyi mi, money giving-of man, the man who gives the money.
$T e$ is used after the consonants $n, r, l$, and $s$. After $d$ it is replaced by $d e$, and after $g, n g, b, m$, and vowels by $s t e$. It is the usual suffix of the conjunctive participle; thus, btang-ste, having given.

Chineg is used after $g, d$, and $b$. After $s$ it takes the form shing, and in all other cases it is replaced by zhing. It is used to form a conjunctive participle which is usually introduced in smaller clauses within a large one; thus, mi dga-zhing khros-te, when, being displeased, be became angry.

Gin is used to form a present participle ; thus, nuthong-gin 'adug, (I) am seeing.
The tense bases mentioned above are used as finite tenses. The last verb of a sentence must, however, in most cases, take an additional mark which indicates that the action implied really takes place. If there be more than one verb in the sentence, only the last one takes this mark, the whole sentence being considered as one single unit of which the reality need not be asserted more than once. In the classical dialect this assertive particle is the vowel $o$, before which a final consonant is doubled. Thus the past base of lta-ba, to look, is bltas. In order to express the past tense, however, o must be added; thus, lltas-so, saw. In a similar way am is added, with the same doubling of the final consonant, in interrogative sentences if there is no interrogative pronoun or adverb; thus, mthong-ngam, do you see?

In the colloquial language this $o$ is commonly dropped. The same is also the case in the classical dialect when the principal verb is the verb substantive. It is therefore probable that $o$ is a form of the copula. The Western dialects have og instead of $o$.

The interrogative particle $a m$ is usually pronounced $a$. It is dropped in the latter member of a double question; thus, mthong-ngam mi mthong, do you see or not?

The tense bases with the addition of the particle $o$ are often used in order to denote the various tenses. There are, however, numerous periphrastic forms. Thus a present is formed by adding the verb substantive to the base with or without the suffix $p a, b a$, to the conjunctive participle, and to other participles.

A past is formed by adding suffixes such as song, went; byung, became; zin, tighar, finished, etc., to the base, or by adding the verb substantive to the base with the suffix $p a$ or to the conjunctive participle.

A future is formed by adding 'ong or yong, comes, to the base; or by adding rgyu-yin, matter-is, to the base ; or by adding 'agyur-ba, to become, to the terminative of the verbal noun ending in $p a$, and so forth.

Further details about such forms will be found under the head of the various dialects.
Causals.-It has already been remarked that intransitive bases as a rule begin with a soft consonant, if the initial sound is not a vowel. There are, it is true, several intransitives which begin with a hard or hard aspirated consonant. It seems, however, probable that such bases have originally had a transitive, or at least an active intransitive meaning. Compare English phrases such as 'he does go.' The hard consonants oan, on the whole, be considered as a later development from soft ones.

The regular method of forming transitives and causals is to prefix $s, g, d$, or $b$ to such bases. Instead of $s$ we also find $z$ and $r$. Thus, $g a b-p a$, to hide, to conceal one's self ; sgal-pa, to cover: gad-mo, laughter; rgod-pa, to laugh : degs-pa, to fear; gdeng-ba, to threaten : $g u g-p a$, bent; $d g u-b a$, to bend : $d u-b a$, smoke; $b d u g-p a$, to fumigate.

These prefixed consonants were originally separate syllables, and they still ocour as such in connected forms of speech. Compare Singpho si-lūm, to make warm, from lūm, warm ; Lushei $t i$-thi, to kill, from thi, to die, etc. The following base was pronounced with a strong stress, and in the course of time the prefixes lost their character of separate syllables and were reduced to prefixed consonants, which have, in their turn, been dropped in many Tibetan dialects. At the same time, these last-mentioned dialeots have usually introduced a higher tone in such words, so that the existence of these prefixes can still be ascertained by means of the tone.

Other causal verbs are formed by hardening the initial consonant of the intransitive base, with or without an aspiration. Thus, dul-ba, tame thul-ba, to tame; gab-pa, to hide; ( $b-$ ) $k a b$, covered. Such causal verbs are pronounced with the high tone, and there can be no doubt that they have originally developed from those formed by means of prefises.

In this connexion it may be noted that the transitive prefixes were probably once separate words which could be used as suffixes as well. Compare dro-s-pa, heated; skye-d-pa, to generate, from skye-ba, to be born, etc.

Negative verb.-The negative verb is formed by prefixing $m i$ in the present and future, and ma in the past and in the imperative. The negatives are often prefixed to auxiliaries and not to the principal verb.

Order of words.-The order of words is subject, object, verb.
Honorific language.-The preceding remarks draw attention to some of the principal grammatical features of Tibetan. There remains one difficulty, which is considerable to all who endeavour to learn the language. To quote Mr. Walsh, ' there are in Tibetan what are practically two distinct languages running side by side, and each in current and regular use. The Common, in which one addresses an inferior, and which the lower classes speak amongst themselves, and the Honorific, zhe-sa (rje-sa), in which any one addresses a superior, and in which the educated classes politely address one another. It is necessary to know both these, as in speaking of himself the speaker always uses the common form. It is not that the same word is emplored but has a different respectful form, such as occurs, for example, in the case of verbs in Urdū. In Tibetan an entirely different word is used, and this equally as regards nouns, verbs, and adjectives. Thus, if I say to an inferior, "you have a fine horse," I would say khyod-kyi rta yag-po (red), but to a superior or politely addressing an equal nyid-rang-gi chhibs-pa bzang-po (red), from which it will be seen that there is not a single word the same in the two sentences.
l give below one or two common words to show how complete the difference is :-

|  | Common. | Honnrific. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eye | mig | spyan |
| nose | sna | shangs |
| mnuth | kha | zhal |
| ear | rna or rat-chhog | snyan |

Similarly-

| to see | mthong-ba | gzigs- $\mu a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| to smell | snom-pa | shangs-snom-pa |
| to eat | $z a-b a$ | bzhes-pa |
| to hear | $g o-b a$ or thos-pa | gsan-pa |

From the examples given above it will be seen that, in respeot of the words used, the Common and Honorific are practically two languages.'

We shall now turn to the various Tibetan dialects, beginning with the westernmost one, that spoken in Baltistan.

## BALTI OR BHOṬIA OF BALTISTAN.

The province of Baltistan now forms part of the Kashmir State. It is included in the Ladakh Wazarat of the frontier districts. In old times it was an independent State. In 1841 it was conquered by Gulāb Singh, ruler of Jammu. About the same time the province of Purik was transferred from Ladakh to Baltistan.

Baltistan was already known to the Kashmir chronicler Śrivara under the name of Little Tibet, and that denomination has continued to be used down to the present day. It is the Lokh Buṭun of the modern Kāsmiris. It is identical with the Little Poliu of the Chinese Annals.

The inhabitants of Baltistan are Tibetans with a strong admixture of Dard blood. They have embraced Muhammadanism. The Kashmir chroniclers call them Bhauttas. Compare Tibetan bod-pa, a Tibetan. Their language is closely related to the Tibetan of Tibet proper. In some respects, however, it represents a more ancient stage of phonetic development. On the other hand, it is almost deroid of tones, and in this respect it agrees with the Tibeto-Burman languages of Assam and Burma as against the dialects of Central Tibet.

In such and in many other characteristics Balti agrees with the dialect spoken in Ladakh. The Tibetan dialect of the province of Purik forms a link between Balti and Ladakhī. It will be dealt with immediately after Balti.

In the report of the last Census of Kashmir the term Baltī apparently includes the languages spoken in Baltistan and Purik. In this Survey, however, it will be used to denote the dialect spoken in Baltistan proper, excluding the province of Purik to the west of the Suru River.

Balti is the prevailing language all over Baltistan. No local estimates of the number of speakers have been forwarded for the purposes of this Surver. At the last Census of 1901 Baltī was returned from the following districts :-


This total, however, also comprises the speakers of Purik in the Kashmir State. The total population of Baltistan was 134,372 .

## AUTHORITY-

Adbten, H. H. Godwin,-A Vocabulary of Englisti, Balti and Kashmiri. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxyv, Part i, 1866, pp. 233 and ff.
Balti has till now only been known through Mr. Godwin Austen's vocabulary. We do not know anything about the existence of local variations in the dialect. It is, however', probable that Baltī gradually merges into Purik and Ladakhī. The Gospels of St. Mathew and St. John, and also a treatise on the significance of the sacrifice, have been translated into the dialect by Mr. Gustafson, and printed in the Persian cbaracter at Lahore. Some old historical books in the Balti dialect are still in the possession of the Iresent Rajas. They are written in a peculiar character, which was perhaps invented at the time of the conversion of the Baltis to Muhammadanism about 1400 A.D. The
orthography of the Persian alphabet used by Mr. Gustafson in his translations is based on this old character. He has been good enough to send me a specimen in the old character, which it will be of interest to reproduce in this place.
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## TRANSLITERATION AND TRANSLATION.

| Chā | zernah, | khudā-si | khuri | bui-kha | chhes-luh | bya-khan | kun | mi | shi, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cha | cerna, | khudā-si | khurri | bui-kha | chhes-lukh | beya-khan | kun | mi | shi, |
| What | say-if, | God-ly | his | son-on | faith-sort | making | all | not | die, |

In the above the first line gives the literal transliteration, the second one the actual pronunciation, and the third the translation. The specimen, it will be seen, corresponds to the Gospel of St. John, iii, 16.

I am indebted to Mr. R. T. Clarke, I.C.S., for a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases in Balti. They have been revised by the Rev. A. H. Francke, and the notes on the dialect which follow are based on them.

Pronunciation.-The vowels of open syllables are mostly long, and those of closed syllables short; thus, $m \bar{\imath}, \operatorname{man} ; \min$, is not. The final $a$ of the article and of case suffixes is, however, short.

The Tibetan $\mathcal{R}$ ' $a$ has always been dropped or else replaced by $\mathcal{V}$. Both have been transliterated $\dot{a}$. In such conuexions as minduk, classical $m i$ ' $a d u g$; the ' $a$ is pronounced and transliterated as $n$.

The consonants are, broadly speaking, the same as in classical Tibetan. Soft consonants at the end of a syllable are always hardened; thus, chik, classical gchig, one ; rgyap-la, behind. The Tibetan $g$ often also becomes lch, i.e., the $c h$ in German 'ach' or in Scotch ' loch.' This is especially the case when $g$ is a prefix or is followed by another consonant. Thus, khser, classical gser, gold; ltokhs, classical ltogs-pa, hunger; ltàlulkh, classical lta-lugs, service; khlang, classical glang, bull, etc. The same sound also occurs in the borrowed word $\underline{K h} u d \bar{a}$, God.

A corresponding soft guttural aspirant $g \not / h$ occurs in words such as ghà, classical lnga, five; thagh-ring, classical thag-ring, far.

The consonant $r$ when prefixed to another consonant often becomes $s h$ or $s$; thus, sta or rsta, classical rta, horse.

Compound consonants, initial as well as final, which are so marked a characteristic of classical Tibetan, are also frequent in Balti. This latter dialect can even boast of some additional final compounds.

Suffixes.-In addition to the suffixes used in the declension and conjugation we may note chan, khan, and chas. Chan is used as in classical Tibetan to form possessive compounds; thus, nyes-pä-chan, sin having, a sinner; an-cluan, power having, mighty. Khan aud chas seem to be used in order to form participles and verbal nouns; thus, rgä-khan-kun, friends; nyam-pō-yot-khan chi, a servant; stor-khan-pō, lost; yot-chuskun, goods; gon-chas•kun, robes. Compare the Ladakhì suffixes khan and ches.

Tones.-Balti does not appear to possess a marked system of tones. In this respect it agrees with Purik and Ladakhi.

Articles.-There is no definite article. The numeral chik, one, is often used as an indefinite article. It is then frequently shortened to chi or $c h i$. Thus, mi chik, a man; yul chi-la, to a country.

Nouns.-Gender is only distinguished in the case of animate beings. It is denoted by using different words or by adding suffixes. Thus, $m \bar{i}$, man; bü-string, woman : Ł̌hlang, bull; lā, cow : khyī, dog; khyī-mō, bitch : ra-skyes, he-goat; rā, goat, female goat.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The plural is not marked when it appears from the context; thus, khō-la hlam skon, him-on shoes put; ng $\bar{\imath} a t \bar{a}-l a ~ n y a m p \bar{o} \cdot d u k-k h a n ~ m o t-p \bar{o} y o t, ~ m y ~ f a t h e r-t o ~ s e r v a n t ~ m a n y ~ a r e . ~$

The usual plural suffix is kun or gun, all, which is often abbreviated to ngun, un. Thus, bü-string-kun, women; khlang-gun, bulls; gon-cha8-kun, robes; atā-un, fathers; mī-un, men; khyī-un, dogs.

Another plural suffix is chōk; thus, yot-chas-kun-chōk, goods, all goods.
Case.-The nominative and the accusative are not distinguished by means of suffixes. The nominative is used as the subject of intransitive verbs. The subject of transitive verbs, on the other hand, is put in the case of the agent. The suffix of that case is $s$; thus, att $\bar{a}-s$, by the father.

The suffix of the dative is $l a$; thus, $a t \bar{a}-l a$, to a father ; at $\bar{a}-u n-l a$, to fathers. Instead of $l a$ we find $a$ in shiti-a, direction-to, to. The suffix $l a$ is used in the same wide sense as in classical Tibetan; thus, brok-la, on the mountain pasture; lam-thagh-ring yul chi$l a$, to a distant country. The dative is sometimes also used as an accusative; thus, ngas khōi phrī-la . . . t'angs, I have beaten his son.

The suffix la is, moreover, sometimes also used to denote the agent; thus, attä-la $k h u r \bar{i} p h r \bar{u}$ thong, the father saw his son.

The suffix of the ablative is $n \bar{a}$, corresponding to classical Tibetan nas. It is commonly used to form adverbs. Thus, thagh-ring-n $\bar{a}$, from a distance; de-kh $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, thereafter. A common postposition of the ablative in the case of rational beings is shiti-a-na , from the direction of. It is usually combined with the genitive. Thus, at $\bar{a} c h i g \cdot g \bar{\imath}$ shiti-a-n $\bar{a}$, from a father:

The genitive is formed by adding the suffix $\bar{\imath}$, which supersedes a final $\vec{a}$. Thus, $y u l-\bar{i}$, of a country; att- $\bar{i}$, of a father ( $a t t \bar{a}$ ). Note forms such as chig-g $\bar{\imath}$, of one, from $c h i k$, one, where the final $g$ has not been changed to $k$.

There are some few traces left of the old terminative; thus, ok-tu, under; thur-u, down; dun-u, dun-uk, before; ya-r, up.

The vocative is indicated by prefixing the interjection lē ; thus, lēeattā, O father.
Adjectives.-Adjectives do not differ from nouns in form. They usually precede, but sometimes also follow, the noun they qualify; thus, mot-póonamze, much time; nyampō-duk-khan mot-p $\bar{o}$, many servants. The particle of comparison is batsek; thus,
 tall-is, his brother is taller than his sister. Adjectives are often qualified by adverbs such as mä, very ; mang-mē, very much, and so forth.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. They follow the word they qualify, and postpositions are accordingly added to them and not to the qualified noun; thus, $m \bar{i} c h i k-l a$, man one-to.

Generic suffixes are used in two instances. Thus, sorup chik-s $\bar{a}$, ring one-piece, a ring; ra-bak-chi chik-sā, goat-young-one one-piece, a kid.
' A half' is phet; thus, dabal nyis nang phet, two rupees and a half.
Pronouns.-The personal pronouns which occur in the texts are as follows :-

|  | I. | We. | Thon. | You. | He, she, it. | Ther. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | $n g \bar{a}, n g \bar{a}-a n g$ | ngayā, ngan-tano | khiang. respectfnl yang. | khyetang; khyen tang, respectful yetang. | $\underline{k} h \overline{0}$. | khong, khötang. |
| Gen. | ngi, ngarri | ngai | khyer-ri, yar-ri. | khyen $\cdot$ ti | $\begin{aligned} & k h \overline{0}-i, \quad k h u r-r i, \\ & y e r-r i . \end{aligned}$ | khong-ngi. |

N gay $\vec{a}$, we, excludes, and ngan-tang includes the person addressed. Yang is used as an honorific form and perhaps also as a plural. It apparently corresponds to classical Tibetan nyid-rang, while khiang corresponds to khyed-rang, thyself, and so forth.

Other forms are regular ; thus, ng $\bar{a}-a n g-l a$ and $n g \bar{a}-l a$, to me; khō, him ; khōe-n $\bar{\alpha}$, him from, and so forth.

Demonstrative pronouns are $d \bar{i}, d i \bar{u}, d \bar{o}$, this; $d \bar{o}-n \bar{u}$, from this; $d \bar{e}$, that ; $d \bar{e}-v i$, $d \bar{e}-l i$, of that ; dē-leang-n $\bar{a}$, from them. An isolated form is $y \bar{a}$, this, that. Compare Ladakhì $\bar{a}$, that.

Interrogative pronouns are $s \bar{u}$, who? $c h \bar{i}$, what? $\underline{\text { ts }}$ am, $\underline{t s a m-t s} \bar{e}$, how much ? how many?

Indefinite pronouns are sūsē, anyone, lit. whosoever; chang, anything.
There are no relative pronouns. Relative participles are used instead. They precede the qualified noun in the genitive; thus, ngà-ang-la ong-ma-yot-p $\bar{\imath} p \bar{o}$, me-to coming-of share, the share that falleth to me; ng $\bar{a}-l a y o t-p \bar{\imath} y o t-c h a s-k u n$, me-to being-of goods, the goods that are mine.

Verbs.-The conjugation of verbs is, broadly speaking, effected in the same way as in classical Tibetan. The materials available are not sufficient to allow us to judge about the use of the various bases of verbs. It is probable that the past base is commonly used in all tenses, just as is the case in Ladakhi. The imperative is, however, often formed from a separate base.

There is apparently an incipient tendency to distinguish the person of the subject by means of suffixes added to the verb.

The verb substantive is formed from the bases $i n$, yot, and $d u k$. It is freely used in the formation of the finite tenses of other verbs.

Present.-The base of the present tense is identical with the root of the verb. The mere present base does not, however, occur in the materials available in other verbs than the verb substantive $y o t$, am, art, etc. The usual present tense of finite verbs is a compound form. It is effected by adding suffixes to the present base. The common suffixes are $n u k, e l$, and $a t$, all various forms of the copula. Thus, $t^{e}$ ang-nuk, I, or we, strike; shit, i.e., shi-et, I die; zer-et, he says; ong-at, he comes.

A present definite is formed by adding yot to the participle ending in in; thus, tghō-in-yot, he is grazing.

Past time.-The usual base of the past tense is formed by arlding $s$ to the present base. Thus, zer-s, said; ong-s, came; $t^{*} a n y-s$, struck. By adding the copula et or at to the past hase a compound past is cffected, which usually has the meaning of a perfect. Thus, song-s-et, went; ngas $l^{e} y a-s-c t$, I have done; thobs-et, is found; khsons-et, has become alive. A kind of perfect is also effected by adding yot to the conjunctive participle ending in sé ; thus, duk-sé yot, having sat down is, has sat down, is sitting.

The participle ending in $p a, b a$, is commonly used as a past tense of auxiliary verbs. Thus, yot-pa, was; in-pa, was; met-pa, was not.

The suffix $p a$ is also added to the form ending in set or to the present; thus, $t^{\prime}$ ung-s-et-ja, was striking; song-s-ct-pa, have walked; skang-at-pa, flled, was filling.

A past tense can also be formed by adding song or songs, went, to the infinitive. Thus, bakhston-b'ya-song, is married, lit. marriage to make went.

Future.-The termination of the future tense is $u k$. Thus, $t^{c} a n g-u k$, I shall strike; $g i k$, I shall go ; zer-uk, I shall say.

Imperative. - Some verbs have a separate imperative base formed by changing the vowel $a$ of the present base to $o$; thus, $t^{e} o n y$, strike, $t^{e} a n g-m \bar{o}$, to strike; $z \bar{o}$, eat, base $z \bar{a}$.

In other verbs the present base, with or without the addition shik, is used as an imperative. Thus, skon, put on ; len, take; za-shik, eat; $b^{e} y a s-s h i k$, make. Shik literally means ' once,' ' one time.' Compare the German idiom 'sieh mal.'

Verbal nouns.-The usual suffixes of verbal nouns are $p \bar{o}, b \bar{o}, m \bar{o}, p a, b a$, and $l a$; thus, yot-pō, to be; zej-bō, to say; t $t^{e} a n g-m \bar{o}$, to strike; tg $h \bar{o}-l a$, to feed. The suffix chas in gon-chas, cloth, has already been mentioned. Compare olassical gon-pa.

Participles.-The suffixes pa and ma form relative and adverbial participles; thus, shī-s-pa, dead; ong-ma-yot-pa, coming-being, which will come. Compare the instances quoted under the head of relative pronouns. The suffixes chas and khan have already been mentioned above.

Conjunctive participles are formed by adding the suffix $\bar{e}$ to the present or past base. 'Thus, zer-rē, saying; khur-rē, taking; ong-s-é, haring come; khyong-s-è, having brought; beya-s-e, doing.

Other participles and verbal nouns are formed by adding the ordinary case sultixes.
The ablative suffix $n \bar{a}$ is added to the conjunctive participle ending in se and to the participle ending in $m \bar{u}$. Thus, song-s-ē-nä, having gone.

The locative suffix $i n$ is added to the present base; thus, $\underline{s} h \bar{o}-i n$, grazing; ltolihs-in, hungry.

The dative suffix $l a$ is used to form an infinitive of purpose; thus, $b^{e} y \bar{a}-l a$, in order to make.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. Passivity is sufficiently indicated by the absence of the case of the agent in the subject. Thus, thop-pa song-s-et, to be found went, he is found ; ngá-ang t'ang-ma song-s-et, me striking went, I am struok; ngá-ang $t^{\prime}$ ang-ma gik, me striking will-go, I shall be struck.

Causative.-There is one single instance of the classical causative formed by prefixing an $s, v i z ., s$-kon, make him put on, dress. Compare gon-chas, robe.

Negative verb.-The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, mä-rgal-ba, did not pass; met, no; men, am not. The form met is usually added to the participle in pa or ma in order to form a compound negative. Thus, min-pa-met, min-ma met-pa, did not give. There are no instances in the texts of a negative imperative.

Interrogative particle.-The formation of interrogative sentences is the same as in the Ladakhi dialect.

Order of words. -The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. The object may precede the subject when it is followed by the suffix la. The genitive precedes the governing word. Adjectives and pronouns usually precede the noun they qualify, while numerals follow it. Adverbs are put immediately before the verb, or at the beginning of the sentence.
[No. I.]

## tibeto-burman Family. Tibeto-Himalayan Grour.

TIBETAN.

Baliti Dialect.
(Baltistan.)

( R. T. Clarke, Esq., I.C.s., and Rev. A. H. Arancke.)

Mī chik-la phrũ nyīs yot-pa. Dē-beáng-nā tenun-teē-vō-s atã-la zers, Man one-to children two were. Them-from younger-by father-to said, 'lē atā, ngā-ang-la ong-ma-yot-pī pō min.' Atā-s khur-ri ' $O$ father, me-even-to coming-being-of share give.' Father-by his yot-chas-kun khong-la rgos. Mot-pó namze-ik mã-rgalba, taun-ţē phrū-vō-s possessions them-to divided. Much time-a not-passed, little child-by kluur-ri yot-chas-kun khur-rè, lam thagh-ring yul chi-la bēs his possessions carrying, way far country one-to journey $b^{e}$ yas. Yang khur-ri yot-pī yot-chas-kun chök tshan-nu-na zhargading zadpa made. And his being-of possessions all night-in enjoying end $b^{e}$ yas. Khur-ri yot-chas-kun chhams-pī zhuk-la, yā anchan zanushkan chi made. His possessions finishing-of after, there mighty famine one song. Khō shagargō song-s. Khō yà yul-li phyuk-pō shiti-a went. He needy became. He that country-of rich-man before nyampo-yot-khan-la duk-s. Dē phyuk-pō-s khō khur-ri phak-kun tshō-a servant-like lived. That rich-man-by him lis swine feed-to tshas-si-khā yakh-s. Dē-khā-nā that-kyi-khā khoskhating-ngi-khā sō-sē, ltō-a field-of-on sent. There-after gladly husks-of-on living, belly skang-at-pa, phag-na tshoghs bya-sē, yang sū-si khō-la chang filled, swine-with like done-having, and anyoone-by him-to anything min-ma-met-pa. Dē-khā-nā khō-la shang ong-sē, zer-s, 'ngi atā-la giving-not-was. There-after him-to sense come-having, said, 'my father-to nyampō-duk-khan mot-pō yot; khong-is zō-sē drang-sē servants many are; them-by eaten-having filled-being lus-et. Ngā-ang ltoghs-in shiit. Ngä-ang ati shiti-a song-sé, is-spared. I-even hungering die. I-even father-of before gone-having, zer-uk, "lē atā, yar-ri phyoks nang ldan-chuk-khan-ni shiti-i ngā-ang say-shall, " $O$ father, thy direction and Creator-of before I-even nyes-pa-chan song-s-et. Yar-ri phrug-gi phrō-la yak-pō ngā-ang byurmō sinner became(went). Thy children-of company-to place-to I-even worthy
yot-khan chi men. Ngā-ang yar-ri nyampō-yot-khan-kun-nang drē-sē yok.", being one not-am. Me-even thy servants-all-with mixed-having place."' Dē-i zhuk-tu khur-ri ati shiti-a ongs. Thagh-ring-nā This-of after his father-of before came. Distance-from atā-la khur-ri phrū thong, atā-la gyot-lukh ongs; bgyug-gin father-to his child saw, father-to compassion came; running song-sē-nā, phrū brang-barla slam-s; yang bā b'yas. Phiū-si gone-having, child breast-between collected; and kiss made. Child-by
atā-la zer-s, 'lē atā, ngā-ang yar-ri shiti nang ldan-chuk-khan-ni father-to said, 'O father, I-even the-of before and Creator-of shiti-a nyes-pa byas-et; yar-ri phrū in zer-bō, ngä-ang byurmō men.' before sin did; thy child am to-say, 1-even worthy not-am.' Dē-khä-nā atā-s nyampō-yot-khan-kun-la zer-s, 'mā lagbs-mō That-after father-by servant-all-to said, 'very good gonchas-shik khyong-sē-nā, khū-la skon; yang sor-up chik-sā khōi robe-one brought-having, him-to put; and ring one-piece his phranzuk-la bor; hlam khō-la skon.' Yang atā-s zers, 'zā-shik, finger-on place; shoe him-to put.' And father-by said, 'eat, thung-shik, that-khā beyas-shik; ngī shīs-pi bū khson-s-et; stor-khan-pō drink, merry make; my dead son alive-became; the-lost-one thop-s-et.' Khong that-khā beyā yakh-s. found-is.' They merry to-make began.

Khō-i tshar-mō phō-nō taps-si-khā yot-pa. Khō ong-sē, nang-a His elder brother field-of-on was. He come-having, house-to shiti-a thon-ma-nā, rtshes nang harib-bi skat khō-lā kō. before reaching-after, dance and clarinet-of sound him-to understood. Shiti-a duk-khan-kun-ni-nā chik-la, 'ong,' zer-rè khyong-sē, tris, 'diu Before being-all-of-from one-to, 'come,' saying brought-ñaing, asked, 'this chī rgā-mō in?' Shiti-a-duk-khan-bō-s khō-la zers, 'yar-ri what joy is?' Before-being-by him-to said, 'your' phö-nō lokh-sē thon-pī gron beyas, atā-s chī brother returned-having arriving-of feast made, father-by what zer-ba-na, khō loщh-sē rdong-ngō-na thon-pī phari.' Khō-la saying-if, he returned-having safety-in arriving-of for.' Him-to phuk-sē-nā, nang-ljongs mā zhuk-s. Dī-u phari khōi atā phirol anger-having-come-after, inside not entered. This for his father outside
byung-sē, khō-la jū-phul beyas. Khō-si tam-lan atã-la lzolch-s, 'ngā-ang appeared-having, him-to entreaty made. Him-by answer' father-to returned, 'I-even dī-tehē mot-pō lūe yang-la lta-lukb beyas. Ngā-ang nam-sang yar-ri hukum these many years you-to service made. I-even never your order
chaks-pa-met; nga-ri rgā-khan-kun-nang drē-sē, rgā-mō byā-la, ngā-ang-la broken-not-have; my friends-with mixing, joy making-for, me-even-to rabak chi chik-sā min-pa-met. Dō-in-na-sē dō-sē khyer-ri bū-la kid one one-piece gavest-not. But now your son-to
 feast madest; that son-by being-of property-all dancers-with chham-chuks.' Atā-s bū-la zers, 'lē bū, ngā-la yot-pi yot-chas-kun finish-caused.' Father-by son-to said, 'O son, me-to being-of property-all khyer-ri in, rgā-mō byā-yot-pō byur-mö in-pa; chā-zerba-na, khyer-ri shīs-pi thine is, joy to-be-made proper is; what-say-if, thy dead phō-nō yang khson-s-et; stor-khan-pō, thop-pa song-s-et.' brother again alive-became; the-lost-one, found became.'

## PURIK.

The province of Purik formerly belonged to Ladakh, but was transferred to Baltistan after the Dogra war, 1831-42. According to the conceptions of the Ladakhis it extends from the Zoji pass to Bod-Khorba. The dialect called Purik is spoken from Mulbe to Dras.

It has not been described by any authority, and no estimates of the number of speakers are available. At the last Census of 1901, Purik was included under the head of Balti.

Purik is closely connected with Baltī and Ladakhī, and it can best be described as the connecting link between the two.

Pronunciation.-Final $a$ is long if it occurs in the base of a word, and short if it occurs in a termination; thus, $m \bar{a}$, mother; la, to. The ablative termination $n \bar{a}$ seems to have a long $\bar{a}$. This $\bar{a}$ has been derived from an old as.
$\boldsymbol{R}$ as a prefix is pronounced as in Ladakhi, with a guttural sound. Also the other $r$ corresponds to Ladakhi $r$.

Final $g s$ and $k s$ are liable to be pronounced $g h$ or $k h$, respectively. A similar sound can be observed in Ladakhi. Thus the word Ladvags is often pronounced Jadalch, and this pronunciation gave rise to the spelling Ladakh. Tones do not play any role in the dialect, though they are probably used to a certain degree.

Prefixes and Suffixes.-Prefixes are mainly pronounced in the same way as in Balti and Ladakhi. $R, l$, and $s$ prefixes are distinctly pronounced ; $g, l$, and $d$ prefixes are often pronounced as $r$ or $s$; thus, rgyab, behind; ltova, belly; skad, language; rches$p a$, classical gches-pa, dear.

The prefix $a$ is used in nouns of relationship as in Balti and Ladakhī. Thus, $a-t \bar{a}$, father ; $a-m a \dot{a}$, mother ; $a-c h \bar{e}$, elder sister ; $a-n \bar{e}$, wife.

The suffix khan is used as in Baltī and Ladakbì. Thus, ltsang-khan, beggar ; yong. khan, coming, etc.

Article.-There is no real definite article. The suffix pō or $p a$ is used as a kind of article, as is also the case in Balti and Ladakhī; thus, nor-pó, property, substance; $p h y u g-p \bar{o}$, rich man; serdup-po, ring. In all these cases the $p \bar{o}$ corresponds to the emphatic article of Ladakhi. Compare the remarks under the head of verbal noun, below.

The numeral chik, one, is used as an indeinite article. Thus, $m \bar{\imath} c h i k-l a$, to a man; yul chig-a, to a country. It occasionally takes the form chi. Thus, ngari yong-khan-chi, my coming, my share.

Nouns.-'Ihere is no grammatical gender. The natural gender is distinguished by using separate words or by adding suffixes such as $p h \bar{o}$ and $p \bar{o}$, male; mō, female. Thus, $k h y \bar{\imath}, \operatorname{dog} ; l c h y \bar{\imath}-m \bar{o}$, bitch : b'y $\bar{a}-p h \bar{o}$, cock ; $b^{e} y \bar{a}-m \bar{o}$, hen.

Number.-The usual plural suftix is gun as in Baltī and Ladakhī ; thus, dugs-mīgun, servants. It often occurs in the form un. Thus, stā-un, horses; dugs-mì-un, servants. Tshang-ku, all, is also used as a plural suffix.

Case.--I'le various cases are formed in the same way as in Balti and Ladakhi.
The nominative and the accusative do not take any suffix. The nominative is used as the case of the subject with intransitive verbs. Thus, mi chilk-la $b \bar{u}-\underline{-} \underline{s} \bar{a} n y \bar{i} s$ yot-pin, mall one-to two sons were. The subject of a transitive verb is usually put in the casc of the agent. This latter case is formed by adding is or, after vowels, 8 . Thus, att $\bar{u}-s$ gron l'yā-s, the father made a feast; khō-s zer-s, he said.

The sutix of the dative is la. Thus, mi chik-la, to a man. It is often also ased to denote the object, as is also the case in Ladakhi; thus, kho-la rdungs, beat him.

The dative suffix often takes the form $a$, as is slso the case in Ladakbi. Thus, $b a ̈ \underline{t g} h a ̈-v a$, to a king; nang-a, inside.

The suffix of the ablative is $n \bar{a}$; thus, atà ohik-n $\bar{a}$, from a father. Nē, which also occurs, seems to be a loan from Ladakhi; thus, $\mathbf{i} \bar{u}-i-k h \bar{u}-n \bar{e}$, from above whom, from whom?

The suffix of the genitive is $i$; thus, at-i, i.e. at $\bar{a}-i$, of a father.
The suffix of the locative is na and perbaps sometimes nang; thus, rzhung-na, inside. The dative is often used instead; thus, khang-ma-a, in the house.

There are only a few traces of the terminative. Thus, dē-r, there. In most cases the dative is used instead, as is also the case in Ladakhi.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are dun-la, shi-ti-a, before; rgyab-na, behind; khā, on; khä-nē, from; par-la, from; phi-a, for sake; nyam$p \overline{0}$, with.

Adjectives.-Adjectives are formed as in Ladakhi and Balti. The suffixes khan and chan form possessive adjectives. Thus, ltgang-khan, beggar ; rin-chan, value-possessing, dear. Tho suffixes $p a, b a, p \bar{o}$, and $m \bar{o}$ are used as in Ladakhī and other connected forms of speech. Thus, bar-pa, middle; rgyal-ba, good; chhō-pō, great; loagh-mó, good.

The adjective precedes the qualified noun in the nominative; thus, $\underline{t s} u n-\underline{t_{s}} \bar{e} b \bar{u}-\underline{t_{g}} \bar{a}$, the little son ; lar-pō stā, the white horse. Mang-mó, much, many, sometimes precedes and sometimes follows the noun it qualifies. 'Thus, mang-mō ch $\bar{a}$, much anger; zhak mang-mō, many days.
$M \bar{a}$, much, and man-na, if it is not, are often prefixed in order to form an absolute comparative and superlative. Thus, mānor-oे, better; man-na nor-ö, better, best.

The particle of comparison is basang, i.e. perhaps bas-yang, from also; compare Ladakhī sang. Thus, khuri phō-nō khuri $\bar{a}$-chē basang thon-mō duk, his younger brother is taller than his sister.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. They follow the word they qualify. There are no traees of generic particles. Note phet-ang sum, two and a half, as in Ladakhī.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

| $n g \bar{a}, n g \bar{a}-r^{\prime} a n g, 1$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { khyod, khye-rang, ye- } \\ & \text { rang, ya-rang } \\ & (=-n y \text { idrang }) \text {, thou. } \end{aligned}$ | $k h \bar{o}, k h \bar{o}-r a n g$, he. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { nga-s, nga-res (=nga- } \\ \text { rang-is), by me. } \end{gathered}$ | khye-ri-s, khye-rangi.9, ya-rang-is, by thee. | $k h \bar{o}-s, \quad$ lhō-r ${ }^{\prime}$ ang-is, khur-is, by him. |
| $n g \bar{a}-l a, n g \bar{a}-r a n g-l a$, to me. | khyod-la, khyed-la, khye-rang-la, ya-rang-la, to thee. | khō-la, lehō-rang-la, to him. |
| $\begin{gathered} n g \bar{i}, \quad n g a-r i \quad(=n g a- \\ \text { rang- }), \mathrm{my} . \end{gathered}$ | khye-ri, khye-rang-i, $y a r r i$, thy. | $k h o ̄-i, \quad k h o ̄-r a n g-i$, khur $-i$, lis. |
| $n g a-c h \bar{a}, I$ and they, nga-tang, I and you. | khye-chā, khyen-tang, you. | khō-tang, khong, they. |
| nga-cha-s, nga-tang-is, by us. | khye-cha-s, khypn-tang-is, khyen-ti-s, by you. | khon-tang-is, khong$i s$, by them. |
| $\begin{gathered} n g a-c h \bar{i}(=n g a-c h a g-g i), \\ n g i-t i(=n g e d-k y i), \end{gathered}$ our. | khye-che, khyen-tang$i$, khyen-ti, your. | khon-tang-i, khon-t-i, their. |

$N g \bar{a}$ and $n g \bar{a}-r a n g$, I, are apparently used without any difference. The final rang in $n g \bar{a}-r a n g$, lhye-rang, khō-rang, means 'self.' It is used alone in ngari atē bū-ţars rang-i ächë-nang bag-ston b'ya-s, my uncle's son-by his own sister-with wedding made.

Demonstrative pronouns are $d \bar{i}, d \bar{o}, d \bar{u}, d \bar{i}-u$, this ; $\bar{e}, d \bar{e}$, that. A plural form is apparently dē-rayang, they.

Interrogative pronouns are $s \bar{u}$, who $P \quad g \bar{a}$, which $? ~ c h \bar{i}$, what $?$ chī-la, why? $\underline{t_{s}} a m$, tgamtsē, how many?

Indefinite pronouns are formed from the same bases; thus, sū-ang, anyone; chang, anything.

There are no relative pronouns. The interrogative pronouns are sometimes used instead, in connexion with participles. Thus, yetb-la chī yot-pa, country-in what being, all that is in the country; $g \bar{a} b \bar{u}-\underline{t} \underline{s} h \bar{a}$ rgyal-ba song-na, which son good going-if, the son who is going to turn out well; gron $s \bar{u}-i l^{\prime} a g h-m \bar{o}$ chhā-na, feast whose good going, he whose feast is becoming good ; nga-ri chi$y o t-k h a n-p \bar{o}$, my what being, all that is mine. The suffix na added in some of these examples corresponds to the na which is used in relative clauses in Ladakhī.

In nga-ri yong-khan-chi, mine is coming what, what is to be my share, chi is probably the indefinite article.

Verbs.-The verb substantive is formed from the bases in, yot, and duk. All those bases are also used as auxiliary verbs.

The base alone is sometimes used as a present; thus, in, am, art, is, and so forth; $y o t$, is ; son, he is alive. Usually, however, et or duh is added; thus, chhēt, i.e. chh $\bar{\alpha}-e t$, I go ; rdung-duk, I strike.

A compound present is formed by adding $d u l$ to the participle in in; thus, dug-gin$d u k$, he lives; chhe-n-duk ( $=c h \bar{h} \bar{a}-i n-d u k$ ), he goes.

The past base is formed by adding $s$; thus, beya-s, did; rdung-s, struck. The vowel $a$ of the base is changed to $o$ in $\boldsymbol{z o - s}$, ate, base $\boldsymbol{z a}$.

A compound past tense is formed by adding the verb substantive to the past base. Thus, yong-s-et, has come

The present is sometimes also used to denote the past especially with intransitive verbs, as is also the case in Ladakhi ; thus, thop, he is found.

A compound past is also formed by means of the suffix pa. It is by origin a participle, and the verb substantive can therefore be added. Thus, yot-pa, was; yot-pin, was; zer-et-pa, he said; zē-et-pa, he ate ; rgos-uk-pa, it was necessary; shī-s-pa, he had died ; rdung-s-et-pa, I had struck; rdung-duk-s-pa, I was striking.

The suffix $m a$ is used instead of $p a$ in tang-ma met-pa, did not give.
Forms such as shìsé yot-pa, having died was, he had died, of course also occur.
The future is formed by adding $u k$, or, after nasals, also nuk. Thus, zer-uk, I shall say; tang-nuk, I shall give.

The imperative is often the mere present or past base. Thus, $d u k$, be ; rdung-s, strike. As in Ladakhi an $o$ is substituted for the $a$ of the base; thus, zö, eat; tong, give; longs, get up.

The suffixes $s h i k$ and ang are sometimes added; thus, $s d^{d} y a k$-shik, prepare.
Verbal nouns.-The tense bases, with or without the suffixes $p a, c h \bar{a}$ and $c h a s$, are used as verbal nouns. Thus, yot-pa, to be ; zer-ba, to say; rdung-chas, to strike; compare also ltanmō, a spectacle.

Participles.-The verbal nouns are also used as participles. Thus, reches-pa, beloved; tang-ma met-pa, giving was not, he did not give.

A suffix khan is used to form present and past partioiples. Thus, yong-khan, ooming ; lt_tang-khan, begging, beggar; yongs-khan-pō, come-having-the, he who oame.

Adverbial and conjunotive participles are formed by adding the suffixes $s \bar{e}$ and $t \bar{e}$; thus, $8 h \bar{i}-s \bar{e}$, dying ; that-te, gladly. $E$ is sometimes used in the same way. Thus, zer-rée, saying. Such forms are ocoasionally also used as verbal nouns. Thus, zer-rē-nā, saying from, having said; logh-s-è-n $\bar{a}$, returned-having-from, having returned. On the other hand, the verbal noun can also be used as a conjunctive participle. Thus, drang-8-pa, having filled; mäzer-ba, not saying.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. Passivity is sufficiently indicated by the absence of the suffix of the agent. Thus, stor-seet-pa, he was lost.

Causatives are formed by means of the prefix s. Thus, 8 -kon, put on. As in Ladakhī it is, however, more common to add the auxiliary chhuk-chas; thus, khö yongchhuk, make him come.

The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$. Thus, $m \bar{a}$ song, he did not go; $m \bar{a} z e r-8$, he did not say. As in Ladakhī, $m \bar{u}$ is probably used instead in the present and future tenses. Compound negative tenses are formed by adding met and man; thus, tang-ma met-pa, giving was-not, did not give.

The interrogative particle is $\bar{a}$ as in Ladakhī ; thus, khō yong-ed-d $\bar{a}$, does he come?
Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, indirect object, direct object, verb. In di $\bar{\imath} u m u l-p \bar{o} k h \bar{o}-l a t o n g$, this rupee him-to give, the direct object is put before the indirect one for the purpose of emphasizing it.

For further details the specimens which follow should be consulted. The first is a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son, and the second a popular tale. A list of Standard Words and Phrases will be found on pp. 140 and ff. I owe the specimens to the kindness of the Rev. A. .I. Francke.
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## tibeto-burmán family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

TIBETAN.
Perik Dialegt.

## Specimen I.

(Rev. A. H. Francke, 1906.)
(Purik.)
Mi chig-la bū-tshā nyis yot-pin. Dē-rayang-nā tsuntsē-s atā-la zer-s, Man one-to sons two were. Them-from the-little-by father-to said, 'lē atā, nga-ri yong-khan-chī nga-rang-la tong.' Dē-kha-na khō-s nor 'O father, my coming me-to give.' Thereafter him-by riches sgō-sē tang-s. Yang zhak mang-mō mā song-sē, dē rgyab-na divided-having gave. And days many not going, that after tguntsees sak mal-chik beyas-sē yang thagh-ring yul chig-a drul-s; little-by all place-one done-having and far. country one-to went; yang dē-khā hleb-sē khō-s khuri nor-gun zō-stē and there arrived-having him-by his riches-all eaten-having skyal-s. Sak tsar-ba-na dē yul-nang mang-mō zan-skon song. wasted. All finishing-from that country-in much food-dearth went. Khō-rang ltsang-khan-la gyur-song. Dī-u yul-li phyug-pō chig-gi bīs-ba He beggar-to turned. This country-of rich-man one-of servant dug-s. Khō-s khu-ri zhing-la tshō-a-la tang-s. Khō-s phag-gis lived. Him-by his field-to pasture-for sent. Him-by swine-by zas-pō that-tē zē-et-pa, amao sus-ang tang-ma met-pa. Dē-khā-nā strang eaten gladly ate, but anyone-by giving not-was. Thereafter sense
logh-sē-nā zer-s, 'nga-ri att-i shitia tsam-tsig las-mi yot returned-having said, 'my father-of before how-many work-men are ltō-a drangs-pā zos-sē hlag-ma sak-sē khur-ed. Ngá-rang belly filling eaten-having remainder gathered-having carry-off. I ltoghs-pa-la shī-et. Ngä-rang lang-sē att-i dē-r chhōk, yang hunger-with dic. $I$ arisen-having father-of there-to will-go, and khō-la zer-uk, "lē attā, nam-yang ya-ri dun-la nyes-pa b"ya-s, him-to will-say, "O father, heaven-and you-of before sin did, d. ngā ya-ri bū-tshā zer-ba byor-va met. Ngā-rang ya-rí las-mī chik now $I$ your son to-say worthy not-am. Me your work-man one
tehoghsē zhog."' Dë-kha-nȧ langs-sē khu-ri att-i shitia song. like place."' Thereafter arisen-having his father-of before went. Yang darang thagh-ring-la yot-pa, khö-rang thong-sē, 'è sū in-tshug?' And yet far being, him seen-having, 'that who is?'
sam, yang langs-sē rgyuk-s skyen-jugs tang-s yang mik mang-mō thought, and arisen-having ran embrace gave and kiss many tang-s. Bū-tşhā-s khō-la zer-s, 'lē attā, nga-res nam-yang ya-ri dun-la gave. Son-by him-to said, ' $O$ father, me-by heaoen-and your-of before nyes-pā bèya-s. Dā ngā ya-ri bū-t巨̧hā zer-ba byor-va met. Attã-s sin did. Now $I$ your son to-say worthy not-am. Father-by khu-ri dugs-mī-gun-la zer-s, 'mā norbō gonchas phyung-sē khū-la his house-man-all-to said, 'ver'y rich cloth taken-out-having him-to s-kon, yang khu-ri lag-pa-a serdubs-pō tog, yang rkang-ma-la kabsha make-wear, and his hand ring fasten, and feet-to shoes s-kon. Yang zōsē ltanmō bok; nga-ri dī-u bū-trehā shī-sē put-on. And eating merriment make-will; my this son died-having yot-pa, yang son; stor-s-et-pa, yang thop.' Dē-kha-nā khong rgā-mō was, and lives; lost-was, and is-found.' Therefrom they merry dug-s.
were.

Dè wakhs-la khu-ri chhō-pō bū-ţhā sa-khyat-la yot-pin. Yang khō
That time-in his great son field-in was. And he khang-ma-nang nyē-mō hleb-sē hlū nang rtses trshor. Khō-s house-with near reached-having song and merry-making heard. Him-by dugs-mi chik-la, 'yong,' zer-rē tri-s, 'dī-u chī-in?' Khō-s khō-la house-man one-to, 'come,' saying asked, 'this what-is?' Him-by hin-to zer-s, 'khye-ri phō-nō yong-s-et, yang khye-ri attā-s chbo-pō gron said, 'your brother-younger' come-is, and your father-ly big feast béya-s, chī-phi-a zer-na khō rdē-mō sen-mō-nang thun-s.' Yang khō-la $^{\text {en }}$ gave, what-for ask-if he nice health-in met.' And him-to mang-mō khā yong-s, dū-i-phī-a khuri attā phīstā-a yong-s khō-la sgrol-sē, much anger came, that-for his father outside came him-to flattering, 'nang-la yong,' zer-s. Yang khō-s attā-la jawāb zer-s, 'lō mang-pō dugs-mī 'inside come,' said. And him-by father-to answer said, 'years many servant tshoghs ya-ri las boya-s. Ngā-ang ya-ri tam-pö-la nam-sang men mä-zer-na-yang, like your work did. I-also your order-to ever no not-said-although, nga-s zhak chig nga-ri yadō-phrō-pa-nang nyam-pō ngom-uk-pä phī- $\bar{a}$ me-by day one my helpmates-with together merry-making-of for ya-ris ngā-la rīogū chik-chik mā tangs. Ya-ri dīu bū-tşhā nleb-na-ang you-by me-for lid one-one not gave. Thy this son arrioing-when-also
gron beya-s; khō-s khu-ri nor lōli-mō nyam-pō dug-sē zo-s.' Attā-s feast gavest; him-by his riches harlots with sat-having ate.' Father-by khō-la zer-s, 'lē bū-ţ̧hä, khye-rang ngā-rang-na nyam-pō zhak-dang duk-duk; him-to said, ' $O$ son, thou me with daily art; yang nga-ri chī yot-khan-pō khye-rang-i yot. Amāo ltan-mō nang and my what substance thine is. But merriment and that-chuk-pa rgos-uk-pa. Chi zer-ba-na, Khye-ri di-u phō-nō pleasure-causing proper-was. What say-if, Thy this younger-brother shi-s-pa, yang son; stors-et-pa, yang thop.' died-had, again roas-alive; lost-was, again was-found.'

# Tibeto-burman family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group. 

TIBETAN.

Purik Dialect.

## Specimen II.

A STORY OF A KING.
(Rev. A. H. Francke, 1906.)
(Purik.)
Bāt-shā yot-tshug. Bāt-shā-va bū sum yot-tshug. Yot-pa-chig-na King was. King-to sons three were. Being-of ohhō-pō bū rin-chan nang rches-pa yot-tshug. Bāt-shā zer-et-pa, 'lē bū, eldest son dear and beloved was. King said, ' $O$ son, khar rzhung-na sak khye-rang-la tang-nuk.' Zer-rē-na tshang-ka-a khu-ri palace midst-in all thee-to give-will.' Said-having all-to himself-of shitia jong zer-rē khyong-s. Bāt-shā-s zer-s, 'lē būtshā-gun, askyē-la before come saying brought. King-by said, ' $O$ son-all, to-morrow khyenti-s gron sde${ }^{\text {c }}$ yakh-shik. Gā būtshā rgyal-ba song-na khar yul you-by feast prepare. Which son good turns-out-if palace country rgyal-chhas dō-la tang-nuk.' Bū-tshā tghang-ka khun-ti dug-sā dug-sā song. kingdom him-to give-will.' Sons all their house-to house-to went. Bar-pa yang ohhō-po nang-a song-sē gron sdiyakh-s. Tsuntsē Middling and eldest inside gone-having feast prepared. Little bū-tshā khu-ri nang-a-ang song-sē kokol song-sē nyal-s. Khō-i son his inside-to-also gone-having sorry gone-having slept. His chōchō-s tri-s, 'khye-rang chī-la lookol song ? Khye-rang sū-a chang lady-by asked, 'thou what-for sorry gone? I'hou anyone-to anything mā-zer-ba nyal-s.' Rgyal-pō-i tsuntseē bū-tshā-s zer-s, 'lē chōchō, ngā-la not-said-having liest-down.' King's youngest son-by said, ' $O$ wife, me-to di-ring rgyal-pō-s mol-s, 'khyen-tang $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-chō-nō tghang-ka-s. to-day king-by said, 'you elder-brother-younger-brother all-by askyē-la ngā nang ngi drag-pa-zhan-ma chhēmi-tsuntsē-la mi-yul-la chi to-morrow me and my noblemen-other oid-young-to man-country-in what yot-pi zä-snā sak sdeyakh-sē gron tong. Gron sū-i leagh-mō being food-different all prepared-having feast give. Feast whose good chhä-na dō-a ngī nor-zan khar rgyal-chhas thob-duk.' goes-if him-to my riches-food palace kingdom will-be-got.'

## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

There was once a king, who had three sons. The eldest son was dear and beloved by him, and he said to him, ' $O$ son, I will give you all that is in my palace.' He then summoned all his sons and said, ' $O$ sons, prepare all of you a feast for to-morrow. I will give the palace, the country, and the kingdom to him who turns out best.'

The sons returned to their houses, and the two eldest ones began to prepare the feast. The youngest son also went home, but went to bed full of sorrow. His wife asked him, ' why are you sorry ? You hare gone to bed without speaking to anybody.' The king's youngest son said, ' O wife, to-day the king said to us, " you should all, the eldest as the youngest, to-morrow give a feast to me, and my officials and dependants, young and old, having prepared all the food of the country. My property, palace, and kingdom shall be his whose feast is best."'

## LADAKHT.

The province of Ladakh, which is now included in the Ladakh Wazarat of Kashmir, has often been called Great Tibet, as opposed to Little Tibet or Baltistan. This name was known to the chronicler Śrivara, and also to the Chinese annalists, who call Ladakh 'Great Poliu.' It is the Bod Butun of the modern Kasmiris. The Tibetans call the province La-dwags and Mar-yul. Originally it belonged to Tibet, but in the tenth century it became an independent kingdom. From the end of the 17th century Ladakh was under commercial contract with Kashmir. In 1834 it was invaded by the troops of Gulāb Singh, ruler of Kashmir, and was soon after added to the Kashmir State.

The prevailing population of Ladakh are Buddhists of Tlibetan race. According to Dr. Stein, the Zoji La pass, on the high road from Srinagar to Dras and Ladakh, is the ethnographic watershed between Kashmir and the territory of the Bhauttas, i.e., the Tibeto-Burman population of Baltistan and Ladakh.

The language of Ladakh is usually known under the name of Ladakhi. At the last Census of 1901 it has been returned under the head of Budhi. It is also understood by most Baltīs and Purik people.

The total population of Ladakh at the Census of 1891 was 28,274 . The corresponding figure at the last Census of 1901 was 31,620 . No local estimates of the number of speakers of Ladakhī, the principal language of the district, have been forwarded for the purposes of this Survey. At the last Census of 1901, the number of speakers was as follows:-
A. Spoken at home-

29,716
B. Spoken abroad-

| Assam | . | - | - | - | - | - |  | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pudjab | - | - | . | . | . | . |  | 62 |  |
| Punjab States | - | . | . | . | - | . |  | 21 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 29,806 |

## AOTHORITIES-

Ladakhi has been incidentally dealt with in several works on Tibetan in general. They will be found mentioned in the introduction to Tibetan. The list which follows registers the works dealing with Ladakhi alone which I have come across :-

Ramsar, H.,-Western Tibet: a practical Dictionary of the Language and Customs of the Districts included in the Ladāk Wazarat. Lahore, 1890.
MARX, K.,-Three Documents relating to the History of Ladakh. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. lx, Part i, 1891, pp. 97 and ff.; Vol. lxiii, Part i, 1894, pp. 94 and ff.; Vol. lxxi, Part i, 1902, pp. 21 and ff.
Sandberg, Ret. Grahas,-Hand-book of Oolloguial Tibetan. A practical guide to the language of Oentral Tibet. Calcutta, 1894. Part iii contains vocabolaries, Ladaki, etc.
Francre, A. H.,-Die Kespehtssprache im Ladaker tibetischen Dialekt. Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Fol. lii, 1899, pp. 275 and ff.

Ladakhi Songs, edited in co-operation with Rev. S. Ribbach and Dr. E. Shawe. Leh,
" 1899-1902.
" A Collection of Ladakhi Proverbs. Journal of the Asiatic Socioty of Bengal, Vol. lsix, Part i, 1900, pp. 135 and $f$. Second Dollection of Ladakhi Proverbs. Leh, 1903.
Sketch of Ladakhi Grammar. In co-operation with other Moravian missionaries. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. lxx, Part i, 1900, Extra No. 2. Calcutta, 1901. Ladakhi Songs. Indian Antiquary, Vol. xxxi, 1902, pp. 87 and ff., 304 and ff.
" Kleine Beiträge zur Phonctik und Grammatik des Tibetischen. Zeitschrift der Deatschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. lvii, 1903; pp. 285 nndī.

A Language Map of West Tibet with notes. Journal of the Asiatio Snciety of Bengal, Vol. lxziii, 1904, pp. 362 and ff.
VOL. III, PART I.

The Lamas of Ladakh are able to read the literature written in classical Tibetan. Classical Tibetan is also, with some modifications, used in writing by the educated olasses. The Rev. A. H. Francke has translated the Gospel of St. Mark into the Ladakhī dialect, and he has also published a series of popular texts in the dialect. The orthography is, in such works, not in exact agreement with the spoken language, but has been adapted to the usage of classical Tibetan. The same is the case with the specimens forwarded for the purposes of this Survey, viz., a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a popular tale, and, further, a list of Standard Words and Phrases. They have all been prepared by the Rev. S. Ribbach of Leh, and they are printed as I have received them. The remarks on Ladakhi which follow are based on Mr. Francke's Ladakhī grammar and only occasionally draw from the materials prepared by Mr. Ribbach.

The dialect of Ladakh is not the same all over the district. Our information about the local variations is, however, rather scanty. The dialect of Khalatse and of Lower Ladakh generally has preserved some old features which have been lost in the Leh dialect. Thus the genitive is distinguished from the case of the agent, and several words have preserved more ancient forms. Compare sbyar-chas, Leh zhar-ches, to stick to; thoras, Leh thore, to-morrow.

Mr. Francke distinguishes three sub-dialects of Ladakhi, viz.,-

1. Ihe Sham dialect spoken from about Hanu in the west to a line midway between Saspola and Basgo in the east;
2. The Leh dialect, to the east of Sham, and stretching eastwards almost so far as Sheh;
3. The Rong dialect to the east of the Leh dialect.

The Tibetan spoken in Zangskhar agrees with Rong ; only the north-western districts show traces of the Sham dialect. In Rubshu, on the other hand, a form of Central Tibetan is spoken.

The difference between these minor dialects is principally one of pronunciation. Compare the table which follows :-


The dialect described in Mr. Franoke's grammar and in the ensuing remarks is that of Leh. I shall only in one or two places make some remarks on the state of affairs in other dialeots of Ladakh.

Pronunciation.-The vowels are the same as in classical Tibetan. They are long when final, and short in ail other cases. The final $a$ of case-suffixes and the article is likewise short; thus, la, to ; na, in ; pa, article.

Soft consonants are hardened at the end of words; thus, mik, eye. They are, however, preserved before case-suffixes; thus, mig-gi, of the eye. In other positions they are generally pronounced as in English. Occasionally they are, however, hardened in imitation of the Lhasa dialect.

The aspirated hard consonants are pronounced as the corresponding unaspirated letters in English. The corresponding unaspirated letters are pronounced as in Tibet without any admixture of an aspiration. They preserve the hard sound when they are preceded by a prefixed or superadded letter in classical Tibetan, whether this preceding letter is pronounced or not, and when they are followed by a $y$. Thus, tang-ches, classical Tibetan gtong-ba, to give; $k a$, classioal $b k a$, word; sta, classical rta, horse; kyir, round; kyong-po, hard. In words such as nga-tang, we, the initial $t$ is preserved by the preceding syllable, or $t$ belongs to the base of the pronoun.

In other cases an initial hard consonant is apt to be softened. Thus, kab-sha, shoe, becomes gabsha; kram, cabbage, becomes dranı; pagbu, brick, becomes bagbu, and so forth.

This latter rule is not observed in borrowed words or in the case of the consonants $c h$ and $\underline{t s}$.

The consonant $n g$ is pronounced as the $n g$ in English 'song.' Final $n g$ is dropped in the Rong dialect of the upper-most Indus valley.
$R$ is pronounced as in Hindōstannī. When preceding another consonant its pronunciation is somewhat modified so that it resembles the guttural French or German $r$. $R$ and a following $k$ or $g$ (if not followed by $y$ ) assumes the sound of $c h$ in German ' loch.'

When $r$ follows another consonant it is very weakly sounded, somewhat like the English r. It does not coalesce with the preceding consonant as in Tibet. Thus, drug, six; kabra, a herb. The more we advance towards the west, the more distinctly is the $r$ pronounced. When we proceed eastwards, it gradually becomes more apt to coalesce with the preceding consonant and form a cerebral.

The consonant $b$ between two vowels or preceded by $n g, r, l$, and $b$ is pronounced like English $v$.

Compound letters are the same as in classical Tibetan. Several prefixed letters are, however, silent. Thus, $k a$, classical Tibetan $b k a$, word. The pronunciation of others is modified in rarious ways.

The prefixes $r$ and $s$ are often interchanged, and both are often substituted for $b$, $d$, and $g$; thus, rtays and stags, present; sgam and $r$ gam, box; bde-mo becomes rde-mo, nice; rgos, classical dgos, necessary ; stam, classical gtam, speech, and so forth. Sh is sometimes substitutel for $r$ and $s$; thus, shkang-ling instead of rkang-ling, flute.

Prefixes before $l$ become $h$; thus, hla, classical gla, wages; hleb-ches, classical sleb-pa, to arrive, and so forth.

In Rong and Leh $b r$ and $g r$ become $d r ; p r$ and $k r$ become $t r ; p h r$ and $k h r$ become thr. $B, p$, and $p h$ coalesce with a following $y$ to a palatal. These rules are not observed in Lower Ladakh, so far as the labials are concerned, and the labials are retained before $y$ in Leh if $e$ or $i$ follows, $y$ being, in that case, dropped.
$S$ or $r$ and a following $c h$ become sh; thus, nyis-chu becomes nyi-shu, twenty. Similarly $r$ and $s$ coalesce with a following $j$ to $z h$, with a following $t s$ to $s$, and with a following $d z$ to $z$.

A mute consonant is often dropped before $r$, and a preceding $s$ then often becomes $\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{h}$; thus, ra, classical dgra, enemy; shra, classical skra, hair.

A final $l$ is often dropped; thus, slel becomes $l e$, name of the capital of Ladakh; rgya-po instead of rgyab-po, king, etc.

An $n$ is often added after final vowels. Thus, nye-mo and nyen, near; me.tok and men-tok, flower.

Aspirated hard letters are often softened within a word or between vowels; thus, $a-j e$ instead of $a$-chhe, elder sister; $a-g u$ instead of $a-k h u$, husband.

Nasals are often interchanged; thus, dngul and mul, silver; khronpa and khrompa, well; rmilam and nyi-lam dream, and so on. The two latter forms are both derived from rmyi-lam, and the remaining doublets would probably be easily explained if we knew more àout pre-classical Tibetan.

In the Rong dialect of the upper Indus valley a prefixed $r$ and $s$ coalesce with a following $p$ and $k$ to $f$ and $h$, respectively; thus, yangspa becomes yofa, fun; mgyogspa gyoyfa, quick; skad becomes had, voice; rkang-dung becomes hangdung, trumpet, and so forth. Similarly $r g$ and $s g$ become eh , and $s b$ and $r b$ become $v$ in Rong and Leh. In the Zangskar dialect a prefixed $r$ or $s$ coalesces with a following $t$ or $d$ to $\underline{t h}$ and $d \underline{h}$ respectively; thus, thong, classical stong, thousand. Compare the table above.

Tones.-Like Baltī and Purik, Ladakhī is generally speaking devoid of tones. A few tones can, however, occasionally be observed. Thus, zhag, day, is pronounced in a low, sha, meat, in a high tone.

Articles.-There are no definite articles. The numeral chik, one, is used as an indefinite article. The form $c h i k$ is used after words ending in $g, d$, and $b$; it beoomes shik after $s$ and zhiki in all other cases. Thus, zhag-chik, a day; las-shik, a work; phe-zhig, some flour. In Khalatse the article is pronounced chile after $g, d, b$, and $n$, and $z h i k$ after vowels.

The suffix po or bo can be added to most nouns. It apparently only emphasises the meaning. Thus, mik-po, the eye; i she-ma-bo, this lady.

Nouns.-Gender is distinguished as in other connected dialects by using different words or by adding suffixes. Thus, mi, man; bo-mo, woman: khyi, dog; khyi-mo, bitch, and so forth.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The plural is not indicated when it appears from the context. The usual plural suffixes as kun,


Case.-The mere base, without any suffix is used as a nominative and an accusative.

The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent, whioh is formed by adding $s$, or, after consonants, is; thus, mang-po-s, by many. Instead of $s$ we sometimes find $s i$ and sis; thus, meme-si zer-s, grandfather said.

The case of the agent, however, is only formed in the way just desoribed in Khalatse and Lower Ladakh. In the dialeots spoken in Leh and Upper Ladakh, on the other hand, the case of the agent does not differ from the genitive and is formed by simply adding $i$; thus, kho-i zer-s, he said. Such a form occurs in one place in the specimens prepared by Mr. Ribbach.

In Lower Ladakh the dative is occasionally used instead of the case of the agent; thus, nga-la tshor-song, I heard. Compare Baltī.

The suffix of the dative is $l a$, or, in ordinary conversation, usually $a$, before which a final consonant is doubled. The dative is used to denote various relations of time and place. Thus, Le-la chha-rug, he goes to Leh; ngä lag-la, in my hand, and so forth. It is sometimes also used as an accusative; thus, khyi-s mi-la tham-s, the dog bit the man.

The suffix of the genitive is $i$, as has already been remarked; thus, rgyal-po-i khar, the king's castle. The suffix $i$ coalesces with a preceding $a$ to the sound $\ddot{a}$; thus, $a m a ̈$ phi-la, for the mother's sake. After vowels, however, the genitive suffix is somotimes si instead of $i$; thus, Ishe-si khang-pa, Ishe's house. A final consonant is doubled before the suffix $i$; thus, miggi, of an eye.

The suffix of the ablative is nas, which in Leh is pronounced $n \ddot{a}$. Thus, $i$-n $\ddot{a}$, from this; zhing-nä, from the field; khang-pa-nä, from the house. The ablative is also used to denote the material of which a thing is made, and in Leh it often has the meauing of a locative; thus, $s a-n \ddot{a}$, of earth; $a-n \ddot{a}$, there, and thence.

The locative and the terminative are usually replaced by the dative. The old locative suffix na occurs in postpositions such as nang-na, within, in. Old terminatives are a-ru, $d e-r \cdot u$, there, thither; $i-r u$, here ; $z h a n-m a-r u$, to the other ; $\underline{t s} h a n g-m a-r u$, to all ; $i d-d u$ (bor-ches), (to keep) in mind.

Other rolations are indicated by means of postpositions, which are usually added to the genitive. Such are dun-nä, from the presence; chhoks-nä, from the direction; nang-nä, out of ; nang-na, within ; nang-la, into; kha-nä, through, by; kha, on, upon; $\underline{t g} a$, near; dun-la, before; dang, with; phila, for. In Lower Ladakh many of these postpositions are added to the base. Some postpositions govern the ablative; thus, $l o$ mang-po-nä pharla, from many years.

Adjectives.-Adjectives usually follow the word they qualify; thus, sta rgyalla, a good horse. When the adjective precedes the qualified noun, it is put in the genitive; thus, dambä chhos, the holy religion; bod-di thrims-la, according to Tibetan custom; duk-chan-ni rul, the poisonous snake.

This is especially the case in some certain phrases, and with adjectives denoting nationality or such as are formed by means of the possessive suffix chhan.

Adjectives do not usually change for gender. Sometimes, however, the male suffixes $p a, p o$, and the female suffixes $m a, m o$, are added. Thus, rgyal-po rgad-po, the old king ; rgyat-mo rgad-mo, the old queen.

Comparison is effected by adding sang to the compared noun, whioh is then often put in the genitive; thus, ngä khang-pai khang-pä sang rgyalla yot, my house this house than good is.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. Where more than one form is given, the last one represents the pronunciation in Leh.

Numerais follow the noun they qualify.
'Half' is phet; thus, phed ang druk, five and a half.
I have not found any instances of the use of generic particles in the materials available.

Pronouns.-The usual forms of the personal pronouns are as follows:-
nga, nga-rang, I.
$n g \ddot{a}, n g a-ヶ a n g-n g i, m y$.
$n g a-z h a$, we, i.e. I and they, ngatang, we, i.e. I and you.
$n g a-z h \ddot{a}$, ngat-i, our.
|khyot, khyo-rang, nye-rang, | thou.
khyod-di, khyo-rang-ngi, nye-rang-nyi, thy.
khyo-zha, nye-zha-rang, you.
kho, kho-rang, khong, he, slie, it.
khoi, kho-rang-ngi,
khong-ngi, his, etc.
kho-gun, kinong(-kun), they.
khyo-zhä, nye-zha-rang-ngi, your.| kho-gun-ni, their.
'Self' is rang, genitive rang-ngi, own.
Demonstrative pronouns are $i$, this; $a$, that, which precede, and $d i$, this; de, that, which generally follow the word they qualify. Instead of $d e$, we often find dena, or, in the Rong dialect, deka. When used alone, the demonstrative pronouns commonly take the suffix $b o$; thus, $i$-bo, this; dena-bo, the same.

Interrogative pronouns are $s u$, who ? $g a$, which ? chi, what?
There are no relative pronouns. The interrogative pronouns are sometimes used instead; thus, ga-bo-la thad-na de nen-shik, take what you like; nga ga-ru thad-duk de$r u$ chhen, I shall go where I please. If the relative sentence qualifies, and is not itself the representative of, the subject, object, or an adverbial adjunct of the principal sentence, the interrogative pronouns cannot be used. Relativity is in such cases expressed by means of relative participles, i.e., by the genitive case of the present or past participle followed by the qualified word. Thus, dik-pa cho-khan-ni mi-la rdung-duk, wrong doingof man beat, I beat the man who does wrong.

Verbs.-Verbs are conjugated in the same way as in classical Tibetan. Only a few verbs can be used in a transitive as well as in an intransitive sense. Thus, ngä thuk-duk, I touch; nga thuk-duk, I meet.

Some tense suffixes show a preference for certain persons. Thus, forms such as choruk, does; cho-song, did, are more commonly used in the third than in the firstand second persons. Forms such as cho-at, do ; chos-pin, did, on the other hand, are comparatively seldom used in the third person. On the whole, however, the various persons are not distinguished, and every tense sufix can be used for all persons.

Verb substantive.-The verb substantive has the bases $d u k, i n$, and $y o t$. They are freely used in the formation of the tenses of other verbs. The forms at (Lower Ladakh et) and ok which are used in the same way, probably also contain various verbs substantive.

Present time. -The present base can always be found by rejecting the termination ches of the verbal noun. Thus, tang-ches, to give, present base tang.

The present base is often used alone in comnexion with the negative partiole mi; thus, mi thong, I do not see.

The usual present tense is formed from the present base by adding one of the auxiliaries duk, at (Lower Ladakh et), and ok. At is mostly used in lively conversation; in Lower Ladakh et is almost exclusively used. In Central Ladakh ok is only used with the verb in, to be, and rarely except in the third person. Thus, tang-duk, gives; yong-ngat, comes; in-nok, is. A final consonant is doubled before at and ok. Duk after vowels becomes ruk; thus, chla-ruk, goes.

A kind of compound present is formed from such verbs as denote a percention of the senses (with the exception of sight) or an action of the intellect, by adding rak, feels, to the infinitive ending in $a$; thus, shes-sa rak, I know.

A present definite is formed by adding $d u k$ to the participle ending in in or $\boldsymbol{i n}$-zhik; thus, las cho-in ( $-z h i k$ ) duk, he is doing work.

Past time.-The past base is formed from the present base by adding $s$; thus, tang-8, gave. If the present base ends in $\delta, d, n$, and often also if it ends in $l$ or $r$, the past base does not differ from the present one. This is, moreover, always the oase in the Changthang dialect, and in some intransitive verbs such as jung-ches, to happen; rakches, to feel ; tshar-ches, to finish, and so forth. The past base of $z a-c h e s$, to eat, is $z o-s$.

The past base is commonly used alone as a past tense. Thus, rak, he felt; thong-8, he saw ; cho $\cdot s$, he made.

A compound past is formed by adding in to the participle in pa, ba or spa. The final $a$ of the suffix $p a$ coalesces with the following in to $i n$, or, if the base contains an $i$, to en. Thus, that-pin, liked; cho-s-pin, did; in-ben and yot-pin, was. Pin is often also added to the present tense ending in at, and this compound form denotes the continued or repeated action in the past; thus, thong-ngat-pin, saw often.

The participle ending in $p a$ is used alone as a past tense before a direct statement, and, vulgarly, also at the end of a sentence. Thus, kho-s zer-pa, he said.

A compound past is also formed by adding yot-pin or ok to the conjunctive participle ending in $t e$ or ste, or the participle ending in pa. Thus, cho-s-te yot-pin, having done I was, I had done; zer-t-ok, said; tang-st-ok, gave; khyer-p-ok, carried off.

Other auxiliaries used in order to form past tenses are tshar, finished, added to the present base; song, went, added to the past base; and tshuk (Lower Ladakh tshogs), like, similar (properly a dubitative addition), added to the present ending in at ; thus, shi-tghar, died ; cho-s-song, did; yong-ngat-tghuk, came. In the case of the verb za-ches, to eat, the past base is used before $\underline{t s h a r}$; thus, $z o-\underline{t g} h a r$, ate.

Future.-The future is formed by adding in to the present base. A preceding $\alpha$ is dropped ; thus, tang-in, shall give; chhen, shall go. Chhen, shall go, is often added to the present base or to the infinitive onding in $a$; thus, khyong-chhen, shall bring; chhug-ga chhen, shall close.

Imperative. - The imperative base is formed by changing an $a$ of the base to o, and by adding an $s$ to verbs ending in a vowel. In verbs ending in a consonant and not containing an $a$, the present base is used in the imperative. Thus, sgang-ches, to fill; sgong, fill: lta-ches, to see; lto-s, look : zer-ches, to say; zer, say. Za-ches, to eat, has the imperative $z o$, eat.

The negative imperative is formed by prefixing $m a$ to the present base; thus, $m a z a$, do not eat.

The final $s$ is used in all imperatives before the imperative particle chik (lit. once) which accordingly becomes shik; thus, tong-shik, give.

The suffix ang is often added to the imperative; thus, zer-ang, say; tong-ang, give; zos-ang, eat. Note the $s$ of the latter form.

Verbal Nouns.-Several tense bases are used as verbal nouns, and postpositions are added to them. Thus, las cho-na, work doing-in, if you do the work; las gyoks-pa cho-s-pin-na, if you had done the work quickly; las de cho-in-zhik, whilst doing that work.

The suffix in in cho-in zhik is probably originally the suffix of a locative. It corresponds to kyin, gyin, gin, yin, in classical Tibetan. The classical suffix seems to be formed from the genitive. The Ladakhi $i n$ is added to the present base, and in this way an adverbial participle is formed; thus, gucho tang-in tang-in duk-song, noise making-in making-in remained.

The base with the suffix $a$, before which a final consonant is doubled, is used as an infinitive ; thus, drul-la mi duk, going-for not-is, he does not go; lta-a song, seeingfor went, he went to see. The suffix $a$ is apparently the suffix $a$ which forms datives and locatives of nouns, and corresponds to the classical la. Compare the locative meaning of $a$ in sentences such as shi-ches-la ma jiks-sa bar-khan-ni khang-pä nang-la song, dying not fearing-in burning-of house-of interior-to went, not fearing death she entered the burning house.

The most common verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix ches or che. In Lower Ladakh the suffix has the form chas, in Rong and Upper Ladakh che. The verbal noun is inflected like an ordinary noun. The dative ending in ches-la, chas-la; che-a, etc., is used as an infinitive of purpose. Thus, thong-ches, to see; ngalte yot-ches-si phi-la, tired being-of sake-for, beause he was tired; yong-ches-la or yong-ches-si phi-la, in order to come.

The classical suffix $p a, b a$ is sometimes also used, especially with the postposition $p h i-l a$; thus, in-bä phi-la, being-of sake-for, in order to be ; mi mang-po dzoms-pa-sang, men many gathering from, because many men had gathered.

Participles.-Some participles are simply various cases of the verbal noun. Such forms have already been mentioned above.

The common suffix of the present and past participle is khan, added to the present or past base ; thus, tang-khan, giving; tang-s-khan, given. This participle is commonly used as a relative participle. Compare the remarks under the head of relative pronouns above.

The suffix pa, $b a$ is used to form a participle which is freely employed in the formation of past tenses. Thus, zer-pa, or, commonly, zer-pin, said. Compare the remarks under the head of past time, above.

Conjunctive participles are formed by adding the suffix te to the present or past base; thus, zer-te, saying; song-s-te, having gone.

Passive Voice.-'I'here is no passive voice. Passivity is sufficiently indicated by the absence of the suffix of the agent in the subject. Forms such as khyong-g-te duk, having-brought is, it is brought, have originally an active as well as a passive meaning.

Causal.-The causal was originally formed by neans of a profix $s$; thus, gang-ches, to be full ; sgang-ches, to fill. The old initials have been modified in various. ways;
thus, drul-ches, to go ; shrul-ches, to make, go: bud-ches, to cease; phud-ches, to stop: chhad-ches, to be out off ; chad-ches, to cut, and so forth.

A modern causative is formed by adding chhuk-ches, to put in, to the present base; thus, chha-chhuk-duk, he makes go, he sends off.

Negative voice.-The negative particle is a prefixed mi or ma. $\quad M i$ is used in the present and future, and before the verbal noun. $M a$ is used in the past tense and in the imperative. It is further commonly used before the conjunotive participle, the dative, ablative, and locative cases of the verbal noun ending in a, pasang, and na, and so forth. Thus, mithong, he does not see ; mi chha, I shall not go ; mi tang-in, not giving ; ma thong-s, did not see: ma yong-s• pin, did not come; ma chos-song, did not do; ma zer-tok, did not say ; ma tang, do not give; las di ma tshar-na, work this not finishingin, if you do not finish this work.

Various compound negative bases are used; thus, cho-ill-zhik mi duk, doing not is, he does not do; tang-nga mi duk, he does not give; ngal-la mi rak, does not feel tired; cho-a met, is not doing; cho ma tshar, to do not finished, did not do; silla met-pin, was not reading ; chos-te met-pin, had not done; yongnga met-tghuk, came not, and so forth.

Interrogative particle.-An $a$ is added to the verb in interrogative sentences if they do not contain an interrogative pronoun. A preceding consonant is doubled before $a$; thus, lhyo-rang yong-in-na, will you come?

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. The genitive precedes the qualified noun, adjectives and numerals usually follow it.

For further details the student is referred to Mr. Francke's grammar. The specimens which follow represent the spoken dialect of Ladakh, but the orthography of the literary language is used.
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Mi zhig-la bu-tsha ghnyis yod-pin. De-nas bu chhung-po-s
Man one-to sons two were. Then son young-by
a-pha-la zhus-pa, 'a-pha-le, nga-la thob-os-mkhan-ni nor-skal nga-la father-to requested, 'father-o, me-to to-be-got-fit-being property-share me-to stsal, zhus-pa-sang a-pha-s nor bgos. De-nas mang-mo ma give, said-having father-by property divided. Then much not

| gor-te | bu-tsba | chliung-ngun-po | nor | khur-ste | yul | thag-ring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| delaying | son | young | property | taking-with-hinı country | far |  |
| zhig-ga | langs-song. | Nor | tshang-ma | phres-tor-bchos. | Nor | sag |
| one-to | started. | Property | all | spent-made. | Property all |  |

tshar-te de yul-la ma-ge drag-po zhig jong-s-te kho-la finishing that country-in famine heavy one come-having him-to dkags-po song. De-nas kho song-s-te yul-pa chig dang thug-s-te difficulty went. Then he gone-having citizen one with met-having de-s kho zhing-kha-la phag tsho-ba-la btang-s. De-ru phag-kun-nis him-by him field-in-to swine feeding-for sent. There pigs-by za-mkhan-ni gang-lo yang rang-ngi grod-pa grang-bchur-ches-la thad-na-ang, eating-of husks even own belly satisfied-making-for wishing-in-although, ghtang-mkhan su-yang ma yong-s. De-nas kho-la bsam-blo yong-s-te
giving any-one not came. Then him-to consideration come-having zer-pa, 'ngai a-pha-la gla-pa mang-po yod-de; kho-kun-la za-rgyu said, 'my father-to servants many being; them-to food mang-po yod. Nga-ni i-ru ltog-ri-la shi-ches-rag. Da nga much is. I-on-the-other-hand here hunger-in die. Now I lang-s-te a-phai rtsar song-s-te, "nam-mkha dang nyi-rang-ngi arisell-having father-of io gone-having, "heaven and you-of
mdun-du nyes-pa boho-s-pa-sang nyi-rang-ngi bu-tsha zer-os-oban ma before sin done-having-from your son to-say-worthy not yin-te, nga nyi-rang-ngi gla-pa zhig dang dran-dra mdzad," de-zag being, me your servant one with alike make,". thus
zhu-yin,' bsam-s-te
say-will,' thought-having
thag-ring-nas yong-nga
far-from to-come
rgyug-s-te [skyen-jus run-having [embracing given-having] him-to kiss gave. Then bu-tsha-s, 'a-pha-le, nga-s nam-mkha dang nyirang-ngi mdun-du nyes-pa son-by, 'father-o, me-by heaven and your before sin bchos-pa-sang da-nas-phar-la nyi-rang-ngi bu-tsba zer-os-chan man, done-having-from now-from-since your son say-fit not-am,' zhu-s. A-pha-s ghyog-po-kun-la, 'da gon-chhes tshang-mai sang rgyal-la said. Father-by servants-to, 'now cloth all from good zhig i-ru khyong-ste kho-la skon; lag-pa-la ghser-ghdub, rkans-pa-la one here brought-having him-to put; hand-on gold-ring, foot-on kab-sha yang skon-chig. Chi-phi-la zer-na, ngai bu-tsha shi-ste shoe also put. What-for said-if, my son died-having ghson-te song; stor-te log-s-te thob-pa-sang, nga-tang-ngi sems alive went; lost-being again found-being-from, our soul dga-mo bcho dgos,' de-zug zer-te kho-kun skyid-po bcho-ba-la langs. cheerful make must,' thus saying they merry make-to began. nye-mo sleb-kyi-ma rol-mo dang rtsem-'ajo tshor-pa-sang, ghyog-po zhig-la near arriving music and dancing hearing-from, servant one-to $\begin{array}{lccrccc}\text { bod-de, } & \text { 'i-bo } & \text { chi } & \text { bcho-ba-yin-nog?' } & \text { zer-te } & \text { dris-pa-sang, ghyog-po-s, } \\ \text { calling, } & \text { 'this } & \text { what } & \text { doing-are?' } & \text { saying } & \text { asking-from, servant-by, } \\ \text { 'khyo-rang-ngi } & \text { no } & \text { bslebs. } & \text { A-pha-s } & \text { kho khams-bzang-po-la } \\ \text { 'your } & \text { younger-brother } & \text { came. } & \text { Father-by } & \text { he } & \text { health-good-in }\end{array}$ log-sto thob zer-te mgron bcho-ba-yod,' tshor-pa-sang kho-la sro back soas-found saying feast making-is,' hearing-from him-to anger yong-s-te nang-la ohha-ches ma thad. De-phi-la a-pha phi-log-la come-having inside to-go not wished. Therefore father outside bing-s-te dpe-ra bde-mo-nas, 'nang-la yong,' zer-te slu-s. Kho-s come-having way friendly-in, 'inside come', saying entreated. Him-by a-pha-la, 'nga-s lo i-zam-zhig nyi-rang-ngi zhabs-tog bchos-te father-to, 'me-by year's se-many your service done-having
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Dus chis-la tshong-dpon chhen-mo zhig yod-pin. Kho shi-pa-sang a-ma Time one-at merchant-master great one was. He dying-from mother dang bu-tsha-la nor tshang-ma tshir-la tshar. Rting-la and son-to property all . succession-in was-finished. Afterwards chang-med-mikhan song-pa-sang, sring-mo zhig-po glazhan tshong-dpon zhig-la anything-not-having went-because, sister only other merchant one-to bag-ma khyer-ste yod-pin, a-ma-s, 'da khyod a-chhe rtsar song. wife taken-having was, mother-by, 'now thou elder-sister near go. Chi-tong-zhig ghtang-yin bsam-ma rag,' zer-s. De-nas kho song. Something give-will thinking perceive,' said. Then he went. A-chhe-la, 'kho-kun-la nor zin-tog,' tshor-te yod-tshug. Kho Elder-sister-to, 'them-to property went,' heard-having was. He a-chhei khang-pa-la sleb-za-na ghyog-po zhig dang, 'nga yong-s-tog, zer,' sister-of house-to arriving-in servant one with, 'I came, say,' lon btangs. Ghyog-po-s, "phru-gu rgan-jar zhig yong-s-te, "ltos," message sent. Servant-by, 'boy ragged one come-having, "see," zer-dug,' zhus-pa-sang a-chhe-la nor med-ches-si royus yod-pa-sang, says,' saying-after elder-sister-to property not-being-of knowledge being-from, 'ming-po yin,' bsams-te bra-zan blun rus-te de bdun-khai - brother is,' thinking buckwheat-dumplings seven kned-having those seven nang-la chhangs-pa-gang re ghser bchug-ste, 'kho nang-la yong-bchug-ste into handful a gold put-having, 'him inside come-made-having chi bcho-yin?' kha-kye rdzun-lotang-ste ghyog-po dang bkal-song. Kho-s what douill?' scolding pretence-given-having servant with sent. Bim-by thu-bai nang-la lrhur-ste sro yong-s-te khang-pa-la log-ste song. Lam coat-flap into carrying anger come-having house-tc back went. Road
phed-la, 'i-sang da nga-la re-ste jang rgyal-la thob-yin,' half-in, 'this-from now me-to begged-having even good be-got-should:'
bsam-s-te zam-pa zbig-gi yog-la bra-zan tshang-ma bor-te song. Kho thought-having bridge one-of under dumplings all putting went. He khang-pa-la sleb-s-te a-ma-s, 'a-chhe-s chi btang-s?' dris. house-to arrived-having mother-by, 'elder-sister-by what gave?' asked. Kho-s, 'nga nang-la ma bsnyen-te gbyog-po zhig dang bra-zan Him-by, 'me inside not admitted-having servant one wilh dumplings bdun bkal-ste. khyong-s.' Khoi, 'de su-s za-yin, bsams-te zam seven sent-having brought.' Him-by, 'that whom-by eat-will, thinking bridge yog-la bor-te yong-s-pin,' zer-s. Yang a-ma-s, 'da a-zhang-ngi rtear under putting came,' said. And mother-by, 'now uncle-of near song,' zer-te btang-s. Kho a-zhang-ngi khang-pa-la sleb-za-na a-zhang-ngi-s go,' saying sent. He uncle-of house-to arriving-on unole-by nan-gla khrid-de khyor-s. Kho-la ya-sha bchos-te za-ches zhim-po btang-s. inside leading took. Him-to love done-having food nice gave. De-nas kho-s a-zhang dang a-ne-la skyid-sdug bshad-song. Rting-la, Then him-by uncle with aunt-to joy-woe told. Afterwards, kho-s, 'da nga khang-pa-la ohha-yin ju,' zer-pa-sang a-zhang dang a-ne him-by, 'now $I$ house-to go-will pray,' saying-from uncle with aunt ghnyis-ka mdzod-la, 'khong a-ma bu-teha ghnỵis-kai phi-la chi
both store-room-to, 'them mother son two-of sake-for what ghtang-yin, grabs bcho-loa-la song. Kai-kha rin-po-chhei ske-chba give-shall,' consideration do-to went. Pillar-on piecious necklace jod-tshug. Kho-kun mdzod-la song-ste kho-s ka-la bltas-pa-sang was. They store-room-to gone-having him-by pillar-on looking-after ka rang-bzbin-la bzhag-te ske-cbha nub-ste yang sgrig-song. De-nas pillar itself-of split-having necklace sunk-having again closed. Then kho, 'ske-chba nub-pa-sang rkus-te khyer-pog, bsam-yin,' bsam-s-te he, 'necklace sinking-from stolen-having took-off, think-will,' thought-having khrel-te shor-te khang-pa-la song. A-zhang a-ne ghnyis khoi ashamed-being fled-having house-to went. Uncle aunt two his phi-la nor khur-te yong-za-na, kho song-ste med. Da, sake-for goods carrying coming-on, he gone-having was-not-there. Then, 'kho-s chi khur-ste song?' blta-za-na kai-kha yod-pai ske-chha 'him-by what carried having went?' seeing-on pillar-on being necklace med mthong-s. 'Phriu-gu rtsog-po ske-chha-po khyer-tog, da mi stog,' not-was saw. 'Boy bad necklace carried-off, now not matters,' zer-s. De-nas kho khang-pa-la bsleb-s-te chi byung-mkhan bshad-s. said, Then he house-to arrived-having what happening told.
 said.

## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

Once upon a time there lived a rich merchant. After his death the property of his widow and son gradually dwindled away. The boy had a sister who was marricd to another merchant. When nothing was left of the property the mother said, 'go now to your elder sister. I think she will give you something.' Then he went there. The elder sister had heard that their property had been lost.

When he had reached his sister's house, he asked some servant to go and say, 'I have come.' The servant went and said, 'a ragged boy has come and asks you to receive him.' The elder sister, who knew that they had no property left, thought that it must be her brother. She made seven dumplings of buckwheat, put a handful of gold into them, and sent them through the servant, under the pretence of scolding, saying, 'what is the use of making him enter?' The boy took the dumplings off in his coat and returned home in an angry mood. Midway he threw the dumplings under a bridge, because he thought that he ought to have got something better.

When he came home, his mother asked, 'what did your sister give you?' He answered, 'she did not receive me into the house, but sent a servant with seven dumplings.' He said, ' I left them under a bridge for whomsoever to eat.' Said the mother, 'now you must go to your uncle,' and sent him off.

When he came to his uncle's house, the uncle took him into the house, treated him well, and gave him nice food. He told his uncle and aunt all his joy and woe. Afterwards, when he said that he must return home, the uncle and aunt went to the store-room in order to consider what they should give mother and son. Now a precious necklace was placed on a pillar, and after they had gone to the store-room he was looking at the pillar, when it burst open of itself. The necklace disappeared, and then the pillar closed again. The boy ran home full of shame thinking, 'since the necklace has disappeared, they will think that I have stolen it.'

When the uncle and aunt returned with some presents for him, then he was gone. They looked around to see whether he had carried off anything and saw that the necklace had disappeared. ' Never mind,' they said, ' the wicked boy has stolen it.'

When he came home he told what had happened, and the mother said, 'we have illluck, and therefore nothing goes well.'

## LAHUL DIALECT.

Tibetan is spoken in Lahul along the headwaters of the Chandra and Bhaga down to within fifteen miles of their junction, especially about Kolung in the Bhaga Valley and at Koksur in the Chandra Valley.

In Pangi, the portion of Chamba lying beyond the Mid-Himalayan range, Tibetan is, moreover, spoken throughout that mountain portion of the district which lies below the western Himalayas.

No local estimates of the number of speakers have been forwarded from the districts in which this dialect is spoken. At the Census of 1891, the figures were as follows:-


No new materials have been forwarded for the purposes of this Survey. The Lahul dialect has, however, been mentioned and partly described by the late Rev. H. A. Jaeschke, and it will therefore be possible to make some few remarks which it is hoped will be sufficient to show how the dialect should be olassed.

## AUTHORITIES—

Jafscmee, H. A., - Über die Phonetik der Tibetischen Spraohe. Monatsbarichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1867, pp. 148 and ff. Contains a short specimen on p. 182.
-A Tibetan-English Dictionary with special refarence to the prevailing dialects. To which is added an English-Tibstan Vocabulary. London, 1881. Contains a list of words in the Lahul dialect on pp. xvi and ff.

The Lahul dialect is a kind of link between Western and Central Tibetan. It does not possess the tones of Central Tibetan. On the other hand, it in many details agrees with the Tibetan of Spiti.

Phonology.-Concurrent vowels are contracted; thus, khai, classical kha-i, of the mouth; $m \bar{e}$, classical $m e-i$, of the fire; ri, classical ri-i, of the hill; khoi, classical $k h o-i$, his ; sui, classical su-i, whose?

Single initial consonants are the same as in classioal Tibetan, and there are no traoes of the strong aspiration of soft consonants which is so pronounced in Spiti.

Final $g$, and often also final $d$, are very imperfectly sounded. The result is an abrupt short pronunoiation of the preceding vowel, which I have noted by adding the sign'. Thus, tho', classical thog, roof; phu'-ron, classioal phug-ron, a pigeon; gon-me', classical mgon-med, helpless. This slurring of a final $d$ does not appear to be a regular feature of the dialect. A similar state of affairs prevails in the dialects of Ü and Tsang.

A final $s$ is changed to $i$; thus, nai, classical nas, barley; shei, classical shes, know; $r \bar{i}$, classical ris, figure; chhō, classical chhos, religion; lu, classical lus, body. Besides these we also find Central Tibetan forms such as nä̈; shē ; chhö̈; lü.

A final $s$ after a consonant is simply dropped; thus, nag, classical nags, forest; thab, classical thabs, way, manner; nam, classical r-nams, many.

Other final consonants are left unchanged.
In compound consonants ending in a subscribed $y$, the $y$ is dropped before an $i$, and often also before an $e$. Labials and $y$ become palatals; in other cases both sounds remain. Thus, phi, classical phyi, behind; be-ma, classical bye-ma, sand; chhag, classical phyay, hand; ja-mo, classical bya-mo, hen; khyod, thou, and so forth.

Hard consonants and $r$ are changed to cerebrals. Thus, tad-pa, classical krad-pa, shoe; thag, classical khrag, blood; don-mo, classical dron-mo, warm; thu-gu, classical phru-gu, child. Occasionally, forms such as dri, classical gri, knife; bra-wo, classical bra-bo, buckwheat, are also heard.
$S r$ becomes $s h r$; thus, shring-mo, classical sring-mo, sister.
$Z l$ becomes $d$; thus, $d a-w a$, classical $z l a-b a$, moon.
$D b$ is dropped; thus, ang, classical dbang, might.
An $r$ is sometimes preserved before gutturals; thus, rkang-pa, and kang-pa, foot; rnga and nga, five. Rj becomes $z h$; rts becomes $s$; $r \underline{d z}$ becomes $z$; thus, $z h e e_{\text {, }}$ classical rjes, after ; sa, classical $r \underline{t s} a$, vein ; $z a-m a$, classical $r d z a-m a$, a pot.

Sby becomes $z h$ in $z h a r-w a$, classical sbyar-ba, a certain tree.
In most other cases compounds are simplified in such a way that the first component is dropped. Thus, log-pa, classical klog-pa, to read; ta, classical rta, horse ; go, classical sgo, door; chig, classical gchig, one; ser, classical gser, gold; dun, classical bdun, seven, and so forth.

Tones are hardly used in the dialect. The abrupt tone indicating the dropping of a final consonant the Lahul dialect shares with the Tibetan of Tsang and Ü. It has not, however, anything to do with the ordinary Tibetan tone system.

Inflexion.-Our information about the inflexion of nouns and verbs is exceedingly scanty. We only know that the usual suffix of the verbal noun is che.

For further details the student is referred to the list of words in Mr. Jaeschke's dictionary. A short specimen follows. It has been taken from Mr. Jaeschke's paper on the phonological system of Tibetan mentioned under authorities above. The stress has been indicated by putting a 'above the accented syllable.
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## tibeto-burman Family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

TIBETAN.

## Lahol Mialect.

## (Rev. H. A. Jaeschke, 1866.)

Dí-ka' dag-gi thoi-pa, dúi-chig-na Chom-dan-dai nyan-yó'na
This-word me-by heard, time-one-in the-Exaited-one Srävastī-in

| gyal-bu | gyal-jé'-kyi | tshal | gon-me'-zai-jín-gyi | kun-ga-rá-wa-na | zhúg-so. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prince | victory-of | wood | Anäthapindada's | pleasure-grove-in | lived. | Deï-tshé gyál-po Sal-gyál-la lón-po chhén-po khaí-pa rig-pa daug That-time king Prasēnajit-to minister great great knowledge with dán-pa zhig yó-de, deï chhung-ma sém-chan dang dán-par gyur-nӥ possessed one being, his wife child with to-be becoming khyeu tshan dang dan-pa ja'-zúg lég-pa pe-já’ dá-me'-pa child marks with possessed shape-good good secondary-mxilks incomparable zhig tsái-te, tshan-khan bói-nä, bu tán-pa-dang tshan-khan-gyi one having-been-born, astrologer calling, child showing-on astrologer-by gá-wai dáng-kyi dí-ka' chē mrái-so. happy look-with this-word thus said.

FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.
Thus I have heard. The Blessed one was once dwelling at Śrāvasti, in the Jētavana, in Auāthapindada's park. Now at that time king Prasēnajit had a prime minister of great knowledge. His wife became with child, and a son was born who possessed all the lucky marks, great beauty, and all the secondary lucky marks. An astrologer was summoned, and when the child had been shown to him, he said with a happy look as follows.

## CENTRAL TIBETAN.

The Tibetan dialects spoken to the east of Lahul and to the west of the Tibetan province of Khams agree in several important points. The most salient feature of these forms of speech is the use of a system of tones which is foreign to tho western dialects and to the language of Khams. Compare the remarks in the general introduction to the Tibetan language. Moreover, the dialects in question as a rule agree in simplifying the compound consonants of classical Tibetan. The same is the case with the Tibetan of Lahul, and that dialect can therefore be described as a link between Western Tibetan and our group, which has been described as Central Tibetan. It comprises the central dialect of Tibet, spoten in the provinces of Ü and Tsang, and several smaller dialects spoken in British India, Nepal, Sikkim, and Bhutan.

The various dialects of Central Tibetan agree generally in grammar. The phonetical system is essentially the same. The compound consonants of classical Tibetan have, however, sometimes been simplified in different ways. It will therefore be of interest to compare the phonology of these forms of speech with the written language of classical Tibetan.

## CENTRAL DIALECT.

The dialect of Central Tibet is the lingua franca of the Tibetan country, and it is generally understood everymhere in Tibet in addition to the local dialects. Central Tibet comprises the provinces of Ü and Tsang. Lhasa is situated in the former, and the Lhasa dialect has sometimes been described as the standard form of Tibetan. It is comparatively well known, and it has been dealt with in most of the works mentioned under the head of authoritics in the general introduction to the libetan language. It is therefore not necessary to give a detailed account of its grammar. It will be sufficient to draw attention to the principal features of phonology, as compared with the classical language of Tibetan literature, and to give a rapid sketch of the grammatical system. A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son, for which I am indebted to Mr. David MacDonald and Colonel Waddell, will be added.

The home of the Central Tibetan dialect does not fall within the scope of this Survey. Nor are we in a position to judge how many of the six millions of people who are estimated to live in Tibet use that form of the language. On the other hand, it has been brought by immigrants to various parts of India. It has been reported under different names, such as Bhōṭiā, Huniyà, Shalgno, Kazi, Lama, etc. It is probable that some of these denominations cover some slightly different dialect. No great inconvenience can, however, arise from their being shown under the head of Central Tibetan. It should also be borne in mind that several minor forms of speech such as Jad, Nyamkat, Kägate, Sharpa, Dänjongkä, Lhokä, etc., are closely related to the Tibetan of Lhasa. They will, however, be dealt with separately because they fall more or less within the scope of this Survey, and the figures will therefore be shown under each of them.

According to information collected for the purposes of this Survey, Central Tibetan was spoken in the following districts where it has proved impossible to decide whether the speakers belong to any known sub-dialect :-


With regard to the corresponding figures returned at the last Census of 1901, the remarks in the general introduction to Tibetan should be consulted.

Pronunciation.-Initial soft consonants are pronounced with a strong aspiration so that the actual sound is almost that of the corresponding hard consonant; thus, bhu and $p u$, classical Tibetan bu, son. Similarly, an inhabitant of Lhasa would say shing instead of classical zhing, field, and the only difference made between an initial sh corresponding to $z h$ in classical Tibetan and an old $s h$ is that the former is pronounced with the high tone.

Final $g$ is pronounced as $k$; thus, chik, classical gchig, one. It is often so indistinctly sounded that it is scarcely perceptible.

Final $b$ is generally pronounced as $p$; thus, yap, classical yab, father.
Final $d, n, s$, and sometimes also $l$, modify a preceding vowel so that $a$ becomes $\ddot{a}$; o becomes $\ddot{\sigma}$, and $u$ becomes $i i$. $D$ and $s$ are, moreover, dropped. When $d$ is dropped the preceding vowel is pronounced in an abrupt short way, in what is generally known as the abrupt tone. When $s$ is dropped the preceding vowel is lengthened. Thus, tham-chä, classical tham-chad, all; yö, classical yod, is ; khyü, classical, 'akhyud, embrace ; gän-pa, classical rgan-pa, elder ; lön-pa, classical lon-pa, to pass; kïnn, classical kun, all ; ng $\bar{a}$, classical ngas, by me; dē, classical des, by him ; g $\ddot{\bar{c}}$, classical bgos, divide; dh $\overrightarrow{\vec{u}}$, classical $d u s$, time; käl-wa, classical skal-ba, share.

Final $n$ followed by $p$ or $b$ sounds as $m$.
Final $s$ after consonants is dropped and the preceding consonant is treated as if it were a final. Gs is, however, often dropped altogether ; thus, $n \bar{a}$, classical nags, forest; $l \bar{e}-p a$, classical legs-pa, good ; rī, classical rigs, class ; lō, classical logs, side; lū̀, classical lugs, manner, etc., in Lhasa.

Compound consonants are simplified in various ways. The written language, on the other band, retains them in accorlance with the practice of classical Tibetan.

In such compounds as are written with a sub-joined $y$ this $y$ remains unchanged after gutturals; with labials it coalesces to palatals. Thus, kyang, even ; gyur, become ; chhir, classical phyir, for the sake of ; jhye-pa, che-pa, classical byed-pa, to do.

Compounds of a mute consonant and a subscribed $r$ become cerebrals. $N r, m r$, and sometimes also $b r$, remain unchanged; $h r^{\circ}$ is commonly pronounced as shr, and $s p$ as $s$.

In many cases, however, the subscribed $r$ is simply dropped in U. Compare ta, classical skra, hair ; dhö-pa, tö-pa, classical grod-pa, belly ; tung-du, classical drung-du, before ; mrät-pa, classical smıas-pa, said; thugu and phugu, classical phrugu, cliil.
$Z l$ becomes $d$; thus, d $l a-v a$, classical $z l a-l a$, moon.
$D b$ is dropped; thus, $\dot{\bar{D}}$, written $d b u s$, name of one of the provinces of Tibet.
Other compounds are simplified in such a way that the first consonant or consonants are dropped. I'hns, $g y \ddot{\partial}$, written $b_{r g} g a d$, eight ; nga, written lnga, five; chik, written gchig, one ; diin, written bdun, seven, etc.

Article.-The numeral chik, one, is often used as an indefinite article. After vowels, except $o$, and after $m, r$, or $l$, chik is often changed to shik. In the modern colloquial, however, chik is common in all connexions.
'Ihe demonstrative pronouns $d i$, this, dhe, that, are often used in the colloquial as a definite article; thus, $m i-d h e$, the man ; $t \ddot{a}-p a d i$, the ladder.

Nouns-Gender.-Gender is distinguished in the usual way by means of different words or by adding suffixes or prefixes such as $p a$, po, etc., male; ma, mo, etc., female ; thus, a-pha, father ; a-ma, mother : khyo-po, husband ; khyo-mo, wife : jha-pa, cook; $j h a-m o$, hen : $y \bar{i}-p a$, boy ; mo- $y \bar{i}$, girl, etc.

Number.-The usual plural suffixes are tsho, cha or chak, and mam.
Case.-The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by means of suffixes. The dative is formed by adding $l a$; thus, $l a-m a-l a$, to a monk. The same form is also used as a locative. The suffix $n a$ is used to form another locative. The suffix of the ablative is $n \ddot{\bar{a}}$, or, sometimes, $l \ddot{\vec{a}}$. The so-called terminative, which denotes motion towards and which is formed, by adding one of the suffixes $d u, t u, s u, r u$, and $r$, is not in use in the colloquial.

The genitive is formed by adding $g i$ after final $k$ and $n g ; i$ or $y i$ after vowels, and kyi in all other cases. The case of the agent is formed by lengthening the final $i$ of the genitive ; thus, rang-gi, self of, own; nga-i, my ; khye-kyi, your ; yap-kyi, by the father. It will be seen that old finals such as the silent $d$ of $k h y e d$, you, is considered as extant and that hence the termination $k y i$ is employed, although the final is no longer heard in pronunciation.

In words ending in a vowel the case of the agent is also formed by lengthening and modifying the vowel as if an $s$ had been dropped ; thus, la-më̈ or la-ma-yi , by a Lama.

Adjectives.-Adjectives almost always follow the noun they qualify. In classical Tibetan they often precede it, beiug then placed in the genitive, and the same can also be the case in the colloquial. The suffix lät of the ablative is used as a particle of comparison; thus, mi-clhe-l苂 mi di ngän-pa-re, man-that-from man this bad-is, this man is worse than that man.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l}
\text { uga, I. } & \text { khÿ̈, lihye, thou. } & \text { kho, honorific khong, he. } \\
\text { nga-yi, ny弚, by me. } & \text { khÿ̈-lyy, by thee. } & \text { kho-yī, khü, by him. } \\
\text { ngü, my. } & \text { khyö̀-kyi, thy. } & \text { khoi, his. }
\end{array}
$$

The plural is formed bre alding the usmal suffixes. Before tsho an $n$ is often inserted, so thati we hear ngan-tghe, we ; thytn-tgho, you; khon-tsho, they, ete.

Reng, self, can be added to all the personal pronouns; thus, nga-rang-ght, my own.

Other pronouns are dak, kho-voo, nga-tgok, I; nyi-vang, nyi-chak, thou; nge-tgho, we; kho-wa, dhe-dak, they ; mo, she; di, this; dhe, that; di-kn, this one; dhe-ga, that one; ha-gi, that just yonder ; phar-!i, that far off ; ya-gi, that up there; ma-gi, this down below; su, who? ghang, which? what? ghare, what? chi, what? and so forth.

Verbs.-Several bases are used as a verb substantive. The most common ones are $y i n-p a, r e-p a, y \ddot{o}-p a$, and $d u k-p a$ or $d u-p a$. Polite forms are $c h k i-p a$ and $l \ddot{a}-p a$ or $l a k-p a$. Yin-pa and re-pa are the simple copula; $y \ddot{0}-p a$ and $d u-p a$ mean 'to be', 'to exist.' An intensive verb substantive is $m o ̈-p a$, to be indeed. The negative copula is min-pa, and the negative of $y \ddot{0}-p a$ is $m e-p a$.

With regard to finite verbs it should be remarked that the modern colloquial in most cases uses the perfect base of the literary dialect in all tenses.

The o which is added to the verb in classical Tibetan is commonly dropped in the colloquial.

Present time.-The base alone is used as a present tense; thus, kho-rang-ghi shambhu ghön, he wears a cap. Tho most common present is, however, formed by adding $y o ̈$ or $d u$ to the participle ending in $g h i$ (classical gin). Ghi yö is almost exclusively used in the third person. Ghi can also be followed by yin and $r e$, and the present ending in ghi-re is very common in Eastern Tibet. Compare nga-rang-ghi dung-ghi-yö, I strike; $k h y \ddot{\partial}-v^{\prime} a n g-g h \bar{\imath}$ dung-ghi-du, thou strikest. Periphrastic presents are formed by adding the verb substantive to gang preceded by the genitive of the verbal noun ending in $p a$, wa, or to kap preceded by the base ; thus, nga do-wai gang-yin, I am just going; di thi-kap-yö, I am just bringing it.

Past time.-A common past tense is formed by adding song, or, in the case of many verbs, jhung; thus, shi-song, died; thong-jhung, saw. Such forms do not appear to be used in the first person.

A common past tense is also formed from the participle or verbal noun ending in $p a$, wa, by adding $y i n$ in the first person and $d u$ or $v e$ in the second and thircl. Thus, ch $\ddot{a}-p a-y i n, ~ I ~ d i d ; ~ t h o p-p a-d u$, he got.
$Y \ddot{o}$ and $d u$ can also be added to the base ; thus, $d z a n g-d u$, he was sent. The base alone is also employed; thus, nang, gave.

Compound forms such as $d u l-n \ddot{a} y \ddot{\partial}$, having walked am, I have walked ; kho-pa to $s \ddot{\bar{c}}-\underline{t s} h a r-d u$, they have finished eating, etc., are of course often used.

Future.-The present is commonly used as a future; thus, ng $\ddot{\ddot{a}}$ dung-ghi-yin, I shall beat. Common suffixes are yong and gyu-yin, gyu-yö, etc.; thus, shu-yong, I shall say; nang-la do-gyu-yin, I will go home.

Imperative.-The base alone is used as an imperative. The imperative base of the classical dialect is uiten preserved; thus, do-wa, to go ; song, go : yong-wa, to come ; shok, come : khyak-pa, to carry; khyok, carry : tak-pa, to tie; tok, tie, ete.

Common suffixes are chik or shik, tang, and the more polite ro, ro-chik, or roch, ro-nang, ro-dzä, nang-chi, etc. Thus, nong-shik, give; lan di ten-io-dzö, please show the way. Ro is the classical grogs, help. The literal meaning of the last ex:mple is accordingly ' way this show-help-make.'

Verbal nouns and participles.-The various tenses are in reality verbal nouns. The most common verbal noun is, however, formed by alding pa or, if the base in classical Tibetan ends in a vowel or in $r$ and $l, w a$; thus, jhye-pa, to do ; do-wa, to go. Such forms are often used as finite tonses; thus, shä̈-pa, he said. In connexion with case suffixes and postpositions they are used in order to form various kinds of adverbial clauses, infinitives, etc.; thus, ser-war; saying-for, in order to say ; nyć-pa ch $\ddot{\vec{c}}-p \vec{a}$, sin doing-by, beoause I have sinned. They are morcover used as verbal and relative participles. In this sense another form ending in khän is, however, also used. When the participle ending in $p a$ or wa precedes a qualified noun it is put in the genitive; thus, $n g \ddot{\ddot{a}}$ thop-pai nor-käl, me-by getting-of property-share, the share of the property which I shall get; mi-po nga-la dung-khän dhe, man me-to striking that, the man who struck me. The participle is also used when the interrogative pronoun is applied as a kind of relative ; thus, nga-la gang yö-pa tham-chä khyö rang-ghi yin, me-to what being, all thine is.

A verbal noun, which is commonly used as an infinitive of purpose, is formed by adding $g y u$; thus, sa-gyu, to eat.

Conjunctive participles are formed by adding case suffixes to the base or by means of special suffixes such as de and te, ching and shing; thus, gang-la ser-na, 'why?' saying-in, if you ask why, because; lang-n $\ddot{\bar{t}}$, arising-from, having arisen; song-te, having gone ; cho-ching, doing ; sa-shing, eating, etc.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $m i$ or $m a$. In compound forms it precedes the last part of the compound. Mi is used with the present and future tenses ; ma with the past tenses and the imperative; thus, mi óso, I am not worthy; sa-mi-yong, I shall not eat; khye-kȳ̄ ma nang, you did not give; ma shok, don't come. It should be noted that the simple base is often used in the negative imperative even when the positive imperative differs ; thus, ma yong, not ma shok, don't come.

Interrogative particle. -The interrogative particle is am, or usually simply $a$, before which a final consonant is doubled ; thus, lep-jhung-nga, has he arrived?

For further details the student is referred to the works mentioned under the head of authorities in the general introduction to the Tibetan language and to the version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which follows. The latter is given in Tibetan characters and in transliteration. The Tibetan text represents the literary language, and not the colloquial speech of the people. It is written in the usual way, so that the spelling of the single words agrees with the form they assume in classical Tibetan. The transliterated text printed in ordinary type is a literal rendering of the Tibetan character. A second transliteration has been added in italics. It is a phonetical rendering of the text as pronounced by Lhasa people.

A list of Standard Words and Phrases, based on the hand-books of Messrs. Sandlberg and Henderson, will be found on pp. 140 and ff.
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## TRANSLITERATION AND TRANSLATION.

| Mi | zhig-la | bu | gnyis | yod-pa-red. | De-dag-las | chhung-ba | des | rang-gi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M i$ | shik-la | pu | $n y \bar{i}$ | yö-pa-re. | Te-dalk-lä̆ | chhung-wa | tē | rrang-gi |
| Man | one-to | sons | two | were. | Them-from | younger | the-by | own |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | nor-skal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p h a-l a$ | shül-pa, | $i$ | , | $\ddot{\square}$ | - |  | la | . |
| father-to | said, | my | father, | ne-by | ving. | property-share |  |  |



| song-ba-dang | der | spyod-pa | ngan-pa | byed-ching | nor | tshang-ma | chhud-zos-la |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| song-wa-tang | ter | chö-pa | ngän-pa | che-ching | nor | ts $h a n g-m a$ | chhï-zö̈-la |
| going-when | there | behaviour | evil | doing | wealth | all | wasting-in |


| o. | ang | os | nor | thams-chad | chhud-zos-la |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tang-ngo. | Yang | ¢̈ | nor | tham-chai | chhü̈-zö-la | tan |
| gave. | An | him-by | property | all | wasting- | giving-fiuishing-when |

yul de-la mu-ge chhen-po zhig byung-bas kho ltogs-par 'agyur-ro. Kho

| yul country |
| :---: |
|  |  |


| song-nas | yul | dei | grong-mi | zhig | dang | 'agrogs-nas | bsdad-pa-dang | des |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| song-n免 | yul | $t e-i$ | long-mi | shik | tang | tok-n"̈̀ | dä-pu-tang | $t \bar{e}$ |
| gome-having | country | that-of | villager | one | with | associated-having | stasing-when | himby |

kho phag-pa tsho-ru zhing-kha-la btang-ngo. Der kho phag-pas za-ba-i

|  | phak-pro swine | $\underline{t s h o-r u}$ fect-to | shing-kihu-la <br> field-dirction-lo | $\underset{\text { seut. }}{\text { tang }}$ | Ter There |  | phak-p $p \ddot{t}$ <br> swiue-ly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | ky | rang-gi | grod-pa | 'agrang-bar | 'adod |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots a g-b \bar{u}$ | kyang | rang-gi | $t \ddot{0}-p a$ | ng-wo |  | kyang, |  | sü-kyarbg |
| hwikbiby | aven | own | belly | fill-to | wished | cren, | man |  |


zer-na, nga-i bu 'adi shi-nas, slar gsos-pa-yin; stor-nas, brnyed-pa yin.' ser-na, nga-i pu di shi-n $n$, lar sȫ-pa-yin; tor-nä, nye-pa yin.' saying-in, my son this died-haviog, again slive-is; lost-having-been, found io.' De-nas de-dag skyid-po byed-pa-la zhugs-so.




## SPITI DIALECT.

The district of Spiti consists of the valleys of the Spiti and Pin Rivers and of a glacier region belonging to the western Himalaya system. It stretches southwards like a wedge between Lahul in the north-west and Kanawar in the south-east. The prevailing language over the whole of the district is Tibetan.

The number of speakers has been estimated for the purposes of this Survey at 3,548.
A list of Standard Words and Phrases in the Spiti dialect has been forwarded from Kangra. I have corrected it after the list of Spiti words printed in Mr. Jaeschke's Tibetan Dictionary. The list and the dictionary are the only sources of the remarks on the grammar of the Spiti dialect which follow.

## AUTHORITY-

Jäscame, H. A.-A Tibetan-English Dictionary with special reference to the pravailing dialects. To which is added an English-Tibetan Vocabulary. London, 1881, pp. xvi and ff.
The Spiti dialect is a form of Central Tibetan. In several forms it agrees with Ladakhī and connected forms of speech. In the most characteristic feature, however, viz., in the use of tones, it marches with Central Tibetan.

Phonology.-The vowels are the same as in classical Tibetan. $A-i$ is pronounced as $\ddot{\vec{a}}$; thus, $a-p h \ddot{a}$, of the father; $d e-n \ddot{\vec{a}}$, from there. It is of no consequence for this change whether the $i$ is original or has been derived from an $s$. In $o i$, on the other hand, $o$ and $i$ are pronounced distinctly; thus, khoi, his.

Initial soft consonants which are not preceded by a prefix in classical Tibetan, are pronounced with a strong aspiration. In the list of words, however, the aspiration has not been marked. Thus, ghang, classical gang, which? dhud-pa, classical dud-pa, smoke; bhu-mo, classical bu-mo, daughter, and so forth. Such words are pronounced in the deep tone. The same is the case with words beginning with $z$ and $z h$, which sounds have been changed to $s$ and $s h$ respectively. Thus, san, classical $z a n$, food; shag, classical zhag, day.

Final $g$ and $d$ are left unchanged ; thus, chig, one ; dug, six ; mig, eye ; gyad, eight; khyod, thou, and so forth. There is, however, a tendency to drop them in certain positions. Thus, ba-ma, classical bag-ma, bride; khyoi and khyod-ki, classical khyod-kyis, by thee, and so forth.

Final $s$ is changed to $i$, or, if preceded by a consonant, dropped. Thus, ri, classical ris, quarter ; chi shë, classical chishes, who knows? perhaps; dhui, classical dus, season, time; ghö, classical gos, cloth; nä, classical nas, from ; nam, classical rnams, many, and so forth. Gs is, however, sometimes retained, and bs becomes $u$; thus, ra-rigs-nam, goats ; chhiu, classical chhibs, horse; shu , classical shubs, case, and so forth.
$B$ between vowels is usually pronounced as $\tau 0$; thus, $s a-w a$, classical $z a-b a$, to eat; shi-wa, classical shi-ba, to die. Compare, however, chha-a, going; kho-ba, they.

Compound consonants are simplified in various ways. Gutturals before $y$ are retained, but the following $y$ is dropped if it precedes an $i$; thus, ghyon-pa, classical gyon-pa, to put on, to wear ; $k i$ and $g i$, the suffix of the genitive; $k h i$, classical $k h y i$, dog. Compare, however, chhong-ba, classical 'a-khyong-ba, to bring.

Labials and $y$ become palatals. Thus, chhed, classical phyed, half; ja, classical bya, bird.

An $r$ coalesces with a preceding letter to a cerebral ; thus,tad-pa, classical krad-pa, leather; thon-pa, classical khron-pa, a spring ; dhi, classical gri, knife; dhon-mo, classical dron-mo, warm ; thu-ghu, classical phru-gu, child. $S_{r}$ becomes $8 h r$; thus, shringmo, sister.
$Z l$ becomes $d$ in $d a-w a$, classical $z l a-b a$, moon.
In other cases the first component of compound consonants is dropped. Thus, $b a-l a n g$, classical $b a-g l a n g$, cow; kang-pa, classical rkang-pa, foot; ta, classical rta, horse ; dzi-0, classical rdzi-bo, a shepherd; che, classical lche, tongue; kar-ma, classical skar-ma, star; dod, classical sdod, sit ; na, classical sna, nose; Pi-ti, classical Spi-ti, name of a district; chig, classical gchig, one; ser, classical gser, gold ; kar-po, classical dkar-po, white ; ngul, olassical dngul, silver; chu, clàssical bchu, ten ; shi, classical bzhi, four; go, classical mgo, head ; dun-n $\ddot{\bar{a}}$, classical mdun-nas, before, and so forth.

Note also sha and ta, classical skra, hair; teu, classical spreu, monkey; dang-bu, classical sbrang-bu, fly; chod-ba, classical spyod-pa, to do; zhar-wa, classical sbyar-ba, name of a tree; nyon-pa, classical smyon-pa, insane; ug, classical dbugs, breath, and so forth.

Tones and accents are the same as in the Central Dialect. The difference between low-toned aspirates derived from old uncompound soft consonants and high-toned aspirates derived from old soft consonants with a prefix, is more marked than in other dialects.

Inflexional system.-The inflexional system in most characteristics agrees with classical Tibetan. I shall only draw attention to some few features in which it differs.

The prefix $a$ is used in words such as $a-p h a$, father ; $a$-ma, mother ; $a$-cho, brother; $a-k h u$, uncle, and so forth.

The particle of comparison is sang as in Ladakhi; thus, khoi a-cho khoi a-chi sang thon-po dug, his brother is taller than his sister.

The pronoun nga, I , is apparently nasalized; thus, $n g \tilde{a}, \mathrm{I} ; n g \tilde{a}$, my. The plural is nga-zha, or, perhaps, nga-sha. Compare Ladakhī. 'I'hou' is khyud, or khyo, genitive $k h y o i$ and $k h y c d-k i$, plural khyo-zha. Note also $k h o-b a$, they. 'The actual pronunciation of the latter word is perhaps $k h o-w a$.

With regard to verbs we may note that, according to the list of words, there seems to be a tendency to distinguish the first from the second and third persons. It is, however, not possible to state whether this is really a feature of the spoken dialect.

The verb substantive is formed from the bases yin, yod, and dug; past yoddin or dugpin.

The first person of the present tense is, according to the list, formed by adding yod, am, to a participle ending in a, before which a final consonant is doubled. Thus, chha-a yod, I go ; gyab-ba yod, I strike. The same form is, however, also used in the third person ; thus, dad-da yod, he lives.
'The second and third persons are formed by adding $d u g$, or, after vowels, rug, to the base; thus, gyab-dug, strikest; ts ho-rug, he is grazing.

In the past tense we find forms such as gyab-ban, I struck, he struck; gyab-song, thou struckest; song-ban, went.

The future is formed by adding $i n$, i.c. yin, as in Ladakhī ; thus, gyab-in, will strike. Yin-do, shall be, literally means 'I may be,' as in Ladakhī.

In the imperative we may note forms such as len-tong, take; ching-tong, bind, and so forth.

The usual verbal noun is formed as in Ladakhi. Thus, chha-che, to be; gyab-che, to beat. Note also the participle song-khan, gone; compare Purik and Ladakhi.

The preceding remarks are far from being exhaustive. It is, however, hoped that they are sufficient to show how the Spiti dialeot must be classified.

A list of Standard Words and Phrases will be found on pp. 142 and ff. below.

## NYAMKAT.

A Tibetan dialect is spoken along the upper course of the Satlej in Kanawar. The number of speakers has been estimated for the purposes of this Survey at 1,544. The name of the dialect is Nyamkat.

Nyam-kat, classical mnyam-skad, means 'the Nyam speech,' lit. 'the language of the equals.' The dialect is also known under other names such as Bad-kat, i.e., Bodskad, Tibetan ; Sanyyas, i.e., probably sangs-rgyas, the (dialect of the) Buddhists. The speakers are sometimes also called Jad as in Tehri Garhwal.

Nyamkat is closely related to Spiti and Jad. There are apparently very ferv traces of an influence exercised by Kanāw ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{r} \overline{1}$. I may mention the form ke-song, he gave to us; compare tang-song, he gave to them.

A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son in the Nyamkat dialeot will be printed below. It has been forwarded in Dēvanāgari and transliteration. I have only printed the latter text. The original does not distinguish between $c h$ and $\underline{t g}, j$ and $\underline{d z}, c h h$ and $\underline{t} h, j, z$, and $z h$, respectively. I have, however, distinguished between those various sounds as in the Tibetan of Spiti and Garhwal.

Phonology.-The phonetical system is in most characteristics the same as in Spiti and Tehri Garhwal.

A strong aspiration of soft consonants can be inferred from spellings such as de-ne and te-ne, then; shang, classical zhag, day; $z a-j a$, to eat, but soi-ne, eating, and so forth. Final soft consonants are hardened; thus, thak-ving, far ; dot-pa, belly ; thop, to be found.

A final $s$ is dropped, and a preceding vowel is lengthened; thus, khang-zhing-n $\bar{a}$, from the property; $n \bar{\imath}$, i.e., probably $n y \bar{\imath}$, classical $g n y i s$, two ; $d \bar{u}$, classical $d u s$, season; $g \bar{o}$, classical $d g o s$, it is necessary ; tuk, classical btugs, kissed. In some cases, however, $s$ is changed to $i$ as in Spiti. Compare soi-ne, classical zos-nas, having eaten; goi-pe, classical bgos-pai, dividing.

The suffix $p a, b a$ takes the form wa after vowels, $n g, r$, and probably also after $l$; thus, shi-sha-wa, died; song-wa, went; zer-woa, said. Instead of wa we sometimes find $a$; thus, diya, asked; yong-a, came. Lä-po, a servant, therefore corresponds to Tibetan las-pa, and not to gla-bo.

Double consonants are simplified in the usual way.
$B y$ becomes $c h$; thus, chuk, classical byugs, he patted. $Y$ is dropped after consonants before $e$ and $i$; thus, kher, classical 'akhyer, bring; phit-ka, classical phyed-ka, half ; phi-la, classical phyi-la, after. In other cases $y$ is retained after gutturals; thus, gyuk, classical rgyuk, run.

Compound consonants containing an $r$ as the last component are changed to cerebrals. Thus, dang-wa, classical 'agrang-ba, to satisfy; di-ya, classical 'adri-ba, asked; thu-gu, classical phru-gu, a son.

In other compounds the first consonant is dropped; thus, kon-chok, classical dkonmchhog, God; tuk, classical gtugs, kissed; goi-pe, classical bgos-pas, dividing; dung. classical rdung, beat; dan-la, classical ldan-la, with; lang-woa, classical slang-ba, to rise; $k a t$, classical skad, word, and so forth.

Tones and accents are probably the same as in Spiti.

Inflexional system.-The suffix of the case of the agent is su as in Jad; thus, $a b a-s u$, by the father. The other cases are formed as in classical Tibetan.
'Thou' is khe-rang and khyot-rang.
With regard to verbs we may note the verb substantive hin, past hat, oorresponding to classical Tibetan yin, yod, respectively.

The usual forms of the past add song or pa, wa; thus, zer-song, said; zer-voa, said. Forms such as tang-we, gavest, belong to the partioiple ending in wa. Compare kher-we, having brought; goi-pe, having divided. Doi, went, stands for dos, i.e. 'agros.

In the imperative suffixes such as go, shok, chuk are usually added. Thus, tangogo, to give is necessary, give; khur-shok, bring ; cho-chuk, make, and so forth. Chuk is a causal termination.

The usual form of the verbal noun ends in $j a$; thus, $z a-j a$, to eat.
For further details the student is referred to the specimen which follows.
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Mi chik ṭhu-gu ni hat. Chhungã̃-su aba-la zer-song,
Man one(-of) sons two were. Young-by father-to said, 'ngã-rang-la, ya aba, khe-rang-gi khang-zhing-nā nga-rang-la phit-ka 'me-to, $\quad 0$ father, your' house-field-from me-to half tang-gō.' Aba-su thu-gu ni-la khang-zhing goi-pe tang-song. Shang-pa give.' Father-by sons two-to property dividing gave. Days nī sum phi-la thu-gu chhungã̄-su kho-rang-gi khang-zhing jamyo cha-song. two three after son young-by his property together made. Thak-ring yul-la do-ne kho-rang-gi zhing-kha najung-la tang-song. Te-ne

Far country-to going his property girls-to gave. Then kho zhing-kha za-thung-zin-song, de-ne de yul-la nā-met sal. his property eat-drink-finished, then that country-in famine spread. Khorang nor-me-char-gok song. De yul-la mi chig-gi lā-po He poor became. That country-in man one-of service che-song. Kho-rang-su zhing phak tsho-la tang-song. De-ne kho-rang-gi did. Him-by field swine feeding-for sent. Then his phak-ghi bak-pho bat soi-ne dot-pa dang-we hin; kho-rang-la zhan-ma swine-of soiled husks eating belly satisfying is; him-to else gang-tang ma thok-pe hin. De-ne liho-rang sam-la, 'ngã-rang-gi anything not being-got was. Then he mind-in, 'my abai khang-la lā-po tsam duk. Kho-rang-la za-ja thung-ja father-of house-in servants how-many are. Them-to eating drinking darung mi-la go-ting poli mang-bo duk. Ngã tokri-su shiyā still men-to dividing-after bread much is. I hunger-from dying duk. Ngã lang-we aba-la do-yong,' khorang-su zer-song, 'lo aba, am. I rising father-to go-shall,' him-by said, 'O father', ngã-su khe-rang-gi dun-la kon-chok mu-la shak-pa che-song. Ngã me-by thy presence-in God with sin did. I khe-rang-gi thu-gu zer-ja phocha-medo. Nga-rang-la khyot-rang-gi dan-la your son to-say able-not-am. Me you-of with
lā-po bar chho.' Kho lang-we kho-rang-gi aba dan-la dul-song. servant like make.' He rising his father near went. Ṭhu-gu thak-ring duk, kho-rang-gi aba-su thong-song; kho-rang-gi thu-gui Son far is, his father-by sato; his son-of jug-jar che-song; dul-ne song-wa, jug-po chug, kha tuk-song. Kho-rang-gi pity made; going went, neck patted, mouth kissed. His
thu-gu-su zer-song, 'lo aba, nga-rang-su khyot-gi dun-la kon-chok mu-la son-by said, ' $O$ father, me-by thee-of before God to shak-pa che-song. Tak-sang ngã khe-rang-gi thu-gu zer pho-cha-medo.' sin did. Now I your son to-say able-not-am.' Aba-su thok-po-la zer-wa, 'ga-mo ga-mo reshat kho-rang-la gon-we Father-by servants-to said, 'good good cloth him-to putting-on khur-shok; lak-pa-la dugū-jukū-la (i.e., dzug-gu-la) sur-tup, da-rung kang-ba-la bring; hand-on finger-ons ring, and feet-on

| kapsha | gon-we | khur-shok. | Ngã-rang-la | za-ja | thung-ja | tong, | da-rung |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shoes | putting-on | bring. | $U_{8}$-to | eating | drinking | give, | and |
| sim-sol | cho-chuk. | Dar-ling | nga-rang-gi | thu-gu | shi-song, | tak-sang | sanyo |
| merry | make. | This-for | $m y$ | son | died, | now | alive | yong-song; nga-rang-la sim-sol cho-chuk.' came; us-to merry make.'

Ta-sang kho-rang-gi ṭhu-gu chheyā zhing-kha-la hat. Te-ne kho-rang Then his son elder field-in was. Then he kbang-bai ne-mo yong-song, phit-la lu tse go-song. Kho-rang-su house-of near came, outside singing dancing understood. Him-by yok-po-la kat-gyap-song da-rung kho-rang di-ya, 'chi tam hin?' servant-to called and him asked, 'what matter is ?'

| Kho-rang-su | kho-rang-la | zer-wa, 'khyo-rang-gi | no | yong-a | hin; |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Him-by | him-to | said, | 'thy | younger-brother | come | is; |

khyo-rang-gi aba-su kho-rang-gi phi-la za-ja thung-ja tang-song.
thy father-by his sake-for eating drinking gave.

Chi-la, kho na-chba-met-pa lok-ne yong-song.' Kho tshik-pa sai-song, Why, he illness-not-being returning came.' He word ate, nang-la mi do-ser-wa. De-ne kho-rang-gi aba phit-la thon-song; inside not go-roould. Therefore his father outside came; kho-rang-la sol-chan che-pe, kho-rang-su aba-la zer-wa, 'lo mang-bo him-to entreaty doing, him-by father-to said, 'years many
khe-rang-gi lā-po che-pa, nga-rang-su khe-rang-gi tam-la med serphat. your service doing, me-by your word not transgressed.
Khe-rang-su nam-shi-bar-du nga-rang-la chik chbung ra-bo ma tang-song, Thee-by ever-even me-to one small goat not gavest, VOI. III, PAllt I.
ohuk-hin-am, nga-rang-gi shak-po mu-la rang-thak che-pa. Daji kho thu-gu woherefore, my friends with feast to-make. But that son ohhung $\bar{a}$ yong-wa; kho-rang-su nor tsbang-ma na-jung-la tang-wa-zin-song, young came; him-by property all harlots-to to-give-finished, khe-rang-su kho-la za-ja thung-ja tang-we.' Kho-rang-su zer-wa, 'to you-by him-to eating drinking gavest.' Himby said, 'O nga-rang-gi ṭhu-gu, khyot nga-rang-dang; da-rung chi hin-na nga-rang-gi my son, thou me-with; and what is my nang-la thob-ong, kho khe-rang-la tshang-ma hin. Nga-rang-la do-chuk louse-in will-be-found, that thee-to all is. $\bar{U}_{6}$-to go $\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { kham-zang; } & \text { khe-rang-gi } & \text { no } & \text { shi-sha-wa, tak-sang sanyo doi; } \\ \text { merry; } & \text { your } & \text { younger-brother } & \text { deod-was, } & \text { now } & \text { alive } \\ \text { voent }\end{array}$ merry; your younger-brother dead-was, now alive went; tor song-wa hin, tak-sang thop-song.' lost gone was, now found-was.'

## JAD DIALECT.

The Bhōtiās of Nilang in Tehri Garhwal are called Jaḍs. They have originally come from Tibet. According to the District Gazetteer, they have now a large admixture of Garhwali and Bashahri blood, due in a great measure to the former practice of purchasing slave girls from the poorer Garhwalis.

The Jads are the carriers and brokers with Tibet, like the Bhötiās of the Kumaon valleys. In the winter they migrate southwards to Dhunda on the Bhagirathi some seven or eight marches below Nilang.

The number of speakers has been estimated for the purposes of this Survey at 106. At the last Census of 1901, there were 204 speakers of Bhötiā in Tehri Garhwal.

The Jad dialect is closely related to the Tibetan spoken in Spiti. The materials available are not sufficient for settling all questions of detail. The general character of the dialect will, however, be easily recognized.

A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son in the Jad dialect will be printed below. It has been forwarded in the Tibetan character usual in the district, and in transliteration. The transliteration in one or two places differs from the original. I have not corrected such passages, because the transliteration apparently presents the better text. On the other hand, I have silently correoted the very numerous blunders in transliterating single words.

Phonology.--The phonetic system is, mainly, the same as in Spiti.
Initial soft consonants are pronounced with a very strong aspiration. The consequence is that they usually appear as hard letters. Thus, $p a$, cow ; shu-ba, said ; sin-ba, to be exhausted, and so forth.

Final $g$ is apparently pronounced as $k$; thus, $d u k$, is; mik, eye.
Final $d$ is sometimes dropped, and sometimes pronounced as $t$. Thus, to-pa, classical Tibetan grod-pa, belly ; do-cha, classical sdod-ches, to sit; yot, classical yod, is.

Final $s$ is always dropped. Thus, gyal-kham, classical rgyal-khams, country ; nyi, classical gnyis, two ; nam, classical rnams, all. Nas becomes ne, i.e., nä, thus, di-ne, thereafter.

Double consonants are simplified, usually so that the first one is dropped. Thus, nyi, classical gnyis, two ; son, classical gson, alive ; nang, classical gnang, give ; gu, classical $d g u$, nine; go, classical bgod, divide; shi, classical $b z h i$, four; dun, classical $b d u n$, seven ; lka, classical lka, word ; ta, classical rta, horse ; dung, classical rdung, beat; go, classical mgo, head ; gya-tsho, classical rgya-mtsho, sea; nya, classical lnga, five; che, classical lche, tongue; chak, classical lchags, iron; kon, classical skon, put; kal, classical skal, share ; mra, classical smra, say, and so forth.

Labials conjunct with $y$ become palatals. Thus, cha-ba, classical bya-ba, deeds; chhi-la, classical phyi-la, outside, and so forth. In a similar way we often find $c h, j, c h h$ instead of $k y$, khy, gy, respectively. Thus, sa-ju, classical za-rgyu, eating for; chho-chi, classical khyod-kiyi, thy. This latter change, however, does not appear to be more than a tendency. Compare gyal-sa, country; gyet, eat; yap-ki, of the father, and so forth.

When the last component of a compound letter is $r$, the whole compound is pronounced as a cerebral. 'Thus, $t a$, classical skra, hair ; do-wa, classical 'a-gro-ba, go ; tu, classical $g r u$, ship ; $t i$, classical ' $a-d r i$, ask ; tan, I, corresponding to classical bran, slave, and so forth.

Note da-wa, classical zla-ba, moon; ul-bo, classical dbul-ba, poor.
It is not possible to state how the $p$ and $b$ of the verbal suffixes $p a, p o, b a, b o$, is pronounced. The regular form after vowels is apparently $w$. The same is probably the case after $n g, r$, and $l$. The specimen is, however, far from being consistent.

Tones and accent are probably the same as in the Central Dialect.
Inflexional system.-The various suffixes used in the inflexion of nouns and verbs are mainly the same as in classical Tibetan. I shall only make some few remarks on characteristic points.

Nouns and pronouns.-The suffix of the case of the agent is $s u$; thus, yab-su, by the father.

Note the use of the prefix $a$ in words such as $a-b a$, father ; $\alpha-m a$, mother; $a-c h o$, elder brother, and so forth. Compare Ladakhi.

The particle of comparison is apparently sang as in Ladakhī. Compare ti a-cho ting-mo sang chhungun ring-bo tuk, his brother sister a little than more tall is, bis brother is taller than his sister.

In addition to nga-rang, I, we also find tan, I. Ṭan is Tibetan bran, a slave. Note also chho-chi and chho-rang-gi, thy ; khi and kho-rang-gi, his.

Verbs.-The most usual form of the past is the verbal noun ending in pa; thus, shu-ba, said. A periphrastic past is formed by adding song, went ; thus, chung-song, became ; nang-ba song, gave, and so forth.

In addition to the classical verbal noun ending in $p a$ and similar suffixes, we also find such as are formed by adding a suffix corresponding to Ladakhī ches. Thus, do-cha, to sit; hong-ja, to come; tong-zha, seeing ; dung-sha, beating, and so forth.

For further details the version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which follows should be consulted. The specimen is not a good one, avd it should be used with oaution.
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## TRANSLITERATION AND TRANSLATION.

(State Tehri Gakefal.)
Mi chik-la pū nyi. Yang pū chung-ma yab-la shū-ba, Man one-to sons two. And son younger father-to said, 'nga-rang-la, yap, nor-ki kāl nang-gō.' Yab-su pü-la 'me-to, father, property-of share give-must.' Father-ly son-to nor-ki kāl nang-ba-song, Shag mang-bo ma song, pū chung-ma-su property-of share gave. Days many not went, son young.by nor-nam dū-ba, gyal-kham-la song jang tī-ru rang-gi nor-nam-la property-all gathered, country-to went and there own property-all-to khang-men cha-ba metar-song. Yang nor-sak-nam sin-song-ba, di evil doing squandered. Aud property was-exhausted, that gyal-sa-ru sa-ju mi duk, yang nor met-pa ul-po-ru song. country-in eating-means not was, and property not-being misery-in went. Yang di gyal-sa-ki mi chhepo chik tung-du song-ba, yang mī And that country-of man great one before went, and man chhepo-su sakhet chik-tu phak tsho-ru tang-ba-song. Yang phak sa-bi great-by field one-to swine feeding-for sent. And swinc eaten sak-ti rang so-na to dang-ba song; yang-su phu-ma yang mi food-that self ealen-if belly satisfied woas; anyone-by straw even not tang-ba duk. Yang sem-la tong-ba țan-ba chung-ba ti-tar giving was. And mind-in entering consideration was-produced thus mra-wa, 'rang-gi yap-ki yog-po mang-po yot; yoc-po-la to mang-po said, 'self-of father-of servants many are; servants-to belly much do-ba yot, yang rang-ni tok-shi-la song. Ṭan rang-ni yap-ki going is, and self hunger-dying went. I self father-of tung do-yong, yang sluu-yong, "yap, tan-ni kon-chhok-ki chho-rang-gi house vill-go, and will-say, "father, I heaven-of you-of tung-du layok-chung, yang ta-ni shikten di-ru-la ma yong-song, before sinner-became, and now world here-in not came, chho-rang-gi pū yang di-ru: jep-yong. Ṭan-la rang-gi yok-po chik-tang your son still here exchange-will. Me] self-of servant one-roith VOL. IIT, Pant I.
da-ba dzot.", $\quad$ Di-ne $\quad$ song-ba rang-gi yab-ki tung•du yong. like appoint.": This-from went self-of father-of near came. Yang kho yang sa-thak-ring-po yot-pa, yang thong-zha kho-rang-gi yap-ni And he still far-off was, and seeing his father nying-je chung, yang jug-ni wok-ma-la tham-ba mang-po mang-po pity became, and running neck-on embraced many many tok-ma-po chung. Pū-su shū-ba, 'yap, tan-su kon-chhok tang yab-ki kissing ensued. Son-by said, 'father, me-by heaven and father-of layok chung; yang tshe-di tang ma chung-song, yang chho-chi pū sinner became; and time-this worthy(?) not became, still thy son di ma chung-song.' Yap-rang-su kho-rang-gi yok-nam-la mra-wa, 'go this not became.' Father-by own' servants-to said, 'cloth lak-po nyo-shok ti mi-la kon-shok; yang ti lak-pa-la surtup tang good buy this man-on put; and his hand-on ring and kang-ba-la lham kon-shok. Yang tan sem-la geri chung-ba; pũ di feet-on shoes put. And I mind-in merry became; son this shi-ba sem-chung, jang son-ba chung; tor-song-ba sem-chung-ba, yang dead thought, and alive became; lost-gone thought, and thob-chung-ba.' Yang sem-ba-la geri chung mang-bo ohung. found-wus.' And mind-in merry to-become much became.

Ti-shi pu chhe-ba sakhet duk-pa. Chhi-lok gye-ba khang-ba nye-mo-la Then son big field was. Outside going house near seba tang lulen tshor-ba. Yang yog-po chik-la ka nang-ba yang, dancing and singing heard. And servant one-to word gave and, 'di chi?' yang yog-po rang-su ti-ba. 'Tan-rang no-mo-chung (sic) di 'this what?' and servant self-by asked. 'My (?) brother-younger that lep-chung-ba, chbo-rang yap-su mang-bo sin-pa tang-song, klo-rang-gi has-come, your father-by much food gave, his sem-la kit-po sem-song.' Yang sem-ba-la tshik-pa chung-ba, khang-pa-la mind-in happy thought.' And mind-to anger ensued, house-into mi lok-sem-ba. Yang yab chhi-la ton-ne semso chuk. 'To lo not return-would. And father out coming to-entreat began. 'Lo year's tar-na rang-gi shab-chik yin. Yang chho-rang-gi ka-la ka-shu-ba. according-to your servant am. And , your word-to obeyed. Chho-rang-su tan-rang-la ri-gu chik yang ma tang, rang-gi rok nyam You-by me-to kid one even not gavest, own friend with sem-pa-chi. Yang chho-chi pū lep-chung, ti-su rang-gi nor-sab-nam to-make-merry. And your son arrived, him-by own property shang-tishung-ma nyam te-ba-la tang-song, chho-rang-su khi phi-la shin-ba harlots with joining gave, youbly his sake-for feast
tang-song.' Yang khong-su, 'pū, chho-rang tan-nyam' yot lo tar; gave.' And him-by, 'son, you me-with are years according-to; rang-la yot-pi chho-rang-la yin. Sem-la ga-ba yin, ga-ba-ohi tang-po self-to being you-to is. Mind-in to-rejoice is, rejoicing-of proper yin. Yang chbo-rang-gi no-mo(sic) shik-song-ba, yang con-song; tor-song, is. And your brother dead-ゅas, and alide-came; lost-voss, thop-song.'
found-roas.'

[^7]
## GARHWAL DIALECT.

Tibetan is spoken by the Bhōtiās of Painkhanda in Garhwal. The southern boundary of the Bhōtiā tract consists of a line drawn from the western slope of Nanda Deir south-west to Trisul, thence north-west along the northern slopes of the Nandak peaks and along the water-shed between the Biri-Ganga and the feeders of the Dhauli to Salighat near Pana on the road between Ramni and Joshinath, whence it follows the Garur-Ganga to Pakhi. The Bhōtiās of the Mana and Niti valleys are called Mārchas.

Tibetan is also spoken by most of the Tolchas, the inhabitants of the villages not occupied by Bhötiās in the Niti valley. They are of Khas origin.

The number of speakers of Tibetan in Garhwal has been estimated for the purposes of this Survey at 4,300. The corresponding figures at the last Census of 1901 were 1,891 .

The Garhwal dialect is closely related to the form of Tibetan spoken by the Jads of Tehri Garhwal.

The materials forwarded from Garhwal for the purposes of this Survey do not appear to be trustworthy. There cannot, however. be any doubt about the general character of the dialect.

Phonology.-Soft initial consonants are probably pronounced with a strong aspiration, so as to be scarcely distinguisbable from the corresponding hard sounds. Thus, $t i$, this ; te, that ; sa, to eat, and so on. In very many cases, however, the soft unaspirated consonant is retained, at leust in writing. Thus, $d u g$, is.

Final $g$ and $d$ are apparently rather faintly sounded. Compare spellings such as $d u$, is; de, i.e., probably dö, classical Tibetan sdod, remain. Usually, however, the two sounds are preserved.

Final $s$ is dropped. Compare nyi, classical Tibetan gnyis, two ; sap-ji, classical zhabs-phyi, servant. As becomes e, i.e., $\ddot{a}$; thus, te-ne, thereafter.
$Z h$ occurs as $s h, j$, and $s$; thus, shatr, classical zhag, day; ji, classical bzhi, four ; sap-ji, classical zhabs-phyi, servant. The actual pronunciation is probably sh.
$J$ is also often written instead of classical $z$. Thus, jung(-song), classical bzung, seized. Similarly $j$ and $d z, c h$ and $t s$, are not properly distinguished in the specimen.

Compound consonants are simplified. The first consonant is dropped if the last component is not a $y$ or an $r$. Thus, leb, classical slebs, came; ta, classical lta, see; gon, classical sgon, put on ; gal, classical sgal, a load ; top, classical stob, food; de, classical sdod, remain ; jim, classical gzim, sleep; kon-pa, classical dkon-pa, dear ; dhu, classical bsdus, close; go, classical mgo, head; tghnn, classical ḿtshan, might, and so forth.

A $y$ coalesoes with a preceding $b$ or $p h$ to $c h$ or $j$; thus, chung and jung, classical byung, became; sap-ji, classical zhabs-phyi, servant. Phyi, however, also becomes phi; thus, phi-la, for the sake of.

The genitive suffix gyi, kyi, becomes chi; thus, nam-chi, of the heaven. Khy, on the other hand, remains, or is changed to $k h$ if an $e$ follows. Thus, khyo and khe, thou.

Compound letters containing an $r$ as the last component are changed to cerebrals. Thus, thuk-pa, classical 'a-khrug-pa, quarrel; do, classical 'agro, go; thu-gu, classical phruogu, child, and so forth.

Note $d a-w a$, classical $z l a-b a$, month.
Tones and accents are probably the same as in other dialeots of Central Tibetan.
Nouns and Pronouns.-The prefix $a$ is used in a-pa, father.
The suffix $l a$ is very commonly used to form the case of the agent in the Parable; thus, thu-gu chhung-la apa-la ka lab, son youngest-by father-to word said, the youngest son said to his father. This use of $l a$ is perhaps due to misunderstanding by the translator.

The suffix $s u$ is used to form an ablative. Thus, tokri-su, from hunger; Jang-su, from Tibet.

With regard to pronouns we may note hago and ogo, I; nge, my, I; nga-la, by me, to me; nga-rang, we ; khyo and khe, thou; kho and kho-ba, he; kho-ba-i, by him; kho$b e-l a$, by him, to them; di-ba, they.

Verbs.-A compound present can be formed by adding $d u g$, is, to a partioiple ending in lchan; thus, shi-khan-dug, am dying.

Several forms are in use in the past tense. In addition to forms such as lab, thought, said; ma song, did not go ; jung, became ; do-song, went, and so forth, we may note such as are made by adding tghar or yod to the base. Thus, cha-yod, did; tangyod, sent; cha-tghar, made.

The usual verbal noun ends in $j a$; thus, $(l o-j a$, going ; $\underline{t} \boldsymbol{f} h o-j a$, to feed.
The conjunctive participle ends in tin, i.e. perhaps $t \tilde{\imath}$; thus, do-tin, having gone.

For further details the student is referred to the specimens which follow. The first is the beginning of a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son, and the second is the statement of a witness. The latter is apparently much more correct than the former.
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## Specimen I.

(Painkhanda, Garhwal.)
Chik-che-na mi-chi nyi ṭhu-gu du. Kho-su thu-gu chhung-la apa-la A-certain man-of two sons were. Them-from son young-by father-to ka lab, 'yo apa, chi nor jung-na nge kal-la tang-chhuk.' word said, ' $O$ father', which property becomes my share-to give.' Te-ne kho-ba-i kho-be-la rang nor kal-la-cha-tshar. Mang-po shak-pa Then him-by them-to own property share-into-made. Many days ma song, thu-gu chhung kun dum che-tin sa-ring-bo do-song. Kho-be not went, son young all together making country-far went. There
jun-ba jung-tin shak-po don-met khem-yod, rang nor-chi med-ra-che. extravagant being days useless spent, own property-of squandered. Kho-be-la kun med-ra-cha-tㅎhar, te-ne tha-la mang-mo kon-po jung. Him-by all squandered-made, then there big famine fell. Kho-rang kopryā jung. Kho do-tin tha-la yul-ba-la mi chik-la He poor became. He going there country-in man one-with dod-ja cha-yod; kho-ba-i rang sing-la phak tsho-ja tang-yod. Kho-la residing made; him-by own field-to swine to-feed sent. Him-by phung-ma phak sa-ja rang tot-pa khe-che-ja nak-sum-yod; jan mi-duk grass swine food own belly to-fill wanted; other not-vcas kho-be-la chhung tang-ja. Te-ne sem-la hago jung, kho-la lim-to anything to-give. Then mind-in consciousness came, him-by lap-song, 'nge apa tsam mi-la top-ja chi-ḍa-bu-ţang takri said, 'my father(-of) many men-to food how-good bread tang-ja-yod; hago tokri-su si-khan du. Nge long-tin rang-chi apa is-given; $I$ hunger-from dying am. I arising own-of father tea-la do-ong kho-la ka-chha lab-yong, "yo apa, rang-la nam-chi near go-shall him-to words say-shall, "O father, self-by heaven-of dik-pa, khyo thong nyi-lo dikpa cha-yocl. Nंge seba khyo-chi ṭhu-gu sia, your sight, near sin did. I again thy son
lap-ja ma jung. Nga-la khe-rang-chi yok-po chik chokta nga-la yang to-say not became. Me your servant one like me also chi."' Te-ne tho long-tin rang apa tga-la do-yod. Kho-rang make." Then he arising own father near went. He ring-bo-la yod, kho-be apa-la kho thong-tin chhen nying-je; kho shari far was, his father-by him seeing much pity; he quickly do, kho-be-chi oli jung-tin jum-yod. Ṭhu-gu lab-yod, 'yo apa, went, his neck seizing kissed. Son said. 'O father, nge-la nam-chi dik-pa khyo thong nyi-ba dik-pa cha-yod. Seba me-by heaven-of sin thy sight near sin did. Again khyo-chi thu-gu lap-ja ma jung.' Kho-so apa-la rang sap-ji-la thy son to-say not became.' Then father-by own servants-to lab-yod, 'kun thi-ya pu-tsang gon-ja tho-tin kho-la gon-chhuk. Kho-chi said, 'all with good cloth taking him-to put-on. His lak-pa-la jugu, kho-chi kang-ba kap-sha gon-chhuk. Nga-rang sa-ja-su hand-on ring, his feet shoes put-on. We eating khyo dalpu-chi; khe-rang-chi ṭhu-gu shi-khan yang son-po tel; and(?) rejoice-let; ou-self-of son dead even alive came; $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { tor-tin } & \text { thob-song.' } & \text { Kho-rang } & \text { dal-pu jung-yod. } \\ \text { having-been-lost } & \text { was-found. } & \text { They } & \text { merry became. }\end{array}$
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## Specimen II.

(Painklanda, Garhwal.)
Di da-wa-la dang-ma, nge Jang-su lok-tin tel-khan dug.
This month-in beginning, $I$ Tibet-from returning coming was. Gya-tha-pa lug ogo nye-bo dug. Ti-la tsha le bal bal-yod Hundred sheep me with were. These-on salt borax wool loaded dug. Ogo nyi-bo Nenu Padam-Si Ringjin Sowanu ti mi ji was. Me with Nenu Padam-Si Ringjin Sovanu these men four. mi dug. Di-ba ya lug-la tsha-kun kal-tin kher-khan yod. Nga men were. These also sheep-on salt-all loading bringing were. Five tshe-la tshamo ogo-rang dosa Chhong-sa-la leb-song. Yul-la phe-tar date-in day our camp Niti-to arrived. Village-at outside gur pok-song. Tshan-la de-ne kun jim-song, ti-chung phe-ka-tshan-la tent pitched. Night-in then all slept, this-about midnight-at khojam go lug-gal-la chung mi-lham chok-ṭa jung. Lem time my sheep-loads-in some dream like happened. At-once go-na-su go-lak phar-jung thok-song. Ogo lug-gal-la tsa-la Nenu head-from bed-sheet lifted looked. My sheep-loads-to near Nenu ta-tin yod. Ogo kha dhu-tin de-yod ta-tin yod, ti chi che-khan looking was. I mouth closing remained looking was, he what doing yod. Nenu-la ogo go-na-su go-lak phar thong-med. Kho-rang was. Nenu-to $I$ head-from bed-sheet removing seen-was-not. He ji lug-gal ogo kher-tshar kho yul-chhok kher-tshar. Ogo nyi-bo four bags mine took then village-towards took. Me near Sowanu nyal-yod. Ogo ga-le cha-tin kho-rang-la lang-song. Te-ne ogo Sowanu slept. I quietly doing him raised. Then we mi nyi Nenu-la seba-seba song-yod. Padam-Si kho King.jin-la, long-shok, men two Nemu after went. Padam-Si and Ringjin-to, rise, che-yod. Yul-chi nyi-mo Nenu-la thiya lug-gal ogo jung-song. Kho-la made. Dillage-of near Nenu with bags we seized. Him di-song, 'khe-rang ti lug-gal chi-phi-la kher-song?' Kho-ba lab-song, asked, 'you these bags why took?' He said,


## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

In the beginning of this month I returned from Tibet with a hundred sheep, loaded with salt, borax, and wool. Nenu, Padam-Si, Ringjin, and Sowanu were with me. They also brought salt on their sheep. On the fifth our camp reached Niti, and we pitched our tents outside the village. When we were all asleep at mid-night I dreamt about my bags. I removed the bed-cloth from my head, and I saw Nenu looking at my bags. I remained silently looking on, to ascertain what he was about. Nenu was not aware of the fact that I had removed the bed-cloth from my head. He took four of my bags and went towards the village. Sowanu slept near me, and I roused him quietly. Then we followed after Nenu, and we also roused Padam-Si and Ringjin. We overtook Nenu with the bags near the village. I asked him why he had taken the bags. He said, 'they are mine, and I am going to leave them in the village.' He began to quarrel with me, and to beat me. We only with difficulty succeeded in arresting him. We then brought him to the village, and handed him and the bags over to the revenueofficer.

## KĀGATE.

The Kagate dialect of Tibetan is closely related to the so-called Sharpa and to the Tibetan of Sikkim. It is spoken in Eastern Nepal and in Darjeeling. We have not, however, any information about the number of speakers.

A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases have been forwarded from Darjeeling. They are the only foundation of the remarks on Kägate which follow.

Pronunciation.-The spelling of the specimens does not correctly reflect the actual pronunciation of the dialect. It is not, however, difficult to get a general idea of the phonetic system.

The short $u$ is often pronounced as an $u$, i.e., the sound of $i i$ in German 'küssen.' In such cases it is written $i$, and I have retained this spelling because it is possible that the sound is almost that of $i$ in 'pin.' Compare tin, classical Tibetan bdun, seven; song and sing, the suffix of past time; su and si, who? etc. Compare also tharung, classical thag-ring, far. $E$ is written in pe-mi, classical bud-med, woman. The letter $e$ apparently also represents the sounds of $a$ in German 'Bär ' and of $\ddot{0}$ in German 'bös '; compare $n e$, Lhasa dialect $n \ddot{a}$, from ; te, Lhasa dialect dö, to sit, etc.

With regard to consonants it should be noted that the sounds $j, d z, z$, and $z h$ are not correctly distinguished in the specimens. Compare kaze, how many; o-je, so many; $p i-z a$, i.e., probably $p i-d z a$, child ; minji and minzi, from; do-ze, i.e., probably do-zhe, to go, etc.

Soft initials are usually hardened; thus $p u$, classical $b u$, son ; dung-la and tung-la, before, etc. The intermediate form, with an aspirated hard initial, occurs in phu, son. On the other hand, hard initials are occasionally softened when preceded by vowels or nasals in compound words; thus, tham-je, classical thams-chad, all.

With regard to final consonants $g$ is usually changed to $k$, and $b$ to $p$; thus, chik, one; phak, swine; lep, arrive. Compare classical Tibetan gchig, phag, sleb. Final $g$ is often also dropped. Compare mi, classical mig, eye ; sho, classical shog, come.

Final gs is dropped in words such as cha, classical lchags, iron; to, classical ltogs, hunger.

Final $d$ is dropped and the preceding vowel is modified so that $a$ becomes $e$ (i.e., probably $\ddot{a}$, o becomes $e, o$, or oe, i.e., probably $\ddot{\partial}$, etc.; thus, ke, classical brgyad, eight; te, classical 'adod, sit; kaze, classical ga-tshod, how much; khyo, classical khyod, thou; $e$ and yoe, classical yod, is.

Final $n$ seems to exercise a similar influence on a preceding vowel. Compare the suffix of the present participle ken or kin, Ladakhi mkhan.

Final $s$ is dropped; compure tham-je, classical thams-chad, all. A preceding vowel is modified, and probably lengthened ; thus, le, i.e., l苂, classical las, from ; nyi, classical gnyis, two.

In compound consonants containing a subscribed $y$ as second component in classical Tibetan, this $y$ is dropped before $i$ and $e$; thus, $k h i$, classical $k h y i, \operatorname{dog} ; p e$, classical byed, do. In other cases $y$ is retained after gutturals; thus, khyo, classical khyod, thou; kyap, classical rgyab, back.

By bocomes $c h$; thus, cha, classical bya, bird.
$l u$ is dropped after $k, g$, and $d$, and probably also after other mutes. $K r, g r$ and $d r$ become $d$ or $t$; thus, ta, classical skra, hair; dem-ba, classioal 'agram-pa, oheek; do, classical 'agro, go; te, classical 'adri, ask, eto. In tuk, classioal drug, six, $d r$ has been ohanged to $t$, and it is probable that the $d$ and $t$ in the other instances just quoted were originally likewise cerebral. Note prang-bu, poor.
$Z l$ beoomes $d$ in dawa, classioal zla-ba, moon, and $l h$ is replaced by lekh in lekham, classical lham, shoe.

In other compounds the first consonant is dropped ; thus, lang, olassical glang, bull; lep, classical sleb, arrive; kang-ba, classical rkang-ba, foot; ta, classical rta, horse; che, olassical lche, tongue; ke, classical skad, sound ; tong-bu, classical sdong-po, tree; nang, classical gnang, give; ngul, classical dngul, silver; tin, i.e., tïn, classical bdun, seven, and so forth.

Note $s u p-t u r$ instead of $s u r-t u p$, classical sor-gdub, finger ring.
Articles.-The numeral chik, one, is used as an indefinite, and the demunstrative pronoun $d i$, this, as a definite article; thus, $m i c h i k$, a man; angsha-di, the share.

Nouns-Gender.-Gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding qualifying affixes; thus, no, brother; nu-mu, sister: $p u$, son; po-mo, daughter: ta-bu, horse; ta-mu, mare : changre, he-goat; ra-ma, she-goat : khyesa, male deer; khyesa ama, female deer, etc.

Number.-The usual suffix of the plural is kya; thus, $a b a-k y a$, fathers; compare cha in Pänjong-kä.

Case.-The suffix of the genitive is $i, k i$, or $g i$ after vowels, and $i$ or $k i$ after consonants. The same form is also used as a case of the agent. The final $i$ is, however, then probably long. Thus, $a b a$ chik-i, of a father; ta-ti, from ta-bu-i, of a horse; tong-bu-gi, of a tree; phak-ki, by the pigs, etc. The definite article is often added in the genitive; thus, $a b a c h i k-i-d i$, of a father. $D i$ is used alone as a genitive suffix in $p o-m u-d i$, of daughters.

Other cases are formed by adding suffixes such as $l a$, in, to; sa-le, from the place of, from ; minji, minjik, min-zi, min-zik, from; dung-la, before, etc. Note aba-kei, to fathers.

Adjectives.-Adjectives sometimes precede and sometimes follow the noun they qualify. The particle of comparison is the Naipäli bhanda; thus, kho-i a-zi bhanda no rim-bu-du, his sister than brother tall-is, his brother is taller than his sister.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
n g a, \text { I } & \text { khyo, thou } & \text { kho, he } \\
n g i-k y a, o-k y a, ~ w e ~ & k h y o, \text { you } & \text { khung, khung-kya, they. }
\end{array}
$$

The pronouns are inflected like nouns.
Other pronouns are $d i$, this; $o$ and $o-d i$, that; su, who? chi, what? kan-di, which? etc.

Verbs.-The usual bases of the verb substantive are $e$ and $d u$. Instead of $e$ we also find $y e$ and yoe; compare classical Tibetan yod. In the past tense we find woe.

Finite verb.-The rerb is still virtually a noun. The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent, and the plural suffix kya can be added. Thus, kasa-te-
$m e-j i k a n-d i p h a k-k i$ sa-gen-kya, husks-from which pigs-by eating, from the husks whioh the pigs ate.

Present time.-A present is formed by adding yin to a participle ending in ka, pa, etc.; thus, ngai rob-ken, i.e. rob-ka-yin, I strike; nga do-n, i.e. do-a-yin, I go. It is perhaps identical with ne in ong-ne, they are.

A compound present is formed by adding $g u$ or $k u$, or, after vowels, $u$, and the verb substantive; thus, chho-u-du, he is feeding; kho-i rob-ku-du, he strikes; te-ku-e, he lives; ong-gu du-ba, he is coming.
$D u-b a$, is, in the last instance contains the usual suffix of the participle; compare also $y e-b a$, they are. To in rob-to, they strike, is probably the copula $d u$.

Past time. -The participle ending in $b a$ or $p a$ is commonly also used as a past tense, especially in the second person; thus, nyo-ba, I brought, thou broughtest; kal-pa, wentest; se-pa, killedest.

The most common past tense is formed by adding song, or, usually, sing, (i.e., probably süng) ; thus, gal-song and kal-sing, he went. The common present is also used as a past; thus, $e$-kin, i.e. yod-ka-yin, was.

A perfect is effected by adding $d u$; thus, nye-sing-du, has been found.
Future.-The present is also used as a future; thus, don, I will go ; lap-ken, I will say; ong-do, I shall be. I do not understand the form ngai rob-ken dei, I shall strike. $D e i$ is perhaps an attempt at writing $d u ̈$, i.e., $d u$, is.

Imperative.-As in classical Tibetan, an $a$ is often changed to $o$ in the imperative; thus, song, go ; so, eat; long, stand. The unaltered base is used in forms such as shi, die; do, go; ter, give. Sometimes we find suffixes added such as kong, tong, dung, and ter ; thus, rob-kong (i.e. perhaps rob-ka-tong) and rob-tong, beat; pe-dung (i.e. perhaps byed-tong), make; ken-ter, put on.

Note lihusi pe-ga, let us make merry; khusi pe-dung pe-kogem, we should make merry; ya-bu pe-za-ga, that I might feast.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The most common verbal noun is formed by adding che, or, after vowels, ze; thus, lap-che, to say; do-ze, to go. A suffix a occurs in charai-te-ya-la, in order to feed.

Relative participles are formed by adding ken or gen and $b a$. The interrogative pronoun kan-di, which? is used as a relative; thus, kasa-te-meji kan-di phak-ki sa-gen$k y a$, from the husks which the pigs ate; di pu kan-di khyoi-di chhega-kya sa-sing-ba, this son who thy goods devoured.

Adverbial participles are formed by adding postpositions to the base; thus, lap-na, saying-in, if you say ; ong-a-te-su, arriving-after, when he came.

Conjunctive participles are formod by adding $d i$, ti, or di-marrang; thus, rob-sing$d i$, having beaten; kal-di-ma-rang, having gone. Ma-rang is a postposition which governs the genitive. It is therefore also added to the geuitive of the base; thus, sing-i-ma-rang, having been. Tha-ma-la, at the end of, is used instead in ke-kyap-ti tha-ma-la, voice-throwing after, having called.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed me or ma; thus, kal-di me-ken, going not-were, did not go ; me-ter-ekin, not-giving-was, did not give; ma-nyin,
did not wish. Mat is sometimes used instead; thus, mat-ter, didstl not, give; tor-mat, I did not transgress. It will be seen that mat sometimes precedes and sometimes followi the verb.

For further details the student is referred to the speoimen which follows and to the list of words on pp. 142 and ff.
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Mi chik-i-di pe-za nyì ekin. O-la-thong-di kanchha-ki lap-sing, Man one-of sons two were. Them-among younger-by said, 'e a-ba, sampati-min-jik nga-i angsha-di nga-la nang.' O-le kho-i ' $O$ father, property-from my share-the me-to give.' Then him-by khung-kei rang-i sampati bo-kep-ter-sing. Nyi-ma mang-bu-rang kal-di them-to self-of property divided-gave. Days many-even going me-ken, kanchha phu tham-je batul-ti-di tharung-bu des-la kal-sing; not-were, young son all gathering distant country-to went; o-le o-la ma-ya-ba le-ga pedi-ma-rang kho-i tham-je chij urāi-te-sing. and there not-good work done-having him-by all property squandered. Jab kho-i tham-je uṛäi-te-sing, o-le kho des-la anikāl kal-sing; When him-by all squandered, then that country-in famine went; o-le kho prang-bu kal-sing. O-le kho kal-di-ma-rang o des-la and he poor went. And he gone-having that country-in te-ken-sa-ke-la chik sa-la te-che pe-sing. Khoi kho-la sing-la phak inhabitants-in one to living made. Him-by him-to field-in swine charai-te-ya-la tang-sing. O-le khoi o kasa-te-me-ji kan-di phak-ki feeding-for sent. Then him-by those husks-from which swine-by sagen-kya, ngaï to-pa kang-je os-te-la. O-le si-nang kho-la chyang eating-were, own belly fill-to wanted. And anyone-by him-to anything me-ter-e-kin. O-le kho-la chet kal-sing, o-le khoi lap-sing, 'nga-i not-giving-was. And him-to sense went, and him-by said, 'my a-ba-di khetālā-kei-di mang-bu-rang saje ong-ne, o-le nga to-pa-to-di father-of servants-of many-even breads are, and $I$ belly-hungering siwe. Nga lang-di a-ba-sa-la don, o-le kho-sa-la die. I arisen-having father's-place-to will-go, and his-place-to kal-di-ma-rung lap-ken, "e a-ba, nga-i sarga-ki dung-la o-le rang-i gone-having say, "O father, me-by heaven-of before and you-of tung-la pāp pe-sing. Nga phir-cha rang-i pu lap-che lāik-ki-di me. lefore sin did. I again your son say-to worthy not.

| Nga-la | rangi | khetälā-min-ji | chik-pa-rang | pedung.", | O-le | kho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Me-to | your-own | servants-ficm | one-also | make.", | Then | he |

ling-di-mi-rang rangi a-ba-sa-lt gal-song. Tara kho tharung-bu arisen-having own father's-place-to went. But he far-aff ekin, khoi a-ba-gi kho-la thong-di dayā pe-sing. O-le chhung-di-mi-rang was, his futher-by him-to seeing mercy made. And run-having kho-i dem-ba-lit jar-ti-ma-rang kho-la chok-pe-sing. Pu-gi kho-la lap-sing, his cheek-on adhering him-to kiss-made. Son-by him-to said, 'e a-ba, nga-gi sarga-di tung-la o-le khyo-i tung-la pāp pe-sing, o-le - O father, me-by heaven-of lefore and you-of before sin did, and phircha khyo-i pu lap-che-gi hisäb me.' O-le a-ba-gi rangi again your son saying-of matter not.' And father-by own nuukar-kya-la lap-sing, 'kap-tar bhanda ya-bu kela ten-di-ma-rang kho-la servants-to said, 'all than good cloth taken-having him-to ken-ter. O-le kho-i lak-pa-la sup-tur o-le kang-ba-la lekham kenter; put-on. And his hand-on ring and feet-on shoes put; o-le kya-ba riu khyung-di-ma-rang set-tong. O-le o-kya sa o-le and fat kid brought-having kill. And we eat and khusi-pega. Che-le lap-na, di nga-i-di pu si-di eken, phir-cha merry-make-shall. Why saying-on, this my son dead was, again thar-sing ; tor-ti-eken, phircha nye-sing.' O-le khung-kya khusi pe-ze saved-was; lost-was, again found-was.' Then they merry make-to kal-sing.
went.

Kho-i jethit pu sing-la eken. O-le jab kho onga-te-su His elder son field-in was. And when he coming khim-sa-la lep-sing; o-le bāji nāch-ki ke the-sing. O-le kho-gi house-place-to arrived; then music dancing-of sound heard. Then him-by nya-rangi mi chik-la ke-kyap-ti tha-ma-la te-sing, 'di chum-ba?' own man one-to voice-throwing after asked, 'this wohat-is?' Kho-i kho-la lap-sing, 'khyo-rangi no wang-di-e, o-le Him-by him-to said, 'your-own younger-brother come-is, and khyo-rangi a-ba-gi kya-ba riu se-sing. Che-le lap-na, kho-la ya-bu your father-by fat kid killed. Why saying-on, him well nye-sing-du.' O-le kho-i sitang-pe-sing, o-le nang-la do-ze ma-nyim. found-has.' And him-by anger-made, and inside go-to not-wished. O-kāran kho-i a-ba phi-la ong-di kho-la samjāi-te-sing. Kho-i That-reason his futher outside coming him entreated. Bim-by a-ba-la jawāb ter-sing, 'teya, nga o-je lo-minji khyo-rangi hisāb father-to answer gave, 'lo, $I$ so-many years-from your service pewi o-le namang rangi tim namang tor-mat. O-le raugi do and ever your order ever broke-not. And yourself-by

| nga-la | namang | chig-ang | riu | mat-ter, | nga-i-to | mi-kya | nyim-bu | ya-bu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| me-to | ever | one-even | kid | not-gave, | $m y$ | friends | with | well |


| pe-za-ga. | O-le | khyo-gi | di | pu | kan-di | chhya-mu-kya | nyim-bu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| making-for. | And | your | this | son | who | harlots | with | khyo-i-di chhega-kya sa-sing-ba, nam ong-bu, o-te-su khyo-i kho-i vaste-la your goods wasted, when came, then you-by his sake-for kya-ba riu se-pa.' A-ba-gi kho-la lap-sing, 'e pu, khyo nga fat kid killed.' Father-by him-to said, ' $O$ son, you me nvimbu ya-range. O-le chi nga-i-di-cha, o sentha khyo-i-di-rang. with are. And what mine-is, that all thine-also. O-le ya-bu pe-di khusi pedung pe-ko-gem. Che-le lap-na, di khyo-i And well doing merry make should. Why saying-on, this your no sidi-eken, phir-cha thar-sing; tor-te eken, phir-cha younger-brother dead-cas, again saved-was; lost woas, again nye-sing.'

found-was.'

## SHARPA.

The Tibetan word shar means 'east,' and shar-pa accordingly means 'inhabitant of an eastern country.' The latter word is commonly used to denote the Bhōtiās of northeastern Nepal and their dialcet. They are also found as immigrants outside of Nepal. During the preliminary operations of this Survey their dialect was reported to be spoken in Darjeeling and Sikkim. Estimates of the number of speakers were only forwarded from Sikkim, as follows :-

Sikkim . . . . . . . . . . . . 900
At the last Census of 1901 the Sharpa dialect was returned from the following districts:-
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A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases have been reccived from Darjeeling. In addition to these texts I have also made use of some few sentences which were added at the end of the Parable. The spelling of the texts is influenced by the orthography of classical Tibetan, and it does not allow us to judge about all niceties of pronunciation. It is, bowever, quite possible to get a general idea of the phonetic system.

Sharpa is closely connected with Kāgate and Dänjong-kä. It can, with some correctness, be described as a form of the latter dialect, and it has very few charaoteristics of its own.

Pronunciation.-The vowels are modified in various ways, usually under the influence of following consonants. Sometimes also concurrent vowels are contracted; thus, sui and $s i$, i.e., probably sï, whose; $d i$, classical 'agro- $i$, of the going; khi, thy, from khyo, thou, and so forth.

The soft initials of classical Tibetan are sometimes represented by soft and sometimes by hard consonants; thus, dang and tang, and; bu and $p u$, son. The actual pronunciation is probably an aspirated soft consonant as in most dialects of Central Tibetan.

Final $g$ and $b$ are probably pronounced as $k, p$, respectively. They are, however, usually written $g, b$, respeotively; thus, chig, one; mig , eye; shog, come; gyab, baok; lep, arrive.

Final $d$ is often left unchanged; thus, dod, sit; yod, is. Suoh forms are due to the influence of the orthography of the classical language, and $d$ is dropped or changed to $t$ in the actual pronunciation. At the same time a preceding vowel is modified. $A$ becomes $e$, i.e., probably $\ddot{a}$; o becomes $o i$, (i.e., $\ddot{0}$ ) ; and $u$ probably becomes $\ddot{i}$. Compare gye, classical brgyad, eight; goid-ma, classical rgod-ma, mare ; goi, classical bgod, divide; khyo and khyod, thou; gi, classical bgyid, do, and so on. It will be seen that the $d$ is often preserved in writing.

Final $n$ seems to affect a preceding $a$ in a similar way; compare sem-chen, classical sems-chan, animal; shing-tab-khen, cultivator; ten, classical dran, remember. It is sometimes dropped after $i$; compare the suffix $g i$ of the present participle, classical gin.

Final $s$ is probably always dropped, or rather changed to $i$. This $i$ then coalesces with the preceding vowel so that $a$ becomes $\ddot{a}$, for which $i$ or $a i$ is often written; os becomes $o i$, i.e., probably $\ddot{\partial}$; us becomes $u i$ or $u e$, (i.e., probably $\ddot{i}$ ) ; thus, nä and ni, classical nas, from; goi, it is wanted, classical dgos; thoi-pai, on hearing, classical thos-pas; lue, entreated, classical bslus, etc. The final $s$ is, however, often retained in writing ; thus, ngas, by me ; papa-s, by the father; yog-pu-s, by the servant, etc.

Final gs is dropped in words such as cha, classical lchags, iron; le-mo, classical legs-pa, good; lho-ba, classical ltogs-pa, hunger. The latter example is not certain, for we also find tog-ni, hungering. The interjection lags, O , is classical Tibetan.

Compound consonants are mainly simplified in the same way as in Kägate.
$K y, k h y$, and $g y$ are commonly retained; thus, kyid-po and kid-po, merry; khyod and khyo, thou; gyab, back. $Y$ is, however, often dropped before $i$; thus, kyid-po and $k i d-p o$, merry ; $k h i$, dog ; $k h i$, thy ; gi, classical bgyid, do.
$P y, p h y$, and by become ch, chh, and ch, respectively; thus, chod-pa, classical spyod-pa, behaviour; chhi-la, classical phyi-la, outside; chung, classical 'abyung, became; ched-pa, classical byed-pa, do. $Y$ is however, also in this case, often dropped before $i$; thus, phin, classical phyin, go ; bin, classical sbyin, give.

Compounds consisting of a mute consonant followed by an $r$ are changed to the corresponding cerebral. The cerebrals were not distinguished from the dentals in the original specimen. I have, however, introduced them because it seems probable that Sharpa does not, in this respect, differ from Dänjongkä. Compare tog, classical grogs, friend ; don, classical mgron, feast; tug, classical drug, six; thug, classical phrug, young, etc.
$Z l$ becomes $d$ in $d a-w a$, classical $z l a-b a$, moon.
In most other cases the first consonant is dropped. Compare $l u$, classical slu, entice; lang, classical glang, bull; lep, classical sleb, arrive; kang-pa, classical ricany-pa, foot; gyab, classical rgyab, back; goid-ma, classical rogod-ma, mare; na, classical rna, ear; nga, classical luga, five ; cha, classical lchags, iron; ter, classical ster, give; tor, classical gtor, throw ; sos, classical gsos, recover ; shi, classical bzhi, four, and so forth.

Articles.-The numeral chig, one, is used as an indefinite, and demonstrative pronouus as a definite article; thus, mi chig, a man ; u-ju te, the elder brother ; papa ti, the father.

Nouns-Gender,-Gender is distinguished in the usual way by means of affixes 'or by using diüerent words. Compare ta, horse ; goid-ma, mare: lang, bull; chhung-ma, cow : khi, dog; khi-mo, bitch: ra-pho, he goat; ra-mo, she goat.

Number.-The usual suffix of the plural is $\underline{t} \boldsymbol{t} h 0$, classical $\underline{t}_{8} h o g s$, multitude ; thus, papa-tgho, fathers.

Case.-The various cases are, broadly speaking, formed as in classical Tibetan.
The suffix of the genitive is $i$, or, after consonants, $g i$ or $k i$; thus, agu-i, uncle's; yul-gi, of a country; chig-gi and chig-ki, one's.

The case of the agent, which is also used as an instrumental, is formed by adding $s$ to the base or to the genitive. This $s$ is probably everywhere pronounced as $i$; compare $p a-b r-s$, by the father; phag-pa-i, (caten) by the pigs; thag-pa-i, (bind him) with ropes; pu-jung-gi, by the son.

Adjectives.-Adjectives follow the noun they qualify, or, if they precede it, are put in the genitive; thus, ta karpa, the white horse; kun-la phen-pai cha-wa che, all-to beneficial work do.

The particle of comparison is si-na; thus, khoi uju te aji si-na ring-po nog, his brother the sister than tall is, his brother is taller than his sister. Si-na literally means 'saying-in,' 'if you compare.'

Numerals.-The numerals are given in the list of words. Khal-jig, score-one, twenty, occurs in the sentenoe nga lo khal-jig song, I years twenty went, I am twenty years old. Compare Sunwar khal-kā ; Khambu ikkhālo; Róng khā-kāt, etc.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-
nga, I
$n g a-i, \mathrm{my}$
$d a k-p u, n g a-\underline{t s} h o$, we
khyod, khyed, khyo, thou
khyod-ki, khyed-ki, khi, thy
khyod-tsho, khyod-rang, you
kho, he $k h o-i$, his
$k h o-\underline{t} \boldsymbol{t} h o$, they

Demonstrative pronouns are $d e, t e$, that ; $d i$, this.
Interrogatives are $s u$, who? sui, si, whose? gang, kang, what?
Verbs.-The verb substantive is formed from various bases such as yin, yod, od, nok, yong, chung, etc. Nok is probably derived from $i_{n}$-nok.

Present time.-The base alone is used as a present; thus, shi, I die.
The participle ending in $p a$ is sometimes used in a similar way; thus, yod-pa, is.
The most common present is formed by adding $g i$, classical gin, and yot in the first, and nog in the second and third persons. Thus, ngas dung-gi-yod, I beating am ; khyod do-gi nog, thou goest; tgho-gi nog, he is feeding.

Past time. -The past base alone is used in forms such as nang, classical gnang, gavest; tor, classical gtor, he threw; ma doe, classical ma'agros, he did not go; lue, classical bslus, he entreated.

The participle ending in $p a, b a$, etc., is also used as a past; thus, shus-pa, he said; compare nyo-b, i.e., nyo-ba, boughtest.

Yin is often added to this form; thus, ong-wa yin, I have come; dung-ba-yin, I struck; son-pa-yin, he has revived.

The suffix song is added in forms such as dung-song, you struck, they struck; gal-song, weniest, went. Chung, became, is used in the same way in nyed-chung, he was found.

Another past tense is formed by adding up and doubling a final consonant; thus, gal-lup, we went; yot-tup, they were. In gal-lup-lin, I went, bin has been added to this form.

Future.-The suffix of the future is yong; thus, ngas dung-yong, I shall strike. Shu-gyu-yin, I will say, literally means 'saying-for-is.' Chidub-bin, we should make (merry) is the same form as that just mentioned under the head of past time.

Imperative.-The imperative is regularly formed; thus, kon, put; so, eat; $k$ chur-shog, take-come, bring. Note the suffix in $80-r o$, make (me your servant).

Verbal nouns and participles.-The base alone is used as a verbal noun; thus, tgho-la, in order to feed ; tor-rung, throwing-though, though he wasted ; si-na, saying-in, if you say.

A conjunctive participle is formed by adding $n \ddot{a}$, or $n i$ to this noun; thus, dung-n $\ddot{a}$, having beaten; khur-ni, having taken.

Another verbal noun is formed by adding gyu, compare classical rgyu. Thus, dung-gyu, to beat; che-gyu-la, to make; nga-la nor thob-gyu-di, me-to property gettingthe, the property which I shall get.

The suffx $p a, b a$ is used in the same wide way as in classical Tibetan. Compare phag-pai sa-wai sowas, pigs-by eaten husks-by, by means of the husks which the pigs ate; thoi-pai, on hearing.

The suffix $g i$ in dung-gi nog, beating, has already been mentioned. It is identical with the $i$ in $d i-n o g$, going.

The suffix up which has been mentioned under the head of past time, is also used to form a participle; thus, khi lag-pa-la yod-tup di gang yin, your hand-in being the what is? what is it that you have in your hand?

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed ma; thus, ma ter, he did not give ; ma nang, didst not give. In compound tenses ma is inserted between the base and the auxiliary; thus, a-ring chhar-pa gyab-ma-song, to-day rain strike-not-went, it has nol rained to-day. $M a$ is probably replaced by $m i$ in the present and future.

For further details the student is referred to the version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which follows, and to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 143 and ff .

## [ No. 13.]

## tibeto-burman family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

TIBETAN.

Sharpa Dialect.
(District Darjeeling.)
Mi chig-la bu-jung nyi yot-tup. Bu-jung chhung-na de pa-ba-la Man one-to sons two were. Son younger that father-to shus-pa, 'pa-ba lags, nga-la nor thob-gyu di goi-nang.' Pa-bas asked, 'father $O$, me-to property getting-for the divide-give.' Father-by
nor goi-bin. Mang-po ma-chhin bu-jung chhung-na nor te-ri property divided-gave. Much not-elapsed son younger property all khur-ni yul thag-ring-po-la gal-song. Yul te-la nor te-ri chod-pa taking country far-to went. Country that-in property all behaviour ngan-pa che-ni tor. Nor te-ri tor-tshar-ni mu-ge
bad done.having threw. Property all throwing-finishing-after famine chhen-po yul te-la chung-ni, kho lho-ba-lang-ni yul te-yi yul-mi
big country that-in happening, he reason-rising country that-of country-man chig-ki tsa-la phin-ni, kho yul-mi shing-la phag-pa tsho-la tang. one.of ncar gone-having, that country-man field-in swine feed-to sent. Kho phag-pa-i sa-wai so-was rang-gi bhog-pa kang-ga-kyang mi Those swine-by eaten husksfrom own belly fill-glad-though mans sus-kyang kho-la ma ter. Te-ni kho ten-sos-ni, 'ngai papa-la la-pa anyone-by him-to not gave. Then he thought-restoring, 'my father-to workers mang-po-la sa-gyu yod-pa, mo-zed lhag-pa yang yod. Nga tog-ni shi. many-to food is, besides more also is. I hungering die. Nga lang-ni papa-i tsa-la do-yin, te-ni papa-la di-da shu-gyu-yin, "papa $I$ arising father-of near go-will, then father-to thus say-will, "father lags, khyed tang nam-kha tung-du nyed-pa ched-pa-yin. Nga ta-nä 0 , thee and heaven before sin done-have. I nuv-from khyed-ki bu-jung os-pa med. Nga khyed-ki la-pa chig tang-da-wa so-ro."' thy son worthy not. Me thy servant one like make."' Kho lang-ni papa-i dung-du gal-ni, kho thag-ring-po-la yod, kho-i He arising father.of near gone-having, he distance-at vas, his
papas thong-ni chhong-ni pu-jung jing-ba-la sim-ni pu-ka-kyal-song. Te-ni father-by seeing jumping son neck-on holding kissed. Then
pu-jung-gi, 'papa lags, ngas nam-kha tang khyed-ki tung-tu nyed-pa son-by, 'father $O$, me-by heaven and thee-af before sin che-ni khyed-ki pu-jung-gi os med,' shus-pas, papa tes yog-po-tsho-la, done-having thee-of son-of worthy not,' saying-on, father that-by servants-to, 'chhu-ba tghang-ma si-na le-mo chig khur-shog kon; lag-pa-la sor-dub, 'cloth all than good one bring put; hand-on ring, kang-pa-la katsa jang kon. Te-ni peu gya-mo chig se-ni kid-po chidub-bin. feet-on boots also put. Then calf fat one killing merry make-should. Gang-la si-na, nga-i pu-jung di shi-ni, son-pa-yin; tor-ni, yang Why saying, my son this died-having, alive-is; lost-being, also nyed-chung,' si-ni kyid-po ched-pa. found-became,' saying merry made.

Tlang u-ju te shing-nii log-ni ong-ni khang-pa-i tsa-la And elder-brother the field-from returning coming house-of near lep-pa tang dam-nyeng tang shap-ro thoi-pai yog-po chig ked-tang-ni, arrived and music and dancing hearing servant one call-giving, 'di ton-dag kang yin?' ḍi. Yog-pus, 'khyod-ki nu-o leb-ni, 'this reason what is?' asked. Servant.by, 'thy younger-brother coming, papas kho natsa med-pa log-pa-i ton-la peu gya-mo se-ni don father-ly he sickness without returning-of sake-for calf fat killing feast tang-song.' Kho di thoi-ni tshig-pa sos-ni khang-pa-la ma doe. Papa-ti gave.' He this hearing anger eating house-into not went. Father-the chhi-la thon-ni bu-jung-la lue. Pu-jung-gi, 'papa, ngas lo di-dzo outside coming son-to enticed. Son-by, 'father, me-by year's so-many khyed-ki ka ma gal. Khyed-ki ngai tog-po kyid-po che-gyu-la thee-of word not transgressed. Thee-ly my friends merry making-for ra-ṭhg chig yang ma-nang. Khyed-ki bu-jung di nor te-ri goat-young one even not-gavest. Thee-of son this property all chod-pa ma-le che-ni tor-rung, kho-la peu gyag-pa nang.' Papas, Lehaviour not-good doing threw-though, him-to calf fat gavest.' Father-by, 'pu-jung, khyo-rang nga tang nyam-bu yod. Nga-la kang yod, khyod-ki 'son, thou me and with art. Me-to what is, thine yin. Khyod-ki nu-o di shi-ni, son-pa-yin; tor-ni, nyed-pa is. Thee-of younger-brother this died-having, alive-is; lost-being, found yin-pas kyid-po ched-pai os yin.'
being-ly merry makiny-of worthy is.'

## ḌÄNJONG-KÄ OR BHOTȚIĀ OF SIKKIM.

The northern half of the State of Sikkim is inhabited by a tribe of Tibetan race which is said to have immigrated from Tsang. They speak a dialect whioh is closely related to the dialeots of Central Tibet, but which has also, in some respects, struck out independent lines of its own. More recent immigrants from Tibet speak the Lhasa dialect.

Sikkim is the Gurkha name of the State. The indigenous denomination is $\boldsymbol{D}_{\vec{a}-n j o n g, ~}^{\text {a }}$ i.e., rice-district. The Rev. Graham Sandberg, who has written a manual of the characteristic Tibetan dialect of the State, has therefore proposed to call it Pä-njong-kä, the language of Dänjong.

According to information collected for the purposes of this Survey the number of speakers was said to be about 20,000 . This estimate is, however, far above the mark, and at the last Census of 1901 the returns under the head of Sikkim Bhūtia were as follows:-


## AUTHORITY -

Sanderg, Grafam,—Manual of the Sikkim Bhutia Language or Denjong kê. Calcatta, 1888. Second edition, Westminster, 1895.

I am indebted to Mr. David MacDonald for a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son in the Bhöṭiā of Sikkim. I have printed it in Tibetan type and in transliteration. The spelling of the Tibetan text is not phonetic but adapted to the practice of classical Tibetan. I have therefore added a phonetical transliteration in italics. It is based on indications given in Mr. MacDonald's transcript and on Mr. Sandberg's manual.

Pronunciation.-Final vowels are apt to be dropped. Compare pum, classical bu-mo, daughter; lem, classical legs-mo, good; nyim, classical nyi-ma, day ; gom, classical sgo-mo, door, etc. It will be seen that the dropped vowel is in all these cases preceded by an $m$.

Initial soft consonants are pronounced with a strong aspiration, so that they sound like hard letters, with or without aspiration. Compare kyap, classical rgyab, beat; toi, classical dos, a load; pu, classical $l u t$, son ; phin, classicul byïn, give, etc.

The initial consonant of the suffixes $p a, b a, p o, b o$, is often assimilated to a preceding consonant; thus, phak-ko, a pig; yok-ku, a servant; lak-ka, a hand; tshil-lu, fat. It is dropped after vowels; thus, $g a-u$, classical $d g a-b a$, glad; tse-o, classical $\underline{t s e}-p o$, basket.

Final $g$ is pronounced as $k$; thus, lok-she, classical $\log -p a$, to return. Sometimes, however, it is so indistinct as to be almost inaudible. Compare chi, classical gchig, one; nga-cha, classical nga-chag, we; chak-tha, classical lchags-thag, chain.

Final $b$ is pronounced as $p$; thus, kyap, classical rgyab, beat.

Final $d, n$, and $l$ modify the sound of a preceding vowel, $a$ to $\ddot{a}, o$ to $\ddot{\partial}$, and $u$ to $i$. $D$ and $l$, and occasionally also $n$, are dropped. Compare kä, classical skad, sound; $c h h \ddot{0}$, classical khyod, thou; sa-rü, classical sa-rud, landslip; nyän-she, classical nyan-pa, to hear ; sö, classical gson, alive ; tön-lo, classical don-la, on account of ; t̨̧hün, classical, $\underline{t s h o n, ~ c o l o u r ; ~ d u ̈ n, ~ c l a s s i c a l ~} m d u n$, before; gä, classical, 'agal, transgress; gyä-po, classical rgyal-po, king; ngü, classical dngul, silver, etc.

Final $s$ is dropped, and the preceding vowel is modified in the same way as in the case of final $d$; thus, nä, classical nas, barley; ngö, classical dngos, real. Sometimes also final $s$ is changed to $i$; thus shei, classical shes, know; toi, classical dos, a load; thui, classical khrus, bath. In go-pe, classical dgos-pa, it is necessary, it is simply dropped.

The preceding vowel is probably lengthened; compare di-ky $\bar{i}$, by him, classical 'adi-is.
$S$ after consonants is simply dropped, and the preceding consonant is treated as final'; thus, lep, classical bslebs, arrive; tok, classical ltogs, hunger; sung, classical gsungs, called. Tik-chhi, pity, corresponds to classical thugs-rje, and is apparently incorrect.

Compound consonants containing a subscribed $y$ as second component in classical Tibetan are commonly retained if the following vowel is $a, i$, or $e \quad Y$ is, however, in such cases often dropped. It should be noted that $e$ and $y a$ are often interohangeable. Compare kyi-po, classical skyid-po, merry; kil-tu, classical dkyil-tu, in the middle; khim, classical khyim, house; khek, classical khyags, ice; kyap, classical rgyab, beat; kel-she, classical sgyel-ba, put on ; phye, classical phyed, half; phya, classical bya, bird; phya and be, classical byed, do, etc. Sometimes, however, such compounds are changed to the palatal corresponding to the initial component; thus, chhö, classical khyod, thou; chil-bu, classical spyil-po, hut.

As regards compounds ending in $r, k r, k h r$, and $g r$ are apparently regularly changed to $k y, k h y, g y$, respectively. Compare kyok-she, classical dkrog-pa, to churn; kya, classical skra, hair ; gyo-ma, classical grog-ma, ant; lkhak, classical khrag, blood. We also find the common change into cerebrals; compare dib-ma, classical grib-ma, shade; dik-e, classical 'agrig-pa, it is enough. In the specimen we find gra, classical sgra, sound; note also ro, classical grogs, assistance.

Other compounds ending in $r$ are regularly changed to cerebrals; thus, $t e$, classical $d r e$, mule ; den-she, classical dren-pa, to pour; di-she, classical 'adri-ba, to ask ; thel- $t i k$, classical phral-grig, ready; the-lo, classical phred-la, across; $d \ddot{a}$, classical 'abras, rice; $t a k$, classical $b r a g$, rock, etc. Note $p h u g u$, classical $p h r u g u$, child; trin, classical sprin, cloud; dün-tra, classical bdun-phrag, week, etc.

Sr become s; thus, sap-chak, olassical srab-lchags, bit; sek-she, classical sreg-pa, burn; sim-pa, classical srin-bu, leech.
$Z l$ become $d$; thus, $d a-u$, classical $z l a-b a$, moon. Other oompounds ending in $l$ become $l$ or $l h$; thus, lep and lhep, classical sleb, arrive; lhol-she, classioal glod-pa, to loosen.

In other compounds the first component is dropped; thus, kang-pa, classical rkang$p a$, foot; gyap, classical rgyab, back; je-she, classical rjed-pa, forget; ta, classical rta, horse: tok, classical ltogs, hunger; ke, olassical ske, neck; gom, classical sgo-mo, door;
chi, classical gchig, one; ser, classical geer, gold ; da-nyi, classical bda-ba, to drive; go, classical mgo, head, and so forth.

Article. -The numeral chi, one, is used as an indefinite and the pronoun di, this, as a definite article.

Nouns.-The inflexion of nouns in most characteristics agrees with the Tibetan of Lhasa. The suffix $l a$ of the dative is, however, pronounced lo; thus, khim-lo, to the house. 'The suffix of the genitive is $k y i, k i$, or, if the word in classical Tibetan ends in a vowel, $\boldsymbol{i}$; thus, khim-kyi or khim-ki, of a house; phya-i or phye, of a bird. 'The suffix $k y i, k i$ is, however, often also used after vowels. The case of the agent is formed by lengthening the final $i$ of the genitive.

The suffixes of the plural are cha and tgho or tghu.
Adjectives.-Adjectives follow the noun they qualify. The partiole of comparison is, $l \ddot{a}$, from, $i . e .$, the suffix of the ablative; thus, tgim phi-di gïn-lä tho-bä, peak that allfrom high-is, that peak is the highest.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

| $n g a$, I | $c h h \ddot{\partial}$, thou | $k h o, k h u$, , he |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n g a-k \bar{\imath}$, , by me | $c h h \ddot{o}-k \bar{i}$, , by thee | $k h o y \bar{i}, k h o ̈$, , by him |
| $n g e$, my | $c h h o ̈-k y i$, thy | khoi, his |
| $n g a-c h a$, we | $c h h o ̈$, you | khong,kho-cha, they. |

Other pronouns are di, this, that; $a-d i$, this; te, phi-di, that; $k a, k e$, who? kan, what ? etc.

Verbs. -The verb substantive is usually in or yin in the first person, and $b \ddot{a}, p \ddot{a}$, or $m \ddot{a}$, in the second and third. Other bases such as $d u, y \ddot{\partial}$, etc., are also used.

Present time.-The usual present tense is formed by adding do or do-in in the first, and $b \ddot{a}$ or $d o, d o-l \ddot{a}$, to-l $\ddot{a}$, in the second and third persons; thus, nga sa-do-in, I eat; nga shi-do, I die; kho dü-to-bä, he sits; chhö ta-ring tsang main tam-pä, you to-day clean very lools. In the second and third persons $d u$ or $b \ddot{a}$ are also often added to a participle ending in chen; thus, khu ong-chen-du, he is coming.

Past time.-A common past tense is formed ly adding she, che, or jhe; thus, phi$\underline{z l} e$, he wrote. A past is also formed by adding song; thus, $8 l i$-song, he died. $D u$ or $\underline{z} h e$ can be added; thus, phi-song-du, he has written; shi-song-du, he did die.

In the Parable the past is usually formed by adding the verb substantive to a participle ending in $p o, b o, u$; thus, $y \ddot{o}-p o-m \ddot{a}$, they were; thop-po-in, he is found, I found him ; $b e-u-i n$, I made; shu-u-mü, he said, etc.

Future. - The usual future is formed by adding the verb substantive to the verbal noun ending in she or nyi; thus, nga thung-she-in, I shall drink; kho thung-she-bä, he will drink.

Imperative.-The base alone is used as an imperative; thus, sa, eat. Words such as tang, nang, nya, chule, mä, etc., can be added; thus, to tso-tang, cook food; lam di ten-nang, please show the way; gyop bä-mä, be quick; be-chuk, make. Note bya-ge, let us make (merry), where ge corresponds to classical Tibetan dgos, it is necessary.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The usual verbal noun is formed by adding she* or nyi; thus, kap-she or kap-nyi, to cover. Nyi is common in the Darjeeling district. The classical verbal noun ending in $p a, b a$, etc., is of course also used.

The most common relative participle is formed by adding khen; thus, chhö tasong nyo-khen om di nga-lo nang, you this-morning bought milk the me-to give, give me the milk you bought this morning. In the Parable we also tind the usual classical relative participle ending in pa, etc.; thus, nga-lo thop-she yö-pai kyu-chha, me-to to-be-got being goods, the goods which I shall get.

Conjunctive participles are formed by adding $t i$, di, etc. ; thus, song-ti and song-di, having gone.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $m a$ in the past and imperative, and a prefixed $m i$ in the present and future. The negative is usually inserted before auxiliaries; thus, ma lap, don't say ; phin ma che, he did not give; ong-nyi $m-i n$, he will not come; kho shi-ma-song, he has not died ; mang-gä, classical ma'agal, I did not transgress.

Interrogative particle.-The interrogative particle is $b o, m o$, or $o$; thus, chh $\ddot{o}$ song-bo, did you go? chhö luht phidi tshong-she-mo, will you sell that sheep?

For further details the student is referred to Mr. Sandberg's Manual and to the version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which follows. An incomplete list of Standard Words and Phrases based on Mr. Sandberg's Manual will be found on pp. 143 and ff.
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TRANSLITERATION AND TRANSLATION.



| zhu-she-yin, | "a-po, | nga-kyis | nam-mkha | dang | chhod-kyi | sku-mdun-lo | sdig-ko |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shu-she-in, | $" a-p o$, | $n g a-k i$ | $n a m-k h a$ | tang | chhö-kyi | $k u$-dün-lo | dik-ko |
| sny-will, | "father, | me-ly | heaven | and | thee-of | presence-in | sin |


| be-u-yin. | Da-las-pha | chhod-kyi | bu | lab | os-po | med. | Nga | chhod-kyi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| be-u-in. | $T a-l \ddot{\text { al-pha }}$ | chhö-kyi | pu | lap | $\ddot{0}-p o$ | me. | Nga | chhö-kyi |
| done-is. | Now-from | thy | son | say | worthy | not. | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{\theta}}$ | thy |


|  | gyog-k | gchig | 'adrau | bed-bchug." | O-'adi-las | u |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| la-thop-pa-i | yok-ku | chi | u | be-chuk."' | $A$-di-lä | $u$ | long-di |
|  | e日rvant | опо | liko | make.' | That-from |  | rising |

khu-ri-kyi a-pa-i rtsa-lo song-bo-smad. Yin-rung a-pa-kyis khu thag-ring-lo

oug-bo mthong-di stig-chhi-di (sic.) mchhongs-song-di khu-kyi ske-lo pham-btab-ong-bo thong-di tik-chhi-di chhong-song-di khu-i ke-lo pham-tapcoming seen-having pitying run-gone-haring his neck-on embrace-struck-

| di | khu | skyeu-smad. | Te | bu-kyis | khu-lo | zhu-u-smad, | 'a-po, | nga-kyis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d i$ | $k h u$ | $k y e-\imath \imath-m a ̈ . ~$ | $T e$ | $p u-\bar{\kappa} y \bar{\imath}$ | khu-lo | shu-lt-mü, | 'a-po, | nga-kī |
| having | him | kissed. | And | son-by | him-to | said, | 'father, | me-ly |

nam-mkha dang chhod-kyi sku-mdun-lo slig-ko be-u-yin. Da-las-pha chhod-kyi
 bu lab os-po med.' Yin-rung a-po 'adi-kyis khu-ri-kyi gyog-ko-tshu-lo

gsungs-bo-smad, 'go-lag thams-chad-las lem 'abag-shog, khu-lo gon-lin;

lag-ka-lo mdzug-rkyi gchig dang rkang-pa-lo lham gon-bin. Te nga-chag
laik-ku-lo dzuk-kyi chi tang kang-pa-lo lham kön-bin. Te nga-cha hadd-on ring one and feet-on shoes put. Aud wo
zam za-di sems-skyid-po bya-ge; nga-i bu 'adi shi-di, log gson-po yin;
 kho be'ang song-di log thob-po-yin.' Te khong-tishu skyid-po bed-nyi
 mgo-btsugs-ko-yin. go-dzuk-ko-in.
began.

| O-di-tghi | khu-kyi | bu | rgan-po | zhing-lo | yod-po-smad. | Khu | ongs-di |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A-d i$-tghi | $k h u-i$ | $p u$ | kan-po | shing-lo | yö-po-mä. | Khu | ong-di |
| Now | his | son | elder | field-in | was. | He | coming |

khyim-gyi bo-log bslebs-po dans khu-kyis sgra-snyan dang 'achham-rkyab-po khim-ki bo-lok lep-po tang khu-yi gra-nyan tung chham-kyap-po house-of near-back coming with him-by sound-well-sounding and dance-miliing
tho-po-yin. Te klu-kyis gyog-ku nang-las gchig bo-di, ''adi-kyi don tho-po-in. Te khu-yī yok-ku nang-lä chi bo-di, 'di-kyi dön heard. And him-ly servauts in-from one calling, 'this-of menning gam-mo?' dri-u-smad. Te khu-kyis khu-lo lab-po-smad, 'chhod-kyi nu-bo kam-mo?' ti-u-mä. Te khu-yī khu-lo lap-po-mä, 'chhö-kyi mu-wo what-is? asked. And him-by hin-to said, 'thy younger-brother ngs-bo-yin, te chhod-kyi a-pa-kyis khu-lo gzugs-bzang-po thob-pa-i don-lo ong-bo-in, te chhö-kyi a-pa-kyī khu-lo zuk-zang-po thop-pa-i tön-lo como-is, and thy father-by him-to body-good found-being-of accoumt-on mgron gehig btang-bo-yin.' Te khu rtsig-ko za-di nang-sha rgyu-nyi ma ton chi tang-bo-in.' Te khu tsik-ko sa-di nang-sha gyu-nyi ma feast one given-is.' And he anger eating inside goto not dga-u-smad. O-'adi-kyi don-lo khu-kyi a-po pang-kha ongs-di khu-lo

|  | $\hat{A}-d i-k y i$ | tön-lo | $k h u-y i$ | a-po | pang-kha | $o n g-d i$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

lhu-u-smad. Te khu-kyis lan-btab-di khu-ri-kyi a-pa-lo zhu-u-smad, lhu-u-mä. Te $\quad$. $h u-y \bar{\imath} \quad l a ̈ n-t a p-d i \quad k h u-r i-k y i \quad a-p a-l o \quad s h u-u-m a ̈ a$, entreated. And himby answering his father-to enid,
'gzigs-dang, lo mang-po 'adi-dzod-chig nga-kyis chlod-lo zhabs-phyi $\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { 'zik-tang, } & \text { lo } \\ \text { 'lo, } & \text { years } & \text { mang-po } \\ \text { many } & \text { di-dzö-chi } \\ \text { so-much } & n g a-k i & \text { me-by } & \text { chhö-lo } \\ \text { you-to } & \text { shap-phyi } \\ \text { servant }\end{array}$ zhu-u-yin; nga-kyis chhod-kyi bka nam-mo mang-ge. Yin-ruug-sum-po shu-u-yin; nga-ki chhö-kyi ka nam-mo mang-gä. Yin-rung-sum-po worked; me-by your word ever not-tranggressed. Yet.
nga-ri-kyi rogs-ku-tshu mnyam-po skyid-po be-ba-i don-lo chhod-kyis nga-lo nga-ri-kyi rok-ku-tshı nyam-po kyi-po be-woc-i tön-lo chhö-kyī nga-lo my friends with ra-gu gehig nam-mo ma gnang ra-gu chi nam-mo ma nang. Yin-rung chhö-kyi pu di chhem-tghu kid one ever not rave. Bat jour son this barluts


## LHOKE OR BHŌṬIĀ OF BHUTAN.

The Tibetan word lho means 'south' and also denotes the State of Bhutan. An inhabitant of Bhutan is called Lho-pa, and his dialect Lho-ke, or probably more correctly Lho-kä, classical Tibetan Lho-skad, Lho-speech. Another name of Bhutan is Duk-pa from Tibetan 'abrug-pa, a sect of Lamas established in Bhutan, and hence Lhoke is sometimes called Dukpa Bhōṭià.

The Lboke dialect is a form of Tibetan closely related to that prevailing in Sikkim. Outside the State of Bhutan it has also been reported from some of the districts witbin the scope of this Survey. During its preliminary operations it was returned from the following districts:-


The corresponding figures at the last Census of 1901 were as follows :-
Bengal Presidency . . . . . . . . . . . 7,294
Calcutta . . . . . . . . . . 3
Dinajpar . . . . . . . . . . 1
Jalpaiguri . . . . . . . . . . 4,768
Darjeeling . . . . . . . . . 2,504
Tipperah . . . . . . . . . . . 1
Champaran . . . . . . . . . . 3
Bhagalpur . . . . . . . . . 3
Sontal Pargaдas . . . . . . . . . 2
Kuch Bihar . . . . . . . . . . 2
Sikkim . . . . . . . . . . 7
Ajmer-Merwara : . . . . . . . . . . . 3
Assam . : . . . . . . . . . . . 1,654
Onited Provinces . . . . . . . . . . . . 29
Total . 8,980
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Beames, J.,-Outlines of Indian Philology, with a map shewing the distribution of Indian Languages. Calcutta, 1867. Appendix A contains nomerals in Lhopa.
Honter, W. W.,-A Oomparalive Dietionary of the Langrages of India and High Asia. London, 1868. Campbell, Sie Geoboe, - Specimens of Languages of India. Calcatta, 1874, pp. 142 and ff.

A rersion of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases in Lhoke have been received from Darjeeling. The remarks on Lhoke grammar which follow are entirely based on them, and their correctness depends on the reliability of the materials.

Pronunciation.--The Lhoke dialect possesses the vowels $\ddot{a}$, $\ddot{0}$, and $i i$, i.e., the sounds of $a i$ in 'hair' and of $\ddot{o}$ in German 'bös' and of $\ddot{i}$ in German 'Sünde' respectively. The marking of these sounds is, however, very inconsistent. I have restored them as best I could in the Parable following the indications of the original manuscript. It is, how. ever, very probable that some mistakes have crept in.

Final vowels are often dropped; compare bum, classical bu-mo, daughter; lcam, classical skar-ma, star; byap, classical bya-pho, cock. When the final vowel of the suffixes $b a, b o$, etc., is dropped its initial consonant is usually assimilated to the final consonant of the base in various ways; thus, phab, classical phag-pa, swine; dum, classical rdung-ba, beating; im, classical yin-ba, being; shab, classical bshad-pa, telling, etc.

Soft initial consonants are apparently pronounced with a strong aspiration so that the actual sound is almost that of the corresponding hard consonant. Compare blaa, classical ba, cow, in Hodgson's vocabulary ; ghyob, classical grod-pa, belly ; ghyong, classical grong, village. The soft initials are often preserved in the specimens, or else they are replaced by the corresponding hard sounds; compare $b u-\underline{t} \underline{s} h o$, child; zhing, field; tii, classical dus, time.

Final soft consonants are hardened; thus, chik, classical gchig, one; lok, classical log, return ; khyot, classical khyod, thou. The soft sound is, however, often retained in writing; thus, mig, eye; geb, back. This is always the case when a vowel is dropped after the consonant. Compare the examples quoted above.

Final $d, n, l$, and $s$ modify a preceding $a, o$, and $u$, so that they become $\ddot{u}$, $\ddot{u}$, and $\ddot{u}$, respectively. Final $s$ is always, final $d$ commonly, and final $l$ and $n$ sometimes, dropped. Thus, gyet, i.e., !yät, Hodgson gye, classical rgyad, light; döt and dü, classical sdod, sit; lhöt, classical lhod, to loose; thön, classical mthon, arise, happen; tshün, classical tshun, till; keäl-ıoa, classical skal-ba, share; ngiu, classical dıgul, silver; iul-po, classical dbul-pa, poor ; shü-la, classical shus-las, behind ; näm, classical gnas-mo, wife; nyi, classical gnyis, two; tü, classical dus, time.

The original vowel sometimes remains unchanged or is followed by an $i$; thus, go, classical dgos, it is necessary ; goym, classical rgod-mo, mare, etc.

Final $r$ is occasionally dropped; thus, sey, classical gser, gold; tsha, classical $\underline{t} h a r$, finish.

Compound consonants of which the last component in classical Tibetan is a subscribed $y$ are left unchanged; thus, khyöt, classical khyod, thou; gyu-tshan, classical rgyu-mtghan, reason; bya, a bird. $\quad Y$ is, however, often dropped before $i$ and $e$; thus, bili, classical byi-li, cat; be, classical byed, do ; geb, classical rgyab, back.

There are also some instances of the change of such compounds into palatals which is so common in connected forms of speech; thus, chhot and khyöt, thou; long-chöt, classical longs-spyod, enjoy.
$\boldsymbol{R}$ after gutturals is replaced by $y$; with other consonants it coalesces into a cerebral; thus, kya, classical skra, hair; ghyob, classical grod-pa, belly; ghyony, classical
grong, village ; dhug, classical drug, six ; de, classical 'adre, devil; da, classical 'adra, like; di, classical 'adri, ask; thuk, classical phrugu, young.
$S r$ remains unchanged ; thus, sring-mu, sister.
$Z l$ becomes $d a$; thus, dau, classical zla-ba, moon.
In other compounds the first consonant or consonants are dropped. Compare lang, classical glang, bull; che, classical lche, tongue; zim-pön, classical gzim-dpon, servant; $n a$, classical sna, nose; nang, classical gnang, give; yok, classical gyog, work; kang-pa, classical rkang-pa, foot; zhi, classical bzhi, four, etc.

Article.-The numeral chik, one, is used as an indefinite, and the demonstrative pronouns $d i$, this; $d e$ and $t e$, that, as a definite article.

Nouns-Gender.-Gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding affixes such as pho, male; mo and ma, female; thus, lang, bull; ba, cow : pho-khyi, a dog; $k h y i-m u$ and $m o-k h y i$, a bitch : ra-pho, a he-goat; ra-ma, a she goat.

Number.-The usual sulfix of the plural is $\underline{t s u}$, classical $\underline{t g h o g s, \text { multitude; thus, }}$ yok-be mi-tsu, work-doing man-multitude, servants.

Case.--The case suffixes are, broadly, the same as in Ḍänjongkä; dative lo, lu or la ; ablative $l \ddot{a}, n \ddot{a}$; locative $n a$; terminative $r$, $t u$, etc.; genitive $k y i, k i, g y i, g i, i$, etc. The case of the agent is written like the genitive; the final vowel is probably long. The $i$ of the genitive and agent is sometimes contracted into one sound with a preceding vowel. Compare mi chilk-lu, to a man; za-nor-lä, from the property; shi-za-nä, from death's place; Khyim-na, in the house; $\underline{\boldsymbol{t}_{s} a-r}$, near, to; ap-tgu-gi, of fathers; ser-kyi, of gold; $a b p a i$, by the father ; $b u i$ and $b \ddot{u}$, by the son.

Adjectives.-Adjectives follow the noun they qualify. The particle of comparison is the ablative suffix lä; thus, khui nuchung di sring-mu di-lä thowat, his brother the sister the-from tell-is, his brother is taller than his sister.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

| $n g a, \mathrm{I}$ | khyöt, khyö, chhot, chho, thou | $k h o, k h u$, he |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n g \ddot{a}$, by me | chhoi, by thee |  |
| $n g a-i, n g \ddot{a}, \mathrm{my}$ | khyöt-kyi, khyoi, thy | kho-i, lehti-i, his |
| nga-chag, we | khyöt-tsho, you | khong, khong-tsho, they |
| $n g a-c h \ddot{a}, n g a$ chagi, our |  | khong-gi, their |

Other pronouns are $d i$, this; te, de, aphi, that; gag, who? ga-chi, gang-chi, what? and so forth.

Verbs.-The conjugation of verbs is broadly the same as in Dänjong-kä.
The verl substantive is formed from the bases in or yin; yot, yöt, or yö ; bet or bü, $m \ddot{a} ; w a t$ or $w \ddot{a}, p \ddot{a}$. The bases bet, etc., are apparently formed from the suffix $b a, p a$, etc., by adding $i d$ (-yod), which is in its turn dropped after having changed the preceding $a$ to $\ddot{a}$.

Present time.-A present tense is formed by adding do or do-yin; thus, gyo-do-yin, (I) ga; gyo-do, (thou) goest. Do is perhaps contracted from dao; compare nga dum-dao-yin, I am beating. Compare also the verb substantive $g d a-b a$ in Khams.

Instead of yin we sometimes find wat added ; thus, kho gyo-do-wat, he goes; compare tho-wat, he is tall. Compare Balti and Ladakhi at.

The base yot (i.e., yöt or $y$ ) , is, is also added in order to form a present; thus, dot-yot (i.e., döt-yöt), he is sitting. It can also be added to a form ending in $n i$; compare the suffix nyi of the verbal noun in Pänjong-kä. Thus, za-ni-yöt, they eat.

The base alone is also used as a present; thus, nga dung, I strike.
Past time.-The simple base, or the past base, is commonly used as a past tense; thus, kye, classical skyes, he became; song, he went.

A common past tense is formed by adding various forms of the verb substantive to a verbai noun or participle, which must originally have ended in $p a, b a$, or wa. The final vowel of this participle is usually dropped, and the initial sound assimilated to the preceding sound in various ways. Compare nga dum-yin, I went; be-u yim-pä, made become-is, has been made ; shab-mä, said; nyo-yin, boughtest. In the last example the verb substantive is perhaps added directly to the base. The same is the case in forms such as song-yi, went.

The participle alone is used in forms such as di•wa, asked; nang-wa, gave, if the final vowel of such forms is not properly an $\ddot{a}$.

Song is used as a suffix in tha-song, he became.
Note also compound forms such as dum $\underline{t s h a-d i} y i n$, beating having-finished am, I had beaten.

Future.-The common future is formed as in Dänjong-kä by adding yin to a verbal noun ending in $n i$; thus, dung-ni-yin, I shall strike. The common Tibetan suffix ong is also used; thus, kho dung-ong, he will strike. Nga thä-gyu, I shall be, contains the suffix gyu corresponding to classical Tibetan rgyu, matter, cause.

Imperative.-The base is often used as an imperative; thus, gyo and song, go. Suffixes such as chik, shok, etc., can be added; thus, gyo-chik, go; bak-shok, bring.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The base alone is used as a verbal noun; thus, $z a$-rung, eating-though, though he ate. The most common suffix is $b a, p a$, or wa, which is modified in the usual way. Thus, zhu-u-zhin-tu, saying-according, as he said; tsho-wa, to feed; muge thön-pa tang, famine arising with, when a famine had arisen; im-lä, beingfrom, because he is ; song-wa-i, going-by, when he had gone.

The same form is also used as a relative participle; thus, nga-lu thob-pa-i käluoa, me-to getting-of share, the share which I shall get.

Conjunctive participles are formed by adding $d i, t e, n \ddot{a}, w \ddot{a}$, etc.; thus, $d u n g-d i$-gi, having beaten; bak-te, carrying; len-nä, taking; song-wä, going, etc. Note also zer, saying.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $m i$ in the present and future, and a prefixed $m a$ in the past and imperative; thus, zhego bin-mi mi-n-duk, food givingman not-is, nobody gives him; mi-go, it is not wanted; zhum ga-ni ma-ya, goodbehaviour any not-did; tsib ma $z a$, anger not eat, don't be angry.

Interrogative particle.-The classical form ending in am occurs in gang-chi be-do-yin-nam, what do they do? The characteristic interrogative of the dialect is, however, probably mo; thus, gag-i bu-tshu ong-bo-mo, whose boy coming-is? whose boy is coming? $M o$ is probably the interrogative verb substantive as in Dänjong-kü, though it is not usual in Tibetan to add interrogative verbs or particles if there is an interrogative pronoun.

For further details the student is referred to the version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which follows, and to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 143 and ff. It should be remarked that most of the rules laid down in the preceding pages are subject to exceptions, usually in such a way that the language of Tibetan literature, which is also used in Bhutan by the educated classes, has influenced the writer.
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## TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

TIBETAN.

Lhoke or Bhōtià of Bhotan.
(District Darjeeling.)
Mi chik-lu bu nyī yö-pä, bu chhung-ku dē ab-lu lo-gyu
Man one-to sons two being, son younger the-by father-to subject shab-mä, 'ab-pai za-nor-lä nga-lu thob-pai kälwa gob-sha-chab-nang,' .having-told, 'father-of property-from me-to getting-of portion divide-split-cut-give,' bu chliung-ku-ki lab-zhin-tu kho-rai za-nor kälwa len-nä son younger-by said-as his-own property share taken-having chab-chha-ka bak-te yü thak-ring-sa chik-lu song, tä bum things carried-having country far one-to weent, then coomen a-ro-ga-ro-tsu nyam-tu dö-te tä za-nor tang chab-cliba-ka ga-yöt-ra sweethearts with living then property and things what-was the-kha-ra lak-te kho-rang du-thä me-par ül-po tha-song.
there squandered-having he anything not-being poor became.
Di-i gang-lu lung-pa di-kha yang mu-ge thön-pa tang kha-thuk-chab-nä This-of meantime-in country this-in also famine arising with met-having za-wa to me, kab-pa go me. Tä de-lä kho yü eating food not, covering cloth not. Then that-after he country zhan-kha yok gyuk-te za-wai sem-no-te song-wä mi chiki tsar other work doing eating-of mind-making-up going man one-of near tö-pa tang mi de-i, 'nga-i sa-zhing-kha-lu phab tsho-war' song,' staying when man that-by, 'my field-in-to pigs feeding-for' go,'
zer-lap-pä, kho phab tsho-war song-wä, di-i tshe kho-kha having-said-told, he pigs feeding-for having-gone, this-of time him-of
kom-to-kye, be-ma-tshu-par phab chok-thak-pa sa thirst-hunger-grew, to-put-up-not-able-being-on pigs like earth long-nä za-rung man-tshöt-man-pa no-döt-rung kbo-lu zhe-go bin-mi turning ate-though unfit-not thought-though him-to food giver mi-n-duk. Yang kbo-rai sem-lu, 'rang-gi a-pai tsa-lu yok-be-mi-tsu not-quas. And his mind-in, 'my-own father-of near servants nyin-re-lu nyin-za-tü zhi bab-lhöt-me-pa za-ni-yöt. Ta nga daily day-food-times four neglecting-without feeding-are. Now I
lok-te a-pai tsar gyo-go-pä,' no-te song-wai, kho lok-ong-wa returning father-of near to-go-wanted-is,' thinking going, him back-coming
apa-ki thong-te apai sem-lu bu-lo dik-ohhi-te bu-i ko-lu father-by seen-having father's mind-in son-to pitying son's neck-on pham-tab u-kyel. Tä di-lä bu-i apa-lu lab-mä, 'ta tshün nam-kha embraoed kissed. And then son-by father-to having-said,'now till heaven tang apai tha-kha-lu rang-gi zhum gani ma-ya. Ta nga, and father-of before myself-by good-behaviour any not-did. Now $I$, "apai bu in," zer shat ngo-yang-tsha,' si-te lab-pä, "father's son am," to-say to-declare ashamed,' saying having-said, ab-pa-ki, 'bu ngo-tsha mi-go,' yang ab-pa-i kho-ra-i zim-pön-lu father-by, 'son shamefulness not-wanted,' again father-by his-own servant-to ka-sä nang-wa, 'go le-zhib ohik tang sha-mo le-zhib chik, ser-kyi order gave, 'sloth good a and hat good a, gold-of dzu-kyi-chha chik, lham-chha chik tön-te bak-shok.' Ka nang-wa finger-ring-pair a, shoe-pair a taking bring.' Order given zhin-tu kap-gö-bä, yong-nä zab-tö-ṭik-dik-te tä pha-bu nyi as put-on-clothed-having, come-having clothed-having then father-son two dzom-pai ga-tsho be-wä; di be-go-pai gyu-tshan, bu di shi-sa-nä meeting-of joy made; this making-of reason, son this dead-place-from lok-pa-tang da-wo; biang-sa-lä heb-tang da-wo im-lä. returning-with like; lost-place-from found-with like being-from.

Tä di-i gang-lu pho-gem te zhing-kha-lä lok-ong-wa-i khyim And that time-at brother-elder the field-place-from back-coming house te-i bo-lo-kha lhö-pa-tang khyim nang-lu lu-ga zhe-ga tsim-tsi-wai the-of near coming-when house within singing dancing playing-of wur-da go-nä pho-gem te-i khyim-tsang-mi chik-lu di-wa, 'khyim noise hearing elder-brother the-by neighbour one-to asked, 'house nang gang-chi be-do-yin-nam?' zer-wä, 'khyö-rai nu-wo-chung te in what doing-are?' having-said, 'your-oun younger-brother the khyim nang lok-lhö-pai ga-tshor-zhi-len be-u yim-pü,' zer-shat-pä, ṭho-lä house in back-coming-of joy-feast malle is,' having-said, thereupon $\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\text { pho-gem } & \text { te } & \underline{\text { tsib }} & \text { za-nä } & \text { khyim } & \text { nang } & \text { gyo } & \text { ma } & \text { tub-par } \\ \text { elder-brother } & \text { the } & \text { anger } & \text { eating } & \text { housc } & \text { into } & \text { to-go } & \text { not } & \text { willing-being }\end{array}$ döt-tang-wä, $a b$ te khyim nang-lä ong-te bu gan-pa-i lak-pa-lä having-remained, father the house from coming son elier-of hand-by zung-nä, 'khyöt tsib-ma-za; khyim nang gyo-chik,' zer-lap-pä, bü, seizing, 'you anger-not-eat; house into go,' having-said-told, son-by, 'ta-tвhün. apa-i tha-kha sem-ma-set-pa-lu ga-tä dak-ḍak ' now-till father-of against mind-not-offending-in in-every-ray best
bä-bin-rung, nga-i to-tshang ga-tliün-tsu tang, " dza-chum chik doing-giving-though, my friends companions with, "feast one


## KHAMS DIALECT.

The eastern division of Tibet, between the province of Ü and China, is known as Khams or Khams-yul. It extends from the frontier of China to about $95^{\circ}$ east longitude. We are not sufficiently informed about the dialect spoken in Khams, and it does not fall within the scope of this Survey. It is, however, of considerable interest and it will there. fore be useful to collect some information about it in this place. The Rev. H. A. Jaeschke has long ago published a short specimen which will be reproduced below.

## AUTHORITIES-

Jaeschee, H. A.,—ひ̈ber die Phonetik der Tibstischen Sprache. Monatsberichte der Königlich Preusgis. chen Akademie der Wiesenschaften za Berlin. Aus dem Jahre 1867, pp. 148 and $\mathfrak{f f}$. ", $\quad$, Tibetan-English Dictionary with special reference to the prevailing dialects. To which is added an English-Tibetan Vocabulary. London, 1881. The introduction contains notes on Tibetan dialects.

The Khams dialect in two important points agrees with Western as against Central Tibetan. There is no tone system and the various compound consonants are not so generally simplified as in Central Tibetan.

Phonology.-The vowels $i$ and $u$ are changed to $e$ and $\ddot{o}$, respectively; thus, tebrel, classical tib-ril, tea-pot; wö, classical bu, son.

The two vowels $\mathbb{V} a$, and $\{$ ' $a$ are distinguished in Khams. The former is the vowel $a$ pronounced with the audible opening of the throat which is indicated by means of the spiritus lenis in Greek and the Hamza in Arabic. ' $A$ is the mere vowel without that audible opening. In Khams it has developed into a gh, the soft sound corresponding to the hard $c h$ in German 'doch '; thus, ghar-po, classical 'ar-po, angry ; ghug-pa, classical 'ug-pa, owl; gho-ma, classical 'o-ma, milk; ghod, classical 'od, light, and soforth.

The pure vowel ' $a$ is often used as a prefix before consonants. In such cases it has developed into the nasal corresponding to the following consonant; thus, ngkhol-ba, classical 'akhol-ba, to boil ; nggul-wa, classical 'agul-ba, to move; nychham-pa, classical 'achham-pa, to agree; ndod-pa, classical 'adod-pa, to like; mphur-wa, classical 'aphur$b a$, to fly, etc.

The vowels of the base are sometimes modified by a following consonant, not however to the same extent as in Central Tibetan.
$U$ becomes $\ddot{u}$ before $d$ and $n$; thus, lüd, classical lud, manure; kün, classical kun, all.
$A$ is changed to $e$ before $n g$; thus, kheng-pa, classical lhang-pa, house.
Before $s, a$ is changed to $e, o$ to $\ddot{o}$, and $u$ to $\ddot{u}$. Final $s$ is dropped and the vowel lengthened; thus, $k h e \bar{e}$, classical khas, with the mouth; gö, classical gos, cloth; $d \vec{u}$, classical dus, time.

Initial non-compound consonants are mostly left unchanged. The initial $b$ of classical Tibetan is, however, changed to $w$; thus, $w a$, classical $b a$, cow ; wö, classical $b u$, son ; wö-mo, classical $u u$-mo, daughter.

Final $s$ is always dropped, and the preceding vowel is lengthened; thus, $r_{\bar{i}}$, classical $r$ iv, form ; $g \ddot{\vec{u}}$, classical $g u 8$, respect. If $s$ is preceded by a consonant, the preceding vowel is.
only lengthened if the consonant in question is a $g$; thus, näg, classical nags, forest; rig, classical rigs, class; but $\mu$ heb, classical phebs, came; tham-chad, classical thans-chad, all.

Compound consonants ending in a subscribed $y$ in the literary dialect are left unchanged if the first consonant is a guttural, and become palatals if it is a labial; thus, kyeng, classical kyang, even; khye, classical khyi, dog; gyon-pa, to wear; chhag, classioal phyag, hand, etc. By also becomes wsh.

Mute consonants and $r$ become cerebrals; $8 r$ is replaced by the original str, and $h r$ becomes shr; thus, them, classical khrims, right; thü, classical khrus, bath; don-mo, classical dron-mo, warm; thö-g̈̈, classical phrugu, child; string-mo, classical sring-mo, sister; shrul-po, classical hrul-po, rags.

Compounds ending in $l$ are treated in different ways. $G l$ is changed to $g h l ; u l$ to $w l$; $z l$ becomes $l d$, and $r l$ and $s l$ remain unchanged. Thus, ghlog, classical glog, lightning; wla-ma, classical lla-ma, a Lama; lda-wa, classical zla-ba, moon; rleng-pa, classical rlangs-pa, vapour, steam; sla-mo, thin.

The prefixed $r, l$, and $s$ remain unchanged; thus, rkeng-pa, classical rkang-pa, foot; rnga, drum ; rta, horse; lnga, five; ltad-mo, a sight; sna, nose.

Skr becomes shtr ; sgr becomes zdr; spy becomes shw; spr becomes shtr; sl and sby become $z u$; sbr becomes $d$; smr becomes shn, and so fortl. Thus, shtra, olassical skra, hair; zdra, classical sgra, sound ; shwod-pa, classical spyod-pa, action; shtre-gho, classical spreu, monkey; zual-wa, classical sbal-ba, frog; zuar-wa, classical sbyar-ba, to fasten ; $\downarrow \ddot{a} n g-w o$, classical $s b r a n g-l u t$, fly ; shna-wa, classical $s m \jmath a-b a$, to say.

A prefixed $g$ becomes $g l y$; the same is the case with the prefixed $d$; $d b$ becomes ghw, or $w$ if a $u$ follows; a prefixed $b$ becomes $v$ or $b$; and a prefixed $m$ remains unchanged. Thus, ghdung-wa, classical gdung-ba, desire; ghser, classical gser, gold; ghyog-po and yog-po, classical gyog-po, a servant; ghkar-po, classical dkar-po, white; ghngul, classical dngul, silver; ghweng, classical dbang, might; wö, classical dbu, head; wug, classical dbugs, breath; ghwöl-po, classical dbul-po, poor ; vgo-wa, classical bgo-la, to put on; vrgyad, classical brgyad, eight; vdun, classical bdun, seven; btöm-pa, classical btum-pa, to envelop; mgo, head, etc. Note chshid, olassical dpyid, spring.

For further details the student is referred to the short specimen which follows. It has been reprinted from the Rev. H. A. Jaeschke's paper mentioned above. The stress has been marked by means of a' over the accented syllable.
[ No. I6.]

# tibeto-burman Family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group. 

TIBETAN.

Khams Dialect.

## H. A. Jaeschke, 1866.)

Dé-skad wdág-gī thö̈-pa. Dü̆ chig-na Wchom-ldan-d d
This-word myself-by heard. Time one-in the-Exalted-one Srävastī-in Rgyál-wö-rgyal-jéd-kye-tshal Nggon-med-zä-zwén-gye kün-ghga-rá-wa-na wzhng-so. Jētavana Anāthapiṇ̣dada's pleasure-grove-in lived.
Dī-tshé rgyál-po Ghsal-rgyál-la wlón-po chhén-po mkhä-pa rig-pa deng That-time king Prasënajit-to minister !!reat great knowledge with ldán-pa zhig yód-de, di chhung-ma sém-chan deng ldán-par gyúr-nä possessed one being, his wife child with be-to coming khyeu mtshian deng ldan-pa wshad-ghzúg lág-pa ghpe-wshád child marks with possessed shape-good good secondary-marks ldá-med-pa zhig btshä̈-te, mtshan-mkhan wò̀-n̈̈̆ wö wstán-pa incoimparable one having-been-born, astroloyer called-having son showing deng mtshan-mkhan-gyī ghgá-wè mdang-kyi dé-skad chī shnä̈-so. on astrologer-by happy look-uith this-word thus said.

## FREE TRANSLATION OF ThE FOREGOING.

Thus I have heard. The Blessed one was once staying at Śrāvastī, in the Jētavana, in Anāthapiṇlada's pleasaunce. Now at that time King Prasēnajit had a prime minister of great knowledge. His wife became with child, and a son was born who possessed all the lucky marks, great beauty, and all the secondary lucky marks. An astrologer was summoned, aná wnen the child had been shown to him, he said with a happy Jook.

LIST OF STANDARD WORDS AND SENTENCES IN THE DIALECTS OF THE TIBETAN LANGUAGE.



LIST OF STANDARD WORDS AND SENTENCES


[^8]
## in the dialects of the tibetan language.

| Sharpa (Darjeeling). | Pänjorgkia (Sandberg). | Lhoke (Darjeling). | Engluch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chik | Chi | Chik | 1. One. |
| Nyi | $\mathrm{Nr}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | Nyi | 2. Two. |
| Sum | Sum | Sum | 3. Three. |
| Shi | Zhi | Zhi | 4. Four. |
| Nga | Nga | Nga | 5. Five |
| Tak . . | Tok | Dhak | 6. Sis. |
| Dan | Duin | Dan | 7. Seven. |
| Gye . . | Gye | Gyet | 8. Eight. |
| Gu . . | Ga | Gu | 9. Nine. |
| Chu . . . | Chu-thamba | Cha-tbamba | 10. Ten. |
| Nyisha ; khal-jik | Khe-chik | Nyi-sha-thamba | 11. Twenty. |
| Nga-chu | Ngabehu | Nga-cha-thamba | 12. Fifty. |
| Gya . . | Gya . | Gya-thamba | 13. Hundred. |
| Nga . . | Nga | Nga | 14. I. |
| Nga-yi . . | Nge | Nga-gi | 15. Of me. |
| Nga-yi . . | Nge | Nga-yi | 16. Mine. |
| Dak-pu - . - | Nga.clia . | Nga-chak | 17. We. |
| Dalab-pu-yi . | Nga-chi . . | Nga -chä . | 18. Of us. |
| Dak-pu-yi . | Nga-cli . . | Nga-ohagi | 19. Our. |
| Khyot . . . | Chhö | Khyot | 20. Thon. |
| Khyot-rang-gi . | Chbö-kyi | Khyot-kyi | 21. Of thee. |
| Khyot-rang-gi | Cbhö.kyi | Khyot-kyi | 22. Thine. |
| Khyot-rang . . | Chbö | Khyot . . | 23. Yon. |
| Khyot-rang-gi | Obhö-kyi . - | Khyıt-kgi | 24. Of you. |
| Thyot-rang-gi . | Chlö-kyi . . | Khyot-ikgi . | 25. Your. |



| Central Dialect (San liserg anil Hendersou). |  | Lhoke (Darjealing). | Faglinh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writton. | Spoken. |  |  |
| Kho • | Kho | 10 | 26. He. |
| Kho-i . . | Kho-i | joyi . . . . | 27. Of him. |
| Kho-i . . . . | Kho-i | boyi . . . . | 28. His. |
| Khong-tsho | Khong-taho | hong • - . | 29. They. |
| Khong-tsho-i | Khong-tsho-i . | bong-gi | 30. Of them. |
| Khong-taho-i . | Khong*tabo-i . | hong-gi . - | 31. Their. |
| Lug-par . | Lak-par . . . . . | ak-pa . . . | 32. Hand. |
| Rkang-pa | Kang-pa | -ang pa | 33. Foot. |
| Sna | Na-khung | B. | 34. Noвe. |
| Mig . | Mik | Iik . | 35. Eje, |
| Khe | Kha . | The | 36. Moath. |
| So | So | $10 \cdot \cdots \quad . \quad$. | 37. Tuoth. |
| Rua | Namelinok . | Semohok; na'we | 38. Ear, |
| Skra | Ta. | ya | 39. Hair. - |
| Mgo . . . | Go | \% | 4). Head. - |
| Lcche . | Che | the . | 41. Tongae. |
| Grod-pa . | Dhö-par . . . | Thyop . | 42. Belly. |
| Rgyab . | Grap . | ̇ep | 43. Brack. |
| Lchage. . | Cbak; char . | Sha. | 44. Iron. |
| Gser | Ser | Sey . . | 45. Gold. |
| Dagul . . . . . . | Ngül . . | Ngü . , | 46. Silver. |
| Pha; yab . . . | A-pha; yap . | $\Delta_{p} \cdot . .$. | 47. Father. |
| Ma . . | Ma | Ayi . , . . | 48. DFother. |
| A.jho - (elder); nu-bo (yourger). | A-jho; na-wo | Pünchba | 49. Brother. |
| Sring-rio; a-ehhe (alder); nu-mo (younger). | Sing-ruo ; a-olihe ; na-mo . | Azhim . | 50. Sister. |
| Mi . . . . . . | Mi | Mi . . . . | 51. Man. |
| Bud-med | Bhü-me | Amtgu . . . . | 52. Women. |












| Englioh. |  | Balti (Baltistan). | Purik (Purik). | Ladēklii (Ladakh). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107. Of fathers. |  | A ton-1 (i..., ata-an-I), ato-ngan-i. | Ata-gun-i . . . . | A-baogan-ni |
| 108. T'o fathers . |  | Ato-ngun-la . . . | Atā-gun-la • . | A-ba-gun-la |
| 109. From fethers | - | Ato-ngnn-nā • . . | Atā-gun -nà . . . | Aba-gun-nä |
| 110. A dsughter | - | Bo-ng $\delta$ chik . . . | Bo-mo ohik . . . | Bo-mo-zhik |
| 111. Of a daughter . |  | Bo-ngo chig-i . . | Bo-mb ohig-1 . . . | Bo-mo-zhig-gi |
| 112. To a daughtor |  | Bo-ngo chik-la . . | Bo-mo chik-la . . . | Bo-mo-zhik-la |
| 113. From a daughter | - | Bo-ngo-chilk-nã . | Botmos chik-nā . | Bo-mo-zhik-nā |
| 114. Two daughters . | - | Bo-ngo nyis . . | Bo-mo nyis . . . | Bo-mo nyis |
| 115. Daughters | - | Bo-ngo-ngan . . | Bo-m\%-gur | Bo-mo-gan |
| 116. Of daughters | - | Bo-nge-ngun-i . . | Bo-mo-gan-i . . . | Bo-mo-gun-ni . |
| 117. To daughters | - | Bo-ngo-ngun-la | Bo-mo-gan-la | Bo-mo-gun-la |
| 118. From daughters. | - |  | Bo-mo-gun-nā . | Bo-mo-gun-nä |
| 119. A good man | - | Leagh-mō mi chik . | Noro mi-chik | Mi rgyal-la zhik |
| 120. Of a good man |  | Leagh-mo mi chig-í . | Nord mi-chig-i | Mi rgyal-la zhig-gi |
| 121. To a good man . |  | Leagh-mo mi chik-la | Noro mi-chik-la | Mi rgyal-la zhik-la |
| 122. From a good man | - | Leagh-mo mí chik-nã | Noro-mi-chik-nã | Mi rgyal-la zhik-nä |
| 123. Two good men |  | Leagh-mb min nyis | Noro min nyis . | Mi rgyal-la nyis |
| 124. Good men . | - | Leagh-momi-an | Noro- mī-gun; rgyala mīgan. | Mi rgyal-la-gau |
| 125. Of good men - | - | Legha-m\% mil-an-i . | Nơro mī-gan-i . . . | Mi rgjal-la-gan-ni |
| 126. To good men |  | Leagh-momi-mala . | Noro mi-gan-la | Mi rgyal-la-gun-la |
| 127. From good men. | - | Legha-mó mī-un-nā . . | NOro mī-gun-nā . | Mi rgyal-la-gan-nä |
| 128. A good woman - | - | Leagh-mo bū-string ohik | Nors bo-ms ohik | Bo-mo rgyal-la zhik |
| 129. A bad boy. . | - | Sbrê-shrik bũ chik | Rtsok-pठ-ba . . | Bu-tagha rtsok-po zhik |
| 13v. Goor womer | . | L'agh-mō ba-string-gan | Nor's bo-mo-gan . | Bo-mo rgyal-la sak |
| 131. A bad girl. . | - | Shree-shrik bo-ngo chils | Rtsok -po bo-mo chik | Bo-ma rthoik-po zhik |
| 32. Gioud | - |  | Noro; rgyal-a . | Rgyal-la ; zang-po ; jak-po |
| 33. Better . . |  | Dē-o-batsek leagh-mo (hetter than that). | Di-a-vasang notro (better than (this) | -sany rayai-ian . |

156-Tibstata.


| Engitiob. |  | Baltí ( Beltistan). | Spiti (Spiti). | Kăgate ( Darjecling). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107. Of fathers. | - | Aton-1 (i.e., ata-an-1), a ugau-i. | A-pha nam-ki . | A-ha-hari-ki |
| 108. I'o fathers. | - | Ato-ngan-la | A-pha gan-la | A-ba-kei (Aba-kya-la) |
| 109. From fathers | - | Ato-ngun-nā | A-pha-gan-nä | A-ba-sale |
| 110. A daughter | - | Bo-ngo chik | Bu-mo shik | Po-ma chik |
| 111. Of a denghter | - | Bo-ngo chig-i . | Bu-mo shig-gi . | Po-ma chik-i-di |
| 112. To a danghter | - | Bo-ngo chik-la . | Ba-mo shik-la | Po-mu chik-la |
| 113. From a danghtar | - | Bo-ngo-chit-nã | Bu-mo shilk-nă | Po-mu chik minji |
| 114. Two daughters | - | Bo-ngo nyis | Ba-mo nyi | Po-ma nyi |
| 115. Daughters | - | Bo-ngo-ngun . . | Bu-mo nam | Po-ma-kya |
| 116. Of daughters | - | Bo-ngo-ngan-1. . | Bu-mo nam-ki | Po-mu-kya-gi-di (ke-i-di) |
| 117. To danghters | - | Bo-ngoz-ngun-la | Bu-mo gan-la | Po-ma kya-la |
| 118. From daughters . | - |  | Ru-no gon-nä | Po-ma kya minjik |
| 119. A good man | , | Leagh-mō mi chik | Mi gyala shik . | Ya-bu mi chik . |
| 120. Of a good man | - | Leagh-m ${ }^{\text {min }}$ chig-i | Mi gyala shig-gi | Ya-bu mi chik-i-di |
| 121. To a good man . | - | Leagh-mo mi chik-la | Mi gyala shik-la | Mi ya-bu chik-la |
| 122. From a good man | - | Leagh-mo mĭ chik-nā | Mi gyala shik-n\#̈ | Mi ya-ba chik-le |
| 123. Two good men . | - | Leagh-mb mi nyis . | Mi gyala nyi . | Mi ja-ba nyī |
| 124. Good men. | - | Leagh-m $\mathrm{min}^{\text {mi-an }}$ | Mi gyala gan | Mi ya-bu kya |
| 125. Of good men - | - | Legha-mb mi-nn-i | Mi gyala gan-gi | Mi ya-ba ke-i-di |
| 126. To good men | - | Leagh mo mi-un-lb . | Mi gyala gua-la | Mi ya-ba kya-la |
| 127. From good men. | - | Legha-mo mi-an-nā . | Mi gyala nam-nä | Mi ya-bu kya-sa-le |
| 128. A good woman - | - | Leagh -m\% bū-string chik | Bo-mo gyala shik | Pe-mi ya-ba chilk |
| 129. A bad boy. |  | Sbrö-shrik bū chik . | Tha-ga sok-po shik | Piza ma-ja-ba chik |
| 13v. Goon women . | - | Leagh-mó bat-string-gan | . But-met gyala | Ya-bu pe-mi-kya |
| 131. A bad girl. . | - | Shrê-sbrit bo-ngo chik | . But-met sok-po atik | Po-ma ma-ya-be chis |
| 132. Liowd | - | Leagh-ms . | . Gyala . . | Ya-ba |
| 133. Better | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dè-o-batsek. lheagh-m } \\ & \text { (hetter than that). } \end{aligned}$ | $\delta^{-}$De-sang gyala . | Ya-bu |






| Te-ri ai-na le-mo | . ${ }^{-} \cdot$ | 134. Beat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thon-bu | \% . | 135. High. |
| Si-na thon-ba . | ... | 136. Higher. |
| Te-ri si-na thon-bu | .... | 137. Highest. |
| Ta chik . . | ik . | 138. A horwe. |
| Goid-ma . | ohik | 139. A mare. |
| Ta-taho . | $\cdots$ | 140. Horses. |
| Goid-ma-tgho . | -ta | 141. Mares. |
| Lang chik | chik | 142. A bull. |
| Chhang-ma chiv | ik | 143. A cow. |
| Lang-tsho | tsu . | 144. Balls. |
| Chhung-ma-tgho | 0 . | 145. Cows. |
| Ki chik . . | hyi chik | 146. A dog. |
| Ki-mo ohik . | -mu chik | 147. A bitoh. |
| Ki-tagho . | chyi-tag | 148. Dogs |
| Ki-mo-tsho | yi-tsu. | 149. Bitches. |
| Ra-pho chik . | no ohils | 150. A he goat. |
| Ra-mo chik | a chik | 151. A female goat. |
| ... | . | 152. Goats. |
| Kha-sha-pho ohik | ho chik | 153. A male deer. ¿oce, |
| Kha-sha-mo chils | no chik | 154. A female deer. |
| Kha-sba . . | - | 155. Deer. |
| Nga yin . . | in . | 156. I am. |
| Khyot-rang yin | t yin-bet | 157. Thou art. |
| Kho yin . . | bet . | 158. He is. |
| Dak-pu ${ }^{\text {in }}$ - | chak yin | 159. We are. |
| Khyot-rang yin | t yin-bst | 160. You are. |


| English. | Baltí (Baltistan). | Purik (Purik). | Ladâkhì (Ladakh). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161. They are | Khong yot. | Khong in | Kho-gan in |
| 162. I was | Ngā yot-pa | Nga-rang yot-pin* | Nga yot-pin |
| 163. Thor mast. | Khiang jot-pa . . | . Khye-rang yot-pii | Khyot yot-pin |
| 164. Ho was | Khho jot-pa | Kho yot-pin | Kho got-pin |
| 165. We ซere | Ngayã yot-pa . | Nga-tang yot-pin | Nga-zha jot-piu |
| 166. You were . | Khye-tang yot-pa | - Khyen-tang yot-pin | Khyo-zha yot-pin |
| 167. They were | Khoug yot-pā . | - Khong yot-pin | Kho-gan yot-pin |
| 168. Be | Yot, duk, in | Yot, duk, in . | Yot, duk, in |
| 169. To be | Yot-chas | Yot-chas . ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | Yot-ches |
| 170. Being | Yot-pa, yot-tē . | Yot-tē | Yot-te ; yot-khan |
| 171. Having been | Yot-pa in-tē | Yot-khan in-tē | Yot-khen in-te |
| 172. I may be | Ngã joot-na (if $I$ be) . | Ngã yot-na (if I be) | Nga jot dro |
| 173. I shall be | Ngā dug-uk | Ngā dug-in * | Nga dug-in |
| 174. I should be | N gã duk rgos . | Ngà duk rgos $\quad$. | N'ga duk-rgos (ghos) |
| 175. Beat | T'cong, rdung . | Rdung . - | Rdung . |
| 176. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}$ beat | Teang-chas - | Rdung-chas . | Rdung-ohes . |
| 177. Beating | Tcang-sè . . | Rdung-sé | Rdang-ste . |
| 178. Having beaten | Teang*se yot-pa | Rdung-sē yot-pa . | Rdung-tshar-te |
| 179. I beat | N gã-se teang-nuk • | Nga-s rdung-duk | Ngä rdung-at . |
| 180. Thou beatest | Khiang-se tang-et . | Khye-rang-is rdung-duk | Khyod-dis rdung-at . |
| 181. He beats - | Khб-se t'ang-et | Kho-s rdung-duk . | Kho-e rdung-duk |
| 182. We beat | Ngaya-se teang-nuk . | Nga-tang-is rdung-duk | Nga-zbä rdang-at |
| 83. You beat . | Kly yetaug-se ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ang-et | Khyer-tang-is rdung-duk | Khyonzhä rdung-at |
| 84. They beat - | Khong-se teang-et . | Ehun-t-is rdung-duk | Kho-gan-nis rdung-duk |
| 85. I beal (Past Tense) | Ngà-se t'angs, t'angs-pg | Nga-rang-is rdung-s | Ngä rdunge-pin |
| 86. Thon beatest (Past T'erse). | Khiung-ye trunge-pa | Khye-rang-is rdung-s | Khyod-dis rdungs-pin |
| 87. He luat ( $P_{\text {ast }}$ Fense) .. | Kht-se trangs-pa - | Kho-s rdang-s * . | Kho-e rdunge-song . . |





| Engliah. | Baltī (Baltistan). | Parik (Purik). | Ladabki (Ladakh). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 188. We beat (Past Tenre). | Ngaya-se teangra-pa . . | Ngare-s rdung-s | Nga-zhä rduags-pin |
| 189. You beat (Past Tonse) | Kluyetang-se teangs-pa | Khyen-ti-s rdung-s | Khyo-zbä rdunge.pin |
| 190. They beat (Past Tense) | Khong-ise teangs-pa | Khong-is rdang-s | Kbo-gan-mie rdange-pin |
| 191. I am beating | Ngã trang-ma duls . | Ngā-rang rdaug-gin duk | Nga rdung-gin duk |
| 192. I was beating | Ngã teang-ma duk-pa | Ngā-rang rluag gin dukspa. | Nga rdung-gin yot-pin |
| 193. I had beaten | Ngã-se teang-s-et-pa . | Ngā-res rduug-s-et-pt | Ngä rdunge-pin |
| 194. I may beat | cos ... | .. $\cdot$. | $\ldots$ |
| 195. I shall beat | Ngã-se teang-uk | Nga-rā-s rdung-uk, or rdung-in. | Ng ā rdung-in |
| 196. Thou wilt beat | Khiang-se teang-uk . | Khye-r-is rdung-uk . | Khyod-dis rdung-in |
| 197. He will beat | Kho-se teang-uk | Kho-s rdung-uk | Kho-i rdung-in |
| 198. We shall beat | Ngaya-se t'ang-uk | Nga-tè-s rdung-uk . | Nga-zhä rdung-in |
| 199. You will beat | Khye-tang-se teang-nk | Khyen-ti-s rdung-uk | Khyo-zhä rdung-in |
| 200. They will beat | Khong-ise teang-nk | Khong-is rdung-uk . | Kho-gan-nis rdung-in |
| 201. I should beat | Ngā-se teang-rgos-uk | Nga-s rdung-rgos . | Ngä rdung-ghos |
| 202. I am beaten | Ngà teang-ma song-s-et | Ngā rdung-se duk . . | Nga-ln redung-duk |
| 203. I was beaten | Ngā teang-ma song-s-et-pa . | Ngā rdung-se duk-s-pa | Nga-la rdunge-pin |
| 04. I shall be beaten | Ngà teang-ma-gik (gikwill go). | Ngā-la rdung-clias jong-uk | Nga-la rdung-in |
| 05. I go | $\mathrm{Ngã}$ goz-et | Ngã-raug chhēt ( $=$ chhā-et) | Nga-cliba-at |
| 06. Thou goest | Khiang gotet . | Khye-rang chhēt | Khyot chha-at |
| 207. He goes | Kho gō-et . . . | Khơ chluēt | Kho chha-ruk |
| 208. Tego | Ngajā go-et . . . | Nga-tang chhēt | Nga-zha chlia-at |
| 209. You go | Khye-tang go-et | Khyen-tang chhēt . | Khyo-zha chha-at |
| 10. They go . | Khong go-et . . | Khong clihēt . . | Kho-gun chha-ruk |
| 211. I went | Ngã song, song-pa | Ngä-rang 3ong-m-in, or, songbin. | Nga song-pin . |
| 212. Thou wentest . | Khiang song . . | Khye-rang song-m-in | Khyot song-pin |
| 13. He went | Kho song | Khō song-m-in . . | Kho song |
| 14 We went . | Ngayī mong . . | Nga-taug, sone-m-in . | Nga-zha soug-pin |


| Central Dialect (Anendberg and Henderion). |  | Lhoke (Darjeeling). | Englinh. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writeon | Bpoken. |  |  |
| ...... | Nga-taho-ghi dang-wa-jin . | Nga-ohag.gi dum.ji | 188. We beat (Past Tonee). |
| ..... | Khy8-taho-ghi dung-wa-re | Jhot dum-yi . . . | 189. You beat (Past Tenen). |
| ..... | Kho-pail dung-we-re . | Khong-gi dum-yi . | 190. They best (Past Tonos) . |
| ...... | Nga-rang-ghi dung•ghi yö | Nga dum da-o-jin . . | 191. I am beating. |
| $\ldots$ | -.... | Sga dam da-o gang-yin . | 192. I was beating. |
| ...... | ...... | Sga dum tsha-di gin | 193. I had beaten. |
| ... | ...... | 「ga dum go ong . | 194. I may beat. |
| Ngas rdung-yong . | Ngă dang-yong . . | Nga dung-ni yin | 195. I shall beat. |
| Khyod-kyis rdong-yong | Khyö-kyi dung-yong | Jhhot dung-ni yin . | 196. Thou wilt beat. |
| Khos rdang-yong . | Khö̀ dung-yong . | Khu dung.ong . | 197. He will beat. |
| Nga-torhos rdang-yong | Nga-tghö̀ dung-yong | Nga-chak dung-ni-yin | 198. We shall beat. |
| Khyod-tghos rdung-yong | Khyö-tıhö̈ dung-yong | Thhoy dung-ong | 199. You will beat. |
| Kho-tahos rdung-jong | Khon-tahtö dung-yong | Khong dung-ong | 200. They will beat. |
| .... | ...... | Nga dung-go . . | 201. I should beat. |
| ...... | ..... | Nga dung-do-gin • | 202. I am beaten. |
| ...... | .... | Nga dum-yin . | 203. I was beaten. |
| $\ldots$ | ..... | Nga dung-ong | 204. I shall be beaten. |
| ...... | ..... | Nga gyo-do-yin | 205. I go. |
| ...... | ...... | Chhot gyo-do . | 206. Thou goest. |
| $\ldots$ | ...... | Kho gyo-do-wat . | 207. He goes. |
| ...... | ..... | Nga-cbak gyo-do . | 208. We go. |
| ..... | ...... | Chho gyodo . . | 209. You go. |
| ..... | ..... | Khong gyo-do . . | 210. They go. |
| ...... | ..." | Ngạ song-yi . . | 211. I went. |
| ..... | ...... | Cbhot song-yi . . | 212. Thou wentest. |
| ...... | .... | Kho song-yi . | 213. H.e went. |
| ...... | ..... | Nga-chak eong.yi . | 214. We went. |



| Sharpa (Darjeeling). |  | Lhote (Darjeeling). | Engliah. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dak-poi duug-pa-yim | ... ${ }^{\text {.. }}$ | Nga-chag-gi dum.yi . | 188. We beat (Pat Tense). |
| Khi dung-song | ..... | Chot dum-yi . | 189. You beat (Patt Tonco). |
| Kho-tghoi dung-song | ... | Khong-gi dum-yi . | 190. They beat (Past Tenos). |
| Ngas dung-gi yot . | ...... | Nga dum da-o-gin . | 191. I am beating. |
| Ngas dung-gi yot-pa yin | $\ldots$ | Nga dum da-o gang-yin | 192. I wes beating. |
| Ngas dung-pa yin | ... | Nga dum taha-di yin | 193. I had beaten, |
| Ngas dung-chhok - | ...... | Nga dum go ong | 194. I may beat. |
| Ngas dung-yong . | .... | Nga dang-ni yin | 195. I shall beat. |
| Khi dung-yong | ...... | Chhot dang-ni yin . | 190. Thou wilt beat. |
| Khoi dung-jong . | ...... | Khu dung-ong | 197. He will beat. |
| Dals-poi dung-yong . | ...... | Nga-chak dung-ni-yin | 198. We shall beat. |
| Khi dung-yong | ...... | Chhoy dung-ong | 199. You will beat. |
| Kho-tshoi dung-youg | ...... | Khong dung-ong | 200. They will beat. |
| Ngas dung-goi . | ...... | Nga dung-go . | 201. I should beat. |
| Nga-la dung-song | ...... | Nga dung-do-yin | 202. I am beaten. |
| Nga-la dung-chung . | ... | Nga dum-yin . | 203. I was beaten. |
| Nga-la dang-yong . | ...... | Nga dung-ong | 204. I shall be beaten. |
| Nga do-gi jot . . | ...... | Nga gyo-do-yin | 205. I go. |
| Khyo do-gi nok . | ...... | Chhot gyo-do . | 206. Thon goest. |
| Kho do-gi nok . . | **... | Kho gyo-do-wet | 207. He goes. |
| Dak-po do-gi yot . | ..... | Nga-chak gyo-do | 208. We go. |
| Khyo do-gi nok • | ...... | Chho gyodo . . | 209. You go. |
| Kho-tsho do-gi nok . | ...... | Khong gyo-do . | 210. They go. |
| Nga gal-bin - | ..... | Nga song-yi . | 211. I went. |
| Khyo gal-lap-song . | *.... | Chhot song-yi . | 212. Thou wentert. |
| Kho gal-song . . | ...... | Kho song-yi | 213. H.s went. |
| Dak-po gal-lup - | ...... | Nga-chak rong.yi . | 914. We went. |


| English, | Baliti (Baltintan). | Parik (Parik). | Ladâkht (Ledakh). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 215. You went . | Khyo-tang song | Khyen-tang song-m-in | Khyo-zhe song |
| 216. They went | Khong song | Khun tang song-m-in | Kho-gun song |
| 217. Go | Song | Chhen-zhik | Song ohik |
| 218. Going | Song-sē | Song-sē | Chha-khan |
| 219. Gone | Song-88 | Song-sē | Song-khan |
| 220. What is your name ? . | Yar-rí ming-taghs chí zeret $?$ | Khyer-i ming chi yot? | Khyo-rang-ngi ming-la ohi zer-dak? |
| 221. How old is this horse? | Rstā dū-i neso team-tse in ? | Diū ratāla naso tgamtaè duk? | I sta na-so tsham dak ? |
| 222. How far is it from here to Kashmir ? | Khachul-la tam-tase lam yot? | Dī-khā-nā Khachul taghakpa tamatse thagh-ringe dak? | I-nas Kha-chhul tshuk-pa lnm taham zhik duk? |
| 223. How many song are there in your father's house? | Khyed-i atī-i khangma-la bū tagm yot? | Khye-rī at-ī khang-ma-la bū tsam duk? | Khyo-rang-ngi a-bü khang-pa-la bu-taba tgham zhik duk? |
| 224. I have walked a long way to-day. | Di-ring thagh-ring lam-la song-s-et-pa. | Diring ngā thagh-rings drul-s-pin. | Di-ring uga thak-ring-nas youg-s-pin. |
| 225. The son of my uncle is married to his sister. | Ngari nēnēi bū-la ranır-i string-mo nang bakhston bауав. | Nga-rī atä bü-taha-s rang-i a-rhē nang bagh-stonbeyas. | Ngä a-zhang-ngi bu-tghä rang-ngi shring-mo a-ne-la kbyer-s. |
| 226. In the house is the saddle of the white horse. | Naug-nil karfo ratā-bó-i ggā sot. | Khang-ma-la karpor ratã sgā yot. | Khang-pü nang-na sta kar-po-i gga yot. |
| 227. Put the saddle upon his back. | Khu-rì shul-i khà sgã stot. | Sgâ-stan khan-rī shal-ī khā tong. | Gha stot |
| 228. I have beaten his son with many stripes. | Ngà-se khoi pheñ-la thur mà mot-pठ teang-s | Nga-s khu-rì bū-la mangmos stal-chak tang-s. | Ngä kho-i bu-tgha-la stalchags maug-po tang-s. |
| 229. He is grazing cattle on the top of the hill. | Kho-esi bang-nor-gan brogla tatho-in yot. | Khō ri-g $\delta$ thon-mó-la norgan tablo-in duk. | Kho-e ring-go-la dut-dro taho-va-la khyors. |
| 230. He is sitting on a horse under that tree. | Kho rstä-khā atagh chig-i ogtu duk-sē yot. | Kho rstä khā zhon-ne lchang-mi yok duk. | Kho a bu-drä yok-la stäkha zhou-te duk-dnk. |
| 231. His brother is taller than his sister. | Khoē phō-nō khurī string-mo-batsek rg $\delta$-bongs thonmb yot. | Khu-rī pho-nס kha-rī a-chē vasang thon-mo duk. | Kho-i sluring-moi sang acho gho-bo ring-mo duk. |
| 232. The price of that is two rupees and a half. | Dēbi rin-po dabal nyis nang phet in. | Dī-i rin-la gir-mo phed-ang-sam duk. | I rin-po gir-mo phed-ang sum yot. |
| 233. My father lives in that small house. | Ngíatã dé tsuntaē nang-po duk-se yot. | Nga-ri atã é tanuntsé khang-ma-la duk-sí jot. | Ngä a-loa a khang-ngu-i nang-na duk-duk. |
| 234. Give this rapee to him | Di shmul-pō kho-la min | Diü shmul-pō khó-la tong. | I gir-mo kho-la tong |
| 235. Take those rupees from him. | Yā shmul-pō-ngan khä-nā leu. | $\overline{\text { E }}$ shmul-gan kha-rì kha-nē len. | A gir-mo sak kho-i khanii nen-shik. |
| 236. Beat him well and bind him with ropes. |  | Kho-la nōro basé rdung yang thag-pa-ching-se bor. | Kho-la sluran-te rdang-s-te thak-pa dang nyam-po kyige-shig. |
| 237. Draw water from the well. | Chbūdong nang-nã chhū phyung. | Chhū-mik-nã chhū khyong | Chhu-dong-nä chhu zhik cthus. |
| 238. Walk before me . | Ngi dunulr song . . | N gä snà dral . | Ngä dun-la drul-chik |
| 9. Whose boy comes behind you $P$ | Su-i phrī yari rçyab-la ong-et? | Su-i bū khye-ri rgyap-na yong-duk ! | Khyo-rang-ngi sting-la drul-klau-po au-i butaba in? |
| 40. From whom did ycu buy that? | Díu su-i slititi-a-nã len-s ? | Khye-ris diñ su-i kha.né nyos-jot? | A-wo su-i kha-nä nyos-pin ? |
| 41. From a ainopkepor ot the villago. | Dtong-i dakán-i shiti-a-nā leus. | Yul-i hatri-pa chig-i khan-rê | I ful-li tesiong-pà zi̇ik-nii . |


| Cantrel Dicloot (Bandberg and Heanderroon). |  |  | Lhoke (Darjeeling). | Raglinh. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Written | Spoken |  |  |
|  | ...... | ..... | ho song-yi . . . 2 | 215. You went. |
|  | ...... | *.... | long song-yi . . . 2 | 216. They went. |
|  | .". $\cdot$. | *...0* | '0; song . . . 2 | 217. Go. |
|  | -**** | ..... | ro-do . . | 218. Going. |
|  | ...... | ..... | ng-song-yi . . | 219. Gone. |
|  | . $\cdot$... | Khyö-kyi ming-la ghang ser? | lyot ming ga-chi yin? . | 220. What is your name P |
|  | - $\cdot$... | ...... | . di na ga-tä chik yin? | 221. How old is this horse? |
|  | ...... | Di-nta (Kho-chül-) le tha-ring-thong gha-taho re? | i-lii-Kha-chhi-jol tha-ing-thung ga-tä-chik in? | 222. How far is it from here to Kashmir ? |
|  | *.... | ...... | hyoi apha-i khyim-nn bu ra-1shö yot? | 223. How many sons are there in your father's house? |
|  | *-** | ...... | gä de-ring tha-ring-chik ;hel tang zin. | 224. I have walked a long way to-day. |
|  | ...... | ...... | gä a-zhangi badi khoi ring-mo dang nyan kyab7 in. | 225. The son of my uncle is married to his sister. |
|  | *... | ...... | , kap-di gadi khyimai aang-lu yot. | 226. In the house is the saddle of the white horse. |
|  | *.... | ...... | adi khui gä-pa kyap | 227. Put the saddle upon bis back. |
|  | -..... | ...... | gä khai badi bik-ko mam ayap-ti dung-yin. | 228. I have beaten his son with many stripes. |
|  | *....* | $\cdots \cdots$ | ho ri-tse-la no tgho-do . | 229. He is grazing cattle on the top of the hill. |
|  | -*..0. | ...... | 'hu aphi shing-di ok-lä ta-lo zhon-bü dot-jot. | 230. He is sitting 3 a a horse under that tree. |
|  | ...... | ...... | hoi nu-chang di oring-ma li-lä thowat. | 231. His brother is taller than his sister. |
| , | *-. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ...... | i rin di matang phedang sum yin. | 232. The price of that is two rapees aud a half. |
|  | ...... | $\cdots \cdots$ | gä ap di khim chung aphi nang-lu yot. | 233. My father lives in that small house. |
| - | ...... | $\ldots$ | hu-lu matang di bin-chik | 234. Give this rapee to him. |
|  | ....0* | ... | [atang di-taho khni nanglä len-chik. | 235. Teke those rupees from him. |
|  | -.... | ..... | Tho zhak-pa bë dung-ching tak kyap zak. | 236. Beat him well and bind him with ropes. |
|  | ...... | ...... | 'm-chung nang-lä ohhu ok-chik. | 237. Draw water from the well. |
|  | ...... | Khyor-rang ngä ngän-la gyak. | Igä dun-lu gyo-chik . | 238. Walt before me. |
|  | ...... | .... | hhoi gyap-liä ga-gi bu-tghu ong-bo-mo? | 239. Whose boy comes behiud you? |
|  | ...... | ...... | 'hhoi di gag-lä nyo-yin ? . | 240. From whom did you buy that: |
|  | -..... | ..... | thyoug nang-lu tshong-khang-dak-pa chik-lä yin. | 241. From a shopkeeper of the village. |


| English, | Baltí (Baltiotan). | Spiti ( $\mathbf{S}_{\text {y }} \mathbf{i t i}$ ) . | Kägute (Darjeeling). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 215. You went | Khye-tang song | Knyo-zha noug-ban . | ...... |
| 216. They went | Khong song | Khu-ba song-ban | ...... |
| 217. Go | Song | Soug | ...... |
| 218. Going | Song-sē | Chha-yin slig dang | ...... |
| 219. Gone | Song-s8 | Song-ikhan | Kal-sing |
| 220. What is your name? . | Yar-rị ming-tagha chi et? | Khiat-ki ming chi yin ? | Chimba khyoi ming ? |
| 221. How old is this horse? | Ratã dū-i naso taram-tast | Dita-i lo tsam song? | Ta-bi lo kazai di ? |
| 222. How far is it from here to Kashmir? | Khachal-la tam-tag : yot? | Di-nä Khachhnl ga-tanam thak-ring yot? | Ka-ze tharing-bu di-le Kashmir ? |
| 223. How many sona are there in your father's house? | Khyed-i atī-i khangrd bū tasam yot? | Khyo-zhäa a-phä̆ khang-paru bu-tsa tsam yot? | Khyo a-ba-sa-la pu ka-ze ye-ba? |
| 224. I have walked a long way to-day. | Di-ring thagh-ring lat song-s-et-pa. | De-ring ngà mā-lam thakring ḍal-ban. | Ng tharing-bu kal-sing |
| 225. The son of my uncle is married to his sister. | Ngari nēuēi bū-la rai string-mo nang bakh bayas. |  a-chi ba-ma-la chbong-de yot. | Nga-i agu-i pu kha-i na-mu nimbu yarka kal-song. |
| 226. In the honse is the saddle of the white horse. | Nang-na karfo rstā-bō-í jot. | Ta kar-poita-ga de khang-pa-ru yot. | Ta-bu karumgi kā khim-la yoe. |
| 227. Pat the saddle upon his back. |  | Ta-ga khoi gyab-bi kharu bor. | Kã kloil kyap-la kal . |
| 228. I have beaten his son with many stripes. | Ngã-se khoi phrū-la thui mot-po teaug-s | Ngã̃ khoi bu-tsa-la ta-chak mang-po gjab-ban. | Ngai rob-sing khoi pu-la kyalsche shuk-pu-ki. |
| 229. He is grazing cattle on the top of the hill. | Kho-esi bang-nor-gun by la tashor-in yot. | Rigä̆ go-ru kho-i dud-ḍo tsho-ruk. | Khoi kang-la bastu-kya tghou•du. |
| 230. He is aitting on a horse ander that tree. | Kho rstä-khā stagh ch ogta duk-sē yot. | Kho bu-ṭa shig-gi yok-tu tai kha-ru dat-duk. | Kho ta-bu kha-la za-di tong-ba-gi wā-lā du. |
| 231. His brother is taller than his aister. | Khoē pho-no khurí stri mo-batsek rgo-bongs tI mo jot. | Khoi a-cho khoi a-chi sang thonepo duk. | Khoi a-zi bhanda norim-bu du. |
| 232. The price of that is two rupees and a half. | Dēbi rin-po dabal nyis y phet in. | Dei rin ngal chhed dang sam yin. | Khoi ring sika nyi dang tanga chik. |
| 233. My father lives in that amall house. | Ngì atà dé tsuntậè nang duk-sē yot. | Ngä̀ a-pha de khang-pa changan-du dad-da yot. | Ngai a-ba o khim chhangla te-kue. |
| 234. Give this rupee to him | Di shmul-pō kho-la mir | Di ngul kho-la tong | Di nor kho-la ter |
| 235. Take those rapees from him. | Yā slhmal-po-ngau khé len. | De ngal kho-nä len-tong | Kho-sale nor-kya kher-cha |
| 236. Heat him well and bind him with ropes. |  | Kho-la pu-sang gyop-de rashi dang ching-tong. | Kho-la rop-tong yang thak-pa-gi bes pedi tong. |
| 237. Draw water from the woll. | Chlüdong nang-nã e phyung. | Chhn-dong-qü̆ chha ton | Knwa-le ohhu ten |
| 238. Walk before me . | Ngi dunuks song | Ngã eang ngan-la dul | Ngai nge-la do. |
| 239. Whose boy comes behind you? | Su-i phrí yuri rgya ong-ct: | Khyot-ki gyap-nă sui butea yong-dak? | Sui pi-za ishyoi ting-ln onggu dubu? |
| 240. From whom did ycu buy that? | Dīu su-ī sliti-a-nā len-s | Ehyot-ki de sui kha-nä̈ nyos-pin? | Su-anle nyo-ba o-di? |
| 241. From a sinopketper of the village. | Drong-i dukin-i shiti-a lela. | Yal-gi hați-ps shik-nË | Yul-gi dokardar-sa-le nyoba |


| Blarpa (Darjeeling). | Dänjougkï (Sundberg). | Lhote (Darjeeling). | Ragleh. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Khyod-rang gal | ...... | Chho song-yi . | 215. You weat |
| Kho-tsluo gal | ...... | Khong song-yi . | 216. They weat. |
| Gyug | Song ; gyu | Gyo ; song | 217. Go. |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Gyo-do . | 218. Going. |
| Gal-song . . | $\ldots$ | Long-song-gi . | 219. Gone. |
| Khi ming kang yin ? | Chhö ming kain ho ? | Khyot ming ga-chi yin? | 220. What is your name $P$ |
| Ta di ga-dzu gal ? | Di ta di-lo ka-dzu som-bo? | 'l'a di na ga-tä chik yin ? | 221. How old is this horse? |
| íha-ji-lung-ba-la di-nä thals-ring-bu ka-dzu ot? | Nai-le Kashmir ann-te tha-ring-tung ka-lzö-mo? | Na-lii-Kha-chhi-yol tha-ring-thung ga-tä-chik sin i | 222. How far is it from here to Kashmir ? |
| Klii papa-i kbang-ba-la pujang ka-dzu got? | ...... | khyoi apha-i khyim-na bu ga-İghö yot? | 223. How many sons are there in your father's honse? |
| A-ring nge thak-ring-po-mï ong-wa yin. | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Ngä}$ de-ring tha-ring-chik shel tang zin. | 224. I have walked a long way to-day. |
| Ngai a.gu-i pu-jung kho-i nu-mo tang gyan kus ot. | $\ldots$ | Ngai a-zhangi budi khai sring-mo dang nyan kyabyin. | 225. The son of my uncle is married to his aister. |
| Khang-ba-la ta kar-pa-i ka od. | ...... | Ta kap-di gadi khyimai nang-lu yot. | 226. In the house is the saddle of the white horse. |
| Ka di gyap-la kal . | ...... | Gadi khai gä-pa kyap | 227. Put the saddle upon his back. |
| Ngas khoi pu-jung-la a-la dang-pa-yin. | ..... | Ngä khui badi bik-ko mam kyap-ti dung-yin. | 228. I have beaten his son with many stripes. |
| Kho ri-teng-la sem-chen tgho-gi nok. | $\cdots$ | Kho ri-tse-lu no tsho-do | 229. He is grazing cattle on the top of the hill. |
| Kho ta-i ting-la dong-bu-di og-la nok. | ..... | Khu aphi shing-di ok-lia ta-lo zhon-bä dot-yot. | 230. He is sitting su a horse under that tree. |
| Khoi u-ju te a-ji si-na ringpo nols. | ...... | Khai nu-chang di aring-ma di-lä thowat. | 231. His brother is taller than his sister. |
| Te-yi rin-ma ṭang nyi tang phet yin. | ... ... | Di rin di matang phedang sum yin. | 232. The price of that is two rapees and a half. |
| Ngai papa khang-ba ṭuk-pe te-la dot-gi yot. | . ...... | Ngä ap di khim chung aphi nang-lu yot. | 233. My father lives in that small house. |
| Tang-ga di kho-la bin | - ..... | Khu-lu matang di bin-chik | 234. Give this rapee to him. |
| Tang-ga de-tgho kho-nä len | ..... | Matang di-taho khui nanglä len-chik. | 235. Take those rupers from him. |
| Kho-le le-mo dung-ni thakpai ching. | ..... | Kho zhak-pa bädung-ching tak kyap zalc. | 236. Beat him well and bind him with ropes. |
| TTomba-nä clıha ling . . | ..... | Om-chung nang-lä chbu ok-chik. | 237. Draw water from the well. |
| Ngai dong-la do . . | . $\cdot$.. | Ngä dun-lu gyo-chik . | 238. Walt before me. |
| Khi gyap-la ai pi-dza onggi nok ? | .... | Chhoi gyap-lii ga-gi bu-tghu ong-bo-mo? | 339. Whose boy comes behind you? |
| Khi sui tesa-nä nyop ? . | ...... | Chhoi di gag-lä nyo-yin ? . | 240. From whom did you buy that? |
| Yul-gi tghong-pa chik-nä . | ..... | Ghyoug nang-lu tshong-khang-dak-pa ohik-lä yin. | 241. F'rom a shopkeeper of the village. |

## HIMALAYAN LANGUAGES.

The northern region of the Himalayas is inhabited by Bhōtias or Tibetans. To the south we find a long series of tribes speaking dialects which all belong to the TibetoBurman family. Hodgson divided those tribes 'into two groups, distinguished by the respective use of simple or non-pronominalized, and of complex or pronominalized languages.' He made an especial study of the dialects spoken in Nepal, which State was not included in the operations of this Survey. Some of the hill-dialects of Nepal are spoken within British territory. They will be dealt with in the ensuing pages. No new materials have been forwarded about the bulk of those forms of speech, and we cannot therefore add anything to our knowledge concerning them. The remarks which follow will therefore be principally restricted to the Himalayan dialects spoken within the territory included under the operations of this Survey, and to such Nepalese languages as are also spoken within British territory. The remaining dialects will only be dealt with as a kind of appendix.

Proceeding from the west, the dialects to be considered are as follows :-



The above tigures do not include the speakers of the various dialects in Nepal Several of them being properly Nepalese languages, the figures can only give an imperfect idea of the number of speakers.

Speakers of three other Nepalese dialects have turned up at the last Census of 1901 within British territory. The details are as follows :-


Sixty-four speakers were further returned under the head of Kirāntī, viz., fifty-eight from Assam and six from the United Provinces. It is not stated which of the so-called Kirānti dialects is meant. The number of speakers of the dialects under consideration within British territory at the last Census was accordingly 190,585.

The dialects spoken by the Kāmis and Mānjhī do not fall within the scope of this Survey, and nothing is known about them. They will not, therefore, be dealt with in what follows.

The Kāmis are the blacksmiths of Nepal. According to Sir Herbert Risley they Kāmı. are probably immigrants from India, who have intermarried freely with some of the indigenous races of Nepal. No information is available abuut their language. It is not, however, probable that they speak a separate dialect.

Thë Mānjhis are the fishers of Western Nepal. No information is availible about their dialect; if they hare any.

The remaining dialects will be doalt with in the e suing pages. They are all
Remaining dialects. Tibeto-Burman forms of speech. In many of them, however, we can observe several features which are not in accordance with Tibeto-Burman principles. Thus a difference is often made between such words as denote animate beings and inanimate things, respectively ; higher numbers are often counted in tweuties and not in tens as is the case in Tibetan, Burmese, Chinese, Siamese, etc.; the personal pronouns often have a dual in addition to the ordinary plural, and double sets of the dual and plural of the first person, one including and the other excluding the person or persons addressed; there is in many dialects a tendency to distinguish the person of the subject by adding pronominal suffixes to the verb, so that a kind of regular conjugation is effected, and so forth.

In such characteristics the dialects in question have struck out lines of their own, in thorough disagreement with Tibeto-Burman, or even Indo-Chinese, principles. They have accordingly become modified in their whole structure. It is difficult to help inferring that this state of affairs must be due to the existence of an old heterogeneous substratum in the population, which has exercised an influence on the language. That old population must then have spoken dialects belonging to a different linguistic family, and the general modification of the inner structure of the actual forms of speech must be due to the fact that the leading principles of those old dialects have been engrafted on the language of the tribes in question. Now it will be observed that all those features in which the Himalayan dialects differ from other Tibeto-Burman languages are in thorough agreement with the principles prevailing in the Munda forms of speech. It therefore seems probable that Mundās, or tribes speaking a language connected with those now in use among the Mundeās, have once lived in the Himalayas and left their stamp on the dialects spoken at the present day.

The non-Tibeto-Burman characteristics mentioned above are seldom found together in one and the same form of speech, and some of the dialects under consideration have few, if any, traces of them. On the other hand, some of these features, such as the distinction between an inclusive and an exclusive plural of the first personal pronoun, have penetrated much further and are e.g. found in the Western dialects of Tibetan. If we only consider the formation of verbs, the most interesting feature of Tibeto-Burman languages, it will be found that Hodgson's classification into non-pronominalized and pronominalized languages holds good for the whole field of Himalayan philology. We shall therefore adhere to it in the ensuing pages and consider the Himalaran dialects under two different headings, nou-pronominalized and pronominalized dialects.

The latter group we shall further sub-divide into two sub-groups, one comprising several dialects spoken to the east of the valley of Nepal, and the other consisting of some forms of speech in Almora and farther towards the west.

## NON-PRONOMINALIZED DIALECTS.

The dialects spoken in the central region of the Himalayas in Sikkim and in the valley of Nepal and to the east of it are all characterized by a great simplicity in their grammatical system. Some of them, such as Gurung and Murmi, are closely related to the Tibetan dialects. Murmi has, however, abandoned the Tibeto-Burman method of counting higher numbers in tens and reckons them in twenties. There also seems to be a tendency to distinguish the subject of verbs by adding pronominal suffixes. The negative verb is formed in Gurung and Murmī by prefixing an $\bar{a}$ and often, besides, suffixing a na. A similar double negative is also used in Rong.

The dialect spoken by the Sunwärs is apparently now characterized by the same simplicity as in the case of Gurung and Murmi. Higher numbers are counted in twenties. There are short forms of the personal pronouns which are frequently used as prefixes. The person of the subject does not appear to be distinguished in the verb. The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$. Hodgson describes Sunwār as a complex pronominalized dialect. So far as we can judge from the unsatisfactory materials at our disposal, that is no more the case at the present day.

Mãgarī is a dialect of the same type. The pronoun of the second person is nang as in Nepalese dialects such as Chēpāng and Bhrāmu, and in numerous dialects of Assam and Further India, especially (for instance) in the Kuki-Chin forms of speech. Compare also kän-ko, we, with kän, our, in most Kuki-Chin dialects. Compare further the numerals Mãgarī, kat, Lushēi pa-khat, one; Mãgarī bu-li, Lushēi pa-li, four; Mãgarī $b a-n g a, L u s h e ̄ i ~ p a-n g a$, five. In most respects, however, Mãgarī agrees with Gurung, Murmi, etc., in general principles, and, to a great extent, also in details of vocabulary and grammar. The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}_{0}$

Mãgarī often also agrees with Nēwārī, the old State language of Nepal. In that form of speech we again find a distinction between nouns denoting animate beings and inanimate objects respectively. The numerals and the personal pronouns have forms which agree with those in use in the western, pronominalized, group of Himalayan languages. Compare chhi, Pahri thiki, one, with Bunān tiki; nasi, Pahrī nhisi, two, with Byāngsì nisi ; pi, four, with Bunān, etc., pi; ji, I, with Byāngsī, etc., ji, and so forth. Nēwārī is not, however, a pronominalized dialect, but is characterized by the same simplicity as Mãgari and connected forms of speech.

Pahrī can be considered as a sub-dialect of Nēwārī.
There still remains one important language of the non-pronominalized type, viz., Róng or Lepcha. We here again find the tendency to distinguish between such nouns as denote animate beings and such as are the names of inanimate things. The numerals often agree very closely with those in use in the Kuki-Chin group. Compare kät, Lushēi pa-khat, one ; nyet, Ngentẻ pa-nhit, two ; fa-lí, Jushēi pa-li, four; ta-rok, Meithei, etc., ta-rük, six, and so forth. The prefix $\bar{a}$, which is very common in nouns and adjectives, should be compared with the corresponding $a$ in Kuki-Chin, while the lea-prefix in kalut, bare, etc., is very common in dialects of the Naga and Bodo group. It will be seon that the old prefixes have been preserved as independent syllables in Rong; and tha: language in this respect forms one of the links which connect Tibetan and the Himalayan dialects with the Tibeto-Burman Languages of Assam and Further India,

Higher numbers are counted in twenties. The person of the subject is not indicated in the verb, and Róng is thus a typical dialect of the non-prcnominalized type. The negative verb is formed by prefixing ma and suffixing ne; compare Gurung and Murmi.

The Toto dialect will be dealt with after Róng because it does not make any use of pronominal suffixes. Our materials are, however, so imperfeot that it is impossible to say anything for certain about its affiliation. The numerals are almost pure Tibetan. The personal pronouns are almost the same as in Dhimal. Higher numbers are counted in twenties.

It has already been remarked that Hodgson classed Sunwär as a pronominalized dialect. Several characteristic features of the pronominalized group of Himalayan languages have also been traced in other dialects such as Murmī and Nēwārī. It is perhaps allowable to infer that all, or most, of the non-pronominalized Himalayan dialects have once belonged to the pronominalized group, but have, in the oourse of time, given up most of the oharacteristic features of the group, under the influence of the neighbouring Tibetan dialects.

## GURUNG.

The Gurungs are one of the best fighting tribes of Nepal. They are olassed together with the Khas, the Mãgar, and the Sunwár castes as mukhya, or chief. Their old home is a tract of country between the Bheri and Marsyandi rivers, to the north of the Mãgars. In modern times they have spread all over Nepal, and also to Darjeeling and Sikkim. Speakers have also been returned from Assam.

No local estimates of the number of speakers have been forwarded for the purposes
Number of speakers. of this Survey. At the last Census of 1901, Gurung was returned as follows:-


In Assam the Gurungs were most numerous in Lakhimpur (501) and in the Naga Hills (266). We have no information regarding the number of speakers in Nepal.

The Gurungs of Western Nepal are still Buddhists. Elsewhere they are gradually being Hinduized, and there is, at the same time, a distinct tendency among them to abandon their old dialect in favour of Khas. Thus 2,721 out of a total of 4,502 Gurungs in Sikkim returned their language as Khas at the last Census.
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The Nepal Darbar has been kind enough to supply a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases in Gurung. The remarks which foll.ow are based on them.

In vocabulary and phonetical system, and also in grammatical principles, Gurung; is more closely related to Tibetan than are most Himalayan languages.

Pronunciation.-It is often difficult to decide whether a vowel is long or short, the spelling of the specimens being inconsistent. It seems probable that Gurung in this respect agrees with Central Tibetan.

The diphthong ou is often written eou after $y$; thus, yeou-ba, getting.
We have no information regarding the pronunciation of the sound which $I$ have transliterated as an Anunāsika. It sometimes interchanges with 21 ; thus, $d h \tilde{o}$ and $d h o n$, . beat.

The consonantal system is apparently nearly the same as in Aryan dialects such as Hindi. The aspirated soft consonants have apparently developed from older unaspirated sounds. Compare gho, classical Tibetan rgyab, back; dhõ, classical Tibetan $r d u n g$, beat. The aspiration is so marked that such sounds are commonly pronounced as the corresponding hard unaspirated letters. Compare pra and bhra, hundred; k $\tilde{\sigma}-y e$, classical Tibetan gon, cloth; cha, classical Tibetan $z a$, eat; sa-ba, classical Tibetan bzang-ba, good.

The final consonants of classical Tibetan have usually been dropped. Compare phe, classical phel- $\mu a$, to come; mi, classical mig, eye; gho, classical rgyug, run; $\bar{a} \cdot!\prime \prime$, classical grogs, friend; pre, classical brgyad, eight; so, classical gson, alive; $p \hat{\imath}$, classical sbyin, give; dhõ, classical rdung, beat, and so on.

There are several compound consonants suoh as $g h r, h r ; i h r, p r ; g h l, k l ; b h l, p l$; $m r ; m n$, and so on. Compare ghrī and kri, oue; uhre and pre, eight; ghlo, place; $k l i$, divide, and so on. Our materials are not, however, sufficient for a full description of the relationship between Gurung and classical Tibetan in this respect. In most cases, it is true, old compounds have been simplitied; thus, $t a$, classical rta, horse; 80 , classical gson, alive; dhõ, classical rdung, beat; na, classical sna, nose; nha, classical rna, ear; pĩ, classical sbyin, give; le, classical lche, tongue, etc. In other cases the assimilation is only partial. Thus, pra, classical brgya, hundred; pre, classical brgyad, eight; lcurā, classical sgra, word. Various changes have taken place during this process of assimilation. Compare $g h r i$, classical $g c h i g$, one; bhli, classical bzhi, four, etc.

It is not, in this place, possible to do more than to draw attention to the existence of a series of phonetical laws regulating the relationship between Gurung and olassical Tibetan. It would be necessary to have at our disposal much fuller materials in order to trace those laws in detail.

Tones and accent are probably the same as in other Nepal languages.
Article.-There is no article. The numeral ghri, one, is used as an indefinite article; thus, $m h \bar{i} g h r \bar{\imath}$, a man.

Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{a}$ is common before nouns of relationship. Thus, $\bar{a}-b a$, father; $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, mother ; $\bar{a}-l \bar{l}$, brother. It also occurs in other words such as $\bar{a}-g u$, companion.

Gender.-The natural geuder is distinguished by using different words or else by adding sulfixes such as bokya, dho, därhyy $\bar{u}$, male; mama, me, murli, female. Thus, $\bar{a}-l \bar{l}$, younger brother ; $\bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$, younger sister: $b \bar{a}$-sat-lhy $\bar{a}$, bull; mhe, cow: jha, son; jha-me, daughter: ta, horse; ta ma-ma, mare: na-ki dho, dog; na-ki ma-ma, bitch : ra bokya, he goat; ra ma-ma, she goat: fo därhyä, male deer; fo murli, female dcer.
$\mathbb{N} u m b e r$.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The plural is not marked when it appears from the context. In other cases words such as mae, many, jaga, all, and so on, are added. Thus $\bar{a}-b a-m a e$, fathers; na-ki jaga, dogs. Forms such as $k \tilde{o}-y e$, clothes; ma-ye, kisses, perhaps contain another plural suffix ye.

Case.-The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by adding any separate suffix.

The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding a suffix $j i$ or $d i$; thus, $\bar{a}-b a-d i \quad l \bar{i}-d \bar{i}$, the father said; $k i-j i \quad h o \bar{a}-j i$, thou struckest.

This suffix is sometimes also written $d e$, and in that case it looks like the postposition $d \tilde{e}$ or $d e$, classical Tibetan dang, with which, in its turn, is sometimes written $d i$. The initial $d$ of the suffix of the agent is often pronounced as a $t h$. Oompare the remarks under the head of pronunciation above.

In $c h h u-b a-s i$, with ropes, the instrument has been indicated by adding a suffix $s i$.
The suffix of the dative is $l \bar{a}-d i$ or $l \bar{a} i$; thus, $\bar{a}-b a-l \bar{a} d i$, to a father. Such forms are sometimes also used to denote the object of transitive verbs; thus, cha-e jha-lä-di nga-di dhon-di, his son-to me-by struck, I have beaten his son.

The usual suffix of the genitive is $e$ or ye; thus, $d h \hat{i}-y e$, of the house. After $i$ we sometimes find $a$ instead; thus, $\bar{a}-b a g h r i-a$, of a father. An $a$ preceding the genitive suffix is often dropped; thus ti-be ghlo-ri, living-of place-in, in the place where he stayed.

Another genitive suffix is $l a$; thus, $\bar{a}-b a-m a e-l a$, of fathers. It is probably originally a dative suffix; compare chiti-la, to senses. Such forms can also be considered as terminatives. The usual terminative-locative suffix is, however, ri or re; thus, $m n o-r \cdot \bar{i}$, in the field, to the field. Instead of $r \bar{\imath}$ we also find rey $\bar{a}$; thus, yo-rey $\bar{a}$, on his hands.

The suffix $n a$ in forms such as $p h o-d e-p h o-d e-n a$, with hunger; rhe-gü-na, from a distance; saba-na, safely, is probably an ablative suffix.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $d \tilde{e}$, $d e$, and $d i$, with, to ; $h \tilde{\bar{a}}-\imath \bar{\imath}$, near, with; huinle, hundi, from; jara-rī, under; lidi, after, behind; $m \bar{a}$, in, among ; $n \hat{i}$, before; nu-r $\bar{i}$, inside, into ; pher $\bar{\imath}$, on ; thum-r $\bar{i}$, on the top of, and so on.

Adjectives.-Adjectives usually follow the noun they qualify, or precede it in the genitive; thus, mhi sa-ba, a good man; the-be anikall, a heavy famine. Sometimes, however, we find forms such as $\bar{a}-s a-b a$ puin-jha-jha ghri, bad boy one, a bad boy; tärgyā ta-e kathi, white horse's saddle.

Adjectives usually take the suffix $b a$; thus, sa-ba, good; sim-ba, oold; kro-ba, hot; $m \bar{i}-v a$, ripe.

The particle of comparison is bhand $\bar{a}$; thus, cha-ma-e ring bhand $\bar{a}$ cha-ma-e $\bar{a}-l \bar{b}$ $n u-b a \quad m u$-lă, his sister than his brother tall is, his brother is taller than his sister. $B h a n d \bar{a}$ is a Naipälì loan-word.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. They follow the word they qualify. Higher numbers are counted in tens; thus, bhlī-chyu, forty; $t u-c h y u$, sixty ; nī-chyu, seventy ; bhre-chyu, eighty; ku-chyu, ninety.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

| $n g a, \mathrm{I}$. | ki, thou. | \|cha, ocha, he. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nga-ji, nga-di, ngai, by me. | $k i-j i, k i-d i$, by thee. ki-ye, ki-la, thy. | cha-ji, cha-di, cha-i, by him. |
| nga-e, nga-la, my. | nha-mae (jaga), you. | cha-ye, cha-maye, his. |
| ngi-jag, nheo-jaga, we. | nhamae-ji, nha-me | cha-mae, cha jaga, |
| $n g i-j i, n h e o ̃-d i, ~ b y ~ u s . ~$ | jaga-di, by you. | they. |
| nheo jaga-la, our. | nhame, nhainae jagala, your. | cha-mae jaga-di, by them. |

The list of words contains several other forms. Thus, cha-man, we, in No. 165 ; $k i-n$, thou; cha-n, he; kyã-mae, they, and so on. Cha-man is probably due to a mistake. The form seems to mean 'they.' Kyã-mae is probably only another way of writing chamae. The final $n$ in some of the forms just quoted is probably an intensifying particle. Compare Tibetan ni.

Reflexive pronouns are khi-ye, own; thama, thama-ye, thame, own.
Demonstrative pronouns are chu, this; cha, that.
Interrogative pronouns are khae-pa-cha, who? lit. who that? to and to-cha, what? ta-le, why? kati, how much? Hodgson also gives su $\bar{u}$, who? The indefinite pronouns are formed from the same bases; thus, khac-ba-de, by anybody; tayī, anything, and, according to Hodgson, also $s \bar{u}-y \bar{a} n g$, anybody; ta-yāng, anything. The two last mentioned pronouns contain an indefinite particle $y \bar{a}$ or $y \bar{a} n g$. Compare $g h r \bar{i}-y \bar{a}$, one even; khay $\tilde{o}-y \bar{a}$, ever ; ll $\bar{a}-s o-y \bar{a}$, still.

Verbs.-The conjugation of verbs is relatively simple. There is no change for person or number, and the verb is, on the whole, still rirtually a noun.

Verb substantive.-The most common verb substantive is mu. Another base $n a$ is used in form such as $t \tilde{o}-s i n a-b u$, am, art, or is, beating. A third base is ta or tut in $t a-d i$, is ; t $\hat{\imath}-d i$, am ; tab-mu, shall be; nga läla tum-mu, I should be, and so forth. In $\bar{a} \cdot g i-l \tilde{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am not, we apparently have a fourth base $g i$.

Finite verb. -The verb substantive is, to some extent, used in the conjugation of finite verbs.

Present time.-The base alone is used as a present tense; thus, nha-me jaga-di tõ, you strike. $M$ or $m a$, i.e., probably the verb substantive $m u$, is, however, very commonly added. Thus, ngai tõ-ām, I strike; nga hyā-m, I go; ngi byā-ma, we go. Forms such as chha-se-m, he is grazing; ti-si-m, he lives; kha-si-m, he comes, are formed by adding the same $m$ to the conjunctive participle. Compare also $t i-s i-m$ $m u-l \bar{a}$, he is sitting ; ki $t \tilde{o}-s i \operatorname{na}-b u$, thou strikest, and so forth. The suffix $l \bar{a}$ in $m u-l \bar{a}$, is, was, is probably a general assertive suffix, and is not restricted with regard to time.

Past time--'I'he sulfix $l \bar{a}$ or $l \tilde{\bar{a}}$ is often used with the meaning of a past; thus, $n g a \operatorname{hy} \dot{a}-l \bar{a}$, I went; lhha-l $\hat{\bar{a}}$, he came. In $t i-l e$, they remained, le is used in the same way.

The suffix $b \bar{a}$ in $l a-b \bar{a}$, madest, is properly the suffix of a participle. Compare Tibetan $p a, b a$.

The most characteristic suffix of the past is $j i$ or $d i$; thus, hoà $j i$, went; $b \bar{\imath}-d i$, said. Forms such as la-sem, did; $\bar{a}$-ngwe-sem, I did not transgress, seem to contain the conjunctive participle ending in si and the verb substantive.

Future.-The suffix of the future is $m u$, or $m a$, i.e., probably the verb substantive; thus, ngai to-mu, I shall strike; lehi-ji hoà-ma, thou wilt strike.

Imperative.-The base alone is often used as an imperative. Thus, piz, give ; tio, beat. A very common suffix is $d u$, whioh often occurs in an abbreviated form $d$ or $t$; thus, cha-du, eat; si-d, die; râ-t, stand. Lago, come; lu, well, let us, contain a suffix $u$ or $o$.

Verbal nouns and participles.-A verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix $b \bar{a}$; thus, nächa la-bä, dance making, dancing; chha-ba-rī, in order to graze.

Forms suoh as $c h a-l \bar{a}$, to eat; plī-la, to fill, probably contain the same suffix as we hare found in use in the formation of the tenses, or else $l a$ is a dative suffix.

The verbal noun ending in $b \bar{a}$ is also used as a relative participle, in which case it usually precedes the qualified noun in the genitive ; thus, dukha ta-be bela-ri, distress befalling time-at, at the time when distress befell him ; jagir cha-be mhi, wages eating man, servant. The same participle is also used in such relative clauses as are introduced by an interrogative pronoun; thus, nga-la to mu-ba, me-to what being, all that is mine.

The form ending in $b a$ is sometimes also used with the meaning of a conjunotive participle; thus, $b \bar{i}-b a$, having said.

The most common conjunctive participle ends in $8 i$; thus, la-si, having done; ghyo-si, running.

Another conjunotive participle is formed by adding the postposition $m \bar{a}$ to a verbal noun which is identical with the base ; thus, $b \bar{\imath}-m \bar{a}$, on saying, having said.

The tense bases are also used as participles; thus, ti-sim mu-là, is sitting; sì-la, dead.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. 'I am struck' must be translated ' somebody struck me,' and so forth.

Causative.-There is only one certain instance of a causative. It is formed by aspirating the initial consonant; thus, cha-ba, to eat; chha-ba, to feed.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $\bar{a}$; thus, $\bar{a}-h y \bar{a}$, he did not go; $\overline{-}-p \tilde{\imath}$, didst not give. $N a$ is sometimes added to the verb. Thus, $\bar{a}$-yeo $\tilde{u}$-na, he did not get.

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. Adjectives follow the word they qualify or precede it in the genitive. Numerals follow, and demonstrative pronouns and genitives precede the word they qualify.

For further details the student is relerred to the version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which follows and to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 254 and ff.
[No. I7.]

## tiBeto-Burman Family. Tibeto.Himalayan Group.

## GURUNG.

(Nepal.)
Mhī ghri-ye jha nhī mu-lā. Cha jha nhī-mā jha cheoũ-ba à-ba-dê,
Man one-of sons two were. Those sons two-among son younger father-to, 'nga-ye yeoũ-ba bhāgā-ansa klī-blūn,' bī-mā à-ba-di ansa klī-bhen-di.
'my getting portion-share divide,' having-said father-by share divided-gave.
Bhanā-nhīse jha cheoũ-ba-di saye nhura bayā-si, rhegũ Short-afterwards son younger-by wealth property taking, far
paradesa hyā-si, cha-ri moja la-si tī-si cha-di khī-ye ansa cha-ri-na other-country going, there pleasure doing living him-by own share there uḍi-di-wä-di, Cha-ye sampati kharcha la-si khã̃-be lidi cha ți-be squandered. His property spent making coming after he living-of ghlo-rī the-be anikāl talā̃; cha-lā ā-yeoũ-na. Dukha ta-be bela-rī place-at great famine occurred; to-eat not-got. Distress falling-of time-at cha desar-be mhī ghrī hã̃-rī hyā-si tī-si cha sahar-rī tīi-be mhī-di that country-of man one with going living that town-in living-of man-by cha-là-dī khī-ye mno-rī tīlī chha-ba-rī lhe-di-lã̃. Khae-ba-de ta-ī à-pĩ-nā.
him own field-in swine grazing-for applied. Anyone-by anything not-gave.
Cha tilī-de cha-be ḍhuṭo-de cha-ye pho plĩ-la ā-yeoũ-na. Chiti-la Those swine-by eating-of hushs-with his belly to-fill not-got. Sense-to khā̃-si bī-ba-ri hõ-di, 'nga-ye ā-ba-ye prasuta ghẽ cha-si ngaye-baye coming to-say began, 'my father-of sufficient bread laving-eaten to-spare yeoũ-bā kati-kati jāgīr-cha-be mhi mu-lă, nga pho-de-pho-de-na si-la tũ-di. getting how-many vages-eating man were, 1 hunger-with dying am. Nga rī-si ā-bā hā̄-rī hyā-si, "ye ā-ba, prameśvara ra kī-ye phi-rī $I$ rising father near going, " $O$ father, God and thy before nga-dī pāpa la-lã̃. Kī-ye jha dhō-bā $\overline{\bar{a}}-g i-l \overline{\bar{a}} . \quad$ Nga-lēdi tī̃sio-re jāgīra me-by sin committed. Thy son like not-became. Me now wages cha-be mhī dhō-bā ladu," bī-mu,' bī-ba thama-ye ā-ba hā̃-rī hyā-dī. eating man like make," will-say,' saying own father to went. Cha jha rhegü-na mro-mā chama-ye ā-ba-di mhayā kha-si ghyo-si That son far-from seeing his father-by compassion coming running hyā-si cha-lā-dì gardana-rī ākhala-jheõ-si maye cha-dì. Jha-dī à-ba-dī bì-dī, going him neck-in embracing kiss ate. Son-̈̈y father-to said, vol. hif, part i.
'pramesvara ra kī-ye pāpa la-di. Nga kī-ye jha dhõ-bā ā-gi-lã̃a,' Thama-ye 'God and thy sin did. I thy son like not-became.' His ā-ba-dī thama gheba-là-dì bī-dī, 'lu, chhyã̃be kõ̃ye bha-si khī-bhīn. father-by own servants-to said, 'well, beautiful clothes bringing put-on. Yo-reyā aũthī khī-bhīn, bhali-re juttā khī-bhīn. Lu, nheo-jaga cha-si Hand-on ring put, feet-on shoes put. Well, we-all eating thum-si majāk la-le. Ta-le bī-syã, cha nga-ye jha sī-la, pherī drinking merry shall-make. Why saying, that my son died, again so-sī kha-di ; mha-lã, yeõ-dī.' Ānanda la-si ṭi-le. reviving came; was-lost, was-got.' Joy making remained.

Cha-ye jha ṭhe-bā mno-rī mu-lā. Kha-si dhĩ-ye jhedu phe-mã His son big field-in was. Coming house-of near arriving-on bājā-nhā-bā nācha-la-bā the-mã chākara ghrīlā-di huī-si, 'to oha?' music-playing dance-making hearing-on servant one-to calling, 'what that ${ }^{\prime}$ ' bī-si nyū-mā, ""nha-me ā-lī sabana kha-lã̃," bī-si nha-me ā-ba-dī saying asking-on, " your brother safely came," saying your father-by bhatyār ghrī la-sem,' bī-si tha-ma-ye ghe-ba-di bī-mā, cha ris kha-si feast one made,' saying own servant-by saying-on, he anger coming dhī nu-rī ā-hyā. Tha-me ā-bā bāhūra yū-si tha-me jha-lā-dī hwã̃-di. house within not-went. Own father outside coming own son-to coaxed. Tha-ma-ye ā-ba-lā-di jha-dī jabāba pĩ-dī, 'nhedu, jou berkha samma tī-si

Fis father-to son-by answer gave, 'lo, so-many years up-to living ghe-la-si khayõyā ki-di bi-be kurā nga-dī ā-ngwe-sem, daseyā kī-di coork-doing ever thee-by said word me-by not-transgressed, still thee-by khayơ-mule nga-lā-dī à-gu-de tii-si majāk la-ba-久ī la jha-jha ghri-yā ever-even me-to friends-with staying merry making-for goat young one-even ā-p $\overline{1}, \quad B e s y a ̄-d \tilde{̄}$ ẽ $\quad$ ti-si kī-ye saye-nhura cha-be jha-lā-dī kī-dī bhatyār not-gavest. Harlots-with living thy property eating son-to thee-by feast la-bā.' Tha-me ā-ba-di bī-dī, 'ye jha, kī nga-dẽ-na mu. Nga-la to madest.' His father-by said, ' $O$ son, thou me-with art. Mine what mu-ba, kī-lã tadi. Nheõ-dī majāk la-si saye tõ-si thīk mu-lā. Ta-le leing, thine is. Us-by merry making glad becoming proper was. Why bī-sy $\overline{\bar{a}}, \quad$ chu kī-ye ā-lī sī-la, so-sī kha-dī; mha-la, pherī yeõ-dī.' saying, this thy brother died, living came; was-lost, again was-found.'

## MURMI.

The Murmis claim to be among the earliest settlers of Nepal. According to Sir Herbert Risley, ' their physical characteristics, and the fact that their exogamous divisions (thars) bear Tibetan names, seem to lend support to the opinion that they are descended from a Tibetan stock, modified more or less by intermixture with Nepalese races.'

The Murmis are also known under other names, such as Tāmāng Bhōṭà, Ishāng, and Sain.

The home of the Murmi tribe is the valley of Nepal and its whole vicinity. They are further found in considerable numbers in Darjeeling and Sikkim.

We have no information about the number of speakers in Nepal. Their namber

## Number of speakers.

 in Darjeeling and Sikkim was estimated for the purposes of this Survey as follows:-

At the last Census of 1901, Murmi was returned from the following districts :-


The Census figures show that the local estimates from Sikkim are considerably above the mark.

## AOTHORITIES-

Campaell, A., -Notes on the Limboos and other Hill Tribes hitherto undescribed. Journal of the Asiatio Society of Bengal, Vol. ix, Part i, 1840, pp. 595 and ff. Containg a Moormi vocabalary.
Hodgson, B. H., -On the Aborigines of the Sub-Himalayas. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Dengal, Vol. xvi, Part ii, 1847, pp. 1235 and ff. Reprinted in Selections from the Records of the Goveroment of Bengal, No. xxvii, Calcutta, 1857, pp. 126 and ff ., and, under the title On the Alorigines of the Himalaya, in Hodgson's Essays on the Languages, Literature, and Religion of Nepál and Tibet. London, 1874. Part ii, pp. 29 and f. Contains Murmi vocabularies.
Beames, J.,-Outlines of Indian Philology, with a Map shewing the Distribution of Indian Languages. Calcutta, 1867. Appendix A contains namerals in Murmi.
Honter, W. W.,-A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
Dalton, Edward Toite,-Descriptive Ethnology of Bengal. Calcutta, 1872. Coutajns a Marmi vocabulary after Campbell and Hodgson.

Specimens of Murmi have been formarded from Nepal and Darjeeling. A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases from Nepal and a popular tale from Darjeeling will be reproduced below. The materials formarded for the purposes of this Survey are the only basis of the remarks on Murmi grammar which follow.

Murmii is very closely related to Gurung. Like that latter form of speech it is more intimately connected with Tibetan than are most other Himalayan dialects.

Pronunciation.-The phonetical system is mainly the same as in Gurung. Forms such as kwän, Tibetan gon, cloth; chā, Tibetan za, eat; pli and bli, Tibetan bzhi, four; pre and bre, Tibetan brgyad, eight, and so forth, in which hard and soft consonants interohange, seem to show that the soft consonants of Tibetan have developed into soft aspirates, and further into hard souvds.

The final consonants of classical Tibetan have been retained to a greater extent than is the case in Gurung. Thus, rop, Tibetan rgyab, beat; sat, Tibetan gsod, kill; thung, Tibetan thung, drink ; kwān, Tibetan gon, cloth. There is, however, the same tendency to drop such sounds as is observed in Gurung. Compare thä-ring, Tibetan thag-ring, far; rho, Tibetan grogs, friend; so, Tibetan gson, alive; the, Tibetan thos, hear; mui, Tibetan dngul, mul, silver.

There are several compound consonants such as $k l, g l, p l, b l, m l, k r, g r, p r, b r, m r$, $k y, g y, j y$, and so forth. Thus, the kl $\bar{a}-\bar{a}-\gamma i$, that place in; glà-gi-ri-n, place-one-in, with ; pli and $b l i$, four; mräng, see; lyang-no, all, and so forth. Many old compounds have, however, been simplified. $G$ has been dropped in compounds such as $g r, g s$, and rgy ; thus, rho, classical Tibetan grogs, friend ; so, classical gson, alive; sat, classical gsod, kill; rop, classical rgyab, beat; pre, classical brgyad, eight. Initial $r$ and $s$ have been dropped in forms such as $t \bar{a}$, classical $r t a$, horse ; nhā, classical rna, nose; kra, classical skra, hair; pin, classical slyin, give. Note also le, classical lche, tongue; lā, classical $l h a$, god, $l \bar{a}-n i$, classical $z l a$, moon, and so forth.
$M r$ apparently corresponds to classical mth in mräng, Tibetan mthong-ba, see; mrin, Tibetan mthon-po, full. Compare Burmese mrang, to see; mrüng, to be full.

There is apparently also a certain connexion between dhim , tim, and Tibetan khyim, a house.

We have no information about tones or accentuation.
Article. -There are no articles, but the numeral $k i, g i$, one, is often used as a kind of indefinite article; thus, $\bar{a}-b \bar{a}-k i$, a father ; $j h y \bar{a}-b \bar{a} k i m h i$, a good man; $k i j h y \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$ $m i-l \bar{a}$, of a good man. It will be seen that $k i$ sometimes precedes and sometimes follows the qualified noun.

Nouns.-I'he prefix $\bar{a}$ is common in words denoting relationsbip; thus, $\bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, father ; $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, mother ; $\bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, younger brother ; $\bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$, younger sister ; $\bar{a}-y u$, uncle.

Gender.-The natural gender can be distinguished by using different words or by adding words such as $h v \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, male; me and mām $\bar{a}$, female; thus, mhi, man ; miring-kol $\bar{a}$, woman: jhä, son;jha-me, daughter: tā, horse; tā-māmă, mare: tängi hvä-bū, male deer ; tängi $m \bar{a} m \bar{a}$, female deer.

Numbers.-The number is not indicated if it appears from the context. If it is necessary to distinguish the plural, words such as $k \bar{u} d e, k a ̄ t e$, or $g \bar{a} d e$, many ; dugu (Ilibetan $d g u$ ), many, are added. Thus, $\bar{a}-b \bar{a} k \bar{a} d e$, fathers; chākara dugu, servants.

Case.-The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by adding any suffix. The subject of transitive rerbs is usually put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding se ; thus, chäng-bū-se pān-ji, the younger-by said, the younger said. Instead of $s e$ we sometimes find chhe; thus, $\bar{a} p-c h h e$, by the father. In phäyen-ji, from hunger, hungering, $j i$ appears to have been used instead. Compare Gurung. The same sullix is also used to denote the instrument. 'Thus, chho-se, with ropes. The form
ny $\bar{a}$, by me, seems to show that the suffix of this case is originally $s$, which has become $i$ after a preceding vowel.

The suffix of the dative is $d \bar{a}$ or $t \bar{a}$; thus, $\bar{a}-b \bar{a} k i-d \bar{a}$, to a father; ch $\bar{a} k a r a-t \bar{a}$, to a servant. This form is sometimes also used as an accusative; thus, the-lā jha-tī rop-chi. his son-to struck, I have beaten his son.

The suffix of the genitive is $l \bar{a}$; compare Gurung. Thus, ansa-bha$g-l \bar{a}$, of the share.
A locative and terminative is formed by adding $r i$ as in Gurung ; thus, yãmbunägrí, in a city ; dim-ri, in the house; khäre-ri, upon the neck.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions. Such are ang-ri, into; chhyäm and den-chhyäm, with, together with ; den, dẽ, with ; dhiri, under ; kyäm, kyāmse, from ; lägi, for ; mă, in, amonr ; thäri, upon, against; yenchhe and yenji, from, and so forth. Some postpositions are added to the genitive; thus, li-chhā and li-sang, behind; $n g \vec{a}-c h h \bar{a}$, behind; nindi-ri, for the sake of.

A suffix $b$ or $p$ of uncertain meaning occurs in several words such as cheta-p ta-si$j i n-j i$, having come to senses ; chhutya-p la-si, division making; lhōbū-b tä-ji, engaged; mānasi-b tā-si, it became proper ; pheri $\bar{a}-b \bar{a}-s i$, coming outside; $u d a-b l \bar{a}-s i$, squandered.

Adjectives.-Adjectives sometimes precede and sometimes follow the noun they qualify. They very commonly eud in $p \bar{a}$ or $b \bar{a}$; thus, $j h y \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, good ; l $k \vec{a} m-b \bar{a}$, bitter ; le$p \bar{a}$, hot; min-b $\bar{a}$, ripe; no-b $\bar{a}$, tall ; veng-bà, green; sim-bă, cold; tum-b $\bar{a}$, short. There are, however, also other suffixes in use ; thus, $\bar{a}$-khäm-na, unable; kyäng-no, all ; thä-cho, straight ; kok-teng, crooked ; mlängai, black; tära, white; bāla, red.

The particle of comparison is given as oisi; thus, the-l $\bar{a} \bar{a}-l e ~ t h e-l \bar{a} \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$ oisi no-b $\bar{a}$ $m u-l \bar{a}$, his brother is taller than his sister. It is, however, possible that the initial $o$ in $o i$-si is a miswriting for $w$; compare Purik vasang.

Numerals. - The first numerals are given in the list of words. They sometimes precede and sometimes follow the noun they qualify. Instead of ki, gi, one, the texts received from Darjeeling give gik and $j i k$. The form $n h i$, two, has been taken from the same source. In other cases where two different forms are given in the list, the last one has been taken from a list of numerals added at the end of the version of the Parable received from the Nepal Darbar. That latter source also gives forms such as ch $\tilde{u}-g r i$, eleven; chũ-ngi, twelve; ch $\tilde{u}$-som, thirteen ; ch $\tilde{u}-p l i$, fourteen; $c h \tilde{u}-n g \bar{u}$, fifteen; ch $\tilde{u}-\underline{t} u$, sixteen ; ch $\tilde{u}-n i s$, seventeen ; chwābre, eighleen ; chut-rku, nineteen.

The higher numbers are counted in twenties. The list of numerals just mentioned, however, gives ngi-seb, twenty; som-seb, thirty; pli-seb, forty; ngā-seb, fifty ; tu-seb, sixty; nis-seb, seventy; bre-seb, eighty; k $\imath$-seb, ninety; chiu-seb, hundred. Chui and chiu, ten, point to the pronunciation chii.

Pronouns.-Pronouns are inflected like nouns. The principal forms of the personal pronouns will be seen from the table which follows:-

|  | 1. | We. | 'Thou. | You. | He. | They. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | $n g \bar{a}$ | $n g \bar{a}-n i$ | $y e, a i$ | ye-ni | the | the-ni, then-jyābai. |
| Agent | $n g \bar{a} i$ | ngan-chhe, ngāl-je | $y \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{se}$ | yen-chhe | the-se | then-ehhi. |
| Genit. | $n g a ̄-l a$ | $\begin{aligned} & n g \bar{a}-n i \quad \text { lāde.la } \bar{a}_{1} \\ & n g \bar{a} n-n \bar{a} . \end{aligned}$ | ye-la | yen-nā | the-lā | then-nā. |

Forms such as yeni käte, you; theni-käte-se, by them, and so forth, of course also occur. Forms such as hoju, he; hot-lā, his; hochhe, by him, have been reoorded from Darjeeling.

Demonstrative pronouns are $c h u$, this; the, $u-c h u, h o-j u, h o-j \bar{a}$, that.
Interrogative pronouns are khā and hālē, who ? tigà, tika, and $k i$, what ? $k \bar{a} d e$, how many $?$ and so forth. By adding the indefinite particle $n u$ or no indefinite pronouns are effected; thus, khäl-che-nu, by anyone; tiki-no, anything; khäi-nzä-ye-no, ever.

Note also the reflexive pronoun rang-lā or rhäng-lä, own.
Verbs.-The verb is still virtually a noun. The list of words gives forms such as $n i-n$, goest, where the final $n$ seems to be a pronominal suffix of the second person. The suffx $m$ in forms such as pin-ji-m, he gave, in the Darjeeling specimens, is perhaps a corresponding suffix of the third person. It is, however, more probable that this $m$ is simply the verb substantive. The use of pronominal suffixes is, at all events, no regular feature of Murmi, though it is possible that there is a tendency to distinguish the various persons of the verb. Such a tendency is observable in several connected dialects. It is also possible to suggest the influence of other dialects in which the use of personal suffixes is quite regular.

Verb substantive. -The usual base of the verb substantive is $m u$, present $m u$-lä, past $m u-b \bar{a}$. Other forms are supplied from other bases such as $t \bar{a}$, to become; re, to stand. In the ki ni, that what is? we have a base ni. Another base ke apparently occurs in $y e-l \bar{a}-k e$, it is thine.

Finite verbs.-The verb substantive is freely used in the formation of the tenses of finite verbs.

Present time.-The base alone is sometimes used as a present tense. Thus, ye-ni $m u$, we go.
'Ihe most common suffix of the present is apparently $l \bar{a}$; thus, $m u-l \bar{a}$, am ; rop-l $\bar{a}$, strike.

The suffix $p \bar{a}$ or $b \bar{a}$ in forms such as the-se rop-p $\bar{a}$, he strikes; chhä-se chi-b $\bar{a}$, having grazed sits, he is grazing, corresponds to Tibetan $p a$ or $b a$ and does not contain any special notion of time.

Forms such as $s i-l a-j i$, I die; $h a-j i$, he comes; rop-chi, thou strikest; $n g a \vec{a}-n i n i$-sai, we go, and so forth, apparently contain the same suffix as that which is generally used with the meaning of a past. A similar use of the suffix of past time is not uncommon in connected forms of speech. It is perhaps due to the intention of the speaker to represent the action of the verb as an established fact.

Past time.-The usual suffix of past time is $j i$ as in Gurung ; thus, $p \tilde{a} n-j i$, he said; $n i-j i$, he went. Instead of $j i$ we sometimes find $c h i$; thus, rop-chi, I have beaten. Chi is perhaps the correct form after hard consonants.

An $m$ is sometimes added in the specimens received from Darjeeling. Thus, ngyok-chhi-m, he asked; pin- $i i-m$, he gave. Such forms are apparently only used in the third person.

Forms such as rop, struck; pang-bā, said; $k h u-b \bar{a}$, boughtest, and so on, are indefinite with regard to time.

Ngae rop chijin-ji, I had beaten, perhaps means ' I struck finished.'

Future.-The present suffix $l \bar{a}$ is also used with the meaning of a future; thus, päng-lā, I shall say. Forms such as majä-lai, let us make merry, are perhaps imperative.

Imperative. -The usual suffixes of the imperative are $u$ or $o$ and $g o$; thus, chä-u, eat; re-go, stand; rob-ko, beat. Other sources also give imperatives such as rob, beat; yar, run, and so forth, where no suffix is added.

The form lai, let us make, has already been mentioned.
Verbal nouns and participles.-The usual verbal noun ends in $b \bar{a}$; thus, $c h h \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, to graze; $k h \bar{a}-b \bar{a}-s e$, by his coming, because he came; khä-bä-chhyām, comingwith, when he came ; mrin-bä-ri, filling to, to fill. It will be seen that postpositions can be added to such forms.

The base alone is also used as a verbal noun ; thus, chāa $\bar{a}-k h \bar{a} m-n a$, to-eat unable.
Other verbal nouns are formed by adding $l \bar{a}, n u$, sam, and $s i$; thus, $t \bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, to be; $t \bar{a}-s a m$ (Darjeeling), to be; lā-mu (Darjeeling), to make; l $\bar{a}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{i}$, to make.
$T \bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, to be, is perhaps a genitive. Compare ngāā yäng-lā ansa-bhāg, me-by gettingof share, the share which I shall get. Forms such as $t \bar{a}-l \bar{a} j h i n-j i$, having been, perhaps contain the same suffix and a postposition $j h i n-j i$, finishing, from, after. Compare, however, the suffix $l \bar{a}$ of the present and future.

The form ending in $b \bar{a}$ is also used as a relative participle; thus, darmā-ri $t \imath-b \bar{a}$ chākara-dugu, wages-in living servants, hired servants.

Another suffix used to form relative participles is n $\bar{a}$; thus, yenn $\bar{a}$ sampati ch $\bar{a}-8 \mathbf{j}$ pin-nä chu yennā kolē, your property having-eaten giving this your son; this your son who wasted your property.

The suffix $n \bar{a}$ is also used to form a kind of adverbial participle. Thus, kath $\bar{a}$ the-n $\bar{a}$ $l \bar{a} m-b \bar{a}$, tale delivering sat, he sat delivering a tale; $l i-k i \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, anything not befalling, without any misfortune.

Other adverbial participles are formed from the various verbal nouns by adding postpositions; thus, $p \bar{a} n g-m \bar{a}$, saying in, while saying ; pāng-min, while saying ; bi-se, by saying, if you say.

Forms such as $s i-b \bar{a} n g$, dead ; mā$-b \bar{a} n g$, lost, probably contain the suffix $b \bar{a}$ mentioned in the preceding. Compare also t $\bar{a}-s i c h i-b \bar{a}$, having-become sitting, being; rop-si chi-ba , having-struck sitting, striking.

The usual conjunctive participle ends in si, se, or chhi; thus, pāng-si, having said; $k w \bar{a} n-c h h i$, having put on. A particle $n \bar{a}$ or $n \bar{a} m$ is sometimes added; thus, rup-si-n $\bar{a}$, gathering; kh $\bar{a}-j i-n \bar{a} m$, coming, in the Darjeeling specimens. The same sources also exhibit forms such as $b i$-sam and bi-sang, saying; ngyo-chhi-mam, calling, and so forth.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. $N g \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$ rop- $j i$, I am struck, literally means 'me struck.' The $k a$ in ng $\bar{a}-t \bar{a}$ rop-ka-l $\bar{a}$, I shall be beaten, does not seem to add anything to the meaning. It is perhaps a verb substantive. Compare also ti-si-ka-na, living.

Causative.-There is only one certain instance of a causative in the specimens, viz., chhā-bā, to graze. It is formed from chā-b $\bar{a}$, to eat, by aspirating the initial consonant.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $\bar{a}$, and ni or $n a$ is usually added to the base ; thus, $\bar{a}-p i n-n i$, did not give; $\bar{a}-y o-n a$, did not get. In chhyāng-do-l $\bar{a}$, did not transgress, the negative particle must be contained in the o preceding the $l \bar{a}$, or else chhyäng-do-lā means 'obeyed.'

Note forms such as $k r \bar{a}-b \bar{a} \bar{a}-h i n$, crying was-not, I did not cry, where the negative has been prefixed to the auxiliary and not to the principal verb.

Order of words. -The usual order of words is subject, object, verb.

For further details the student is referred to the specimens which follow. The first is a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which has been kindly forwarded from the Nepal Darbar. The second is a version of a well-known popular tale which has been taken down in Darjeeling. A list of Standard Words and Phrases from the Nepal Darbar will be found on pp. 254 and ff.
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## TiBETO-BURMAN FAMILY. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

MURMI.

## Specimen I.

(From Nepal Darbar.)
Yāgār-gi mhi-khā-lā ngi kolā mu-bā. Ngi-madhye chāng-bā-se āp-tā Some-one man-of two sons were. Two-among younger-by father-to pān-ji, 'ngāi yāng-lā ansa-bhāg ngā-tā pingo.' Ap-se ansa said, 'me-by getting-of share-portion me-to give.' Father-by share chhuṭyap-la-si pin-ji. Tire-nire lichchhā chāng-bā jā-se kyangno dhan separated-making gave. Some-time after younger son-by all property rup-si-jin-ji thärĩ pardes ni-ji. The-ri moja-la-si ti-si-kana gathering far foreign-country went. There merry-making living rhāng-lā ansa-bhāg-lā sampati kyangno. uḍab-lā-si, sampati kharcha-self-of share-portion-of property all spent-making, property expended-lā-si-jin-ji the-klāā-ri nhāchyā̃ anikāl tā-ji. The-tā khāsiman tā-ji. made-having that-place-in big famine fell. Him-to distress fell. The des-la gi yāmbunāg-ri-chi-bã glăgirin ni-si ṭl-ji. The That country-of one citizen with going stayed. That yāmbunāgri-chi-bā-se the-tā rāng-lā bu-ri gụ̣ḍi chhā-bā gyāṭti lhābāb-tā-ji. citizen-by $\quad$ him own field-in swine feeding work-in engaged. Kāl-chhe-nu ti-ki-no ā-pin-ni. The-se guṇdi-se chā-se pol-bā-se-no Anyone-by anything not-gave. Him-by swine-by having-eaten husks-with-even rhāng-lā pho mrin-ba-ri ā-yo-na. Chetap ta-si-jin-ji pāngī là-ji, self-of belly to-fill not-got. Sense become-having to-say began, 'nga-lā āp-l̄̄ prasasta gheng chā ā-khām-na leng yāng-bā dher 'my father-of much bread to-eat not-able to-spare getting many darmā-ri-ṭi-bā chākara-dugu mu-lā; ngā phäyen-ji si-la-ji. Ngā rē̃-chhi wages-in-living servants are; $I$ hungering die. $I$ rising rhāng-lā ābā chhyām ni-si, "ãbā, lā dẽ ye thā-ri ngai pāp own father to going, "father, God with thee on me-by sin lā-ji. Ngā ye-lā kolā pāng-bā-ri sobhā ā-tā-ni. Ngā-tā rhāng-lā darmādid. I thy son to-say vorthy not-am. Me self-of wages-ți-ba chākara rhāngbal lā-o," pāng-si pāng-lā,' bhi-si re-si rhāng-lā living servant like make," saying shall-say,' saying rising own āp-lā ni-ji. The kolā dherna thàring mu-bā the-lā āp-se mrāng-si father's went. That son very far was his father-by seeing vol. ili, pirt i.
dayā lā-si yãr-si ni-si the-lā khāre-ri ankamāl lā-si mwāi thung-ji. pity doing running going his neck-on embrace doing kiss drank. Kolā-se āp-tā pāng-ji, 'ye ābā, lā dê ye-lā mukhyã̃-ji pāp Son-by father-to said, 'O father, God and your presence-in sin lā-ji. Ngā ye-lā kolā pāng-ba-ri sobhā ā-tā-ni.' Tara ābā-se rhāng-lā did. I thy son to-say worthy not-am.' But father-by own chākara-tā pāng-ji, 'asal kwān bā-si chu-tā kwān-chhi pino; hyā-ri servants-to said, 'good cloth bringing this-to putting-on give; hand-on chyāp dẽ goḍe-ri lakam-nun kwān-chhi pino. Ngālje chā-si thung-si majā ring and feet-on shoes-also putting give. Us-by eating drinking merry
laī. Tik bi-se, the ngā-lā kolā si-bāng mu-bā, pheri so-ji; shall-make. Why saying, that my son dead was, again revived; mā-bāng mu-bā, pheri yāng-ji,' pāng-ji. Then-jyāpā ānanda lā-ji. lost was, again was-found,' said. They joy made.

The-lā theb-jā kolā bu-ri mu-bā. Khā-ji, dim-lā ngām-ri dho-khā-ji, His elder son field-in was. , Came, house-of near arrived, bāja dẽ nāch-lā sora the-ji. Yāgār-ki chākara-tā nhyo-si, 'the music and dance-of sound heard. Some servant-to asking, 'that ki-ni?' pāng-si, 'ye-lā ālā khā-ji; ti-ki ā-tā-nā khā-bā-se, what-is?' saying, 'thy brother came; anything not-befalling coming-because, yennā āp-se bhoj bhi lā-ji,' chākara-se pāng-bā. The bhomo-ā-si your father-by feast also made,' servant-by said. He anger-coming dim-āng-ri ā-ni-ni. The-lā ābā pheriāb ā-si the-t̄̄ bol lā-ji. house-into not-vent. His father outside coming him-to entreaty made. The-se āp-t̄̄ jwāb pin-ji, 'pāng-go, chodeyelā barkha yenji ye-lā Him-by father-to answer gave, 'look, so-many years since your ṭahal lā-se khäimāye-no ye-lā blajan chhyangdo-lā. Te-pa-ni yen-chhe service doing ever-even your word not-transgressed. Still you-by khāi-mā-ye-no ngā-tā rhāng-lā rho din-chhyām majā lā-ba-ri pāṭhā ever-even me-to own companions with merry to-make kid gi ā-pin-ni. Besyā-kidi den ṭisi yennā sampati chā-si pin-nā chu one not-gavest. Harlots with living your property eating giving this yennā kolā k̀hā-bā-chhyām ye-se the-lā nimdi-ri bhoj lā-ji.' Taba your son coming-on you-by his sake-for feast gave.' Then ābā-se pāng-ji, 'ye kolā, ye satana ngā dê-chhyãm mu-lā. Ngā-lā father-by said, ' $O$ son, you always me with are. Mine khālāng mu-lā kyong-no ye-lā-ke. Ngān-cl山he majā-harkba lā-si manāsib what is all thine-is. Us-by feast-merry making proper ta-si; tita bi-se, chu ye-lā ālā si-bāng mu-lä, pheri so-ji; is; why saying, this your brother dead was, again revived; mī-bāng mu-ià, pheri yāng-ji.'
lost was, ayain was-found.'
[ No. 19.]

## Tibeto-burman Family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

MURMI.

## Specimen II.

## A POPULAR TALE.

(District Darjeeling.)


## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

In a certain country there once lived a Maulwi who was always gathering people and preaching. Some day one of his hearers went on crying during his lecture. The Maulwi then called him and asked him why he cried. Said the man, 'I once had a black goat. It is a long time since it died. It had a long beard, which used to wag up and down when it went about eating grass. Seeing your beard wag up and down during the lecture I was reminded of the goat. That was the reason why I cried. It was not on account of your lecture.' The Maulwi was much ashamed because he had attributed the crying to his good lecture. From that time he ceased to lecture.

## SUNWAR OR SUNUWĀR.

The Sunwārs are a cultivating tribe of Nepal. Like the Mãgars, Gurungs, and Khas, they are considered as belonging to the class called Muthya, or chief. They say that they came originally from Simungarh near Bara Chhatri in Western Nepal. On their wandering east they came to Chuplu on the Likhukhola river and took possession of it. Makwani Raja was then ruler of Eastern Nepal. Likhukhola and Khuntikhola are now the main settlements of the tribe.

Sir Herbert Risley, from whose Tribes and Castes of Bengal these notes have been taken, further remarks that the Sunwärs intermarry with Mãgars and Gurungs, though they theoretically form one endogamous group.

Sunwārs are also found outside Nepal in Sikkim and Darjeeling, and at the last Census some speakers were also returned from Assam.

No information is available regarding the number of Sunwars in Nepal. According Number of speakers. to local information collected for the purposes of this Survey the figures for the other districts were as follows :-


The Darjeeling figures also include the speakers of Thāmi.
The corresponding figures at the last Census of 1901 were as follows:-

| Absam | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 259 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jalpaiguri | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 36 |
| Darjeeling | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , | - | - | 4,425 |
| Sikkim | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 545 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 5,265 |

The Assam figures have been returned under the head of 'Sunwãr or Thami,' and it is not certain that they should all be shown under Sunwär. They are relatively most numerous in Lakhimpur (52) and the Lushai Hills (43). In other districts their number is quite unimportant.

A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son in the Sunwār dialect has been received from the Nepal Darbar. It makes the impression of a word for word translation of the English text. A list of Standard Words and Phrases has been forwarded from Darjeeling. It shows that the version of the Parable cannot represent the dialect with fidelity. Above all, the order of words, which in the Parable is almost the same as in English, in the list agrees with that prevailing in other Tibeto-Burman languages. Our materials for describing the Sunwār dialect are, accordingly, rather unsatisfactory. They can, however, to a certain extent be supplemented from the list of words published by Hodgson.

## AUTHORITIES-

Hodgson, B. H.,-On the Aborigines of the Sub-Himalayas. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xvi, Part ii, 1847, pp. 1235 and f. Reprinted in Selections from the Records of the Government of Bengal, No. xxvii, Calcatta, 1857. Another reprint, under the title On the Aborigines of the Himalaya, in Hodgson's Essayo on the Languages, Literatura, and Religion of Napál and Tibet. London, 1874, Part ii, pp. 29 and ff. Contains a Sunwār vocabulary.
Beameg, J., -Outlines of Indian Philology, with a map shewing the distribution of Indian Languagen. Calcattâ, 1867. Appendix A contains the namerals in Sunwīr, etc.
Honten, W. W., -A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
Our materials are not sufficient for a full description of the Sunwàr dialect. It will not be possible to give more than a rapid sketch of some of the most salient oharacteristios of Sunwār grammar. Hodgson described Sunwār as a dialect of the pronominalized type. This statement does not appear to be borne out by the speoimens prepared for the purposes of this Survey.

Pronanciation.-Short final vowels are apparently often dropped. Thus, popo, father; pop-k $\bar{a}$, of the father; $b \bar{a}-t$ and $b \bar{a}-t a$, was, and so on.

There are not many instances of compound consonants. Compare khruĩ, tooth; bloins $\bar{a}$, alive. A short vowel is sometimes inserted in order to make the enunciation easier; thus, sunwär and sunuwär ; kuchmi and kuchumi, a bitch. In most cases, old compounds have apparently been simplified; thus, sat, Tibetan gsod, kill; b $\bar{a}$, Tibetan bya, bird. It is, however, difficult to compare Sunwär and Tibetan with regard to the phonetical system of the two forms of speech, because the vocabulary differs to a considerable extent. In this respect Sunwār often agrees with Himalayan dialects such as
 $W^{\mathrm{a} r i ̄} \bar{j} \bar{a}$, arrive; gi, Kanā${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{ri}$ i $k \bar{e}$, give; la, Manchat $i l$, go; gui, Kanāwarī gud, hand; pīyā, Chaudāngsì pisyā, head; sharā, Bunān shrangs, horse, and so on. This state of affairs points to the conclusion that Sunwār has once been a dialect of the same complex type as Kanāweri.

There are some instances of interchange between different consonants; thus, $\boldsymbol{t} u \boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{u}$, beat; tum-na-tum, beating. It is not, however, possible to lay down fixed rules regulating such changes.

Nouns.-A prefix $\bar{a}$ is common in nouns denoting relationship; thus, $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, mother ; $\bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, sister. This $\bar{a}$ is probably originally a demonstrative pronoun. It is often used as a kind of possessive pronoun. Thus, $\bar{a}$-lo-mishya nu, his sister with; $\bar{a}$-tau-ka-le, his-son-to ; me $\bar{a}-n u$, he his-brother, his brother ; bushye shar $\bar{a} \bar{a}$-ngosh-tike, white horse its-saddle, the saddle of the white horse. $A$ is sometimes also used where we should expect to find a possessive pronoun of the first person; thus, $\bar{a}-p o p-k \bar{a} u c h h \bar{a} \bar{a}-t a u, \mathrm{my}$ uncle's son; $\bar{a}-m a i t i$, before me. Compare the remarks under the head of pronouns below.

Article.-The numeral $k \bar{a}$, one, is used as an indefinite article. It sometimes precedes and sometimes follows the noun it qualifies; thus, $k \bar{a}$ popo, a father; tami $k \bar{a}$, a adaughter. In the specimen the pronoun meko, that, is very commonly used as a definite article. Thus, meko àlane tau, the younger son; meko mur-lce sus-ta mer-mi meko bū-ri, that man sent him (into) the field, and so on. I do not think that this frequent uso of the pronoun meko is in accordance with the actual character of the dialect.

Gender.-The natural gender is distinguished by using different words, or else by adding qualifying words. Thus, popo, father; $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, mother: mur, man; mishi mur, woman: sharā, horse; sharā $\tilde{\bar{a}} m o$, mare : kish-she $\bar{a}-p o$, a male deer; kish-she $\bar{a}-m$, a female deer, and so on.

Number.-The plural is not indicated when it appears from the context. The common plural suffix occurs in several different forms such as po-ki, pu-ki, potchi, putchi, patchi, and pati. Thus, wäilī-po-ki, servants; tami-potchi, daughters; mur-patchi, men; mur-pati, they, lit. men.

Case.-To judge from the specimen the base alone, without any suffix or postposition, is freely used to denote the various cases. Thus, nelle ansa sampati $\tilde{\bar{a}}-k e$, whatever share (of the) property (is) mine; meko lata-hai mere-ke poph, he went (to) his father; meko des, in that country. Commonly, however, postpositions are added.

The subject and the object are not distinguished by means of any suffix. The suffix of the dative is leale, and it is sometimes also added to the object of transitive verbs; thus, go-mi $\bar{a}$-tau-kale karrā-mi dherai tup-t $\bar{a}$, me-by his-son-to stripes-with much struck, I have beaten his son with many stripes.

The subject of transitive verbs is, to judge from the specimen, put in the nominative, i.e., no suffix is added. Thus, mere-ke poph äsä-gepto mere-mi, his father divided to him. According to the list of words published by Hodgson, however, there is a suffix mi or me which denotes the agent. Compare go-mi, by me, in the last example but one. The specimen in one place uses ke instead; thus, meko mur-ke sus-ta mer-mi meko bärī , that man sent him to the field. The suffix mi, me also denotes the instrument, and it is, besides, used in order to form a locative or terminative. Thus, ghele-me, with ropes; $k h i-m i$, in the house, into the house.

The sufix of the ablative is $n g \bar{a}$; thus, polihri-ng $\bar{a}$, from the well. Forms such as pop-ke, from a father, in the list of words, are perhaps genitives.

The suffix of the genitive is ke or $k \bar{a}$; thus, poph-k $\bar{a}$ wāili$\overline{-} p o-k \bar{i}$, the father's servants; k $\bar{\alpha}$ mur rimsho-ke, of a good man.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions. Such are nu, with; ma-bäthu and mo-b $\bar{a}$, without; nole, behind ; t $\bar{a}$, against; maiti, before; date, between, and so on. In the specimen the postpositions are commonly used as prepositions. I do not think that this use really represents the actual state of affairs.

Adjectives.-Adjectives usually precede, but occasionally also follow the noun they qualify; thus, $\bar{a} l a n e ~ t a u, ~ t h e ~ y o u n g e r ~ s o n ; ~ b u s h y e ~ s h a r a ̄, ~ t h e ~ w h i t e ~ h o r s e ; ~ m u r ~ l a \bar{a}$ rimsho, a good man. The termination so or sho in adjectives such as rim-sho, good; $c h \bar{i}$-so, cold ; ho-so, hot; mī-so, ripe ; di $\bar{u}$-so, sour ; $k a$-so, bitter, and so on, is the suffix of a past participle. Compare the remarks under the head of verbs below.

The particle of comparison seems to be lā-bhand $\bar{a}$; thus, me $\bar{a}-n u$ me loba misha $l \bar{a}$-bhand $\bar{a} l \bar{a}-s h y o ~ c h h a$, his brother is taller than his sister. Bhand $\bar{a}$ is the Khas bhan $\bar{d} \bar{\alpha}$.

Numerals.--The first numerals are given in the list of words. The forms printed within parentheses have been taken from Hodgson's list. It will be seen that bigher numbers are counted in twenties; thus, khäk nishi sasi-kā, twenty two ten-one, fifty. Aryan forms are, however, now commonly used instead.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

| go, I. | ge, ga, thou. | hare, mare, me, he. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tilde{\bar{a}}, \tilde{\bar{a}}-k e, \mathrm{my}$. | i, $\hat{\imath}-k e, g e-k e$, thy. | hare-ke, mere-ke, meri-ke, his. |
| go-pati, go-patchi, go$p u-k i$, we. | ge-patchi, you. | hari-patchi, mere-puki, they. |
| go-pati $\hat{\bar{a}}-k e$, govō$-k e$, our. |  | mur pati-ke, their. |

The suffix lā in go-lā nang, I am ; ge-lā bā-ngi-de, thou art, is probably an intensifying particle. Mur pati-ke, their, literaily means ' of the men.'

Other forms are $\tilde{\bar{a}}$-kale, me; go-mi, by me; meko-le and meko-kale, him, and so on.
The forms $\tilde{\bar{a}}, \mathrm{my} ; i$ and $\hat{\imath}$, thy, are used as prefixes. Instead of $\tilde{\bar{a}}$ we sometimes tind $\bar{a}$; thus, $\bar{a}$-pop-kãuchh $\bar{a}$, my uncle; $\bar{a}$-mai-ti, before me. $A$, however, usually refers to the third person; thus, $\bar{a}$-chi-me, on his back. Compare the remarks under the head of nouns above.

Demonstrative pronouns are $i-k o, e-k o$, this ; me-ko, that. Compare also $a$-ke-ngä, from here; wa-tha, here; yëreè, there,

Interrogative pronouns are $s u-m e$, who? $m \bar{a} r-m e$, what? The final $m e$ is probably an interrogative particle. Compare $s u-k \bar{a}$, whose. An interrogative base ge occurs in forms such as $g \bar{e}-n \vec{a}$, when? gē-tha, where? and so forth.

Indefinite pronouns are $s u-k \bar{a}$, anybody; mär-kā, anything ; ge-nä-i, ever.
Verbs.-The Sunwār verb is still in all essential points a noun. The person of the subject is not distinguished in the verb, and verbal tenses are freely used as nouns.

Verb substantive.-Several bases are used as verbs substantive. B $\bar{a}$ seems to be identical with the base $b \bar{a} k$, to sit. It occurs in forms such as $b \bar{a}-m e$, is; $b \bar{a}-n g i-d i$, art ; $b \bar{a}-t$ and $b \bar{a}-t a$, art, is, and so on. Another base in dum, dung or thung. It seems to mean 'to become.' 'Ihus, kā theb anīkāl dum-tā, a heavy famine arose; dum-nang, I may be; thung-so-ng $\bar{a}$, being-from, having been.

Nang in dum-nang, I may be, only occurs in the first person; thus, go-lä nang, I am, go-patchi nang, we are. It is perhaps connected with na in nawe, to be ; go-patchi bāsho $n a-k i$, we were, and so forth.

A base $n i$ occurs in forms such as tau dish $b \bar{a}-n i-m i$, sons how-many are? ge-patchi $b \vec{a}-n i$, you were. It is probably a form of the copula. It should perhaps be compared with $n g i$ in $g e-l \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{a}-n g i-d e$, thou art.

There is further a base $c h h a$, is. It is apparently identical with tcha in hare $b \bar{a}-s h o-$ $t c h a$, he is. The form chhuu in ge $b \bar{a}-$-sho chhuu, you are, is not certain, the original manuscript being very indistinct. It must, however, be connected with chhn, is.

A base $m e, m i$, or $m \bar{a}$ occurs in forms such as tau dish $b \bar{a}-n i-m i$, how many sons are there? iko sharā dushya barshä bā-me, this horse (-of) how-many years are? mere-pu-ki rim-so pām-tī-me, they made merry; meloo-putchi $b \bar{a}-l e-m \bar{a}$, they are, and so on. It is possible tbat we have here to do with more than one base. There is an interrogative particle $m e$, and it is also possible that $m e$ is the pronoun of the third person. Forms of this kind are not, in the materials available, used in the first two persons, and they are perhaps remnants of the pronominalized stage of development. It is, however, possible
that the various bases beginning with $m$ in reality belong to a copula. The final we in ga lai-na-we, thou goest; na-we, be, to be, is perhaps connected.

Other verbs substantive are $h o$, is; $h a i$, is; thi and thiyo, was, and so on.
The list of words gives the impression of a regular conjugation with different forms for the different persons in the verb substantive. The details will be found in the list itself. I do not think that the dialect really distinguishes the various persons. Forms such as $b \bar{a}-t$ or $b \bar{a}-t a$, was, are used in the specimen for the second as well as the third person. I do not therefore think it necessary to reproduce all the various forms in this place. I shall only mention that $k \bar{i}$ in go-patchi $b \bar{a}-s h o-n a-k \bar{\imath}$, we were, seems to be connected with $k \bar{\imath}$ in forms such as mere-pu-kī, they.

Finite verb. - Many of the bases of the verb substantive are also used in the conjugation of finite verbs. Our materials are, however, too scanty to give anything like a complete sketch of the state of affairs.

Present.-The most common form of the present tense seems to coutain a suffir beginning with $n$. Thus, go tup-nu, I strike; ge tup-ne, thou strikest; go lai-na, I go; ge lai-na-we, thou goest. This form is also used as a future. Thus, go lāyi-nang, I shall go; denang, I shall say.

A nother suffix of the present tense is $p \bar{a}$ or $b \bar{a} \bar{c}$. Thus, $d u m-b \bar{a}$, I become; $b \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, lives; $m \bar{a} r \bar{a} m \bar{a} r-p \bar{a}-m e$, what do they do ?

The suffix $m e$ is used alone in $p \bar{i}-\dot{n} e$, comes. Compare also tup-ni-mi, they beat.
The suffix $t \bar{a}$ is sometimes also used with the meaning of a present; thus, go tum-natum päh-t $\bar{a}$, I am beating; ngoshy $\bar{a} b \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$, he is grazing; $b \bar{a}-s h y o b \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$, he is sitting.

Compound forms are tai-bä-hai, get, and so on.
Past time.-The suffix ta or tā is usually added in order to form a past tense; thus, $p \bar{a}-t \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ did; lloin-s $\bar{a} j \bar{a}-t$, came alive; den-ta, he said.

Instead of $t a$ we often find $t i$, te, or to; thus, $g \bar{a} p-t i$, I have walked; la-te, wentest; ge-ti, gavest ; gep-to, gavest, gave, and so forth.

The suffix $p \bar{a}$ is also used in the same way; thus, $l \bar{a}-p \bar{a}$, he went.
In $g y a \vec{b} b i$, boughtest, we apparently have a suffix $i$.
Compound forms are ho tā-t $\bar{a}$, is found; la-ta hai, went; be-so bāt, had died; pām$t i-m e$, they made.

It seems probable that none of these forms are peculiar to the past. The suffix so, shyo, $s \bar{a}, s h \bar{a}$, on the other hand, appears to be a real suffix of the past. Compare $b \bar{a}-s h y o$ $b \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$, having sat down is, he is sitting ; go $b \bar{a}$-sho nang, I was. It is possible that this, or a similar, suffix is contained in forms such as rim-so-p $\bar{a}-s-t o$, pitied.

Future.-It has already been remarked that the prosent seems to be used as a future. Note also forms such as go tup-ngana, I may beat; go $\tilde{\bar{a}}$-kale tup-cha-mār-bā, I should beat; go-pu-kī mál-bā rim-so, we should make merry.

Imperative.—The usual suffix of the imperative is $u$ or $o$. Thus, $l a-u$, go; ja-u, eat; g $\dot{\imath}-o$, give; pit-o, bring. Another imperative suffix seems to be $\bar{\imath}$; thus, $\bar{a} s \bar{a}-g e \bar{\imath}$, divide; $j \bar{a}-\bar{\imath}$, let us eat; rim-so $p \bar{a}-\bar{i}$, let us make merry. Other imperatives are töyä, let us drink; lāwā, go. Negative imperatives are formed by prefixing mo.

Verbal nouns.-The usual verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix ch $\bar{a}$ or $c^{h} h \bar{a}$; thus, $j \bar{a}-c h h \bar{a}$, to eat; tup-cha, to beat. The various tenses are apparently used as verbal nouns as well. Thus, vo-di-te, in order to tend; sil-pā-to, dancing.

Participles.-The bases of the various tenses are also uscd as participles; thue, $j \bar{a}-t e h$, arriving ; dor-s $\bar{a}$, running ; l $\bar{a}-8 \bar{a}$, returning ; jām-so, lust; tā-siā, getting ; rimso $p u-s \bar{a}$, well doing, safely ; $t \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$, getting; $l a-t a \bar{a}$, gone, and so forth. A past participle is also formed by adding $s e$ or $s h e$; thus, ma $b \bar{a}-s e$, not staying. Compare tup-seh-ny $\bar{a}-m i$, having struck. Other participles are tum-na-tum, beating ; bletā-wà, calling.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is $m a$ or $m u$; thus, ma $b \bar{a}-s e$, not stay-
 food not-being, without food. Note khīgo-mā-wā, did not enter; uīeo-mä-bis, did not disobey.

Interrogative particle.-There seems to be an interrogative particle me; compare su-me, who? mār-me, what? and the verbal forms ending in me or mi.

Order of words. -The usual onder of words in the list of words and phrases is subject, object, verb. In the specimen, on the other hand, we find quite a different arrangement, viz., subject, verb, object. Adjectives and numerals usually precede the qualified noun. The list of words uses postpositions, the specimen generally prepositions, and so forth. If the order of words in the speoimen were correct, Sunwar would have to be considered as a form of speech between the Tibeto-Burman and 'lai groups. It has, however, already been remarked that the state of affairs in the specimen is probably due to a too close adhesion to the English original.

For further details the student is referred to the specimen which follows and to the list of words. 'The materials are very unsatisfactory. They seem to show that Sunwarr is now a dialect of the simple non-pronominalized type. It is, however, possible that better materials would show that it is still, as Hodgson described it, a complex, pronominalized, form of speech, and the remarks made above are given with great diffdence.

# tibeto-burman family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group. 

## SUNWARI.


#### Abstract

(Nepal.) Kà mur-ke bāt nisi tau. Alane tau den-ta mere-ke poph, One man-of were two sons. Younger son told his father, 'āsā-gei nelle ansa sampati $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-ke.' Tab mere-ke poph āsā-gep-to 'divide-give whatever share property mine.' Then his father divided mere-mi. Nole mere-ke poph bāt āsā-geī mere-ke sampatī, meko him-to, After his father (-by) was divided his property, that ālane tau mu bāse sus din khī-mi lata-hai kā nguni des small son not dwelling many days house-in went one far country meko pāp-to mere-ke sampatī. Meko des, nole pāp-to mere-ke he wasted his property. That country, after wasted his sampatī, kā theb anīkāl dum-tā meko des. Meko bāt jā-chhā property, one great famine occurred that country. He was food mu-bā. Meko lata-hai kām-pu-chhā kā mur-ke meko des. Meko without. He went work-to-do one man-of that country. That mur-ke sus-ta mer-mi meko bā-rī vodīte po. Meko po phāsi tā-tā man-of sent him that field-in to-feed pigs. He pigs husks got jangwā tārī ekoi ma-tāng. Meko nole pi-tā à-chet, den-tā, ' $\bar{a}-\mathrm{ke}$ eating but (?) this not-got. He when came his-sense, said, 'my poph-kā wāilī-pokī tāi-bā-hai sus ja-chhā-ge-chhā; go benang solu. Go father-of servants get much to-eat-to-give; $I$ die hunger. $I$ lāyinang $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-ke poph denang, "poph, go pā-tā pāp tā bhagwãn-tā shall-go my father shall-say, "father, $I$ did sin against God-against  and thee-against; $I$ not become your son. Make me as one wāili."' Tab meko lata-hai mere-ke poph. Mere-ke poph tāsiā mere-mi servant." Then he went his father. His father seeing him ngrunī ; līmso-pās-to mer-mī; dor-sā la-ta, atārdo-ta tārī mere-ke sur far; pitied him; running went, fell on his neck puph-pās-to. Meko tau den-ta mere-ke poph, 'poph, go pāp-tā bhagwān kissed. That son said his father, 'father, $I$ sinned God i-tā, aur go ma-dum-bā $\overline{1}-\mathrm{k} e$ tau.' Tab mere-ke poph den tā mere-ke thee-to, and $I$ not-became your son.' Then his father suid his


wāili-po-kī, 'pit-o meko khub rimso-bāt wo aur pheto tārī mere-mi, servants, 'Uring that very good-being cloth and put on him, gio kā mundrā tārī mere-ke blepchho, aur lito punāi tārì mere-ke give one ring on his finger, and put shoes on his khoilī; go jāi toyā aur rīmso-pā̄. Ā-ke tau be-so bāt, aur mul feet; we shall-eat drink and merry-make. My son dead was, and now ho bloinsā-jāt; meko jāmso thīyo, aur ho tā-tā.' Tab mere-puki became alive; he lost was, and is found.' Then they rīmso pāmtìme. well made.

Meko belā meko theba tau bāt meko bārī. Meko jāteh nēhtā That time that big son was that field. He coming near meko khī-mī, neh-to kāmso-pāto aur sill-pāto. Meko bletāwā kā wāilī, that house-to, heard music and dancing. He calling one servant, hīlo-pāp-to mer-mi, 'mārā mār-pā-me $\tilde{\bar{a}}-\mathrm{ke}$ khī-mī?' Meko wāilī jawāb asked him-to, 'what do my house-in ?' That servant answer .gep-to, ' $\overline{1}-\mathrm{ke}$ ālane loba lāsā jāta rīmso-pusā. Meko-lāgī ī-ke gave, 'your younger brother returning came well-doing. That-for your poph ge-tī kā khāmakhāi.' Tab meko ris-pāp-to khīgo-mā-wā meko father gave a feast.' Then he anger-made not-entered that khī-mi. Tab merī-ke poph jā-ta lungā aur samjhāi-pāp-to bletāwā meko house-in. Then his father came out and entreated calling that tau. Meko theba tau den-ta meri-ke poph, 'poph, sus barkhā dum-te son. That elder son said his father, 'father, many year's became go pā-tā $\overline{1}-\mathrm{ke}$ kām; aur ge-nā-i diso-mā-bis $\overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{l}$ ke hukum. Aur gā I did your work; and ever not-transgressed your order. And thou genā-ī gep-to ã-kale kā chāse-pāthā pū-chhā rīmso-pām-tī-mi $\tilde{\bar{a}}-\mathrm{ke}$ ever gavest(-not) me-to one goat-young to-make merry-making my wārchā. Ĩ-ke ālane tau taipani meko bā-ta pāp-to nelle merī-ke friends. Your younger son although i he has wasted all his sampatī, mer-mī gà ge-tī kā khāmākhāī.' Tab meko den-ta merí-ke property, him-to thou gavest a feast.' Then he said his theba tau, 'tau, gà sadluai go-nu bā-ta; aur nelle $\overline{\bar{a}}$-ke sampati ho elder son, 'son, thou always me-with art; and all my property is ̃̃̄-ke. Go-pukī mālbā rīmso, mar-de ĩ-ke loba beso bā-ta, mul yours. We should-make merry, because your brother dead was, now bloinsā jāt; meko jāmso thīo, tā-tā.' alive came: he lost was, was-found.'

## MĀGART.

The Mãgars are one of the fighting tribes of Nepal. Like the Gurungs, Sunwārs; and Khas, they belong to the group known as mukhya, i.e., chief.

The name of the tribe is sometimes spelt Magar, and sometimes Mangar. The lattier form is apparently common in Darjeeling. The actual pionunciation is probably Magar, and I have written accordingly, though the true form of the word is not quite certain.

The old home of the Mãgars are the central and lower parts of the mountains butween the Bheri and Marsyandi Rivers. According to Mr. Beames their most eastern village is Tannang, about forty miles west of Khatmandu, and their settlements stretch as far west as the town of Palpa. The most important are Rishing, Giring, Bhirkot, Dhor, and Lamzhang. They are now found over most parts of Nepal, and further in Darjeeling, Sikkim, and other distriçts of Bengal, and also in Assam, especially in the Naga Hills.

We have no information regarding the number of speakers in Nepal. Mr. Beames'
Number of speakers.
informants estimated the number of the tribe at about 6,000
fighting men. We cannot, however, attach any importance to such estimates. The number of speakers in such districts as fall within the scope of this Survey has been estimated by the local authorities as follows :-


At the last Census of 1901 the dialect was returned from Assam and the Bengal Presidency. Most of the speakers in Assam were returned from the Naga Hills (659). The Mãgars usually adhere to their mother tongue, and do not, like the Gurungs, abandon it in favour of Khas. Thus, of 2,440 Mãgars enumerated in Sikkim 2,034 returned Mãgar as their parent tongue. The 1901 Census figures for the dialect are as follows:-


The Mãgar dialect is relatively well known. A sketch of the grammatical system: was published in 1869 by Mr. Beames, and a vocabulary had already been printed by Hodgson. A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases have been kindly prepared for the purposes of this Survey by the Nepal Darbar, and they will be printed below. Another version of the Parable and another list were forwarded from Darjeeling. They represented the same form of speech as the Nopal specimens, and they have not, therefore, been reproduced.
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The remarks on Mãgari grammar which follow are based on the materials printed below and on Mr. Beames' sketch, which should be consulted for further details.

Mãgarī has been much influenced by Aryan dialects, especially in vocabulary. Some of the most usual case-suffixes are likewise Aryan. On the whole, however, the general character of the dialect has remained unchanged.

Pronunciation.-Mãgari possesses the vowels $a, e, i, o$, and $u$. There is, moreover, an $\ddot{\partial}$ and an $\ddot{u}$. These sounds are written in various ways in the specimens. $\ddot{O}$ is described as lying between the $\ddot{o}$ in German 'Höhe' and the eu in German 'feuer.' It occurs in forms such as ngö, written ngai, ngei, by me; kan-kö, written kan-koi, by us, and so forth. $\dot{U}$ is of much more frequent occurrence. It is written $a, u, i u, i o, e o$, you, and so on. According to Mr. Beames it occurs in words such as jyä-chiu, written $j y \bar{a}-c h a$, eating; so-mï, written so-mu, rising; nung-nü, written nung-mu and nung-nai, going; chü, written chiu, dog ; hochï, written ho-chio, ho-cheo, ho-chyou, his.

I have not ventured to introduce the signs $\ddot{0}$ and $\ddot{\ddot{c}}$ in the specimens, because we must reckon with the possibility of a somewhat different pronunciation in the different districts.

Ihe vowels are sometimes marked as long and sometimes as short. Really long vowels are, however, said to exist only in borrowed words.

The consonantal system is very fully developed. According to Mr. Beames, it comprises the following sounds :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k, k h, g, g h, n g \\
& c h, c h h, j, j h, n y \\
& \underline{t s, t s}, \underline{t s}, \underline{d z} \\
& t, t h, d, d h, n \\
& t, t h, d, d h, n \\
& p, p h, b, b h, m \\
& y, r, l, w \\
& s h, s, z, h .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sounds $\underline{t s}, \underline{t s} h$, and $\underline{d z}$ are used in borrowed words; thus, $\underline{t} \underline{a} \bar{a} k a r$, a servant; $\underline{t} s h a ̄ n-\hat{i} e$, to become; kam-dzaro, ague. They have been written $c h, c h h, j$, respectively, in the specimens. I have, however, followed Mr. Beames in distinguishing them from the palatals.

Instead of $z$ the specimens write $j$. I have followed Mr. Beames.
$M, n, r$, and $l$ are frequently aspirated, and the same is, according to Mr. Beames, the case with all consonants in excited talk; thus, dhänghonhe, I see, I see, instead of dāngone.

The cerebrals are mostly used in borrowed words. On the other hand, the dentals are said to have the same sound as the English dentals. They are accordingly constantly replaced by cerebrals.

Article.-There are no articles, but the numeral kat, one, is used as an indefinite article. Thus, kat tsākar, a servant.

Nouns.-The prefix $m i$ is common in words denoting parts of the body; thus, ngo mi-talu, my head. It also occurs in words such as mi-z $\bar{a}$, son; mi-ris, anger. It is probably a demonstrative pronoun. Another prefix ar occurs in forms such as ar-min, name.

Gender.-The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding qualifying affixes; thus, bhar-mi, man; mähazā, woman: thor, bull; nyet, cow: chï, $\operatorname{dog}$; chü-mān, or, chü chhauri, bitch : len-zā mi-zā, son ; mästo mi-zā, daughter : dārhyā mirga, a male deer; murli mirgā, a female deer.

Number.-The plural is not marked if it appears from the context. If it is. necessary to mark it, words such as pattā, all ; haru, all, are added. Thus, im pattā, houses; bai har u, fathers. Some lists also contain forms such as masto-ko, women; ghorä-ko, horses.

Case. -The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by any suffix. The dative suffix $k e$ or $k \bar{i}$ is, however, often used to denote the object; thus, $h o-c h i ̈ \quad m i-z \bar{a}-k o n g o ̈ ~ d a ̈ t h u k i-\bar{a}$, his son-to me-by struok, I have beaten his son. The suffix $k e, k i$, is probably Aryan.

The suffix of the case of the agent, which is used to denote the subject of transitive verbs, is $e$ or $i$; thus, bhar-mi-y-e, by a man; mi-za-i, by the son. After vowels this suffix is occasionally dropped, or, rather, it is contracted into one sound with the preceding vowel; thus, bai, by the father.

The suffix of the dative is $k i$ or $k e$, as has been already remarked. Na or nāng is occasionally added, apparently without adding anytbing to the meaning; thus, kat bai$k i-n \bar{a} n g$, to a father.

The suffix of the genitive is $o$ or $u$; thus, bay-o, of a father; des- $\tilde{u}$, of a country. A preceding rowel is sometimes contracted into one sound with this suffix. Thus, mi-za$u$ and mi-zo, of a child. Sometimes also a $k$ is inserted; thus, mi-zä-ko, of a child. In forms such as $b h a r^{-m i}$-kung, of a man, the final rowel has been accompanied by a nasaiization.

The suffix of the locative is $\bar{a} n g$; thus, $i m-\bar{a} n g$, in the house.
Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions. Such are ing, from; täki, täking, on; läki, from; kluàtā, with, near; säthang, with; lāi, to; dekhi, from; nhung-


Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the noun they qualify in the nominative. They very often end in the suffix chü, which is usually written cha or chha, in the specimens; thus, Karang-chï, big.

The particle of comparison is denang; thus, hochii bhai hochü bahini denang ghyānchii le, his brother his sister than tall is, his brother is taller than his sister.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. 'Six' and following are Aryan loan-words. Numerals precede the word they qualify.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

|  | I. | We. | Thon. | You. | He. | They. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | $n g \bar{a}$ | $k \bar{a} n, k a ̄ n-k o$ | nēng | nāko | hosai, hos | hos-ko hosruk. |
| Agent | $n g \ddot{,}, n g e i$ | $k a ̄ n-e, k a ̃ n-k o i$ | nang-e, nö | nā-koi | hosai | hosruk-e. |
| Genitive | ngao, ngo | $k \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} n-u n g$ | nang-o, nūwo | nākung | hochii | hos-kung, hostuk-ung. |

Other forms are $k \bar{a} n-k u r i k$, we ; nāng-kurik, you; nāko and näkun, you, honorific; $n \bar{a}-k r u k$, you (plural), and so forth.

Demonstrative pronouns are is and isai, this; $\bar{a} s$ and $\bar{a} s a i$, that. Hos, he, is also used as a demonstrative pronoun.

Interrogative pronouns are su, who? kos, which? hi, what? kurik, how many? Indefinite pronouns are formed by adding the indefinite particle ra to interrogatives; thus, su-ye-ra, by any one; $h i-r a$, anything.

There are no relative pronouns. The Aryan $j c$ is sometimes used. It is, however, combined with the relative participle, and not with the finite tenses of the verb. Thus, ngou je le-cha, mine what being, all that is mine.

Note finally the reflesive pronoun laho, own.
Verbs.-The verb is still in all essential points a noun. There is no difference for person. A suffix $s$ is, it is true, often added in the second person; thus, näng nung-le and näng nung-les, thou goest. The use of this $s$ is not, however, regulated by any fixed rules, and it gives the impression of being an Aryan loan.

Verb substantive.-The usual verb substantive is le or $l e-s \bar{a}$, past ley $\bar{a}$ or $l \bar{a}$. A compound form is $\bar{a}$-le, is, which is also used as a future. Other forms are supplied from
 ki hik-le, I can be, I may be.

Finite verb.-The verb substantive is extensively used in the formation of the various tenses of finite verbs.

Present time.-The usual form of the present tense is a compound, the verb substantive being added to the base; thus, $\tilde{u}$-le, he lives; dāthulk-le, I strike. Instead of $l e$ we also find $n e$; thus, $\underline{t s h a} n-n e$, he is. A compound present is formed by adding $m$-ne or mi-ne, i.e., the verb substantive and the suffix of the conjunctive participle. Thus, ra-m-ne, he comes ; o-mi-ne, he is sitting. Mr. Beames gives mo-ne, or, after consonants, $o-n e$, instead, and calls this form an aorist because it is used with reference to past, present, or future occurrences. Thus, chi-ni hos-e hi-ra mai jaï-mo-ne, to-day he has eaten nothing; kulāg ngu-no-ne, where do you live? nung-chij dangone, I will go and see.

Mr. Beames also mentions a suffix lang or nang; thus, nang-ke sāt-nang, I will strike you; rākh-lang, I bring.

According to the same authority the base is sometimes also used alone as a present; thus, jaï ki ma jaï, will you eat or not?

Past time. -It has already been remarked that forms such as $n \bar{a} k$-di-m-ne, transgressed; si-ma-ne, was dead, and so forth, are indefinite with regard to time. Forms such as $m h \bar{\alpha}-l e$, was lost, are properly forms of the present. $S_{\hat{\imath}}-m e$, was dead, is perhaps derived from sim-ne. Nung-ne, went, and similar forms, probably also belong to the present time. The usual suffix of past time, on the other hand, is $\bar{a}$; thus, $z \bar{a} t-\bar{a}$, did; nung- $\bar{a}$, went ; $d e-y-\bar{a}$, said, and so forth.

Forms such as $\tilde{u}-l e-s \bar{a}$, lived; nung-le-s $\bar{a}$, went, are apparently also only used with the meaning of a past.
$\bar{Y} \bar{a}-n h i$, gave, is probably another form of the present ya-ne, gives.
A real past is apparently the form $\underline{t s} h \bar{a} n-s \bar{\imath}$, it became.
Future.-The present is commonly used as a future; thus, däthuk-le, I shall beat. There seems to be, besides a future suffix, ing; thus, lou kān moja zät-ing, well we shall make merry. A future is also formed by prefixing $\bar{a}$ and suffixing $e$; thus, $\bar{a}-d e-y-e, ~ I$ shall say; $\bar{a}-r a \bar{k} h-e$, shall bring.

Imperative. - The suffix of the imperative is $o$; thus, räkh-o, bring. Bases ending in $\bar{a}$ change this $\bar{a}$ to $o$ or $e$ and insert an $h$ before $o$, or else $a$-o becomes $o$; thus, $y \bar{a}-k e$, to give; yo-h-o, ye-h-o, and yo, give.

A more polite imperative is formed by adding the sulfix $n i$; thus, $y \tilde{a}-n i$, give; $k h e r-n i$, run. Instead of $n i$ we also find $n \bar{a}$; thus, $r \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, come.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The usual verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix $k i$ or $k e$; thus, $y \bar{a}-k e$, to give; $z \bar{a} t-k i$, to do. Forms such as $y \bar{a}-k h \bar{u}$, to give, show the common aspiration of the initial consonant. An infinitive of purpose is formed by adding lai to this form ; thus, was-ki-lai, in order to tend.

Relative participles are formed by adding the suffix chï, which is usually written cha in the specimens; thus, $y \bar{a}-k h \bar{\imath} p a r i \bar{\imath}-c h a$ ansa, to-give proper-being share, the share which I shall get; hose dhut tsän-chüi lurek din $\underline{t} \boldsymbol{g} a n-\bar{a}$, that matter happening howmany days became? how long ago was it?

This form is sometimes also used as a verbal noun; thus, harkha zät-cha, to make merry ; ku-chi birin-chü äle, whom-by sending may be? who may have sent it? māa mung-a-8 dereng nang-ke detachï le, not goest then thee-to beating is, if you do not go you will be punished.

The conjunctive participle is formed by adding $m \ddot{u}$, or, after consonants, nü̈ ; thus, $y \bar{a}-m \ddot{u}$, having given; ra $\bar{k} h-n \ddot{u}$, having brought. Instead of $m u \ddot{u}$ we also find $m o$ and $m u$, and instead of $n \ddot{u}$ the specimens write $n u$, nai, and so forth. Thus, so-mu, having arisen; $z a ̄ t-n u$, baving done; nung-nai le, going is.

An adverbial participle, which also has the meaning of a conjunctive participle, is formed by adding the sulfix $u \bar{a}$ or $n \bar{a} n g$; thus, de-n $n$, saying; rā-nāng, on coming.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. $\quad N g \bar{a}-k i d u n g-\bar{a}$, I am struck, literally means ' me-to struck.'

Causative verb.-It is not possible to state the rules regulating the formation of causatives in Mãgari. Some causatives are apparently formed by adding $k$; thus, rā-ke, to come; rāk-ke, to bring; bilāk-nu, causing to put on, from bilh-ke, to put on.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{a} l e$, I am not ; $m \bar{a} y \bar{a}$, thou didst not give.

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb.

For further details the student is referred to Mr. Beames' sketch and to the spenimen which follows. A list of Standard Words and Phrases will be found on p. 254 and ff .
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## Tibeto-burman family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

MÃGARI.
(From the Nepal Darbar.)

zāt-ā Ngā nākũ mizā de-kī lāyekũ mā-le.' Tara bai laho did. I thy son to-say worthy not-am.' But father-by own ţākar lāī deyã, 'sechha oṭin rāk-nu bilāk-nu yeho; hos-kī servants to said, 'good cloth bringing putting-on give; him-on hut-āng aguṭhì pusāk-nu yeho, hil-āng juttā bilāk-nu yeho. Lou kan hand-on ring putting give, feet-on shoes putting give. Well voe je-mu gā-mu moja zāt-ing. Hi-kī de-nāng, isai ngou mi-zā sĩ-me, eating drinking merry shall-make. Why saying, this my son died, pheri jīb-ā; mhāt-ne, pherī dīn-ā,' de-mu hos-ruk-e ànanda tshān-ā. again lived; was-lost, again was-found,' saying them-by joy made.

Ho-chyou mijhāra mi-zà gārh-āng leyā. Lhes-nu rāh-nāng im His elder son field-in was. Returning coming house kherep-tā-rā-nāng bājā nācha seyā. Kat t巨̄ākar-lāī ārgā-mu gin-nāng, near-coming music dance heard. One servant-to calling asking, 'nākũ bhāī rāhā; kusala ānanda-khāṭā rāhā. Năkũ bai kat 'your brother came; well merrily came. Your, father-by one bhoj zāt-ā,' ţākar-e diyā. Hochyou mi-ris-e bhitri mā-paichhīyā. feast made,' servant-by said. His anger-by inside not-entered. Hochyou bai bāhira kheh-mu hochyou bai bolabinti zāt-ā. Ã.chyou His father out coming his father entreaty made. His bai-khāțā jubāba zāt-ā, 'wos-nī, yiriko barkha samma nākũ ṭahala father-to answer' gave, 'look, so-many year's up-to your service zāt-nu sendana nākũ dhut mā nāk-dim-ne. Nakoi sendana ngā-kī doing ever-even your word not transgressed. You-by ever-even me-to
lāphā khāṭà moja khāṭa kat pāthā una mā-yā. Besyā companions with merriment for one kid even not-gavest. Harlots khāṭā u-mu sampatī jyā-mu hosai nākũ mi-zā, nākoi hochyou lāgi with living property eating that thy son, thee-by his sake-for bhoja zāt-ā.' Tara hochyou bai diyā, 'ye mi-zà, nāng sadhai feast gavest.' But his father-by said, ' $O$ son, thou always ngā-khāṭān le. Ngou je le-cha pattana nāgu-na āle. Kān-e me-with art. Mine what being all thine-also is. Us-by moj zāt-kī harkha zāt-cha sechha tshānsì. Hi-kī de-nāng, nãngo merry to-make joy making good became. Why saying, thy bhai simane, pherī jīb-ā; mhā-le, pherī dīnhā.' brother died, a@ain lived; was-lest, again was-found.'

## NEWĀRT.

The Nēwārs were the ruling race in Nepal before the invasion of the Gorkhas, and they still constitute the largest section of the inhabitants of the valley. The inhabitants of Khatmandu are, to a great extent, Gorkhas. In Patan, Bhatgaon, and most of the smaller towns, on the other hand, the Nēwars form the bulk of the population.

The number of Nēwārs outside the valley of Nepal is small. Most of them have been returned from Darjeeling and Sikkim. During the preliminary operations of this Survey the number of speakers in those distriots was estimated by the local authorities as follows:-


At the last Census of 1901, the figures returned under the head of Nēwārī were as follows :-


No information is available about the number of speakers in Nepal.
According to Mr. Gait, Nēwār is a different form of the word Nepal, and Nēwāri accordingly means 'the language of Nepal.' It was the State language of Nepal before the overthrow of the Nēwār dynasty in 1769.

Buddhism was introduced in Nepal at a very early date. The sacred books of the Nepalese Buddhists were written in Sanskrit, and that language became the principal vehicle of Nepalese literature. Nēwārì was, however, also used for literary purposes at a comparatively early period. Most Nēwāri books are, according to Hodgson, translations and comments from and upon the Sanskrit literature current in Nepal. We also find works on the history of the country, Sanskrit-Nēwāri dictionaries, and so forth, and in some Nepalese plays stage directions are written in Nēwāri. The oldest Nēwārī manuscript as yet known was written in the 14 th century. It is a Vamsávali, and chronicles the chief events in the history of Nepal from A.D. 1056 till 1388 . We do not know how long before that time Nēwārī had been used as a literary language, and, on the whole, our knowledge about Nēwārī literature is very unsatisfactory.

The character used in writing Nēwāri is an Indian one, and it has developed from the old Brähmi alphabet. The first specimen on pp. 221 and ff. will be printed in that character. Hodgson mentions two other alphabets, which he calls Bhanjin Mola and Ranja, respectively. He adds that they are only used in Buddhist books. The late Professor Bendall kindly identified them, the former with the so-called 'hooked-top'

Nepalese writing of the 12th-16th oenturies, and the latter with the straight-topped writing of the sume period.
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Pisceel, K., -Katalog der Bibliothelc der Deutsohen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. ii, Leipzig, 1881, pp. 5 and ff. Contains notes on Nepalese plays.
Bendall, Cecil, -Catalogue of the Buddhist Sanshrit Manuscripts in the University Library, Cambridge. Cambridge, 1883.
Conrady, Adgost,-Das Nowârı̂. Grammatik und Sprachproben. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Vol. xlv, 1891, pp. 1 and ff.
—Ein Sanskrit-Newâri Wörterbuch. Aus dem Nachlasse Minayeff's herausgegoben. Tbidem. Vol. slvii, 1893, pp. 539 and ff.
Bendall, Cecil,-The History of Nepal and surrounding Kingdoms ( 1000 -1600 A.D.) compiled chiefly from MSS. lately discovered. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. lxxii, Part i, 1903, pp. 1 and ff .
A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases have been received from the Nepal Darbar. They are the principal foundation of the notes on Nēwārī grammar which follow.

Pronunciation.-The spelling of the specimens is not sufficiently consistent to enable us to decide all details of pronunciation. The vowels $a, i$, and $u$ are both long and short in the specimens. It is impossible to tell if this state of affairs corresponds to the actual pronunciation. $E$ and $o$ are not marked as long or short. The final $e$ in words such as $k \bar{a} e$ is very short, and sometimes almost inaudible.

In the Nēwārī text $y a$ or $y e$ is often written instead of $e$; thus, $d a-s \tilde{e}$, written $d a$-sya, being ; $b a-b \bar{a}-m h a-s \tilde{e}$, written $b a-b \bar{a}-m h a-s y \tilde{e}$, by the father. The transliterated text does not furnish any clue to the pronunciation of this $e$. $\quad Y a$ is probably written to show that the $e$ is short.

Similarly $o$ is often interchanged with $w a$; thus, $o-n a$ and wa-na, went. It is probable that wa simply denotes a short $o$.

Néwārī possesses hard and soft gutturals, palatals, dentals, and labials. Both the hard and the soft sounds may be either aspirated or unaspirated. Instead of the dentals
we sometimes find cerebrals; thus, jandhu and jandhu, back. It is probable that the use of cerebrals in such cases is merely a mistake.

I do not know how the sound which I have transliterated as an Anunāsika is actually pronounced. It interchanges with a nasal ; thus, $j \tilde{\imath}$ and $j i$-na, by me.

We have no reliable information regarding the use of tones in Newāri. The dialect is said to possess the so-called abrupt tone. Hodgson writes $c h h i^{\prime}$, one; ni', two, and so forth, in which words the sign' probably denotes the abrupt tone. It therefore seems necessary to assume that this tone results from the dropping of a final consonant. Compare Tibetan gchig, one ; gnyis, two. The list of words gives nasi, two.

Article.-The numeral chhi, chha-mha, etc., one, is used as an indefinite article; thus, chha-mha manuksha, a man.

Nouns-Gender,-There is no grammatical gender. The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding qualifying affixes. Thus, doh, bull; s $\bar{a}$, cow: dugu, goat; chole, female goat: bū chalā, male deer; mä chalā, female deer: $k \bar{a} e ~ m a c h a ̄, ~ b o y ~ ; ~ m h a ̄ e ~ m a c h a ̈, ~ g i r l . ~$

There are some traces of a distinction being made between animate beings and things. The suffix mha can be added to genitives and adjectives qualifying animate nouns, while $g u$ can be added if the qualified noun denotes a thing. Thus, ji-mha kakä, my uncle; $j i-g u$ osata, my clothes. A similar principle prevails in the Muṇāa languages.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. If it is necessary to distinguish the plural, suffixes such as $p \tilde{\imath}, p i n i$, $p a n i$, and $t a$, are added. Thus, bau$p \tilde{\imath}$, fathers; mhyā-cha-pini pāchê, from daugbter's; seok $\alpha-p a n i$-sena, by the servants; sala-ta, horses. In bhĩ-pĩ misä-ta, good women, both the adjective and the noun have been put in the plural.

Case.-The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by means of any suffix. The object is, however, sometimes put in the dative ; thus, $j \tilde{\imath} o-y \bar{a}$ $k \bar{a} e-y \bar{a}-t a \operatorname{tako-masi}$ dāe-dhuna, I have beaten his son (lit. to his son) with many stripes.

The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent. The suffix of that case is $n a$ or $n \tilde{a}$, often preceded by another suffix se or $s a$. Thus, babā-mha-se-na, by the father ; desa-bāsi-n $\tilde{a}$, by the citizen. Instead of adding na, the final vowel is often nasalized ; thus, $b a b \bar{a}-m h \tilde{a}$, by the father ; $b a b \bar{a}-m h a-s \tilde{e}$, by the father.

The same case is also used to denote the instrument, and, further, as an ablative; thus, hima-n $\tilde{a}$, by means of husks; khipa-t $\tilde{a}$ chiu, bind with ropes; chha-mha bubã, from a father; yänana-sẽ, from a distance. Other ablative suffixes are pächẽ, li-se, and si-sã.

The suffix of the genitive is $y \bar{a}$; thus, $b \bar{a} u-y \bar{a}$, of a father. The suffixes $m h a$ for animate nouns and $g u$ for things can be added to such forms; thus, chhẽ-ya-mha, the person, or animal, of the house; chhẽeya-gu, that which belongs to the house. Such forms can be used as adjectives, and the suffixes $m h a$ and $g u$ can accordingly be described as genitive sufferes.

The suffix of the dative is $t a$, which is added to the base or to another suffix $s$, or else to the genitive; thus, mhyächa-pĩ-ta, to daughters; babā-yä-ta, to the father : seoka-pani-8-ta, to the servants.

The suffix of the locative and terminative is $8 a$ or $8 \tilde{a}$; thus, desa-sa, in a country ; bela-sã, at the time; tuti-sa, upon his feet.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions. Such are ke and $y \bar{a}-k e$, with; (yã-)kosä, under; li, on; lione, behind; (o-)näpã, together with; nheone, before, and so forth.

Adjectives.-The adjectives are often used without any suffix; thus, bhĩ manu-pĩ, good men. If they qualify a noun denoting an animate being the suffixes $d h i$ and $m h a$ are often added. In the same way $d h \tilde{a}$ and $g u$ are added if the qualified noun denotes a thing; thus, tuyu-mha sala, the white horse; chiki-dhã-gu chhẽ, in the small house. The suffixes mha and $g u$ can be added to almost any word in order to form possessive adjectives or nouns. Compare ji-mha, ji-gu, mine; sin-ya-gu, the wooden thing; thana$y a-m h a$, the animate being here; wo-mha, the coming one; bhivgu, the good thing. We even find forms such as $b a b \bar{a}-m h a$, the father.

The particle of comparison is $s i-s \tilde{a}$, from, added to the genitive of the compared noun; thus, $o-y \bar{a} k i j \bar{a} o-y \bar{a}$ keh $\tilde{e}-y \bar{\alpha}-s i-s \tilde{a}$ ta-dhi-ka, his brother is taller than his sister.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. The usual form for 'two' seems to be $n i$ and not nasi. Note also sãachhi, eleren; sãa-nhay, seventeen; ngi-chhi, twenty-one; ngi-sänha, thirty ; swi-chhi, thirty-one; pi-chhi, forty-one, and so forth.

Generic particles are added to the numerals in order to indicate the nature of the qualified noun. Such particles are $m h a$ for human beings; $g u$ for things; $m \bar{a}$ for trees and plants; $p \bar{u}$ for weapons and implements ; $p h \bar{o}$ for flowers; $g \bar{o}$ for fruits; $n h u$ for days, and so forth. 'Thus, chha-mäasima, a timber-tree; chha-p $\bar{u} k h w o ̄ n, ~ a ~ s w o r d, ~$ and so forth.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

| $j i, \mathrm{I}$. | chha, chhi, thou. | $o$, he. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . $i \boldsymbol{i}-n a, j i$, by me. | chha-nã, by thee. | o, by him. |
| $j i, j i-m h a, j i-g u, \mathrm{my}$. | chhã, chhã-gu, chhi$g u$, chhä-na, thy. | $o-y \bar{a}$, his. |
| $j h i-j i, j h i-p i$, we. | chhi-p $\tilde{\sim}$, you. | $\alpha-p \hat{\imath}$, they. |
| $j h i-j i$-sena, ji-mi-sê, by us. | chhi-mi-sã, chhim-sẽ, by you. | $a-m i-s \tilde{a}, a-m i-s \tilde{e}$, by them. |
| $j h i-g u, j h i-p i-g u$, our. | chhi-pĩ-gu, your. | $a-m i-g u$, their. |

Chhi is probably the respectful form. Compare Tibetan lihyed. We do not know the difference between $j h i-j i$ and $j h i-p i$, we. $\quad J h i-j i$ is used as an inclusive plural in the specimen. Note also thao, own.

Demonstrative pronouns are tho, this; $o$, that.
Interrogative pronouns are su, who? chhu, what? chhāe, why? go-mha, which? how many? guli, how much? how many? Indefinite pronouns are formed by adding $n \tilde{a}$ or else by nasalizing the final vowel of the interrogatives; thus, su-na-nâ, by anyone; chhư, anytbing; go-bela-sã, at any time.

Verbs.--The Nēwārī verb is in all essential features a noun. The various tenses do not differ for person and number, and the subject of transitive verbs is put in tho case of the agent.

Verb sabstantive.-Several bases are used to form a verb substantive. The most common ones are da or $d u$, kha or $k h u$, and $j u$. Thus, $j i d u, \mathrm{I}$ am ; o khu and o kha, he is. The form khe in chhu khe, what is the matter? contains a sulfix e. Sec below.

Finite verb.-The Nēwārī verb is not rich in tenses. Many forms can be used to denote both the present and the past. It will, however, be convenient to arrange the forms occurring in the specimens under the usual heads of present, past, and future.

Present time.-The base alone is used as a present tense. Thus, chhã d $\bar{a}$, thou beatest; $j i-p \tilde{\imath} d u$, we are ; $j \tilde{\imath}$ dāe $m \bar{a}$, by-me beating is-required, I should buat.

A common suffix of the present is $e$ or $\bar{\imath}$; thus, $j \tilde{\imath}$ d $\bar{a}-e$, I strike; $j i j u e p h a-i$, I can be. Compare future.

Another suffix, which is used to form present and past tenses, is la or $n a$; thus, $j u-l a$, is ; cho-na, sits ; $w \bar{a}-n a$, goes. It is possible that la and $n a$ are originally different suffixes. They are, however, apparently used promiscuously. It is also possible that $l$ and $n$ are originally the final consonants of the base, so that the real suffix is merely $a$. This $a$ is often long; thus, d $\bar{a}-y \bar{a} c h o-n \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am sitting. It is sometimes also replaced by the suffix $e$ or $i$, and such forms are apparently never used in the past; thus, $j i-w \bar{a} n e, ~ I$ go; ji.p $\tilde{\imath} o-n e$, we go ; a-p $\tilde{o} o-n i$, they go.

The suffix $n a$ is sometimes also added to the suffix $i$; thus, $j i$ siyī-na, I die, I am dying.

Compound present tenses are cho-n $\bar{a}-o$ cho-na, sitting sits, he is sitting; d $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$ cho-n $\bar{a}$, beating sit, I am beating.

Past time.-The base alone is apparently also used to denote past time; thus, $j i$ $d u$, I was.

The suffixes $l a$ and na are common with the meaning of past time; thus, $o-n a$ and $o-l a$, he went; $d \bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, he struck ; $n y \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, thou boughtest.

The suffix $u$ in ma bi-u, didst not give, is also a general suffix, and it is probably identical with the final $u$ in $d u$, is, and so forth. Compare Tibetan o.

Forms such as $j \tilde{\imath} d \bar{a}-y \bar{a}$, I struck, are probably participles. At all events, a past meaning is not the only one that they have. Compare ji d $\bar{i}-y \bar{a}$ cho-n $\bar{a}, ~ I ~ a m ~ b e a t i n g . ~$

A real suffix of the past is apparently $t a$ in forms such as $p h \bar{u}-t a$, wasted; chho-ta, sent.

Compound past tenses are formed by adding auxiliaries to the conjunctive participles. Thus, yā-nāo cho-na, having done sit, I have done; ju-y $\bar{a}$ cho-ra, has become ; ma o.se cho-na, not baving-gone remained, had not gone.

The verb $d h u$, to finish, is sometimes added to the verbal noun ending in $e$ in order to form a past tense; thus, $j i$ d $\bar{\alpha}-e ~ d h u-n a, ~ I ~ h a d ~ b e a t e n . ~$

Future.-'I he suffix $e$ or $i$ is also used to denote the future ; thus, $d h \bar{\alpha}-e$, I will say; $c h h \tilde{a} d \bar{a} i$, thou wilt strike. The future sense can be strengthened by adding tini; thus, $j i j u e-t i n i$, I shall be; $j \tilde{\imath} d \bar{a} e-t i n i$, I shall strike.

Imperative.-The base alone is used as an imperative; thus, $n a$, eat; $t i$, put.
A suffix $u$ is added in biu, give; chiu, bind.
Forms such as $p \tilde{u}-k i$, put on ; nhy $\tilde{a}-t a-k i$, put on, are perhars causatives, and contain a suffix $i$ added to the causal suffix $k a$.

The suffix $n u$ in $n y \bar{a}-s i-n u$, walk; yãe-nu, let us make, is probably also an imperative suffix. It is apparently formed by adding $u$ to the suffix $n a$.

A respectful imperative is $b i y \bar{a} d i-s a \tilde{a}$, give please.
The negative imperative is formed by prefixing matē. Mr. Wright gives matö duhkha täya (i.e. tāe), do not be cast down.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The most usual verbal noun ends in $e$; thus, $n a-e$, to eat ; $y \bar{a} \cdot e$, to do ; dhä-e-tunu , saying after, after he had said; yäe-ta, making-for, to make.

It will be seen that this is the form commonly used to denote present and future times.

It has already been mentioned that the Nēwāri verb is a noun. The various tenses can accordingly be used as nouns. Compare $d h \bar{a}-l a-s \bar{a}$, saying in, if you say; naī-gu hima, eating husks, the husks which were eaten; ji-ke du-gu, me-with being-thing, what is mine; thane, to fill; o-yä nimirtina, coming-reason, beoause he came, and so forth.

Various kinds of participles are formed by adding postpositions or qualifying suffixes to verbal nouns.

The suffixes $m h a$ and $g u$ can be used in order to form relative participles and nouns of agency referring to animate beings and things respectively; thus, si-ka-mha, the dead one; ji-ke $d u$-gu, me-with being-thing, what is mine.

The suffix $g u$ sometimes also forms a conjunctive participle; thus, jue dh $\tilde{u}-g u s$, having been. I have not seen any examples of the use of this participle.

The most common conjunctive participle is formed by adding the postposition o to verlal nouns. Thus, $o-n \bar{a}-0$, going; kha-n $\bar{a}-0$, seeing. $O$ seems to mean 'with' and its use corresponds to that of Tibetan dang. It also occurs in forms such as 0.0 bela-sa, coming-with time-at, when he came. Compare ji-o näpa, me-with together, together with me.

A conjunctive participle is also formed by adding $k a$; thus, dha-ka, saying; bw $\bar{a}-k a$, running. The suff $\bar{x} y \bar{a}$ is apparently used in a similar way; thus, $d \bar{a}-y \bar{a}$, having struck.

It has already been remarked that the various tenses are in reality verbal nouns. They can often also be translated as participles; thas, le-na du, learing is, something is left; langhanā $y \bar{\phi}-n \bar{a} m a d u$, transgressing doing not is, no transgressing has been done.

A past participle seems to be formed by adding se or sẽ ; thus, o-se cho-ma, gone were, went ; $d a-s \tilde{e}$ cho-na, become were, became. Such forms are properly verbal nouns of the past. Compare $k h \alpha-c h h i-k h \bar{a}-c h \bar{a} d a-s \tilde{e}-l i$, some-time becoming-on, after some time.

Passive voice.—There is no passive voice. Ji-ta d $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$ cho-na, I am struck, literally means ' me-to striking is.'

Causative.-There is apparently a causative suffix ka. Thus, na, eat; bhoe $n a-k a-l a$, feast to-eat-causedest, thou gavest a feast; dhäe-ke, to cause to say, to be called. Si-ka-mha, he who was dead; phu-ku-mha, he who wasted, are apparently formed from such causative bases.

Some causatives are apparently formed by hardening the initial consonant. Professor Conrady gives $d a-e$, to be ; ta-e, to malre : $b \bar{a}$, half ; $p \bar{a}$, cut, etc.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed ma; thus, jogya ma ju-la, worthy not am ; ma biu, didst not give.

Order of words.-The regular order of words is subject, object, verb.

For further details the student is referred to the specimen which follows, to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 255 and ff., and to the works mentioned under the head of authorities. The specimen has been printed both in ordinary Nēwärī and in transliteration. In the former text $y a$ is commonly written instead of $e$, and wa instead of $\boldsymbol{o}$.
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NEWARI.<br>TRANSLITERATION AND TRANSLATION.

(Nepal.)

ghasa-pu-nāo chupā na-la. Tho bela-sa thao babā-yā-ta dhā-la, 'yo embracing kiss ate. This timesat own father-to said, ' $O$ babā, Iśora-yā nheone chhana upara-sa ji-na påpa yā•nāo, āo father, God-of before you upon me-by sin doing, now ohha-na-mha kāe dhäe-ke jogya ji ma ju-la,' dha-ka dhā-la. Tho-te your son to-say worthy $I$ not became,' saying said. Such thao kãe-yã khã ne-nāo babā-mha-sê thao seoka-panista dhā-la, 'bhĩ-gu own son-of word hearing father-by own servants-to said, 'good osata ha-yāo tho-yā-ta pũ-ki; tho-yā lāhā-ti-sa angū, tu-ti-sa lākã̄ clothes bringing this-to put-on; this-of hand-on ring, feet-on shoes nlyā-ta-ki; jhi-ji sayā bhoye-nyāe-kāo rasa-ranga yāe-nu. Chhāye dhā-la-sā, put; we all feasting merriment shall-do. Why said-on, ji kāe sī-ka-mha, mwā-nāo o-la; ta-nāo cho-na-mha, lu-yāo my son the-dead-one, reviving came; being-lost the-being-one, found-again o-la,' dha-ka dha-yāo bhoe-nyāe-kāo rasa-rangga yā-ta. came,' saying having-said feasting merriment made.

Tho bela-sa ta-ḍhi-ka-mha kāe thao-gu bũ-sa cho-nā-cho-na-mha than This time-at the-elder son own field-in the-staying-one own chhe-sa oo bela-sa bājana pyākhana-yā sala tā-ȳ̄o chha-mha house-to going time-at music dancing-of sound hearing a seoka-yā-ta sala-tāo, 'tha-na' clhu khe?' dha-ka ne-na. Scoka-nã dhā-la, servant-to calling, 'here what is?' saying asked. Servant-by said, 'chhana kijā o-yão chhũ mu-mwā-la-kã o-yã nimirtina chhana 'your' • brother coming anything not-happening coming because your bab $\overline{\bar{a}}$ bhoe-nyāe-ka-la,' dha-ka dhā-la. Tho bela-sa tamchā-yāo father-by feasted,' saying said. This time-at anger-feeling chhe-sa-duhã ma o-se cho-na. Babā-mha pihã̃ o-yīo hee-ka-la. house-into not going remained. Father outside coming entreated. Babā-yāta lisala bi-yāo, 'tā-kālã da-ta, ji-na clha-na-gu sewi Father-to answer giving, 'long-time passed, me-by your' service yä-não cho-nā; go-bela-sã chha-na-gu bachana langghanā yā-nā ma doing remained; any-time your word transgression done not du. Athe-nã ji pāsā-pĩ-o nāpa rasa-rangga yāe-ta chhana du-gu-chā is. Still $I$ companions with merriment to-do you-by goat-young chha-mha nāpã go bela-sã ma byū. Besyāo nāpa cho-nāo ohha-na-gu one even any time-at not gave. Harlots with living your sampati phu-ku-mha tho ohbã kāe o-la-yã nimirtina chhã bhoe property waster this your son coming-of on-account you-by feast na-ka-la,' dha-ka dhā-la. Tho bela-sa babā-mha-se-nã dhā-la, 'he putā, gave,' saying said. This time-at father-by said, 'O son, vol. inf, part t,

| chha sadā-nã | jio | nāpã | oho-na-mha, | ji-ke | du-gu | phūkã |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| you always | me-of | with | remaining, | me-with | being | all |

## PAHRT DIALECT.

Pabri is spoken in the hills of Central Nepal. The name of the dialect literally means ' hill language.' It is sometimes also written Pahī or also Paḍi.

No information is available about the number of speakers in Nepal. At the last Census of 1901, 245 speakers of Paharī and 23 speakers of Pahi were returned from Assam.

## AUTHORITIES-

Hodason, B. H.,-Oomparative Vocabulary of the Languages of the broken Tribes of Nepal. Journat of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Yol. xivi, 1858, pp. 317 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, Loudon, 1880, pp. 161 and ff.
Beames, J.,-Outlines of Indian Philology, with a Map shewing the Distribution of Indian Languages. Calcatta, 1867. Appendix A contains numerals in Pahri, etc.
Hinter, W. W.,-A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Abia. London, 1868.
A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases have been received from the Nepal Darbar. They do not appear to be altogether trustworthy examples of the language, and the spelling is not sufficiently consistent or adequate for giving a precise idea of the phonetical system of the dialect. They are, however, the only foundation of the remarks on Pabri grammar which follow.

Pahrī is very closely related to Nēwārī, so closely that it can properly be desoribed as a sub-dialect of that form of speech.

Pronunciation.-The spelling is inconsistent, and it is impossible to decide the various details regarding Pahri pronunciation. $O$ and wa are often interchanged; thus, $o$ and $w a$, and. In $m u-n \bar{a}$ and $m w \bar{a}-n a \bar{a}$, having revived, $u$ is interchanged with $w \bar{a}$. Similarly $y \bar{a}$ and $e$, i.e. probably $\bar{e}$, interchange in the suffix of the genitive.

Pahri possesses a $k$, a $k h$, a $g$, and a $g h$, and corresponding sets of palatals, dentals, and labials. Cerebral letters are sometimes written. It is not certain whether their pronunciation differs from the corresponding dentals. Note the interchange between $g$ and $k h$ in naga, nakha, with; between $n$ and $n g$ in many suffixes, etc.

We have no information regarding tones and accents.
Article.-The numeral $t h i$, one, is used as an indetinite article. Thus, $b \bar{a} t h i$-s $\bar{a}$, a father; luuju thi-mā, a dog.

Nouns.-According to Hodgson there are two classes of nouns - namely, those that denote animate beings and those that denote inanimate objects, respeotively. They are distinguished by adding the suffixes $m h a$ and $g u$, respectively, to qualifying adjectives, numerals, ete. The specimens do not quite bear out this statement, for the suffix $g u$ is frequently used before all kinds of nouns; thus, chang-go mänchhe, living man; a resident.

Gender.-The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding affixes. Thus, mānchhe, man; māmā, woman: doh-s $\bar{a}$, bull; mā-s $\bar{a}$, cow: bābā-cha, boy ; märnā-cha, girl: kuju, dog ; mā-kuju, bitch : soro, horse; soro mägu, mare, and so on.

Number.-The usual plural suffixes are $8 i, k \bar{a} r i, k a \bar{r} \bar{a}, t o \tilde{o}, t e$. Thus, $b \bar{a}-8 i$, fathers: mäs $\bar{a} k a \bar{a} i$, cows; tho pāsā-k $\bar{a} r \bar{a}-n u g a$, with my own friends; chal $\bar{a}-t \tilde{o}$, goata; besy $\bar{a}-t e-$ naga, with harlots.

Case.-The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by adding any suffix. The subject of transitive verbs is put in the oase of the agent, which is formed by adding one of the suffixes na and häye. Thus, bä-na hio-ri, the-fatherby said, the father said; pro-häye ine-nă, the son-by saying-from, when the son had said. The suffix'na also denotes the instrument; thus, päkhi-na chi, ropes-with bind.

Tue suffix of the ablative is $n \bar{a}$; thus, $u$-th $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, this-place-from, from here. In $\boldsymbol{s} \bar{a}-t h a-l \bar{a}$, from whom $? ~ l \bar{a}$ is apparently used in the same way. Hodgson gives ang, from; compare moj-ang, luxuriously.

The sulfix of the genitive is $y \bar{a}$, for which we sometimes find e, i.e. probably $\bar{e}$;
 house. The suffixes $g u$ and $m h i c$ can be added; thus, soro-y $\bar{a}-g u$ leathi, the horse's saddle. The suffix $g \imath$ was probably originally only used before nouns denoting inanimate objeots, $B h h a$ and $g u$ can also be added to the base; thus, $b \bar{a}$ thi-s $\bar{a}-g u$, of a father; chha-mha $n \bar{u}$, thy brother.

The dative is formed by adding the suffix $t \bar{a}$ to the base or to the genitive; thus, $b \bar{a}$ thi-s $\bar{a}-t \bar{a}$, to a father; $b \bar{a} s i-y \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$, to fathers.

The most usual suffix of the terminative and locative is $g a$ or $k a$; thus, bu-ga, in the field, to the field ; lā-tha-ka, to his father. Instead of $g a$ we also find $g o$; thus, chhe-go, in the house ; lā-go, on his hand.

The Nēwarī suffix sa oocurs in forms such as laka-sa, near.
Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions such as kana, after; lumane, behind; naga, nakha, and napa, with; nhorkhé, nhiorkhe, before; purko, under; sika, sike, before, and so forth.

Adjectives.-Adjectives are formed as in Nēwārī. The suffixes $m h a$ and $g u$ are, according to Hodgson, used as in that form of speech. In the specimens $g u$ is used before all classes of nouns; thus, bhingı mänchhe, a good man.

The postposition sika is used as a particle of comparison; thus, h $\tilde{o}-y \bar{a}$ manj $\bar{i}-\bar{a}$ sika $h \tilde{o}-y \bar{a} b h a ̈ j u t a \bar{j} \bar{a}$, his sister before his brother tall, his brother is taller than his sister.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. Generic particles are added in order to indicate the nature of the qualified noun. $S \bar{a}$ scems to be used in a more general way; thus, $n h i-s \bar{a} p r o$, two sons; thi-s $\bar{a}$ bhoye, a feast. $S \bar{a}$ is sometimes replaced by $s i$; thus, $n i$-si, two. Other generic particles are $m h a$, also written $m \bar{a}$, for animate beings, and $g \imath$ or $g i$ for things. Thus, thi-mha chalā-cha, a kid ; thi-gi, one; ni-si-gi, two; khut-gu, six ; che-gi, eight, and so on. Chhi in sa-chhi, hundred, is another form of thi, one.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-
$j i, \mathrm{I}$.
na, by me.
$n u n g, n u, n a, n u-y \bar{a}, n u-g u$, my.
$j \bar{a}-r i$, we.
$j \bar{a}-n a$, by us.
$j \bar{a} \cdot g u, j \bar{a}-s i-s a-l \bar{a}$, our.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { chhi, chha, thou. } \\
& \text { chhã, chhao, by thee. } \\
& \text { chha, chhã, chh } \tilde{\imath}, c h h a-m h a \text {, } \\
& \text { chhangu, chhu-mha, thy. } \\
& \text { chā-ri, chhi-ri, you. } \\
& \text { chhā-ṇa, by you. } \\
& c \hbar h \bar{a}-g u, \text { chhā-ri-sa-lā, your. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$h \tilde{o}, c h h o, c h h a$, he.
$h o-n a$, chho-na, by him.
$h w a ̄ n g u, h \tilde{o}-y \bar{a}, c h h a y \bar{a}$, his.
$h \tilde{o}-k a r i, h \tilde{o}-r i$, they.
$h o-k \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, by them.
$h o-k \bar{a}-r a-g u$, their.

Note also tho and tha-gu, own.

The pronouns chho, chha, he, are also used as demonstrative pronouns. Other demonstratives are tho, this; $u$, this; $o$ and wo, that.

Interrogative pronouns are $s e-l \bar{a}$, who $? ~ s \bar{a}-l \bar{u}$, whose $? ~ g u-m h a, ~ g u-g u$, which ? che-l $\bar{l}$, cha-l $\bar{a}$, what? The final $l \bar{a}$ in most of these words is probably an interrogative partiole.

Indefinite pronouvs are formed by adding na to the interrogatives; thus, $8 u-n \bar{a}-n \tilde{a}$, by anyone; chhu-na, anything; gu-le-na, ever. Compare Nēwārì au, who? chhu, what ?

Verbs.-The verb is of the same description as in Néwãri. It does not differ for person, and the subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent.

Verb substantive.-The usual bases of the verb substantive are the same as in Nēwāri, viz., $k h i, d a$, and $j u$. The usual forms are, present $k l i i-u$, past $d u$. The final $u$ of such forms is probably related to Tibetan o. Other forms are khe-da, it is ; jikhi ma, I be proper, I should be; asal ju-gu, good being.

Finite verbs.-The materials available are not sufficient for giving a full sketch of the conjugation of finite verbs, the more so because the difference between the various tenses is slight, and the same form can be used to denote present and past time.

Present time. -The base alone is used as a present; thus, ho-na dä, he strikes. A suffix $u$ has apparently been added in $y u$, he comes; compare $y a$, come.

A sulfix $e$ or $i$ is often added, e.g., in nearly all the forms of the two first persons which occur in the texts. Compare na dē-n $\bar{a}$ chongi, I beating sit, I am beating; $c h h a d \bar{u}-e$, thou strikest. Chongi, I sit, perhaps contains a sufifx ngi. In mithi-ni, I am not (worthy), we apparently have the same suffix in the form $n i$, while si-gu, I die, is a participle.

I do not understand the forms $j \bar{a}-r \dot{b}$ letiü, we go; chhà-ri lā-sõ, you go; ho-ka-ri le$t \bar{a}-r i$, they go. They are all compound forms.

Past time.-The base alone is also used as a past tense; thus, la, he is found; $m \bar{a} b \bar{\imath}$, he did not give. The suffix $u$ or o can be added; thus, $l a-o$, he was found; $b i-u$, he gave; choy $\bar{u}$, they remained.

The suffix $n a$ is used in forms such as $o n n a$, he went ; $m \bar{a} b \bar{i}-n \bar{a}$, didst not give.
Instead of $n a$ we find $n i$ in forms such as $d \bar{a}-n i$, I have beaten; $y o-n i$, I have done, etc., and $n g \bar{a}$ in dhung $\bar{a}$, I finished.

A common suffix of the past is re or ri, ri ; thus, na $d \bar{a}-r \tilde{\imath}$, I struck; nheoo-ri, he said; mu khä-re, he did not get. Nhe-o-ri, said, also contains the suffix o.

Forms such as lāi-gu, came'; heo-gu, said, are apparently participles.
A compound past is na däae dhung $\bar{a}$, me-by striking finished, I had struck.
Isolated forms are $j \bar{a}-r i$ letiã, we went; chh $\bar{a}-r i \quad l \bar{a}-s \tilde{o}$, you went. Compare present.

Future.-The base alone is also used as a future; thus, $j \bar{a}-n a d a$, we shall strike. A suffix tini or tingi, sometimes preceded by an $e$, can be added; thus, $j i d \bar{a}$-ti-ni, me strike-will, I shall be struck; na dä-e-tingi, I shall strike; ji khi-tingi, I shall be. $T i-n i$ or $t i-n g i$ probably contains an auxiliary $t i$ and the suffix $n i$ or $n g i$.

Imperative.-The base alone is used as an imperative; thus, $y \bar{a}$, come; ne, eat; chi, bind.

A suffix $u$ is added in $k h i-u$, be.

Another suffix $e$ or $i$ is much more frequently used. Compare biye, give; soye, look; $k \bar{a}-e$, take.

The suffix $g \bar{a}$ in $t \bar{a}-g \bar{a}$, put on ; phi-g $\bar{a}$, put on; chai-g $\bar{a}$, let us remain; harkhi-g $\bar{a}$, let us make merry, is perhaps origiually a future suffix.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The base alone, or with one of the suffixes $e$ or $i$ and $o$, is used as a verbal noun. Thus, hiā-ta, making-for, to make; daì mu kihā-re, to-fill not got, he was not allowed to fill; he-wo mu-khi, to-say not-is, it cannot be said.

The suffix $g u$ can be added; thus, $p h \bar{a}-y e j h a-y e-g u$, to feed pigs; mhe-gu mi-thi-ni, to-say not is.

In kharcha-nhe-thu lumane, spent-making after, after he had spent, there is apparently a suffix thū. Nhe-th $\bar{u}$, making, can, however, also be a compound verb, making-finishing. Compare the base $d h u$ used to form a past tense.

The final $r i$ in $k h i-t \bar{a}-r i$, to be, is probably only an indefinite particle.
Relative participles are formed by adding genitive suffixes to the base; thus, darm $\bar{a}$ bī-yä chākara, wages giving-of servant, a hired servant; asal ju-gu kāagu, good being clothes; yang-gà chang-go mänchhe, town-in living man, a man who lived in the town. It will be seen that the suffix $g u$, go, is also used when the qualified noun denotes an animate being.

The suffix $g u$ is also emplosed to form verbal and conjunctive participles; thus, si-gu du, dead was, he had died ; majā yā-gu, merry making; khi-dhongu, to-be-finishing. having been. It has been added to the verbal noun ending in $o$ in $k h a-o-g u$, having seen ; ke-o-gu, rumning.

The suffix tini is used to form a kind of adverbial participle.3. Thus, khi-tini, being; wõ-tini, going; hioye-tini, doing.

The usual suffe of the conjunctive participle is $n \bar{a}$; compare Tibetan nas. Thus, dai-n $\bar{a}$, rising; wai-n $\bar{a}$, going. Ra bas been added in kh $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}-r a$, baving seen. Nh $\bar{a}$ has apparently been substituted for $n \bar{a}$ in $n h \bar{a}-n i-n h \bar{a}$, calling; $h i \bar{a}-n i-n h \bar{a}$, asking.

Another suffix of the conjunctive participle is $e$ or $\bar{\imath}$; thus, $w \tilde{o}-e$ and wa- $\bar{\imath}$, going; $b u-l \bar{a}-\bar{i}$, bringing.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. Ji di $\bar{a}-r i$, I am struck, literally means. ' me struck.'

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}, m u$, or $m i$; thus, $m a \bar{a} \bar{\imath}$, did not give; mu lā, did not go ; mhe-gu mi-thi-ni, to say is not. Note riā mu-ra, did not transgress.

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. Adjectives, numerals, and pronouns usually precede the word they qualify.

For further details the student is referred to the version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which follows. I have corrected some obvious mistakes in the original. On the whole, however, I have been obliged to print the test as I have received it. Though it is far from being satisfactory, it is quite sufficient to show that Pahri can safely be considered as a sub-dialect of Nēwāri.
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## Tibeto-BURMAN FAMILY. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

NEWARI.

Pairí Dialect.

(Nepal Darbar.)

| Thi-sā | mānchhe | nhi-sī | pro | du. | Cbha-gu | madhe | kānchhā |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One | man-of | two | sons | were. | Them-of | among | younger |

heā-gu gräpu-ka dhusíu heo-ri chupā ne-ri. Pro-na bā-yā-ta nheo-ri his neck-at embrace did kiss ate. Son-by father-to said, 'ye bā, Iswara wa chhã nhiorkhe päpa yo-ni. Ji chha-gu pro 'O father, God and you-of before sin did. I your son mhe-gu (sic) mi-thi-ni.' Tara bā-nā tha-gu chākara-yā-ta hio-ri, 'asal saying not-am.' But fathér-by own servant-to said, 'good ju-gu kāgū bu-lāī o-yā-ta liga-na phigā. Lā-go aguchā, li-go being clothes bringing him-to putting put. Hand-on ving, feet-on liguna phigā. Kà ne-nā tai-nā moja-na chai-ḡ̄. Cha-lā shoes put. Let-us eating drisking merviment-in let-us-remain. Why ho-nā, u-gu na pro si-gu du, hanaka mu-nā; ta-na-gu, hanaka saying, this my son dead was, again revived; lost-was-who, again loo,' he-nā chha-kā-na ānanda ju-yā choyū.
was-found,' saying they happy leing remained.
Chha-yā jethā-gu pro bu-ga du. Lāi-nā che-yā-gu lakasa thai-nà His elder son field-in was. Coming house-of near arriving bājā̀ o pekha-yā so tā-nā thi-sā chākara-yā-ta nhā-ni-nhā, music and dancing-of sound heard-having one servant-to calling, 'chalo?' nhā-he-nā hiā-ni-nhā, 'chha-mha nā lāyo kusala ju-yā 'what?' saying asking, 'your younger-brothey coming safe' being lāī-gu kbā-nā-ra chhã bā-na thi-sā bhoye yo-ri,' chākara-na chha-yā-ta coming seeing your father-by one feast made,' servant-by hin-to he-nā chha ta-chā-nā dohā mu lā. Chha-yā bà piāhā saying he angry-becoming inside not came. His father outside wo-nā chha-yā-ta bolabintī hio. Chha-yā bā-ta jabāb biu, soye, going him-to entreaty made. His father-to answer gave, 'lo, bajã bīkhā nīsiāng chhã tahal hioye-ti-ni chha kha gu-le-na-ni so-many years since your service doing your word ever-cven riā-mu-ra. Herak chha-o gu-le-na tho pāsā-kā-rā-nu-gu majā transgressed-not. Still you-by ever own companions-with merry hiā-ta thi-mha chalā-chā mā bī-nā. Besiā-te-na-gā chai-nā chha-gu making-for one goat-young not gavest. Harlots-with living your sampati ne-biu-gu o chha pro lāyo suka bhoja heo-ri., property to-eat-giving that your son coming on feast madest.' Ānā-tu bā-na hio-gu, 'he pro, chha ji-thā du. Nohiā ma-da-sa-kho. Then father-by said, ' $O$ son, you me-with are. Mine being phuka chha-gu khe-da. Jā-na majā-yā-gu harkhi-ga munāsiba du; all yours is. Ds-by merry-making shall-be-happy proper is; clins-ki wo chhu-mha na sigu-du, hanaka mwã-na; ta-gu-du, because that your brother dead-was, again revived; lost-was, hanaka la.' again was-found:

## LEPCHA OR RÓNG.

The Lepchas are considered as the oldest inhabitants of Sikkim. They are also found in Western Hhutan, Eastern Nepal, and in Darjeoling. They call themselves Rong and are known to the Tibetans as Rong-pa or Mün-pa. Lepcha is a nickname given to them by the Nepalese. According to the Sikkim Gazetteer the local pronunciation of the word is Lapcha or Lapche. Dr. Waddell thinks that it is composed of lap, speech, and cha, vile, and that it consequently means 'vile speakers.'

Róng has an indigenous literature, and the Sikkim Rājā Chakdor Namgye (born 1686) designed an alphabet for the use of his subjects. Róng literature comprises Buddhistio and other religious books, law books, etc. Very little has as yet been made known about it. Parts of tine Scriptures have also been translated into the language.

According to information collected during the preparatory operations of this Survey the numbers of speakers of Róng in Sikkim and Darjeeling
Number of speakers. were, roughly estimated, as follows:-
Sikkim . . . . . . . . . . . . . 25,000
Darjeeling . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9, 994

At the last Census of 1901, the language entries under the head of Róng were as follows:-

Bengal Presidenoy-

| Sikkim | - | , | - | - | - | . | . | - |  | 7,945 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Darjeeling | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 11,252 |
| Jalpaiguri | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 74 |
| Monghyr | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Bhagalpur | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Sontal Parganas |  |  | - | - |  | . | - | - |  | 1 |

$$
\text { Total Bengal Presidency } \quad 19,274
$$

Absam . . . . . . . . . . . . . 17
Grand Total . 19,291
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Written character.-It has already been remarked that a Lepcha alphabet was introduced by King Chakdor. Compare the remarks by Sri Kali Kumăr Dās in the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society, iv, i, 1898, Appendix ii, p. 1. It is based on a certain form of the libetan $U$-met character, and consists of tue following signs :-

| $E$ | $\sigma$ |  | $\omega$ | $\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ka | kha |  | ga | nga |
| $\nu$ | $x$ |  | \% | K |
| cha | chla |  | ja | nya |
| e | $\bigcirc$ |  | * | $\boldsymbol{O}$ |
| ta | tha |  | da | na |
| $J$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ | $E$ | 0 | 6 |
| pa | pha | fa | ba | ma |
| 0 | Co |  | A | $\mathcal{E}$ |
| tsa | tsha |  | 2 a | ya |
| F | (1) |  | $\forall$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ |
| ra | la |  | ha | va |
| Con | c |  | (\%) |  |
| sa | sha |  | wa |  |
| 5 | $6 t$ |  | $\varepsilon$ | 0 |
| k'a | gla |  | plu | Ha |
| ( $)$ | $\mathcal{J}$ |  | X |  |
| bla | mla |  | lha |  |
| $\mathcal{A}$ | $\mathcal{R}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | JR | $\int$ |
| 9 | a | á | 1 | í |
| P) | P3 | 2 | ( $\mathcal{F}$ | ( P |
| u | $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ | e | 0 | 6 |

The signs of the vowels given in the table are only used in the beginning of words and syllables. When preceded by a consonant the vowels are expressed as follows :-

The short $a$ is inherent in all consonants and is not separately marked. The full signs of the other vowels contain the sign of the short $a$. This sign is dropped when they are preceded by a consonant. Thus :-


The consonants $k, m, l, n, p, r, t$, and $n g$ are also used as finals without being followed by a vowel. They then assume a different shape. Compare the table which follows:-

| \% | $\ddot{\text { ت }}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | $\underbrace{*}$ | $\underline{ }$ |  | $E$ | QE | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kak | kam | kal | kan | kap | kar | kat | kang | ang |

These finals can, of course, be combined with all other vowels. Thus ( $\mathcal{E}$ kor ; kong, etc.

The semi-consonants $y$ and $r$ are often added to other consonants without any vowe] intervening. In that case the signs $v$ and, respectively are added', to the preceding. consonant. Thus :-

| Ev | Cli | 81 | 51 | XV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kya | khya | mya | klya | lhya |
| 6) | c) | ग) | - | $\pm$ |
| kra | gra | pra | mra | hra |
| Ev |  |  |  | JN |
| krya |  |  |  | prya, |

The sign $\mathcal{V}$ is also added to $\mathcal{Z} a$, which is then transliterated ' $a$; thus, $\mathcal{X} \boldsymbol{N}$ 'aya.
Pronunciation.-The short $a$ has the sound of $u$ in 'rut.' In some words it has the sound of $e$ in 'hen' and it is then often written $e$; thus, $j a n$ and jen, to be bad; fyan and fyen, a foeman. $Y a$ is commonly interchangeable with $e$, and the pronunciation of $a$ as $e$ apparently only occurs after palatals and $y$.

Before the final $\bar{k}$ and $n g$ the short $a$ acquires the sound of $o$ in 'mock' and it is then often also written $\delta$; thus, ta-bak and ta-bók, belly; lang and lóng, stone.
$A$ is said to have a prolonged and guttural sound. It is often interchangeable with $\delta$ and $u$; thus, tyát and tyot, to hack. Similarly $u$ also interchanges with $a$ in writing; thus, sun-mut, wind ; mat, to blow.
$A$ has the sound of $a$ in 'far.' Sometimes, and apparently when followed by $k$ or $n g$, it is pronounced like the $o$ in ' mock.'
$I$ has the sound of $i$ in 'pin' and $i$ is the corresponding long, or rather prolonged, sound. J. have written $i$ and not $\bar{i}$ in deference to Professor Grünwedel's spelling, and because $i$ is distinguished from $i$ by means of the same sign as $a$ from $\dot{a}$.
$U$ is said to ho pronounced somewhat like the French $e u$ in 'jeu.'

## $\bar{U}$ is the long $\bar{u}$ in 'rule.'

$E$ has the sound of ay in 'day,' and also that of $e$ in 'ten.'
$O$ is pronounced as $o$ in 'no.' It is very often replaced by $\bar{u}$; thus, on and $\bar{u} n$, horse.
$\delta$ is the broad $o$ in 'nor,' 'for.'
$O$ and $\delta$ are sometimes interchangeable with $e$; thus, glyót, to let down; glyet, to let fall; hok and hyek, to husk.

The ' $a$ which is written before $y$ is apparently silent.
The usual pronunciation of the consonants does not call for any remark. In Tibetan words some of them occasionally assume another pronunciation.
$K r$ is sometimes pronounced as $t$ and $g r$ as $d$; thus, $k r \bar{u}$, pronounced $t \bar{u}$, a ship; grun, pronounced dun, a feast. $\quad R$ has sometimes a similar pronunciation; thus, rān-ro, pronounced $d r \bar{a} n-d r o ́$, or rather $d \bar{a} n-d o ́$, equal, like.
$Z$ has the sound of $\underline{d z}$ in words such as $\underline{d z} \bar{a} m-b \bar{u}$-ling, Jambudvipa.
Prefixes.-A very common prefix is $\bar{a}$; thus, $\bar{a}-b o$, father; $\bar{a}-r y \bar{u} m$, good. It is commonly prefixed to verbal roots in order to form nouns and adjectives; thus, chor, to be sour ; $\bar{a}$-chor, sour ; tí, to be great; $\bar{a}$-tim, large; thi, to arrive; $\bar{a}$-thtt, arrival. It is also added to nouns in order to specify the meaning or to form diminutives; thus, $\bar{u} n g$, water; $\bar{a}-\bar{u} n g$, water in which meat has been boiled: kūng, a tree; $\bar{a}$-kūng, a bush.

The prefix $\bar{a}$ is not a necessary part of the word, and it is often dropped; thus, $\bar{a}$-hám, pure; jer hám, pure gold: $\bar{a}-t i ́$, an egg; fo tí, a bird's egg. We are not as yet able to ascertain the rules regulating the retention or dropping of this $\bar{a}$. It seems as if it is commonly dropped when two words are put together as a compound.

There are several other prefixes in use. Some of them have still a definite meaning of their own. Others are apparently used as mere formatives. Such are $k a, t a, p a$, and $s a$ in words such as $k t-l \bar{u} t$, bare, from $l \bar{u} t$, to uncover; ta-liryóng, praise, from kryóng, to praise ; pa-thyäm, arrangement, from thyām, to arrange; sa-ţuk and $\underline{t_{s}} u k$, sun ; sa-är, goat, etc. Compare the prefixed consonants of classical Tibetan.

Articles.-The numeral $k \bar{a} t$, one, is used as an indefinite article, and the suffix $r \in$ has the meaning of a definite article. Thus, maró kāt, a man; pa-no-re, the king. In connexion with numerals the suffix $r e$ is often replaced by $m u$; thus, $k \bar{a} t-m u k \bar{a} t-k \bar{a}$ $l \ell$, the one said to the other.
$R e$ and mu have another form, rem, and mum, respectively, in the accusative. Thus, $h u$ pa-no-rem $\operatorname{sh} \bar{u}$, he petitioned the king; leät-mu leät-mum li, the one said to the other. In this form, wu can be used after all sorts of nouns, definitely as well as indefinitely.

Nouns.-Gender.-The natural gender is expressed by using different words or by means of qualifying additions meaning 'male,' 'female,' respectively. The usual male aflixes are ta-gri, for human beings; $\bar{a}-b u$, for animals; $\bar{a}-\underline{t s} u$, for goats, pigs, eto.; lóng, for some large animals; and the most common female ones are ta-'ayu, human beings; $\bar{a}$-mót, animals; $\bar{a}$-lyeng, young females rising to maturity; $\bar{a}-m i$, a young pigy; $\bar{a}-g \bar{u}$, a beast having borne young. The initial $\bar{a}$ of such words is usually dropped. Thus, $\bar{a}-b o$, father; $\bar{a}-m o$, mother: pa-no, king; pun-di, queen: $\bar{a}-n u m$, elder brother;
ã-nóm, elder sister: 'ayeng ta-gri, younger brother; 'ayeng ta-'ayu, younger sister: hik bu, cock; hik mót, hen: món tsu, a boar; món mót, a sow : tyäng-mo lóng, a male elephant; tyáng-mo mót, a female elephant: rum-mit, a goddess: bik lyeng, a young matured cow that has not borne young: bik gū, a matured cow : món mik, a sow that has not had young.

Number.-There are three numbiors, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The suffix of the dual is nyum, and the plural is expressed by adding sang in the case of animate beings and pang in the case of inanimate objects. Nyum means 'the two,' 'both,' and it is often replaced by the usual numeral nyet, two. It is, therefore, perhaps more correct to say that there are only two numbers, the singular and the plural. 'The plural suffixes sang and pang are pronounced sóng, póng, respectively. Examples of their use are na-ro sang, men; li-pang, houses. Pang can also be added in the case of animate beings; thus, ma-ró pang, men.

Case.-The base alone, without any suffix, is used as the subject of intransitive verbs, and as the object. The object can, however, be distinguished by adding rem or mum ; compare the remarks under the head of the article. Thus, $\bar{a}-b 0-8 a \bar{a}-m \bar{u}-r e$ mäk-non-ne, father-and mother-the died, the father and mother died; go hu-do lup lyup, I his son beat, I have beaten his son; chäp-chhu sang-rem li, servants-to said, he said to the servants.

The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding nun; thus, $\bar{a}-k u p$ tek-nun $\bar{a}-b o-r e m ~ s h u$, son small-by father-to said, the younger said to his father.

The suffix nun also forms an ablative; thus, $\bar{a}-b \bar{a}-18 u n$, here-from, from here.
The genitive can be expressed by putting the governed before the governing word ; thus, $\bar{a}$-do-sa $\bar{a}$-bo $l k-k \bar{u}$, you-of father house-in, in your father's house. $A$-do-sa, your, contains the suffix sa, which is commonly used in the genitive; thus, $k a-s u \bar{a}-b o-s a$ chäp-chhu-sang, my father's servants.

The vocative is expressed by prefixing $e$ and suffixing wa or $\vec{a}$, before which a final consonant is doubled; thus, $e \bar{a}-b o-w \bar{a}$, O father ; $e \bar{a}-k u p-p \bar{a}, \mathrm{O}$ child.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $\bar{a}$-lon, behind; $\bar{a}-m i n$, under ; $\bar{a}$-plang, upon; dun-k $\bar{a}$, in the presence of ; nah $\bar{a} n$, before; $k \bar{a}$, to, in, at, for, on, upon, and so forth.

Adjectives.-Adjectives are commonly formed from verbal bases by prefixing $\bar{a}$, and, if the verb ends in a vowel, by suffixing $m$; thus, $d \bar{u}$, to be white; $\bar{a}-d \bar{u} m$, white. Other adjectives are formed by adding the suffix bo; thus, ryäm-bo, beantiful.

Adjectives usually follow the noun they qualify; thus, on $\bar{a}$-d $\bar{i} m$, the white horse.
The particle of comparison is len; thus, hado yeng hado nóm len rhen, his brother his sister than tall, his brother is taller than his sister.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. They foliow the noun they qualify. Higher numbers are counted in twenties: thus, kiha myet, forty; $k h \bar{a} f a-n g \bar{u}$, twenties tive, hundred.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

| go, I. | $h o ́, ~ t h o u . ~$ | $h u$, he, she, it. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ka-sum, me. | hó-mum, thee. | hum, him, her, it. |
| go-num, by me. | ho-nun, by thee. | hu-nun, by him, her, it. |
| $k a-s u, \mathrm{my}$. | $h \delta-s a$, thy. | hu-sa, his, her, its. |
| $k a-8 u-n u n$, from me. | $h \delta$-nun, from thee. | hu-nun, from him, her, it. |
| $k a-n y i$, we two. | $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$-nyi, you two. | $h u-n y i, h a-n y t$, they two. |
| $k a-y \bar{u}, k i \bar{a}$, we. | $\bar{a}-y \bar{u}$, you. | $h u-y \bar{u}, h a-y \bar{u}$, they. |
| $k a-y u \bar{m}$, us. | $\bar{a}-y \bar{u} m$, you. | humyüm, ha-yüm, them. |
| $k a-y \bar{u}-n u n, \quad k \bar{a}-n u n$, by us. | $\bar{a}-y \bar{u}-n u n$, by you. | $h u-y \bar{u}-n u n, \quad h a-y \bar{u}-n u n$, by them. |
| $k a-y \bar{u}-s a, k \bar{a}-s a$, our. | $\bar{a}-y \bar{u}-s a$, your. | $\begin{aligned} & h u-y \bar{u}-s a, \quad h a-y \bar{u}-s a, \\ & \text { their. } \end{aligned}$ |

I'hese pronouns can be emphasized by adding the particle do; thus, $k a-d o$, I myself; $k a-y \bar{u}-d o$, we ourselves ; $\bar{a}-d o$, hó-do, thou thyself ; $\bar{a}-n y i-d o$, you two yourselves; $h u$-do, $h a-d o$, he himself, and so on.

Demonstrative pronouns are $\bar{a}-r e$, this ; o-re, that. The particle do can be added; thus, o-re-do-pang, those very things. The simple bases $\bar{a}$ and $o$ are used as demonstrative adjectives; thus, $\bar{a} n \bar{a} m$ kup, this year only; o nyi-lung, under those circumstances.

Interrogative pronouns are to, who ? sa-re, which? shu, what? The interrogative pronouns are also used as relatives; thus, ma-ró to-num zūk-re, the man who did it. The most common way of expressing relativity is, however, by means of participles; thus, ta-lyāng-kā jū-bā rum, heaven-in living God, the God who is in heaven; ka-su kup $\dot{a}-r e$ mäk-nón-bü-re, my son this dead-gone-the, this my son who had died. Participles can also be used in connexion with interrogative pronouns; thus, sa-tet ka-su ka-kā thüp-shyet nyi-wung-re ka-sum nóng-wa, how-much my share getting-for being-the me-to give; give me the share which falleth to me. It will be seen that the article re is, in such cases, added to the usual verbal participles.

Indefinite pronouns are formed by adding $l a$ to the interrogatives; thus, to-na-la, anybody; sh $\bar{u}-l a$, anything.

Verbs.-Verbs do not change for person and number. Some forms show a preference for certain persons, but this tendency has not been developed. In the case of the verb $b o$, to give, there are two different forms, viz., bo, when the object is of the first or second person, and byi, when the object is of the third person.

Verb substantive.-Several bases are used with the meaning of a verb substantive. The most usual ones are $g u m, g o ́, g o, g \bar{a}, k a$, and nyí. Gum is used in all persons and numbers; $g \delta$ is usually, but not always, employed in the second person, and especially interrogatively; go is common in negative clauses and without regard to person; $g \bar{a}$ is almost wholly confined to the negative; $k a$ is almost invariably combined with a particle, and generaliy ref̂ers relatively to a neuter subject; and nyí is used in all persons and numbers.

Finite verb.-Some verhal bases ending in a vowel undergo certain changes before auxiliary verbs, such as $k h u$, to be able; lel, to be finished; gāt, to desire; mät,
to do, and also before several other suffixes. In such cases $m$, $n$, or $t$ is added to the base. 'Thus, li, to sp rak; lin-khu, to be able to speak; shl, to see; shim-khu, to be able to see ; di, to come; dit-det, about to come, and so forth.

Some verbal suffixes are used with an indefinite meaning, without reference to time. Such are $m x^{\prime}, m a-o, y a m-o$, and $s a-o$. The first three are used with the meaning of a present or past; thus, go pi-ma, I am writing, or, have written; lót-thüb-ma, he has been found again; hu thi-ma-o, he has arrived; hu mäk-yam-o, he is dead. The final $o$ of such forms should be compared with the assertive o of Tibetan.

Sa-o applies more to the present or future; thus, go nóng-8a-o, I shall go.
Present time.-The base alone is sometimes used as a present; thus, go lyūp, $\mathbb{I}$ beat. The base bäm, to remain, to be, is commonly added; thus, go lik-bäm, I call.

Participles ending in det and ung are commonly also used with the meaning of a present; thus, $h u m \bar{a} / c-d e t$, he is $\dot{\alpha} y i n g$; ho $l y \bar{u} p-p u n g$, thou strikest.

The same is the case with the forms ending in $p \bar{a}$, such as nyi-p $\bar{a}$, it is; ry $\bar{u}-p \bar{a}$, it in good. Oompare the Tibetan article $p a$.

Past time. -The base alone is oommonly usedjas a past tense; thus, go li, I said; bām, he lived.

Participles ending in ung, pä, and bo are often used in the same way; thus, ho buklung, thou struckest; ${ }_{3} l o t-d i-p \bar{a}$, he returned; nyim-b $\bar{u}$, he was.

A past tense is also formed by alding $b \bar{x}$; thus, go-nun lik-b $\bar{a}$, I oalled; go buk$b \bar{a} m-b \bar{a}$, I strike-remained, I was striking.

A periphrastic past is formed by adding fät, to finish, to complete; thus, lik-fät or $l_{i} k$ - $f a ̈ t-b \bar{a}$, called, or, had called. $T e$ is sometimes added; thus, shong-fät-te, he wasted.
$T e$ is perhaps a suffix $e$ with reduplication of the preceding $t$. If so, it is conneoted with the suffix ne in non-ne, went.

Future. -The usual future' is formed by adding sho; thus, $\mid$ shu-sho, I shall say. Sho is connected with the suffix shang, or shóng in go buk-shóng, I shall strike. According to the list of words such forms are only used in the first person. The suffix shang is, however, commonly used to form an indefinite future participle.

The list of words gives forms such as buk-shet, thou wilt strike, he will strike, in the second and third persons. The suffix shet is used to form verbal nouns and infinitives of purpose; thus, $\underline{\varepsilon} \bar{\varepsilon} \bar{a} m$, to hold; $\underline{\varepsilon} \bar{z} \bar{a} m-s h e t$, handle; thüp-shyet nyi-wung-re, getting-for being-the, that which should be got.

Note also the suffixes pa-ró, which implies a doubt, and tüng, whioh is used to form a kind of subjunctive; thus, go shi-pa-ró, I may perhaps see lim ; go-nun $\bar{a}-y \bar{u} m$ lí-tüng, I would have told you.

What may possibly take place is denoted by adding the suffix $p \bar{u}$; thus, go lik-p $\bar{u}$, I may perhaps call ; go lik-shang-p $\bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$ shall perhaps call.

Imperative. -The imperative is formed by adding $o$; thus, $d i-o$, come. In verbs ending in a consonant, $a$ is added and the preceding consonant is doubled; thus, mät-ta or $n a \bar{a} t-t a-o$, do. Sometimes we also find forms such as $l i-a$ or $l i-a-o$, speak.

The suffix wa in nóng-va, give, is only another way of writing $o$.
A periphrastio imperative is formed by adding loon, to permili, to the base; thus, ka-sum lik-kón, or lík-kòn-na-o, let me call.

Verbs ending in a vowel assume the full form mentioned above before this kon; thus, shi, to see; shim-kón, let him, etc., see : byí, give ; byin-kón, let him, eto., give : di, come ; dit-kón, let him, etc., oome.

An imperative of the third person is also formed by prefixing ta and suffixing sa; thus, hum ta-mät-sa, let him do it.

The suffix $k \bar{a}$ is used in the first person; thus, $\bar{a}-g \delta \bar{\alpha} \bar{a}-n y i \quad m \bar{a} t-k \bar{a}$, let us make merry.

The negative imperative is formed by prefixing ma and suffixing nups; thus, ma-linun, don't speak.

Verbal nouns and participles.-All the forms mentioned under the head of the various tenses are properly verbal nouns or participles.

The base alone is used as a verbal noun; thus, thi, to arrive; thirre, the arrival, etc. By adding various postpositions adverbial clauses can be tormed. Such postpositions are gang, if; go-rūng, though; shen, when, etc. Thus, go li-gang, if I speak; ka-sum sot-go-rüng, though you kill me; khya-shen, when he came.

The verbal nouns formed by adding shet have already been mentioned.
The same is the case with the present participle ending in det; thus, lik-det, calling, about to call.

The most usual participle is formed by adding ouno, or ung, before which a final consonant is doubled; thus, li-wung, saying; māt-tung, doing. This participle is also used as a noun in connexion with the postposition sa; thus, shang-lel-lung-sa, to-waste-finishing-on, after he had wasted; lik-kung-sa la, calling-on even, immediately on calling.

The suffix shang has been mentioned under the head of future. It forms an iddefinite future participle, and also an infinitive of purpose; thus, lik-shang, calling, about calling ; bro-shang, in order to tend.

Conjunctive participles are formed by adding $b \bar{a} \dot{n}$, i.e., probably $b \bar{a}$ and the conjunction $u n$, and, and lung; thus, zo-bān, having eaten; lik-lung, having called.

The relative participle is commonly formed by adding the sufix $b o$ or $b \bar{u}$; thus, ta-lyāng-k $\bar{a} j \bar{u}-b \bar{u} r u m$, heaven-in living God, the God who lives in heaven.

The participle ending in ung or wung is also commonly used as a relative participle. The article $r e$ is commonly added. Thus, lok-kung-re, expending the, that which has been expended. It will be seen that the whole relative clause has here been compounded into one single noun.

The suffix $m$ which forms adjectives of verbal bases can also be considered as the suffix of a relative participle. Compare Khambu m, me, etc.

Passive voice.-There is no proper passive voice. Instead of ' I am struck' the Lepchas say 'somebody struck me.' The bases thóm, to place, and nóng, to go, are sometimes added in order to form a kind of passive; thus, pi-thóm-bo, written; móknón, exponded.

Causals.-Causal verbs are formed by inserting a $y$ after the initial consonant. Thus, thór, to escape ; thyór, to cause to escape : thóp, to knock down; thyóp, to cause to knock down. A final $n g$ is then changed to $n$; thus, dáng, to run; dyán, to fling away : nóng, to go ; nyón, to cause to go.

Other causals are formed by adding kón, to permit; mät, to do. Thus, buk-kón, to cause to beat; go-la mãt, merrily make, to gladden.

Verbs such as nyón, cause to go; hryón, raise; byl, give, eto., are often affixed to other verbs, giving an emphatic transitive sense; thus, $\delta p-n y \delta n$, to fire off; dun-byb, to tell to, to relate; ll-bi, he said, etc.

Other auxiliaries used in the formation of compound verbs are $k h u$, to be able; $g a ̈ t$, to desire, to be wanted; te, which implies a doubt, and so forth. Thus, zu$k-k h u$, to be able to do; go nóng-gāt, I want to go; sum-gó-gāt-pă, to-be-glad-is-wanted, we should make merry; sh $\bar{u} z \bar{u} k-k a-t e$, what can be done? $T e$ can also be used after the suffix fät in the past tense. See above. Compare also bäm-nyi-de, livest.

Irregalar verbs.-The verbs nóng, to go ; hrong, to arise; frong, to point out, are irregular, their past base being formed by changing the final $n g$ to $n$; thus, go non, I went.

Negative particle.-The negative verb is formed by prefixing $m a$ and suffixing ne; thus, ma nóng-ne, you did not give; ma nyin-ne, it is not. The latter example shows that verbs ending in a vowel often double the $n$ of the suffixed ne.

Interrogative particle.-In such queries as do not contain an interrogative pronoun, the interrogative particle $a$ is added ; thus, ho $\dot{a}$-lom li-a, did you say so? $A$ is sometimes also used in connexion with other interrogatives; thus, ho sa-thā khya-shang-a, you when arrive-will ?

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb.

For further details the student is referred to the works mentioned above under the head of authorities and to the specimens which follow. They have both been kindly prepared by Mr. David MacDonald. A list of Standard Words and Phrases, which has been forwarded from Darjeeling, will be found on pp. 255 and ff.
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## tibeto-burman family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

LEPCHA OR RONG.

## Specimen I.

## TRANSLITERATION AND TRANSLATION.

## (Mr. David MacDonald, 1899.)

Ma-ró kāt-sa ā-kup nyet nyi. Ha-nyí nóng-kā ā-kup tek-nun
Man one-of sons two were. Both among son small-by
ā-bo-rem shu, 'e ā-bo-wa, gyū-gi-cho-nun sa-tet ka-su ka-kā father-to said, ' $O$ father, substance-wealth-from how-much my share thūp-shyet nyi-wung-re ka-sum nong-wa.' O-thā hu-nun ha-yūm ha-do-sa getting-for being-that me-to give.' Then him-by them-to his gyū-gi-oho rit-bi-fāt-te. Sa-'ayāk ā-gyāp ma-bām ā-kup tek-nun property divide-give-finished. Days many not-going son small-by gyū-gi-cho tyáng gyom-bu-bān lyāng ā-rum kāt-kā nóng-lung property all gathered-carried-having country far one-to going o-bā ā-jen-sa 'ayūk-kā ha-do-sa gyū-gi-cho-pang shang-fāt-te. Hu-nun there evil-of work-in his-own goods to-scatter-finished. Him-by tyáng shang-lel-lung-sa $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$-lūn o-thā lyāng o-re-kā krit-nām all to-scatter-completing-finishing-of after then country that-in famine ngūn-nón-ne. Un hu zóm-shet ma-nyin ngūn-nón. Un hu to-happen-went. And he food without became. And he nóng-lung lyāng o-re-sa ma-ró kāt-sa chbó-lung bām. Un hu-nun going country that-of man one-of joining lived. And him-by ha-dūm ha-do-sa nyót-kā món bro-shang klóng. Un hu món zo him his-own field-in swine feeding-for sent. And he swine food la zo-bān ha-clo ta-bók blen-shang sāk-ching. Un to-na-la even eaten-having own belly filling-for intended. And anyone ba-dūm shū-la ma bin-ne. O-thā ba-do tem-bo lāt-lung hu-nun him-to anything not gave. Then own consciousness coming him-by li. . 'ka-su ā-bo-sa chāp-chhu-sang-sa zóm-shet nyi-wung-kē thóm-shet-la said, 'my father-of servants-of eating-for being-in spare-to-even nyi-pā. Shen-la go-na krit-dok-lung māk-det-pā. Go lük-lung ka-su
is. But I hungering die. I arising my
ā-bo lyāng nóng-bān shu-sho, "e ā-bo-wa, go-nun ta-lyāng-kā jū-bū father near gone-having say-will, "O father, me-by heaven-in living
rum-sa un ā-do-sa dun-kā lā-yo zūk-fāt-te. Go ā-do-sa ā-kup God-of and you-of presence-in sin to-make-finished. I your son ngūn-shang la ma-wa-ne. Ka-sum ā-do-sa chāp-chhu-sang nóng-kā kāt be-to even not-worthy. Me your servants among one zang ngơn-kōn-na."' O-thā hu lūk-lung ba-do ā-bo lyāng lót-di-pā. like be-let."' Then he arising own father near back-came. Shen-la la-do bo-nun ba-dūm ā-rum-do-kā shi-bān kyón-dyít But his father-by him distance-at seen-having compassion māt-lung dáng-nón-bān ha-do pa-tóp-kā ká-bān chūk-māt. making run-gone-having his neck-on embraced-having kiss-made.
 Son-the-by said, 'O father, me-by Heaven-in living God-of and ā-do-sa dun-kā lāyo zūk-fāt-te. $\bar{A}$-lang-nun-pel ā-do-sa ā-kup you-of presence-in sin to-do-finished. Henceforth your son ngūn-shang la ma-wa-ne.' Shen-la ā-bo-re-nun chāp-chhu-sang-rem lí, be-to even not-worthy.' But father-the-by servants-to said, 'tyang lyen ryūm-bū dum dūt-bān ha-dūm dem-bi. Un à-ká-kāa 'all then good cloth brought-having him put-on. And hand-on ka-kyup, ā-thūng-kā lhóm chók-bi-wa. Un ka-yū zo-bān à-gó-ā-nyí ring, feet-on shoes to-put-give. And we eating merriment māt-kā. SLū-go-yo-gang, ka-su kup ā-re māk-nón-bū-re, lót-zū-bām-pā; make-let. What-is-so-if, my son this dead-gone-the, again-living-is; fāt-nón-bū-re, lót-thūb-ma.' O-thā ha-yū ā-gó-ā-nyí māt-ma-o. lost-gone-the, again-found-is.' Then they merriment made.
O-thā ha-do-sa ā-kup num-fren-re nyót-kā bām-nyi. Un hu lót-di-lung li-sa ā-zut-kà klyá-shen pa-lit tung-drū-sa lók-tsūt back-coming house-of nearness-in arriving flute harp-of dance bām-mung-sa ā-sūt thyo-lung chāp-chhu kāt-rem lik-bān vet, 'ā-re going-one-of sound hearing servant one called-having asked, 'this shū ngūn-bām-mung go?' Hu-nun ha-dūm lí-bi, 'ā-do-sa 'aying what going-on is ?' Him-by him-to said, 'your younger-brother lót-thi-ma, un ā-do bo-nun dun kāt klóng-ma. Shū-go-yo-gang, back-arrived, and your father-by feast one bestowed. Because, ha-dūm ā-ryūm-ā-ryām-sa tsum-thūp-pā.' Shen-la hu sāk-lyāk-lung li-sn him-to safe-and-sound met-got-is.' But he angry-being house-of góng-kā ma nóng-ne. Ā-sa tun-dók-kā ā-bo-re lyāng-kā plí-lung inside not went. This-of account-on father-the outside coming ha-dūm yák-ma. Hu-nun ā-bo-rem shu, 'go-nun ā-tet nām ā-do-sa him entreated. Him-ly father-to said, 'me-by so-many years yout'
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# TiBETO-BURMAN FAMILY. Tibeto-Himalayan Group. 

## LEPCHA or RONG.

## Specimen II.

(Mr. David MacDonald, 1899.)
$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { 'Ayā } & \text { lyāng } & \text { kāt-kā } & \text { phyuk-bū } & \text { ma-rónum-vóm } & \text { nyet-kā } & \text { ā-zóm } \\ \text { Formerly } & \text { country } & \text { one-in } & \text { rich } & \text { man-married-couple } & \text { two-to } & \text { food }\end{array}$ $\bar{a}-t h y e n ~ g y u ̄-g i-c h 6$ nyem-bū-kā ta-grí kup kāt nyi-pā. $\bar{A}-$ bo $\bar{a}-m u \bar{~}$ drink riches being-in male child one was. Father mother phyuk-bū-sa ā-kup ngūn-bān 'ayūk shū-la zūk ma thūp-ne rich-of sons become-having work any to-do not being-got bām-nyi-shen, ā-lūn-nun ā-bo-sa ā-mū-re māk-nón-ne. Hu living, afterwards father-and mother-the to-die-went. He phyuk-bũ kup ngūn-bān ā-bo ā-mū-nun zūk-thóm-bū gi-chó-pang rich-man's son becone-having father mother-by made-laid-by riches zóm-lel-nun, gi-chó-pang mók-nón-ne, ā-zom ā-thyen-pang gun-la to-eat-finishing, riches exhausted-became, food drink altogether mól-nón-ne. Wū-du-lung ma-ró lyāng khyóm-brām-lung ā-zóm-zo-sa exhausted-became. Hungering men with roaming-straying food-eating. ma nyin-bān māk-nón-ne.
not being died.
$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { 'Ayūk-thā lyāng } & 0-\mathrm{re}-\mathrm{kā} & \text { bo mŭ } & \text { ma-nyin-nung-sa } & \text { ryót } \\ \text { At-the-same-time } & \text { country } & \text { that-in } & \text { father mother } & \text { not-being } & \text { orphan }\end{array}$ kup ā-jen kāt nyi. O-re hu-re sa-nyi-so-nāp yang, li-sa ma-nyin-ne, child poor one was. That he day-night so, saying not-is, 'ayūk zūk, nyót zūk, rip-shing sa-re nyi-wung-pang-la ryū-la work did, field cultivated, flower-gardens which being-ever well zūk; gyū-gi-chó-lā thík-lyāng o-bā-sa ma-ró-pang-kā-la ā-zóm bi, did; property authority there-of men-to-also food gave, gi-chó ma-nyin-bū-kā-la gi-chó bi-ma. Un o-re-nun ta-lyāng-kā jūbū property not-being-to-also property gave. And therefore heaven-in living rum-sa thū-ji-gun-rān-nun lyāng o-re-sa pa-no ngūn-bān God-of benignity-favour-from place that-of ling become-haviny bām-nyi-ma.
lived.

## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

Once upon a time a rich married couple lived in a certain town. They had money and enough to eat and drink. They got one son. Being the child of rich parents he lived without having anything to do. Afterwards his parents died, and he, who was the son of wealthy parents, squandered the property which his father and mother had left. His money went away, and nothing was left to eat and drink. Starving he wandered about, and at last he died from want of food.

In the same country there was a poor orphan. He worked day and night in the fields, in the flower gardens, and did well all he was set to do. He became wealthy and got authority. He gave the people of that place food, and on those who were poor he bestowed wealth. Through God's mercy he therefore became the king of that place.

## TరTTO.

The Tōtōs live in the Sub-Himalayas, in the Baxa subdivision of Jalpaiguri. They are considered to have immigrated from Bhutan. They are a very wild tribe, and no non-Tōtō knows their language. There is said to be only one 'Tōtō in existence who knows a little Bengali besides his own language. The materials forwarded for the purposes of this Survey have been put together with his assistance. They comprise an incomplete list of Standard Words and Phrases, and a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son, to which are appended some numerals and pronouns. The Parable was not accompanied by an interlinear translation, and being much abbreviated is difficult to interpret. I have added a tentative translation of most of it. I do not, however, feel certain that it is correct.

During the preliminary operations of this Survey the number of speakers in Jalpaiguri was estimated at 200. The corresponding figures at the last Census of 1901 were as follows:-

Jalpaiguri . . . . . . . . . . . 170
The materials available are far from being sufficient for giving even the most superficiaì sketch of Tִōtō grammar. I must content myself to make some scattered remarks on such points as seem tolerably certain.

Nouns, Adjectives, and Numerals.-The genitive is formed by adding the suffix $k$; thus, dodong-be-k, of a man. The $n g$ preceding the $l$ of this word is probably pronounced as an $m$, for we also find $d u d u m-b i$, man. The latter form shows that $o$ and $u$, $e$ and $i$, respectively, are interchangeable.

Adjectives can end in one of the suffixes $n \bar{a}$ and $m \bar{a}$; thus, ent $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}, \operatorname{good}$; chisa $\bar{\imath}-m \bar{a}$, younger ; disu $\bar{\imath}-m \bar{a}$, elder. Other certain instances do not occur.

The numerals most closely correspond to those in use in Lhoke. Higher numbers are, howerer, counted in twenties ;' thus, ng $\bar{a} k a \bar{i}$, five scores, hundred; ni-kwāi-t $\bar{a}$ sē, two-scores-ten, fifty.

Pronouns.-The following forms of the personal pronouns occur in the speci-mens:-
$k a ̄-t e, \mathrm{I}$.
$k \bar{a}-t \in \bar{e} k, n \bar{\alpha}-t \in a k, n a ̈ t-k \bar{o}, \mathrm{my}$
$k \bar{a} i-p i u$, me.
deninimi, denimam, nä-te, we.
nāt-kō kungō, our.
uimusā, us.
Interrogative pronouns are $h \bar{a}$, who ? $h \bar{a}-r \bar{a} n g-g \bar{a}$, why?
Verbs.-The base $n i$ is used to form a verb substantive; thus, dodong-be-k chäi $n i-s \bar{a} n i \cdot n \bar{a}$, man-of sons two-persons were, a man had two sons.

The present tense can be formed by adding the suffix ro; thus, iung-ro, thou livest.

Several suffixes are used to form a past tense. The base alone apparently occurs in tui, he ran. A suffix pur is added in hāy-pur, he went. The suftix ro is used in chase-ro, he lived. It is perhaps connected with lo in luang-lo, he wasted.

A suffix chhā seems to occur in pu-chhä, said; pi-chh $\bar{a}$, gave.
A more common suffix is nä ; thus, ting-nā, he saw; puī-nā, he said; iyâ-pu-nä, they made merry.

A suffix mia seems to occur in forms such as $p i-m i \bar{a}$, gave; ho-mi $\vec{a}$, he went, etc.
The suffix pur is also used to form a future; thus, lo-pur, I will arise; hä-pur, I will go. At the end of the original manuscript of the Parable I find the forms $h \bar{a}-p u r \cdot \bar{a}, ~ I ~ s t a l l ~ g o ~ ; ~ c h a ̄-p u r o, ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ e a t ; ~ a ̀ m b a ̄ t i l o, ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ l o o k . ~$

The forms $c h \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, let us eat; $i y \bar{a}-n \bar{u}$, let us make merry, are futures or imperatives.
A verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix $e$; thus, $k u-e$, in order to tend; pu-e, to say.

A conjunctive participle is formed by adding $p u-n \bar{u}$; thus, luī-pu-n $\bar{u}$, having wasted; hū$-p u-n \bar{u}$, having gone; ch $\bar{a}-p u-n \bar{u}$, having eaten.

The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{a}-h \bar{a}-\imath 0$, I will not go ; mā-chāaro, I will not eat ; ma-pu-e, not to say; ma-jang, I am not.

For further details the student is referred to the specimen which follows and to the fragmentary list of words on pp. 255 and ff.
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т̣ọ̣o.
(District Jalpaiguri.)

teskā chiká-tā chasero. Ko pākā ku-e pitu. Gāga luhe goe lomā bit citizen one-with lived. He swine tend-to sent. even
cbā-pur ma-pue dē̃yā pi-miā. Kaūeng hārang jang nāgā āsegā deyā puī-na, eat not-saying him gave. he said, ' kong-goe $\bar{a}-p \bar{a} \quad$ luṭi-bāyje-nā āsugā si-punā lābâ sung-punà. Lo-pur 'all father's . Arise-will hā-pur karang-ie pue, "du' pā-lā, pā-na jinang dong-gang-ta, go-will my-father-to to-say, " $O$ father, sinned Heaven before, chāoā ma-pâe, eng-ṭā-pā deyā."' Hingdā-ninā kang-nā hāy-pur. sons not-to-say, servant make.", Arose father-to went.
Chãoā ibâng-tā-ni-nā, ā-pā ting-nā, tui pung-sa châi-po-nā. Chāoā Son far-was, father saw, ran kissed. Son
à-pâ' jang-su-nā eyây-su-n̄̄, 'ā-pâ', doim ma-jang.' Deā pā father , 'father, not-am.' Bis father eng-dāpâ-ni-nā, 'ang-dun jup-tā ājoi'; kei kui karây korang servants-to-said, 'cloth ; ring hand shoe feet tang-bā; denimam chā-nā iyā-nā; si-pu-nā nā-nā; ing-pu-nā put; we eat-should feast-should; died-having lived; lost-being
mung-cha-nā., Iyâ-pu-nā.
found-was.' Merry-made.
Disuī-mā chāoā ni-le iŷâ yong sā nānu hing-nā iā-nā. Lere hārāng-gà Eldest son was house . why
jinisiā. Tang-miā lokâi ho-miā mung-chi-meã,' eng-ṭā-pā mungcha-miā. went found-has-been,' servant answered.

Chidang pā-nā mo-hà oye. Sirità oā-tu.
Anger made not-went inside.
'A-pa, netâk emi hĩe jāmāre hĩe ete i-pu ma-iāp;
'Father, thee-of thy-roord not-transgressed;
dodong-be-ihi mau-mi-sha' chā-pu•ga'. Etarang chä-pu-nā pupu men-woith never to-eat-gavest. eating harlotry
jei-pu-nā mo-be tāngkā luk-pu-nā kharach jei-sā.' 'Lāting
made-having your money squandered-having expenditure made.' '
dâ-pā iung-ro, nā-ṭ̣̂k ṭàngkā nini-mi-mung choro. A si-pu-nā gā-nā; livest, my money thine is. He died-having lived;
iyâng-pu-nā mung-chenā dedā à-pa-cha-nā mung-che-nā.'
lost-being found-was found-wos.'


IN THE NON.PRONOMINALIZED HIMALAYAN DIALECTS.


This list is incomplete. Only ono bilingual Tof̣o could be found. He knew a little Bengali, but his knowledge was oo limitud, that it nas found lmpoasiblo i um underatand the force of the vari
II. $\mathbf{G} . \mathbf{- 8 ~}^{\mathbf{8}}$


|  | Pabrí (Nepol). | Bong (Darjealing). | T5iot (Jalpaigari). | Engluh. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Hõ | Hu | ...... | 26. Не. |
| 0-yä-gu . | Hwã̃-gu | Hu-sa | -..... | 27. Of him. |
| O-y $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{ga}$. . . | HwẼ-gu | $\mathrm{Hu-sa}$. . . | ... | 28. His. |
| A-pì - . - | Hồ-kari . | Ha-yō . | ...... | 29. They. |
| Ami-gu . . . | Hõ-kars-gu | Ha-ytab | ...... | 30. Of them. |
| Ami•gu • - | Hõ-kara-gu | Ha-yū-8a . . | ..... | 31. Their. |
| Lāhā • • | Lis | Ā-ká . . | Kai . . . | 32. Hand. |
| Tuti | Li | Thãng-lyôk . . | Wāibē . . | 33. Foot. |
| Nhăea • . . | Nhise | Tul-nóm . . | Nãba . . . | 34. Nose. |
| Mikhā . . . | Migi | Ā-mik . . . | Michui . | 35. Eye. |
| Mhutu . . | To | Ā-bong . . . | Nuigang | 36. Mouth. |
| Wã . - . | Wā | Ā-fo . . . . | Si | 37. Tooth. |
| Nhāepã . . . | Nisabne | Ā-njor . . . . | Nãnu | 38. Ear. |
| Sã̃ . . . | Sõ | A-ţóm . . . . | Puring . | 39. Hair. |
| Chhẽ . . . | Chhe | Ā-thyāk . | Puḍãng . . . . | 40. Head. |
| Me | Me . . . . | Ã-lí . . | Lēbē | 41. Tongre. |
| Poātha . . . | Pari | Ta-bók . | Pā'mā ${ }^{1}$ | 42. Belly, |
| Jaṇ̣hu . . . | Dhusā . . | Ta-giom . | Ju'mā . . . . | 43. Back. |
| Na . . . | Nge . . . | Pun-jeng - | Chākā . . . . | 44. İon. |
| Nu . . . . | Lun | Jer | Son. | 45. Gold. |
| Oha . . . | Olar . . . | Kóm . . . | Lupă . . | 46. Silver. |
| Babā ; abru . . | Bā | Ȧ-bo | Āpā $\quad$. | 47. Father. |
| Māma . . | Mà | Ā-mū . . . | Āiơ | 48. Mother. |
| Dāju (elder) ; kijã (younger) | Bhāja | $\vec{A}$-num . |  | 49. Brother. |
| Tatā (elder) ; kehẽ (younger) | Manjiu . . . | Ā-nóm . . . | Ing . . | 50. Sister. |
| Manã . . . . | Mancbhi . . | Ma-ró . . . | Dadun-bi (prson) dèsy | 51. Man. |
| Mieã . - . . | Mámā . . . | Ta-'ayu . . | Mēm-bi | 52. Woman. |







| N $\mathbf{W w}^{\text {drin }}$ ( Nepal ). | Pabri (Nepal). | B $\mathrm{Ong}_{\text {( }}$ (Darjeeling). | Teps (Jalpligarl). | English. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bau-pìgu . . | Bà ai-yã-ga | Ā-bo-song-ba | ...... | 107. Of fathers. |
| Bea-pì-ta ; beu-pì-yă-ta | Bà uì-yã-tã | Ä-borsong-se . . | ...... | 108. To fathers. |
| Babā-pini p āchẽ ${ }^{\text {en }}$ | Bà eioyà lo-nã . | À-bo-lyãng-nan . | ...... | 109. From fathers. |
| Chha-mha mbyã-cha. | Manjuĩ thi-bã . | Tayu.kup kāk . . | $\ldots$ | 110. A danghter. |
| Chha-mba mhyā-charyia | Manjiũ thi-sâ-gu | Tayu-kup-kāt-8a | ..... | 111. Of a daughter. |
| Chha-mha mhyã-eha-yã-ta . | Manjiũ thi-sè-ta | Tayu-kup-kāt-sa . | ...... | 112. To a daughter. |
| Chha-mha mhy ${ }^{\text {a }}$-ohb-pãchẽ | Manjiũ thi-sã lonnã | Teyu-kup-kāt-lyãog-nan | $\ldots$ | 113. From 9 daughter. |
| Ni-mha mbyã-cha . . | Ni-sā manjiũ . | Taya-kup nyet . . | *.... | 114. Two daughters. |
| Mhyā-cha-pì . . . | Manjiũ-si . | Tayu-kup song . | c.... | 115. Daughtera. |
| Mhyā-cha-pani-yã . | Manjiũ-si-yā-ga . | Tayu-kap-song-sa . | ...... | 116. Of danghters |
| Mhyā-cha-pì-ta - | Manjiũ-si-yã-tâ | Tayu-kap-8ong-sa . | ..... | 117. To daughtere. |
| Mhyā-cha-pini-pãohê . | Manjiũ-bi-yū lo-nã. | Tayu-knp-lyãng-nan | ..... | 118. From danghters. |
| Chha-mha bhǐ mana. | Bhinga manchhi thi-sã | Ma-ró à-ryūm kāt . | .'• | 119. A good man. |
| Chba-mha bhǐ manu-yã | Bhingu manchhi thi-sā-gu. | Ma-rб ${ }^{\text {à-ryūm }}$ kāt-sa | ...... | 120. Of a good man. |
| Chha-mha bhǐ manu-yā-tac. | Bhingu manchhi thi-sã-yā-tà |  | $\cdots$ | 121. To a good man. |
| Cbha-mha bhĩ manu pãehẽ | Bhinga manchhi thi-sā lo-nã | Ma-r6 ā-ryūm kāt-lyāng-nun | $\ldots$ | 122. From a good man. |
| Ni-mba bhĩ manu - | Ni-sā bhingu manchhi | Ma-ró nyet ā-ryūm . . | $\ldots$ | 123. Two good men. |
| Bhǐ manu-pǐ . . | Bhingu manchhi kāri | Ma-ródà-ryūm-вong . . | $\ldots$ | 124. Good men. |
| Bhǐ mann-pì-gu . | Bhinga manchhi kãri-gu | Ma-ró à-ryūm-song-sa . | ...... | 125. Of good men |
| Bhĩ manu-pì-ta . | Bhingo manchhi kāri-yã-tā | Ma-rá à-ryūm-song-sa | ...... | 126. To good men. |
| Bhĩ manu pāchẽ . . | Bhingu manchhi kāri lo-nī | Ma-ró à-ryūm-song-lyāngnun. | $\ldots$ | 127. From good mex. |
| Bhì misā chha-mha . | Bhing ${ }_{\text {mãmā }}$ thi-sà . . | Tayu ā-ryăm kāt . | ....... | 128. A good woman. |
| Chha-mba ma-bhì-mhe kāe ma-chā. | Mā-ji bâbâ-cha thi-sã | Ong ma-ryū-na-bo kât | ..... | 129. A bad boy. |
| Bhĩ-pĩ misā-ta . . | Bhinga māmā-tõ . | Ȧ-ryūm tay | $\ldots$ | 130. Good women. |
| Chha-mba. ma-bhǐ-mha mhyāe ma-ohā. | Mā-ji māmã -cha thi-sà | Tayu kāt ma-ryū-na-bo | $\ldots$ | 131. A bad gixl. |
| Bhǐ . . . . | Bhingu . . . | Ā-ryūm . . | Entānā | 13\%. Good. |
| Ati bhǐ . . . | Māji-ga blingu . . | Ā-ryĩm . . . . | $\ldots$ | 133. Better. |






| English. | Garung (Nepal). | Murmi (Nopal). | Sunwăr (Darjeeling). | Mägar (Nepal). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beat (Past Tense). | Ngi-ịi hoū-jı . | Ngāchhi rop | ...... | Kān-koi dâthak-ĭ |
| beat (Past Tense) | Nha-mee-ji hoã.ji . | Yenchbi rop . | ...... | Nākoi dēthuk-ù |
| Y beat (Past Tense) | Cha-mar-ji hoà-ji | Thenclibi rop | ...... | $\bar{A}_{\text {Ausurk-e däthuk-ī }}$ |
| 1 beating | Nga tô-si na-bu | Ngāe rop-si chibbē | Go tum-na-tum pāhtia | Ngu̇e düthuk-nai-le |
| a beating | Nga-di tô-si mu-là | Ngāe rop-si chi-bā mu-bà . | Go tum-na-tum bã̃-ti | Ngāe dãthak-nai-lega |
| 1 beaten | Ngai tõ-ā-lã . | Ngăe rop-si jinji | Go tam-ne-tum-tā | Ngãe dãthuk-ni hehani (sic) |
| y beat | Ngai tõ . | Ngāe rop-là hăm-là . | Go tup-nga-na | Ngāe dãthuk-ki holk-le |
| Ull beat | Ngai to-mu . . | Ngàe rop-lā | ...... | Ngãe dōthuk-le . . |
| ( wilt beat | Ki-ji hoã-ma • | Ye-se rop-lā . | ...... | Nang-e dāthuk-lo |
| rill beat | Chā-ji hoà-ma . | The-se rop-lā . | ..... | Āchai dēthuk-le |
| hall beat | Ngi-ji hoã-ma . | Ngāchhi rop-lā | ...... | Kānkoi dãthuk-le |
| will beat | Nha-mee-ji hoī-ma . | Yenchhi rop-la | ...... | Nākurk-e dāthuk-le . |
| will beat | Cha-mae-ji hoà-ma . | Thechbi rop-là | ...... | Āsurk-e diathok-le |
| ald beat | Ngai dhon-lā to-mu . | Ngae rop-to-lā . | Go ${ }^{\text {ã-kale }}$ tup-chā mãr-bā . | Ngāe dāthuk-ke pari-che leyà. |
| beaten | Nga-lāi tô-ādi . . | Ngã-tā rop-ji . . | Go tup-chā puing-sâi | Ngā-ki dung-a |
| - beaten | Ngã tô-di . . | Ngā-tā rop-ai chi-ji . | Go tup-chā paing-sãi thiyo . | Ngā-ki dung-nu dinhā |
| 11 be beaten | Nga-lāi tõ-ī . | Ngā-tā rop-ka-lā | Go tap-chā puing-chā chhoô | Ngā-ki dung-le |
| - . - | Nga hyām | $\mathrm{Ngā} \mathbf{n i}$ | Go lāi-na . . . | Ngā nung-le . |
| goeat | Kin hyām | Ye nin | Ge lãi-na-we | Nāng nung-le-s |
| 2e日 | Chan hyām . . | The ui-là | Me lài.bà | Āsai nung-le |
| 0 | Ngi byāma | Ngā-ni ni-sai . | .....* | Känko nung-le |
| \% - - | Nha-mae byāma | Ye-ni mu (sic) | ...... | Nākruk nung-le |
| go . - | Cha-mae hyàma | The-ni ma (aio) | ... | Àsrak nang-le |
| t | Nga hyàlā . . | Ngā ni-ji | Go la-ti | $N \mathrm{ga}$ nung-re |
| wentest | Ki hyã-lá . . | Yeni-ji . . | Ge la-te | Nāg nung-ne-s |
| :nt . | Cba hyálè . | The ni-ji | Me là-pā | Hosai nung-ne |
| ent . . . | Ngi Lẏ̄̇-ii . . | Ngā-ni ni-ji . | ...... | Kān- ko nung-ā |



| Engliab. | Gurang (Nepal). | Marmi (Nepal). | Sunwār (Darjeeling). | Măgar ( Nepal ). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| on went . | Nha-mae hyd-ji | Ye-ni ni-ji | ..... | Nākruk nung-ä |
| hey went | Cha-mae hyī-ji | The-ni ni-ji | ...... | Ȧsrak nung-ã |
| - . . | Hyād | Niu | Lāwà | Nung-ni . |
| oing | Hyãr-bā . | Ni-si ni-bā | La-chā | Nung-nai-le |
| 3ne | Hyãl-khã̃-di | Ni.lē jiuji | La-tē | Nung-nu hekā |
| hat is your name? | Ki mi to-cha ? | là min tikà? |  | Nōkung àrmin hi âle ? |
| Jw old is this horse? | Chu ta kati khip ta di P | Chu tā kāti khe-pã tã-ji ? | Iko sharà dushya barshā bā-me? | Isai ghorā kurik bhurhā chbăn-̄̆ ? |
| iw far is it from here - Kashmir? | Jhoile Kashmir kate rhegũ ma? | Chu-kyām Kashmir kāti thāring ma-lā ? | Ake-ngà Kashmir dushong ngoni chha? | Itai Kashmir kurik los le P |
| iw many sons are here in your father's ozes? | Nha-me ā-bā dhen-rī kati jha mu? | Ye-lā āp-lā dim-rí jhā kāde mu-là ? | I popo khi-mi tau dish bā-ni-mi? | Nang-u bay-o im-àng kurik lenză miză le? |
| ave walked a long ey to-day. | Nga tingoyã rhegũ bhradi . | Ngā tini thāring prā-ji | Mu-lãti duehyo lāng gāpti . | Ngà ohini dherai los hoù |
| ${ }^{3}$ son of my uncle is arried to his sister. | Ngia kabaden-e jha chue ànge dẽ biha ta-di. | Ngā-là àga-là jhü the-lā àngà den-chhyām bihä la-bā. | Ā-pop-kāuchbā ā-tan-ke biha â-lo mishya nu dumtī. | Ngau kanchhā bay-o len-zā mi-zā āchiu bahini-khātā bihā chhān-ā. |
| the house is the sad $e$ of the white horse | Dhino-ri targyē ta-e kathi mu. | Dim-ri tăr tâlā | Khi-mi bushye sharā à. ngoshtike chha. | Im bhitri bocho ghorã rāthi le. |
| the saddle apon s back. | Cha-e gho-ri kathi thin | The-lā chigma-ri kāthi thāngo. | Āchime ngoshtike làe-pao . | Hochio pith-tāki kāthi kā-ni |
| Ive beaten his sod ith many stripes. | Cha-e jha-lädi nga-di lhe lana dhon-di. | The-lã jha-tā ãngi rop-chi . | Go-mi à-tau-kale karrà-mi dherai tup-tā. | $\underset{\text { Hochio mi-zā-ki ngai }}{\text { dāthul-ă. }}$ |
| is grazing cattle on e top of the hill. | He nu-ba tham-ri cha-di kheodo chha-sèm. | Pra-ri tā-ji ra-me mahi chhâ-si chì-bā. | Hayu dāngrạ tāri meshbi ngoshyà bā-tā. | Hosai thumka tāki bastu õsane. |
| is sitting on a horse der that tree. | Cha sendu jara-ri ta pheri tisim mu-lă. | The tong dhi-ri ta kieri the chi-bā ma-lā. | Haya meko rāwā-pongmi sherã tãri-mi bāshyo bā-tà. | Hosai murtang mhāke hosai ghoräa tāki kal-nu omine. |
| brother is taller in his sister. | Cha-mae ring bhandà chamae ä-li nu-ba ma-lā. | The-là āle the-lā āngà oisi no-bê mu-lă. | Meā-nu me àloba misha-lābhundà làshyo chhe. | Hocheo bhāyai hocheo bahini denarg ghyäncha le |
| price of that is two jees and a half. | Cha-e sae mhui nhi se mohar ghri. | The-lā sāi sikā ni-se adhuli | Meko ā-muli khar nisi āth ānă bà-tà. | Hocheo mol nis rupiyà adhili le. |
| father lives in that all house. | Nga-e à-bā cha dhǐ ohõ̃-bari ti-sim. | Ngá àbā dim jba-jha-ri chi-bā mu-là. | А bā-ba. | Ngau bai hosai mērohhn im-ang ũ-le. |
| this rupee to him | Chu mhoi cha-lāi pin | Cha tāngā the-tā pingo | Eko bi-ti meko-kale giu | Isai rupiyã hosko-ki yanhi. |
| those rupees from 1. | Cha-huin-le mhui jaga kin | Uchu tānga the kyam-se kingo. | Meko bi-patchi nelle pito | Hos rupiyả hosai khātā lāni. |
| him well and bind 1 with ropes. | Cha-lāi beshe dhon chhu-ba-si krudn. | The-tè mãri ropko, chho-se khigo. | Me-ko-le rimso-pa tap mino ( $P$ ) meko-kale ghele-me rimeo-wa preng-do. | Hosai bes-bhātā dāthu-ne doria chhyàk-ni. |
| $r$ water from the I. | Inēr huinle kui dhaidu | Tan-di-se kui tego . | Poshri-ngã pāko chhyolo . | Inārin di don-ni |
| : before me | Ngae nin bhrada | Ngã-lā ngāchhā prāu | Ā-maiti gàkso | Ngau aghi hoã-ni |
| te boy oomes be$l$ yon? | Ki-lidi khae-ba jha khasim ? | Ye-lă lisang hàl-lā jhatung haji. | I-nole su-kā à-tau pime ? | Su-o chhan-zã nākung nhung-làk ram-ne? |
| whom diu yon tiat $?$ | Ki.di cha khaeb dẽ ghlu-di ? | Ye-be chu hàl-lā kyām-se khu-bă. | Meko ge auke-ngā gyàbi ? | Su-khātā hos |
| a shopkeeper of village. | Nā aarba-e pasalyà ghri-de ghlu-di. |  | Gãun-ngã dokāne-ke-ngua gyaptā. | Lāhāng kat pasale-khātā |


| Nôwời ( Nepal ) | Pabrí (Nepal). | Róng (Darjooling). |  | Engllah, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chii-pĩo-ne - | Chhã-ri lărõ | Ho nóng | . | 215. You went. |
| A-pì 0 -na | Ho-kā-ri lãũ | Ha.gù nóng | ...... | 216. They went. |
| Hũ | Won | Nif | ...... | 217. Go. |
| $0 \cdot n \bar{a}$ oho -na | Wõ-tini | Nón-det . | ...... | 218. Going. |
| O-ne dbũ-ka-la | Wõ-ga | Nón | ...... | 219. Gone. |
| Chbã nã̃ chbu ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | Chha nau chalà ? | Ā-do-sa ā-bryāng shū gó P . | ...... | 220. What is your name? |
| Tho sala guli baddhã ju-la ? | U soro grwàlā jejõ ? . | On à-re sa-tet gān-bo gó P . | ...." | 221. How old is this horse ? |
| Tha-nã Kasmir guli-ta tāpi ? | U-thā-nã Kaghmir gwāla tāpā ? |  ru-ung gó ? | ...'.0 | 222. How far is it from here to Kashmir ? |
| Chhã babà-yā chhẽ go-mha kâ- $\theta$-pì du? | Chhǐ bảe chhe ga-sā-lũ kiâ-pro du? | Ā-kup ea-tet nyí è-do-sa à-bo lí-kā ? | ...... | 223. How many sons are there in your father's house? |
| Thaû tūpūk ju-e dhaoa | Thra tāpā-ka wõe là-ni | Sa-rong go à-ram-nanlombà di. | ...... | 224. I have walked a long way to-day. |
| Ji-mhe kakā-yā kāe-yā-ke o-yã kehê bihã ju-yā chona. | Nu dā-yū kiū-pro-yà bõo $\cdot \mathrm{y}^{\bar{a}}$ manjín nâpa bihā jă. | Ka-gu à-kī-ea à-kup hu-do à-nóm deb-kē bri-thík. | ... | 225 . The son of my uncle is married to his sister. |
| Tayu-mhe sale-jā kāthi chhẽ du. | Chhe-go twiraj soro-yā-gu kathi da. | Li-kã on à-dām-sa gó nyi . | ..... | 226. In the house is the saddle of the white horse. |
| O-yā jandbu-li kāṭhi ti | Hỗ-yà mhã-ga kathi tā | Hado ta-gãm-kã gó kyóp | -••** | 227. Put the saddle apon his baok. |
| Jǐ o-yā kāe-yā-ta tako masi dà-e dhu $\cdot \mathrm{da}$. | Hô-yã kiā-pro-yā-ta na choho dà-ni. | Go hu-do kup à-li mól-la lyāp. | ...... | 228. I have beaten his son with many stripes. |
| O parbata-yã chokā-sa sāme ja-yā cho-na. | Kakarā cho-ga bõ sàhā̃̄ bähã̃ jhà. | Hu-nun thān-chung pong. kang-kā lóng zót-băı. | ...... | 229. He is grazing cattle on the top of the hill. |
| 0 simã-yā ko-sã chha-mha sala-sa chonão c'jona. | Chho simé parko soro thimā hõ chỗ. | Ha kūng pe-re à-min on plong-kā ngān nyí. | ....." | 230. He is sitling on a horse under tbat tree. |
| O-yā hijā o-yā kehê-yã sisã ta-dhi ka. | Hõ-yā manji-ā sika hô-yā bhāju tãjā. | Hado youg hado nóm-len rhen. | .....0 | 231. His brother is taller than his sister. |
| O-yā mu ni takã tyā kha | Wo-jă mũ nis takā o bā takā. | O-re-sa ā-fār kóm nyet sa phet. | ...... | 232. The price of that is two rapees and a half. |
| Ji babā o ohiki-dhã-ga chhẽ chonā chona. | Wo chikhā-gu chhe nu bā chõ. | Ka-sá bo pe-re lí ā-chum-bo- tā ngān bām. | ...... | 233. My father lives in that small honse. |
| Tho takā ooyã-ta biu | Tho tākā hô- yầtā bi | Kóm à-re ha-dom bi . | .... | 234. Give this rupee to him. |
| 0 takā o-li-se kā | Hồ -thā-nā wo takã kēe | Kóm o-re-song ha-do. lyāng-nan lyб-b. | ...... | 235. Take those rupeos from him. |
| O-yā-ta nhyā dã-ka dā-yā lrhipa-tã chia. | Hỗ-yā-tā niakka dūe pākhiпа ohi. | Ryã-la ha-dom buk-nun tàkpo-sa dàm-tho. | ..... | 236. Beat him well and bind him with ropes. |
| Tû-thi-se la sü . - | Tukha lakhu sāli | Ung-lāp-nun ūng rhyā . | ..... | 237. Draw water from the well. |
| Ji nheone nyā-si-nu . - | Nu-yã sika nghoă go | Ka -su nahūn nà | $\ldots$ | 238. Walk before me. |
| Chhã lione so-yã kāe machā o-yā cho-na ? | Chhã lumane sālā bābā-chā yu? | To kup $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-do lon di-det g ${ }^{\text {b }}$ P | ...... | 239. Whose boy comes belind you $P$ |
|  | Wo chhã sā-thā-lă niă -nā kã-na ? | Hó orre to-lyāng pār-rung g6 | ...... | 240. From whom did yod buy that ? |
|  | Desa-yā-gu pasaja thi-sā-nū-la-gā. | $K_{y}$ ūng pasol-mo-lyāug-nun pär. | ...... | 241. From a shopkeeper of the village. |



## Complex Pronominalized Languages.

## EASTERN SUB-GROUP.

To the east of the valley of Nepal we find a series of dialects of a much more complex nature than those described in the preceding pages. All the characteristics mentioned in the introduction to the Himalayan languages are found in them, though not always in one and the same dialect.

The tendency to distinguish the person of the subject by means of pronominal affixes is found in all of them. 'Thus a suffix ngä is commonly added in the first persou singular. The regular place of this suffix is between the base and the auxiliary. Compare Thāmi hok-ng $\bar{\alpha}-d u$, being-I-am, I am.

It has already been remarked that this distinction of the person of the subject by means of pronominal suffixes is in agreement with the practice of Munda languages. It is interesting to note in this connexion that those forms of speech likewise insert tbe pronominal suffix indicating the subject between the real verb and the auxiliary. Compare Santälī rängäch'-ed-iñ tahiikana, hungering-I-was, I was hungering. Moreover, the use of personal suffixes is not necessary in either group. In the Munḍa languages it is more common to add the pronominal suffix to the word immediately preceding the verb. Compare Santāli ârak'-te- $\tilde{n}$ chalak'a, house-into-I go, I shall go home. We can perhaps compare the tendency in some of the dialects now under consideration to distinguish the subject by means of pronominal prefixes before the verb. Compare Limbu khene ke-wä, thou thou-art, thou art. It should however be borne in mind that the use of prefixes is an old feature of Tibeto-Burman languages.

Another characteristic feature of the Munḍa verb is that the direct and indirect objects are incorporated in it by inserting pronominal infixes. Compare Santālí sim-dä okarä-y-äm ñam-ket'-ko-tiñ-a, hens where-thou foundest-them-mine? where did you find my hens? A similar tendency can be observed in some dialects of our group. Compare Khambu lchodo-pilcā, him-said, he said to him ; Limbu pī-r-ang-né, give me; hip-tam-me, beat him.

Higher numbers are counted in twenties in Dhīmäl, Yākhā, and Khambu. Thāmì and some Khambu dialects have adopted the Aryan numerals for higher numbers, and Rāi and Limbu make use of the Tibeto-Burman method of counting in tens. Compare Yākhā hi-bong-hichchi nga ibong, twenties-two and ten, fifty, and Santālī bar isi gäl, two twenties ten, fifty.

The personal pronouns of Mundā languages have three numbers. There are, moreover, double sets of the dual and plural of the first person. Compare Santili $i n, \mathrm{I}$; $a l i \tilde{n}, \mathrm{I}$ and he; alai, I and thou; alä, I and they; abo, I and you. The Tibeto-Burman languages have no such complicated system of pronouns. The numerous forms found in them are due to the exigencies of etiquette, different forms being required in order to mark the differing degree of politeness shown towards the person addressed. Several Fimalayan dialects, however, in this respect agree with the Munḍa forms of speech.

[^9]Many of them are only known through the materials published by Hodgson. Compare Vāyu go, I; ang-chi, my and his; ung-chi, my and thy; ang-ki, my and their; ung-ki, my and your ; Bāhing go, I; gō-si, I and thou; gō-sūkū, I and he; gōi, I and you; $g \dot{o}-k \bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$ and ther, and similar forms in other dialects such as Thāksya, Rūngcihhēnbūng, Nāchherēng, Wāling, Thūlung, Lōhōrōng, Lāmbichhōng, Bālāli, Sāngpāng, Dūmi, Khäling, Dungmãli, etc. Some of the dialects which fall within the scope of this Survey probably possess a similar system of pronominal forms. Our materials are not sufficient to judge about the matter with certainty. Compare however Limbu ān-chi, I and thou; $\bar{a} n-c h \bar{i}-g \bar{e}$, I and he; $\bar{a} n \bar{\imath}, \mathrm{I}$ and you; $\bar{a} n \bar{i}-g \bar{e}$, I and they. In Khambu we find $k e i$, we; $i-m i$, our; o-khi-pi, of us. Compare Bāhing gōi, I and you; i-ke, my and your; wa-ke, my and their, and so forth.

Hodgson has collected most of the complex pronominalized languages of Nepal under the head of Kiränti, and it has become customary to distinguish those dialects as the Kiranti group of Tibeto-Burman languages.

According to the same authority, the Kirant country in the larger sense is subdivided into three different tracts, viz.: -

1. Wallo Kirānt or Hither Kirānt, inhabited by Yāklıās, Limbus, Lōhōrōngs, and Chhingtangs.
2. Mẫjh Kiヶānt or Middle Kirảnt, comprising Bontāwa, Rōdōng, Dungmāli, Khāling, Dūmi, Sāngpāng, Bālāli, Lāmbichhōng, Bāhing, 'Thūlung, Kūlung, Wäling, and Nāchherēng.
3. Pallo Kirānt or further Kirānt, inhabited by the Chourasyas.

Hodgson further states that Kirannt in this larger sense comprises the country of the Khambus, or Khambuwàn, and the country of the Limbus, or Limbuwān. The former is situated between the Sun Kosi and the Arun, the latter between the Arun and the Singilela Range. The Yākhās and the Limbus are, however, he says, often alleged to be not Kirāntis. Mr. Gait, on the other hand, states that he has been informed by an educated Yākhā, that strictly speaking Kirānti is the designation only of the Rāis, i.e., of the Jimdàrs and the Yäkhās. The name Kirānt should properly be written Kinãt. It has long ago been identified with the Kirätas of Sanskrit literature. It is not, however, of any importance to speculate on the history of the word. Suffice it to state that it is used in different senses by different authorities, and that the dialects of the so-called Kirānti group are closely related to dialects spoken by tribes who have never claimed to be Kiränts. I do not, therefore, see any sufficient reason for retaining the denomination Kirantī in this Surver.

The dialects belonging to our group which will be dealt with in what follows are Dhīmāl, Thāmi, Limbu, Yākhā, Khambu, and Rāi. Some other Nepalese dialects such as Váyu, Chēpãng, etc., will be added as a kind of appendix.

Dhīmāl and Thāmi are comparatively simple languages. The higher numbers in Dhimāl are counted in twenties; compare $n \bar{a} b \bar{\imath} s a$, five twenties, hundred.

The person of the subject is distinguished by adding pronominal suffixes to the verb; thus, $k \bar{a} l \bar{e}-\bar{a} n g-k \bar{a}, ~ I ~ c o m e-s h a l l-I, ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ c o m e ; ~ n \bar{a} ~ l e \bar{e}-\bar{a} n g-n \bar{a}$, thou come-wilt-thou, thou wilt come; kyēl lē-äng-kisell, we come-shall-we, we shall come. In other respects Dhimāl does not show any traces of the complicity characteristic of other dialects belonging to the group.

Dhimāl has formerly been considered to belong to the Bodo group of Tibeto-Burman languages. Its vocabulary, and more especially the forms of the numerals and pronouns, however, show a much cloger affinity to the Himalayan dialects, and the negative verb is formed by means of a prefix $m \bar{a}$. When we remember the characteristic features drawn attention to above, it cannot therefore be any doubt that Dhimal must be separated from the Bodo group and dealt with in connexion with the pronominalized dialects of Nepal.

So far as we can judge from the scanty naterials at our disposal, Thāmi is a dialect of the same description as lhīmāl. The numerals above 'two' have been borrowed from Aryan languages, and we cannot therefore tell whether the higher numbers were originally counted in tens or in twenties. The conjugation of verbs, on the other hand, shows the same use of pronominal sutixes as in the case of Dhimāl; thus, gai yä-ngä-du, I go-I-am, I go; ne rehu-nä-du, thee-by striking-thou-art, thou strikest.

Limbu is a dialect of a muoh more complex cbaracter. The higher numbers are, however, counted in tens as in Tibetan.

It has already been remarked that there are double forms of the dual and the plural of the first personal pronoun, viz.:-an-ch $\bar{\imath}, \mathrm{I}$ and thou; $a n-c h \bar{i}-g \bar{e}, \mathrm{I}$ and he; $\bar{a} n \bar{n}, \mathrm{I}$ and you; $\bar{a} n \bar{i}-g \bar{e}, \mathrm{I}$ and they. Of greater interest is, however, the use of short forms of the personal pronouns as prefixes; thus, angà $\bar{a}-s \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ my-son, my son; khené $k$ '-n $\bar{u}-s \bar{a}$, thou thy-younger-brother, thy younger brother; lh $\bar{u} n \bar{e} \vec{e} k \bar{u}-8 \bar{a}$, he his-son, his son. These prefixes are extensively used, and they also occur before verbs, in order to distinguish the person of the subject and the object. Thus, $\bar{a}-k^{\prime}-h i p$, me thou strikest; püp gàchogu, sin I-did, I sinned ; khün-chhi më-wä, they they-are, they are.

In this extensive use of pronominal prefixes Limbu agrrees with Bârâ, and still more with the Kuki-Chin languages. Compare Bâra āng-ni $\bar{a}$ - $f \vec{a}$, me-of my-father, my father; nang-ni nam-fáa, thee-of thy-father, thy father; b $\bar{i}-n i b \bar{i}-f \bar{a}$, him-of his-father, his father; Lushēi kei-ma ka-pa, I my-father, my father; kei-ma ka-ni, I my-being, I am. In this connexion we can also note that the plural suffix in the pronouns 'I' and 'thou ' is $n i$ in Limbu and in Lushēi.

It will, accordingly, be seen that Limbu forms another iink in the chain connecting Tibetan and the Himalayan dialects with the Tibeto-Burman languages of Assam and Burma.

It is not only pronominal prefixes that are employed by Limbu. When the subject of a verb is of the first person, it is often indicated by sulfixing ang, an abbreviated form of the pronoun ang $\bar{a}$, I. Thus, pég-ang, went-I, I went. This ang must be compared with the suffix $n g \bar{a}$ in Thámi. It is also used to indicate the object ; thus, hip-t-ang, he struck me; pī-r-ang-né, give me.

Yākhā is in many respects closely related to Limbu, as will already be apparent from a comparison of the numerals and pronouns in the list of words on pp. 408 and ff . Higher numbers are counted in twenties. The dialect possesses a set of pronominal prefixes. It does not, however, so commonly add them before a governing noun in order to repeat the governed genitive, as does Limbu, though we find forms such as $u$-g $\bar{a} i$-p $\bar{a}$, him-of his-father, his father. The verb does not regularly differ for person. The sulfix $n g \dot{i}$ is, however, sometimes inserted between the base and an auxiliary, when the sulject is of the first person singular ; thus, khem-me-ng $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, going-1-aw, I go, and it is
vol. hil, palit i.
probable that better materials would show that Yākhī in reality agrees much more closely with Limbu than the tests available lead us to infer.

Khambu is the name of a tribe whose members speak several closely connected dialects. The higher numbers were formerly counted in twenties, but Aryan loan-words have now begun to be sulstituted. Several Khambu dialects possess dual forms of the personal pronouns and double sets of the dual and plural of the first person, one including and the other excluding the person addressed. The personal pronouns have short forms which are used as pronominal prefixes, as in Limbu and Yākhā.

Some Khambu dialects make use of pronominal suffixes in order to distinguish the person of the subject in verbs. There is also a tendency to add pronouns before the verb in order to indicate the object; thus, khodo-pikä, him-said, he said to him.

Some Khambu dialects present a very complicated system of verbal forms, and it is just possible that further materials would show the same to be the case with all, or at least, most of them.

Specimens have been forwarded for the purposes of this Survey of a dialect called Rãi. It is probably the language spoken by the Jimdärs of Nepal. It closely corresponds to Hodgson's Dūmi.

Higher numbers are counted in tens.
According to Hodgson's Dūmi vocabulary, the personal pronouns have a dual as well as a plural, and there are both inclusive and exclusive forms of the dual and plural of the first person. Short forms of the personal pronouns are used as pronominal prefixes. The prefix $\bar{a}$, thy, is also used before verbs in order to indicate that the subjeot is of the second person; thus, $\bar{u}-m u$, madest. Compare Limbu.

The person of the subject is not regularly distinguished in the verb. In addition to the prefix $\bar{a}$ in the second person we sometimes find a suffix nga in the first; thus, $m u-n g a-t \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am doing.
'Ihe remaining dialects of the group are only known from the materials published by Hodgson. The Vāyu dialect is a typical language of the complex type, and it will be described at some lengtl. Other Nepalese languages, such as Bhrāmu, Chēpāng, Kusūnda, and Thāksya, are too unsatisfactorily known to be dealt with in detail. They have all been much influenced by Aryan tongues.

It will be seen that the dialects belonging to this group all have the tendency to distinguish the person of the subject, at least if the subject is of the first person. In that case a sulfix $n g \bar{a}$ is usually added or inserted between the base and an auxiliary. In Dhīmāl and Thámi we find a similar suffix $n \bar{a}$ in the second person. These two suttixes, $n g \bar{a}$ for the first and $n \bar{a}$ for the second person, will meet us again in the western group. Their origin is evident; they are simply the shortest forms of the personal pronouns of the two first persons.

In Limbu, Yākhā, Khambu, and Rāi we find an extensive use made of pronominal prufixes, just as is the case in several Tibeto-Burman dialects of Assam and Further India.

The position of our group can accordingly be defined as intermediate between libetan and the non-pronominalized Himalayan dialents on one side and the Pronominalized languages of North Almora, Kanawar and neighbourhood as well as a series of 'libeto-Burman forms of speech such as Bârà, the Kuki-Chin languages, etc., on the other.

## DHTMĀL.

The Dhimal dialect is spoken by a small tribe in the Darjeeling Terai. No estimates of the number of speakers have been forwarded for the purposes of this Survey. At the last Census of 1901 the figures were as follows :-


A full vocabulary and a grammatioal sketch of the dialect have been published by Hodgson. No new materials bave been forthcoming for the purposes of this Survey, and the remarks on Dhimal which follow are therefore entirely based on the materials collected by Hodgson. The same is the case with the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 408 and ff.

## .AUTHORITIES—

Hodgson, B. H.,-On the Kocch, Bodó, and Dhimál tribes. Calcatta, 1847. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects, Vol. 1, London, 1880, pp. 1 and f.
$" \quad$ ——On the Aborigines of North-Eastern India. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal,
Honter, W. W.,-A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
Dalton, E. T.,—Descriptive Ethnology of Bengal. Calcutta, 1872. Dhimal vocabulary, after Holgbon, on pp. 93 and ff.
Eluiott, C. A.,-Report on the Census of Assam for 1891. Calcatta, 1883, p. 80.
Gait, E. A.,-Report on the Census of Assam for 1891. Sbillong 1892, paras. 161-184.
Article.--There is no article. The numeral $e$, one, is used as an indefinite article, and definiteness is indicated by means of demonstrative pronouns.

Nouns.-Gender.-Gender is indicated by using different words or by prefixing $d \bar{a} n l c h \bar{a}, d h a \bar{a} n g \bar{a} \bar{i}$, male; mahani, bhundi, female, etc. Thus, $k \bar{e}$, husband; $b \bar{e}$, wife : wā-val, man; bēeval, woman : wā-jan, boy ; bē-jan, girl: dānkhā khīū, male dog ; maha$n i$ kh $h \bar{a}$, , bitch : dhāngäi kia, cock; bhūndi kia, hen.

Number.-The plural is not marked when it appears from the context. The usual plural suffix is galai; thus, chan galai, children.

Case.-'The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by any suffix. The subject of trausitive verbs is usually put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding the suffix dong. The dative, which is sometimes also used as an accusative, is formed by adding $\bar{e} n g$. The suffix of the ablative, which is also often used to denote the agent, is sho; that of the genitive $k o$, and that of the locative tā. Thus, $\bar{a} d o n g ~ m a \bar{a}-\bar{e} l l a \bar{a} ~ w a \bar{a}-j a n-g a l a i-s h o ~ g h i n t e ̄ n g ~ w e ̄ n g, ~ u ̄ d o ̄ n g ~ e ̀ l k a ̄ ~ l e ̄-j a n-g a l a i-e ̄ n g ~$ $p \overline{\text {, }}$, these not good boys-from take it, those good girls-to give; khūnā-dong chā-nēn-chä-h $\bar{i}$, tiger-by killed, a tiger killed him ; $\bar{\imath} d o n g ~ k i n g-k o ~ d i ̄ a, ~ t h i s ~(i s) ~ o u r ~ b u f f a l o ; ~ b a d a ~ s i \bar{u}-t \bar{a}$, in a big house.

Adjectives.-Adjectives usually precede, but sometimes also follow the noun they qualify; thus, èlkä chan-galai, good children.

The particle of comparison is $n h \bar{a}$-dong, which is usually preeeded by the compared soun in the genitive. Thus, $\bar{o}-k \bar{o} n h \bar{a}-$ dong dhāng $\bar{a}_{,}$him than tall, taller: cogining-ko
nhā-dong dhăng $\bar{a}$, all than tall, tallest; pīa nhā-dong $\tilde{\tilde{o}} y h \bar{a}$ gāndi $h i$, cow than horse fat is, the borse is fatter than the cow. Dong can be dropped; thus, sogiming nhäatä kalam rhinka, all than this pen long, this pen is the longest of all.

Nomerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. They precede the noun they qualify. They are often followed by the sutix long, which does not appear to add anything to the meaning; thus, $\bar{e}-l o n g ~ d i \bar{a} n g$ or $e$-dī $\bar{a} n g$, one man.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

| $k \vec{a}_{,} \mathrm{I}$. | . | $\dot{w} \dot{p}$, he |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k a ̈ n g-d o n g, ~ b y ~ m e . ~$ | $n \bar{a} n g$-dong, by thee. | wāng-dong, by him. |
| këng, to me. | neng, to thee. | wèng, to him, |
| k ${ }_{\text {a }} \mathrm{ng}$-ko, my. | māng-ko, thy. | $\bar{o}-k \bar{o}, w a \bar{n}-k o$, his. |
| kyell, we. | nyēl, you. | $\bar{u}-b a l$, they. |
| King-dong, by us. | ning-dong, by you. | $\bar{u}$-bal-clong, by them. |
| king-èng, to us. | ning-èng, to you. | $\bar{u}$-bal-èng, to them. |
| king-ko, our. | ning-ko, your. | $\bar{u}$-bal-ko, their. |

The demonstrative pronouns are $\bar{\imath}$, this; $\bar{u}$, that. There are besides fuller forms, oiz., $\bar{i}-d o n g$, and $\bar{u}-d o n g$ for living beings, and $\bar{i}-t \bar{x}, \bar{u}-t \bar{a}$, for things. The demonstrative pronouns are inflected like personal pronouns; thus, $\bar{i}-k o$, or yäng-ko, of this; yēng, to this; yāng-sho, from this. The plural is $\bar{i}-b a l$, these ; $\bar{u}-b a l$, those.

Interrogative pronouns are häshu, who? hai, what?
Verbs.-All verbs are inflected in exactly the same way. If the subject is of the first or second person, the personal pronouns are suffixed to the tense bases. Thus, $k \bar{a} h a d \bar{e}-k h i-k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ go; nà hadē-khi-nā, thou goest; wà hadè-lkhi, he goes; kyēl hadè-khi$k y e \bar{l} l$, we go ; nyēl hadē-khi-nyēl, you go ; $\bar{u}-b a l ~ h a d \bar{e}-k h i$, they go.

The usual verb substantive is jeng-li, to be. The present is jēhi, the past higā-hi, the future $j \bar{j} n g$, first person $k \bar{a} j \bar{e} n-k \bar{a}$, I shall be.
$H i$ means ' to be,' 'to exist'; thus, hāshu$h i$, who is there? $k \bar{a} h i-k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am ; b $\bar{e}-j a n$ $n h \bar{a}-d o n g$ w $\mathfrak{a}-j a n d h \bar{a} n g \bar{a} h \bar{i}$, girl than boy tall is, the boy is taller than the girl.

Present time.-The base alone is sometimes used as a present; thus, nāni mhoikādinch $\bar{a}-t \bar{a} h a d \bar{e}-k \bar{a}$, to-day jungle-to go-I, to-day I am going to the jungle.

The usual present tense is formed by suffixing lihi, $m h i$, or $n h i$; thus, $k \bar{a} h a d e \bar{e}-k h i$ $k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ go; kā dōp-mhi-k $\bar{a}$, I speak.

A present definite can be formed by prefixing èläng, now, to this tense; thus, kā ēlāng khāng-khi-kā, I am wishing.

Past time. -The suffix of the past is $h i$; thus, $n \bar{a} h a d \bar{e}-h i-n \bar{a}$, thou wentest. We also find shorter forms such as $n \bar{a} h a i-n \bar{a}$, thou wentest; ma $h a i-k \bar{a}$, I did not go.

An imperfect is formed by prefixing lämpāng, formerls, to the present; thus, kä lämpāng khäng-khi-kā, I was wishing.

Future. -The suffix of the future is $\bar{a} n g$, which sometimes bccomes $a n$ before the suffix $k \bar{a}$ of the first person. The initial $\bar{a}$ is sometimes dropped after vowels. Thus,
 $n \bar{a} h u d \bar{e}-\bar{a} n g-n \bar{a}$, or, $n \bar{a} h \bar{a} n g-n \bar{a}$, thou wilt go.

Imperative. - The simple base without any suffix is used as an imperative; thus, chā eat ; màlé, don't come.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The usual verbal noun is formed by adding $l i$; thus, hadē-li, to go, in order to go. Another verbal noun is formed by adding $k \bar{a}$; thus, $p \bar{a}-k \bar{x}-k o n a ̈ n g$, on aocount of doing, because he did. Such forms are commonly used as relative participles; thus, dāng-hai-nēn-chā-k $\bar{a} k h \bar{a}$, beating-finding-eating dog, a beaten dog; dōp-kū koth $\bar{a}$, spoken words.

An advorbial participle is formed by adding katäng; thus, lën-ka-täng lēn-ka-täng hadē-khi, he goes laughing.

A conjunctive participle is formed by adding tëng; thus, hadè-tèng, having gone.
Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. A kind of passive can however be expressed by adding the verbs nēn, to find, and $c h \bar{a}$, to eat, to the base and conjugating throughout; thus, yolla-sho dang-hai nèn-chä-hi-kã, brother-from beating found-ate-I, I was beaten by my brother.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed mā ; thus, $k \bar{a} m \bar{a}$ lchāng$k h i-k \bar{a}$, I do not wish; $k \bar{a} m \bar{a} h \bar{a} n-k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am not going ; mà hode ${ }_{\mathrm{h}}$ don't go.

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb.

For further details the student is referred to the works quoted above onder the head of authorities and to the list of standard Words and Phrases on pp. 408 and ff. I'he latter has been compiled from Hodgson's grammar and vocabulary.

## THĀMI.

The Thāmis have formerly been considered to speak the same dialect as the Sunwārs. During the preparatory operations of this Survey the two dialects were confounded in. Darjeeling, and separate returns were only made from Sikkim. The number of speakers in that district was estimated at 100. At the last Census of 1901, Sunwär and Thāmi were classed together in Assam. The Thāmi figures for other districts were as follows :-


It will be seen that the number of speakers outside Nepal is small. It is therefore no wonder that it has been impossible to get more than an incomplete list of words for the purposes of this Survey. The list is not sufficient for giving a detailed description of the principal features of Thāmi grammar. It shows, however, that Thāmi is quite distinct from Sunwār. It is much influenced by Aryan dialects, and has adopted Aryan numerals above 'two.' On the whole, however, it seems to be a dialect of the same kind as Dhímāl, Yākhā, Limbu, etc.

The remarks on the Thāmi dialect which follow are entirely based on the list mentioned above, which has been forwarded from Darjeeling.

Nouns.-The prefixes chi in chi-ng $\bar{a}$, nose; chi-le, tongue; ch $\bar{c}$ in $c h \bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, moon; $\bar{u}$ in $\bar{u}-g o$, mouth; $u-m \bar{a}$, wife; $\bar{u}-n i$, sun, do not appear to add anything to the meaning. The prefix chā in chā-lā corresponds to the $z$ in Tibetan $z l a-m a$, moon. Similarly chi-le, tongue, should be compared with Tibetan lche, Sharpa che-lak.

Gender.-The male gender can be indicated by adding qualifying words such as $p \bar{a} p \bar{a}, b o k e, d \bar{a} r h e, ~ e t c . ; ~ t h u s, ~ p a \bar{a} p \bar{a} s y \bar{a}$, bull; boke churi, a he goat; dārhe a $\bar{r} r k i$, a male deer. Pāpă should be compared with Pahri bábua which is used in the same way. The female gender can, similarly, be distinguished by adding $m \bar{a} m \bar{a}$, $m \bar{a}$, or $m i$; thus, $m \bar{a}$ $m \bar{a} s y \bar{a}$, cow ; kuchu-mā and kuchu-mi, bitch. In other cases the gender is distinguished by using different words, or else it is left unmarked.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The plural can be distinguished by adding suffixes such as $h a i^{r} u$ and $p \bar{a} l i ;$ thus, $\bar{a}-p \bar{a} h a r u$, fathers; chāmai päli, daughters.

Case.-'l'he subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by means of any suffix. The object can, however, be put in the dative, which is formed hy adding one of the suffixes $k a i$ or $l \bar{a} i$; thus, to-ko chā-kai rehunu, his son beat, I have beaten his son.

The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent, which is also used as an instrumental. It is formed by adding $i$ or $e$; thus, $d h \bar{a}-i$ syā-mish $\bar{a} j a h u-d u$, himby cows-buffaloes grazes, he is grazing cattle; to-kai shäk-pa-e chhiko, him ropes-with bind.

An ablative is formed by adding dekhin or ining, ini; thus, dokane dekhin, from a shopkeeper; $k a^{\prime}$-ining, here-from; kuta-ini, from whom?

The suffix of the genitive is $k o$; compare Sunwār $k \bar{u}$, and the suffix $g u$ which forms relative participles in Nēwārī and Pahrí; thus, nāng-ko āpā-ko nim-te, thy father's house-in.

The suffix of the locative and terminative is te; thus, nim-te, in the house; lukushä$t e$, upon his back. This suflix is also contained in postpositions such as pole-te, under; $h a ̈ b i-t e$, before; libi-te, behind.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the noun they qualify; thus, $u$-bha ghora, the white horse; $\bar{a} p r \bar{a}$ chämai-ch $\bar{a}-p \bar{a} l i$, good women. The particle of comparison is the Aryan bhandā as in Gurung, Yākhā, eto; thus, dhā-ko lūbū to-ko humi bhandāa aglo hoddu, his brother his sister than tall is, his brother is taller than his sister.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

| $g a, \mathrm{I}$. | $n \bar{a}$, thou. | $d h \bar{a}$, ke. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ge, by me. | $n e, n a i, n a ̄ y a, ~ b y ~ t h e e . ~$ | $d h \bar{a}-i$, by him. |
| gai-ko, my. | $n \bar{a} n-k o$, thy. | $d h \tilde{a}-k o$, his. |
| $a i-m i, n i$, we. | näng, ningwai, you. | $d h \bar{a}-b a n g, d h \bar{a}-m a ̄-p a ̈ l i, ~ t h e y . ~$ |
| $n i$, by us. | $n a i$, ly you. | dhà-bang-e, by them. |
| mi-ko, our. | $n \bar{a} n g-k o, ~ y o u r . ~$ | ta-bang-ko, their. |

Ta-bang-ko, their, is perhaps the genitive plural of the demonstrative pronoun to, that. It seems however probable that $d h$ and $t$ are interchangeable as in other connected forms of speech. Moreover, the handwriting of the original list is so indistinct that it is often impossible to distinguish between $o$ and $a$. The plural forms of the second person properly belong to the singular.

Demonstrative pronouns are $k \bar{a}$, this; $u$, and to, that.
Interrogative pronouns are su, who ? hā-rā, what? hädni, how much ? how many? Kuta-(ini), whom (-from), is probably Aryan.

Verbs.-The verb substantive is apparently $d u$; compare Nēwãri and Pahri. Gai hok-ng $\bar{a}-d u$, I am, seems to mean ' I sitting am.' The iorms thā, is ; thiyo, was, are probably Aryan.

Finite verb. -The verb substantive plays a considerable rôle in the inflexion of finite verbs. There is apparently a strong tendency to distinguish the person of the subject by inserting pronominal suffixes between the base and the verb substantive, as is also the case in other Nepalese languages. In the first person singular a $n g \bar{a}$ is inserted ; thus, hok-ngä-du, I am ; hok-ngä-thiyo, I was. In n $n \bar{a} h o k-n g \bar{a}-d u$, thou art, $n g \bar{a}$ is perlaps miswritten for $n \bar{a}$; compare $n \bar{a}$ hok- $n \bar{a}-d u$-thiyo, thou wast. In $y \bar{a}-n g$ $n g a ̈ n g$, I went, $n g$ is used instead of $n g \dot{a}$.

The suffix $n \bar{a}$ is often used in a similar way in the second person; thus, ne rehu-n $\bar{a}-$ $d u$, thou strikest. This suffix is, however, also used in the first person; thus, gai hok-na$d u$, I shall be; gai thà-ng-nā-du, I may be.

In the plural we find $i$ in the first, and $n i$ in the second and third persons; thus, $n i$ hok-i-du, we are; ningwai hod-ni-du thiyo, you were; to-bingai hod-ni-du thiyo, they were.
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Present time.-The base alone is sometimes used as a present tense; thus, thä, is; nai rehi, you strike. Usually, however, the copula $d u$ is added; thus, hod-du, he is, they are ; $y \bar{a}-d u$, he goes; ra$-d u$, he comes; rehu-du, he strikes, they strike. The suffixes mentioned above can be inserted before this $d u$; thus, yà-ngà-du, I go; rehu$n \bar{a}-d u$, thou strikest; näng hot-n $\bar{a}-d u$, you are; ni hok-i-du, we aro.

In the first person we also find a suffix $n u$; thas, ge rehu-nu, I strike. It is abbreviated to $n$ before $d u$; thas, ge rehu-n-du, I am striking.

In the second person we find a suffix $l \bar{a}$ added to $d u k$, the fuller form of the oopula $d u$; thus, $n \bar{a} n g y \bar{a}-n \bar{a}-d u k-l \bar{a}$, thou goest.
$\underset{R}{e} e-s \bar{a}$ in $n i \underset{r}{r} e-s \bar{a}$, we strike, is an ordinary verbal noun; see below.
Past time.-The various forms used in the function of finite tenses are properly verbal nouns. The literal meaning of $g a i$ hok-ngā-du, I am, is 'my sitting-my-being.' Suoh forms can of course occasionally also be used in the past; thus, ge rehu-nu, I have beaten; ge rehu-n-du, I had beaten. A real past can be formed by adding thiyo, was; thus, gai hok-ngā-thiyo, my sitting-my-was, I was; to-bāngai hod-ni-du-thiyo, they were.

Another suffix of the past is ngāng ; thus, gai yāng-ngāng, I went; nāng yā-ngäng, thou wentest; gai-kai re-ngäng, me-to struck, I am struck.

A suffix hān occurs in thā-hān, was; yā-hān, went; and māng is used in nāya kinai-mäng, thou boughtest.

Future.-The present is also used as a future; thus, ge reu-nu, I shall beat. The suffix $n \bar{a}$ in gai thāng-n $\bar{a}-d u$, I may be; gai hok-na $\bar{a}-d u$, I shall be, is probably the suffix of a participle or verbal noun.

Imperative. -The imperative is apparently formed by adding one of the suffixes $\bar{a}, k \bar{a}, g \bar{a} ; h o, k o$; thus, $y \bar{a}-\bar{a}$, go ; chiy $\bar{a}$, eat; ho-k $\bar{a}$, sit; thiu-g $\bar{a}$, stand; re-ho, beat; chhi-ho, bind ; pi-ko, give. The initial $k$ and $g$ of some of these suffixts perbaps belongs to the base.

Piyäng, give, probably contains the pronominal suffix of the first person and means ' give me.'

Verbal nouns and participles.-A verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix $s \bar{a}$; thus, th $\bar{a}-s \bar{a}$, to be; gai-kai re-s $\bar{a} c h a \bar{a} h i-d u$, me-to beating due-is, I shall be beaten.

Another verbal noun is formed by adding $m u-n \bar{a}$; thus, re-mu-n $\bar{a}$, to strike. It contains the suffix $n \bar{a}$ which is used with the meaning of a participle or verbal noun in rehu-nā, beating.

The suffix $s \bar{a}$ is probably identical with $c h h \bar{a}$ in yen-chh $\bar{a}$, going. Compare Sunwār $c h h \bar{a}$, Purik $c h \bar{a}$, etc.

Conjunctive participles are apparently formed by adding tā-le or to-le ; thus, thä$t \bar{a}-l e$, being ; jetlong-tā-le, having been; reko-dum-to-le, having beaten.

Negative Particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed máa thus, mā-thā, notis, ne.

For further details the student is referred to the list of words on pp. 408 and ff. It siould be borne in mind that the value of the preceding remarks entirely depends on the correctness of the various forms contained in the list.

## LIMBU.

The Limbus are one of the principal tribes of Eastern Nepal. Their home is to the east of the Yakhās, and to the south-east of the Khambus. They rank next to the Khambus and above the Yākhãs.

The Limbus call themselves Yāk-thūng-bā, aud according to Major Senior ${ }^{2}$ they state that they and the Räis were once one people. Their history is stated to be written in a book callod Bhongsoli, i.e., Vamśävali, of which copies are kept in some of the most ancient families. Such copies, when found by the Gurkhas, are always burnt, and the keeping of them is strictly forbidden.

## According to Sir Herbert Risley-

- The name Limbu, or Das Limba, from the ten sub-tribes (really thirteen) into which they are supposed to be divided, is used only by outsiders. Tibetans have no epecial name for the Limbus; they call all the tribes of the Indian side of the Himalaya by the general name Monpa or dwellers in the ravines. The Lepehas and Bhotias or Tibetans settled in Bhotan, Sikkim, end Nepal spenk of the Limbas as Trong, becanse the Gve thums or sub-tribes included in the class known as Lhasa-gotra emigrated to Eastern Nepal from the district of Tsang in Tibet. Lepchas call them Chang, which may be a corruption of Tsong. By other members of the Kiránti group they are addressed by the honoritic title of Subah or Suffah, a chief.

The Limbas, according to Dr. Campbell, "form a large portion of the inhabitants in the monntainona country lying between the Dud-Kosi and the Kanki rivers in Nepal, and are foand in emaller nambers eastwards to the Mechi river, which forms the bonndary of Nepal and Sikkim. In still fewer nambers they exist within the Sikkim territory, as far east as the Tista river, beyond which they rarely eettle. In Bhatan they are unknown except as strangers." Hodgeon locates them between the Aran Kosi and the Mechi, the Singilela ridge being their boundary on the east. The Limbus themselves claim to have held from time immemorial the Támba Khola valley on the apper waters of the Támba Kosi river: and the fact that one of their sub-tribes bears the name Támbakhola soggests that this valluy may have been one of their early settlemente. They have also a tradition that five ont of their thirteen sub-tribes came from Lhasa, while five others came from Benares. The former group is called the Lhasa-gotra, and the latter the Kási-gotra; bat the term gotra has in this case no bearing on marriage. All that can safely be said is that the Limbus are the oldest recorded population of the country between the Támra Kosi and the Mechi, and their flat featares, slightly oblique eyes, yellow complesion, and beardlessnese may perhape afford gronnds for believing them to be the descendants of early Tibetan settlers in Nepal. They appear to have mixed little with the Hindus, bat mach with the Lepchas, who of late jears have migrated in large nombers from Sikkim to the west.'

We have no information about the number of speakers of Limbu in Nepal. Their

## Number of speakers.

number in Darjeeling and Sikkim has been estimated for the purposes of this Survey as follows:-


At the last Census of 1901 speakers were returned from Darjeeling and Sikkim, and also from Jalpaiguri and Purnea in the Bengal Presidency, and from Assam. The figures were as follows:-

Darjeeling . . . . . . . . . 14,359
Sikkim . . . . . . . . . . 5,910
Carried over $\quad 20,269$

[^10]

The Limbus formerly possessed an alphabet of their own. A table of its characters was compiled by Lieutenant-General Mainwaring and published by Mr. A. Camphell in the Bengal Journal for 1855 . The Limbu oharacter is no longer in use, and no specimen las been forwarded in it.

[^11]Hodgson was once ocoupied with a grammatical analysis of the Limbu dialect. His sketch of the language was not, however, finished. Major H. A. R. Senior has lately taken up the study of the language. A grammar and vocabulary from his hand is under publication, and will amply compensate for Hodgson's failure to finish his work.

Major Senior has learnt Limbu from a member of the Fëdopià tribe, which according to him are of the Kāsi-Kōtar, while Sir Herbert Risley classes it under the head of Lhāsā Kōtar. To that latter Kōtar belong the Tamarkhōlēās and the Eāgūrāi, while the Pāntharēā and other tribes are of the Kāsi-Kōtar. A version of the Parable and a list of Standard Words and Phrases in Tamarkhōlēā Limbu have been kindly prepared for the purposes of this Survey by the Nepal Darbar. Another version of the Parable and anotber list in the Fāgūrāi dialect have been forwarded from Darjeeling. These materials will be referred to in the ensuing pages, though the remarks which follow are mainly based on the materials mentioned above on p. 283, for which I am indebted to the kindness of Major Senior.

Pronunciation.-Hard and soft consonants are often interchangeable; thus, $c h i \bar{a}$ and $j \bar{u} \bar{a}$, which; $k \bar{u}-m-p \bar{a}$ and $k \bar{u}-m-b \bar{a}$, his father.
$L$ often interchanges with $r$, commonly in such a way that $l$ is used alter consonants, $r^{r}$ after vowels: thus, $\bar{\sigma}-r \cdot \bar{u} p-l \bar{u} p$, fat; thilk-l $\bar{o}$, one with; $k \bar{u}-m-p \bar{a}-r \cdot \bar{o} \bar{o}$, wlth his father; $k \bar{u}-m-p \bar{a}-r \bar{e}$, by his father, but also $k \bar{u}-s \bar{a}-l \bar{e}$, by his son.
$K h$ sometimes interchanges with $h$; thus, khünē and hünē, he. The $h$-forms are common in Tamarkhölēă.
$C h, c h h$, and $s$ interchange in the suffix $c h i$ of the dual and plural.
There are no proper tones in Limbu. The so-called abrupt tone is probably intended in spelling such as heh-mu, and hep-mu, in, in Fägūrāi.

Articles.-There are no articles. The numeral thik, one, is sometimes used as an indefinite article; thus, sēōte thik, a servant. It is often preoeded by lop; thus, lopthik $p \bar{a}$, a father. Instead of lop-thik we also find la-thik. That latter form is used by dwellers near Tibet. Löchä, a oertain, is also used as an indefinite article; thus, lōchā mänā̈-lè, to a man.

Nouns.-Gender. -The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by means of qualifying additions. 'Thus, pä, father; mā, mother : embechhā, man; men-
 menchhemā, younger sister : ōn yärimbā, a stallion; $\bar{o} n k \bar{u}-m-m \bar{a}$, a mare : pit yārimbä, a bull : p $\bar{i} t-m \bar{a}$, or $p i t-k \bar{u}-m-m \bar{a}$, a cow. The suffixes $k \bar{u} \cdot m-b \bar{a}$, male; $k \bar{u}-m-m \bar{a}$, femsle, are ouly used to distinguish the gender of animals, and not in the case of human beings; thus, pengw $k \bar{u} \bar{u}-m-b \bar{a}$, a male deer; pengwà $k \bar{u}-m-m \bar{a}$, a female deer.

Number.-There are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The dual is usually distinguished by means of the same suffixes as the plural. In nëdeng-né$m \bar{o}$, on the two cheeks, however, the suffix ne is not a plural suffix, but probably a shorter form of the numeral ne-ch $\bar{\imath}$, twe.

The usual suffixes of the plural are $h \bar{a}$ and $s \bar{i}$, or after $t, c h \bar{i}$; thus, $p \bar{u}-h \bar{a}$, fathers; $s \bar{\alpha}-s \bar{u}$, children ; $p \bar{i} t-m \bar{a}-h \bar{a}$, cows; $p \bar{i} t-m \bar{a}-s \bar{i}$, cows (generic), female cattle, also used loosely as a plural ; pīt-chī, cows, cattle (whether male or female). Hoth suffixes are sometimes combined; thus, $\bar{o} n-h \bar{a}-s \bar{i}$, horses; pa-h $\bar{a}-s \bar{i}$, fathers, ancestors. Note $p h a \bar{a} k \bar{a}$, i.e., phäk-hä, pigs.

Case.-A vocative is formed by adding $\dot{e}$, before which a final vowel is sometimes dropped ; thus, $a-m-b-\bar{e}, \mathrm{O}$ my father ; $\bar{a}-s \bar{a} \cdot \bar{e}, \mathrm{O} \mathrm{my} \mathrm{son}$. Instead of $\bar{e}$ we also find $r \bar{e}$; thus, $a-m-p \bar{a}-r \cdot \bar{e}, \mathrm{O} m \mathrm{~m}$ father.

The subject and the object are usually indicated by means of pronominal suffixes added to the verb, and no suffix is therefore required after the nouns. Thus, sia nechi wäyech $\bar{i}$, two sons were; s $\bar{m} m \bar{a}-h \bar{a} h \bar{a}-t \bar{u}-s \bar{i}$, his goods he divided among them; k $\bar{u}-m$ - $b \bar{a}$ mēt $\bar{u}$, his-father he-said-to-him, he said to his father.

Often, however, suffixes are added to the noun. A suffix in, or, after vowels, $n$, is often added to a noun which is used as the subject of intransitive verbs, as an object, and also as an indirect object; thus, $\bar{a}-s \bar{a}-n$ si $\bar{a}-b \bar{a}-n$, my-son was dying; phäk chän-in ch $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, pigs' food to-eat; lōn yāmbōk-in chōgu$-b \bar{a}$, he has done this work; $k \bar{u}-s \bar{a}-n n \bar{e}-s \bar{u}-$ ang, his-son having-seen; khūnch $\bar{\imath}$ phāk-īn méemānd $\bar{u}$, they finished eating the pig; $k o ̄ n y \bar{a} n m \bar{i}-n$ sipāhī-mō ang $\bar{a} b h a r t \bar{\imath} ~ c h o ̄ g u ̄ n g-k o ̄-l \bar{o}-\bar{a}$, this man soldiers-among I enlisted to-make-wish ; $p \bar{a}-r \bar{e} l c h \bar{u} n-c h i \bar{i} \bar{i} n h \bar{a}-t \bar{u}-s \bar{i}$, father-by them-to divided-to-them, the father divided among them. Instead of $\bar{\imath} n$ we also find en; thus, $\bar{o} r u \bar{u} p-l \bar{u} p k e \bar{e}-l \bar{o}$-ben bōhōren sē-rē-m $\bar{e}$, fat being heifer kill ; compare also $\bar{o} n-n i n$, to a horse. The suffix $\bar{i} n$, etc., is probably only an emphasizing particle and has nothing to do with the distinction of case.

Another sufix $l \bar{e}$ or $r \bar{e}$ is sometimes used to denote the direct or indirect object. It should be compared with Tibetan la. Thus, annchhī-sā menchhemä-lè löōmechē pëchhi.
our-child woman to-see we-go, we go to see our daughter; lō-chā manaī-lē, to a man; $\bar{o} n-n \bar{i}-l e \bar{e}$, to a horse. The same suffix is commonly used to denote the subject of transitive verbs; thus, $p h \bar{o} b \bar{a}-l \bar{e} m e ̀ t ~ \bar{u}$, the-younger-by said-to-him ; p $\bar{a}-r \bar{e} h \bar{a}-t \bar{u}-s \bar{u}$, fatherby divided-to-them, the father divided among them. Compare also siki-hā-rē khēkhem$m \bar{e}$, ropes-with bind-him, where re denotes the instrument. In forms such as $\bar{o} n-n \bar{i}-l \bar{e}$, to a horse, by a horse, lē is apparently added to another suffix $n \bar{i}$ or $\bar{i}$.

Forms such as $\bar{o} n-n \bar{i}-l \bar{e}$ can also be used as a kind of locative, meaning 'on the horse,' etc. The usual suffix of the locative is, however, $y \bar{o}(\bar{o}, \vec{e} \bar{o})$ or $m \bar{o}$; thus, päng$p h \bar{e}-y \bar{o}$ and $p \bar{a} n g p h \bar{e}-\bar{o}$, in a country, into a country; pārīhā$-y \bar{o}$ and $p \bar{a} r \bar{i} h \bar{a}-m \bar{o}$, in, into, the field; $k \bar{u}-n i n g w \bar{a}-m \bar{o}$, in his mind; $k$ '-him-mō, in thy house. Another form of the same suffix is apparently rō; thus, songwārōrō-(nū), fields-in (-from). Compare the terminative suffix of Tibetan. A compound suffix of the locative is khep-mō or hep-mō, which sometimes also occur as kheh-yō, khēyō, heh-yö, hēyö, respectively; thus, läj̄ hep-mö, in a country.

The suffix of the ablative is $n \bar{u}$; thus, k $\bar{o} y \bar{o}-n \bar{u}$, here-from; $\bar{o} n-\bar{e} \bar{o}-n \bar{u}$, from on a horse; songwārō-m $\bar{o}-n \bar{u}$, from in the fields; wōdumpo$k w \bar{a}-\bar{o}-n \bar{u}$, from the well, and so forth.

The genitive is expressed by adding pronominal prefixes to the governing noun; thus, $k^{\prime}-m-b \bar{a} k \bar{u}$-him-mō, thy-father his-housedin, in thy father's house. The governed word is often followed by the suffix rē or lé ; thus, lōchā mānā̀-lē $k \bar{u}-s \bar{a}$, one manto his sons; $\bar{o} n-\bar{\imath}-l \bar{e} ~ k u \bar{u}-g a d h \bar{u}$, horse-to its-saddle, the horse's saddle ; sing-n $\bar{z}-l \bar{e} k \bar{u}-s \bar{i} g-\bar{e} \bar{o}$, tree-to its-bottom-at, under the tree. The usual form of this suffix in the genitive is, however, len, ren; thus, phāk-hā-ren $k$ ' $\bar{u}$-n-tangben, pigs-of their-master.

The suffix lē, rē is connected with the postposition lēō, rēō; thus, thik-lēō, onewith; $k \bar{u}-m-p \bar{a}-r \bar{e} \bar{o}$, his-father-with; compare also $r \bar{o}$ in $\alpha-m-p \bar{a}-r o \bar{t}$ tachek-t $\bar{u}-n g$, I will say to my father, and the suffix rö mentioned under the head of locative, above. Other postpositions are lag $\bar{\imath}$, for the sake of ; du$m \bar{u} \bar{a}$, before ; tōgang and tōgēo , before (time and place) ; égang, behind; bésang and bēsēō, near, etc. The final ang in some of these forms is perhaps a suffix of the locative.

Adjectives.-Adjectives are very commonly followed by the suffix pā, feminine $m \bar{a}$; thus, $n \bar{o}-b \bar{a}$, feminine $n \bar{o}-m \bar{a}$, good; $k \bar{u}-s \bar{a} t u \bar{u}-b \bar{a}$, bis eldest son. By prefixing $k \bar{e}$ such adjectives are turned into nouns; thus, $k \bar{e}-n \bar{o}-b \bar{a}$ and $k \bar{e}-n \bar{o}-m \bar{a}$, the good one.

Adjectives sometimes precede and sometimes follow the noun they qualify. They
 sí (or $n \bar{o}-b \bar{a})$ manē-hă, two good mon.

The particle of comparison is $n \bar{u}-l \bar{e}$, i.e., a fuller form of the ablative suffix $n \bar{u}$; thus, kōn n $\bar{u}-l \bar{e} ~ n a \bar{l} k h e n ~(c h h e n a ̀) ~ n \bar{o}-b \bar{a}$, this from that (more) good, this is better than that; khel-len ku$-n \bar{u}-s \bar{a}-e m b e c h h \bar{a} k \bar{u}-n \bar{u}-s \bar{a}-m e n c h h e m \bar{a} n \bar{u}-l \bar{e}$ yomm $\bar{a}$ wā, him-of hisbrother his-sister from tall is ; kāk n $\bar{u}-l \bar{e} a n g \bar{a} n \bar{o}-b \bar{a}$, all from I good, I am best of all.

The Khas particle bhand $\bar{a}$ is sometimes used instead of nüle ; thus, kàk bhand $\vec{a}$ $n \bar{o}-b \bar{a} n \bar{o}-b \bar{a} t e \bar{e} t-h \bar{a}$, all from good good clothes, the best clothes.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. Addition is effected by placing the smaller after the higher numeral. Multiplication, on the other hand, is indicated by prefixing the multiplier. Thus, ang $\bar{a}$ li-gip nech $\bar{\imath}$ tong y $\bar{o} \bar{a}$, I forty-two
years reached, I am forty-two ycars old; thībong thik, ten one, eleven; süm-bong, threeten, thiity; li-gip, four-ten, forty ; tū-kip, sixty; nü-gip, seventy ; ye-kip, eiglity; mänä thik li-gip $n \bar{u}-s i \bar{l}$, one hundred and forty-seven. Note thī-kip, hundred ; kip-nechī, two hundred; leip-lìī, four hundred; thībong kip, or pätī thik, thousind; nībong kip, or pātī nechï, two thousand. It will be seen that a sulfised kip usually means 'teu,' but a prefixed kip usually 'hundred.'

The numerals sometimes precede and sometimes follow the word they qualify.
There are no ordinal numbers. The Aryan pahilō, first; dösrō, second, etc., are used, and, on the whole, the old Limhu numerals are gradually being replaced by Aryan forms.

Multiplicatives are formed by adding 'leng or reng to the cardinals; thus, süm-leng, three times; nā-reng, five times. It will be seen that the final $\boldsymbol{s i}, c h \bar{i}$, of the cardinals is dropped before leng. At the same time older forms are sometimes restored ; thus, ye-chi, eight, but yet-leng, eight times. Note päilē-thik-leng and thik-leng, once; nī-reng, twice; thī-bong nechi leng, twelve times, etc.

Zero is expressed by hop (compare hop-mā, to be absent), or, more generally, by the Arsan sun or sunnyē.

Instances of fractional numbers are $k \bar{u}$-khelek or $k \bar{u}$-phereng, one half; $k \bar{u}$-khelek ang k $\bar{u}$-khelek, or $k \bar{u}-k h e l e k ~ k i ̄ l \bar{e} k u \bar{u}-k h e l e k$, or $k \bar{u}-8 \bar{u} k e o \bar{a}$, one fourth; k $\bar{u}-s \bar{u} k w o \bar{a} s u \overline{m s} \bar{z}$, three fourths; lop-thik ang k $\bar{u}-s \bar{u} k w o \bar{a} t h i k$, one and one fourth; thibong lok-khēyō (or lok-yō) lok-sūm-sī, three tenths, and so forth.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

$$
a n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I} .
$$

$\bar{a}$-, my.
$\bar{a} n-c h \bar{z}, \mathrm{I}$ and thou.
$\bar{a} n$-chī-ge,$I$ and he. $\bar{a} n \bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$ and you.
$\bar{a} n \bar{i}-g \bar{e}, \mathrm{I}$ and they.
khenē, thou. Lhūnē, he.
$k$ '-, thy. $k \bar{u}-$, his.
khen-chī, you two. khün-chī, they two, they.

The usual case suffixes can be added ; thus, ang $\bar{a}-\bar{i} n$, of me; ang $\hat{a}-\bar{o}$, in me, mine; $k h e n e \bar{e}-\bar{i} n$, of thee; lchenī-ìn, of you; khūnē-īn, of him ; khünchī-in, to thrm, etc. There are several other forms of the third person. Khūnē is only used of persons absent; n $\bar{a}$ denotes a person present, but a little fartber off; nā-khen is used of persons present; khen often has a somewhat contemptuous meaning. It often takes the form of hen, just
 his, etc.

The dual and the plural of the third person have the same form. The suffix chi is probably an old dual-suffix. It is, however, identical with tie plural sutix si. $N \bar{i}$ is a plural suffix.

The short forms $\bar{a}-$, my ; $k^{\prime}$-, thy; $k \bar{u}$-, his, her, its, are used as pronominal prefixes. Thus, $\bar{a}-s \bar{a}$, my son; $k '-s \bar{a}$, thy son ; $k \bar{u}-s \bar{a}$, his son; ang $\bar{a} \bar{a}-l a g \bar{i}$, I my-sake-for, for my sake; lihu$n \bar{e} l i \bar{u}-l a g \bar{\imath}$, for his sake. A nasal is often inserted before a following mute consonant. Thus, $k \bar{u}-n$ - $g \bar{u} w \bar{a}$, his mother's brother ; phäk-hā-ren $k \bar{u}-n$-tanglen, swine-of their master; $\bar{a}-\varkappa-d \bar{i} n g-b \bar{a}-h \bar{a}$, my friends; $a-m-p \bar{a}$ and $a-m-b \bar{a}$, my father ; lk $\bar{u}-m$ - $b h a n g \bar{a}$, his father's younger brother.

It will be seen that the personal pronoun which we translate as a possessive is often put in the nominative belore such prefixes. The prefixes themselves in reality replace
the genitive suffix. Limbu in this respect not only agrees with other Nepal languages such as Khambu and Yäkhā, but also with an important group of Tibeto-Burman languages in Further India, viz., the so-called Kuki-Chin group.

The pronominal prefixes are also, as is likewise the case in the Kuki-Chin languages, used in connexion with verbs, in order to denote the subject, and partly also the objeot. See the remarks under the head of verbs below.

The pronouns of the third person are also used as demonstrative pronouns; thus, $k h e n$, that. The nearer demonstrative is $k o n$, this.

Interrogative'pronouns are èn, hā, and $\bar{a} t \bar{\imath}$, who? thé and hen, what? ākhen, howmuch? atang, where, from what place? atis-mā, of what kind? Thus, hä-pex, who is it? $k$ '-thar thé-bē, which is thy clan ? tong ākhen tong-bé khene $k$ '-y $\bar{o}$, years how-many years. thou thou-reachedest? how old are you? $\bar{a} t i s m \bar{a}$ Sōdembē nē-bē, what kind of Södembà are you?

According to Major Senior, there is sometimes a slight difference of dialect. Thus Fēdopià ēn né-gō, Fāgūrāī and Tamarkhōlēā hā nē-gō, Pāntharēā hā nē-bē, who are you ? Fēdopīā $k$ '-ming hen nē-gō, Tamarkhōlēā khenē $k$ 'ming thē.gō, Pāntharē̄ khenē k'ming thē- $(n)$-bēe, what is your name? and so on. Forms such as thē, what? are, however, understood by all Limbus.

Indefinite pronouns are formed by adding ang to interrogatives; thus, thé-ang, anything; $\bar{a} t \bar{l}-l \bar{l}-a n g$, by anyone. Note also lōchā, a certain.

There are no indigenous relative pronouns. Participles are used instead; thus,
 are, however, also used, and relative clauses are then formed as in Aryan languages; thus, $k \dot{o} n$ tephün chīa $\bar{a}-l \bar{l} k$ t $\bar{e} k \bar{a} \cdot \bar{a}$, this property which my-share I-get, the share of the property which I shall get; bōhōr-en chī̄̄ $k$ henē $k '$ 'hing-khū, the-heifer which thou thou-caredest-for-it, the heifer which you cared for ; jast $\bar{o} k \bar{u}-s \bar{a} c h \bar{u} k-b e n ~ \bar{u} t \bar{u} \bar{b} \bar{a}-n \bar{e}-b \bar{a}$, as hisson youngest said had, as his youngest son had said.

Verbs.-The Limbu verb presents a complicated picture, the subject and the object being often indicated by means of pronominal prefixes and suffixes added to the verb. In other respects the verb is, as is the case in most Tibeto-Burman languages, essentially a nown. Thus, the suffix $s \bar{i}$ or $c h \bar{x}$, which is identical with the suffix added in the dual of personal pronouns and in the plural of nouns and of the pronoun of the third person, is added to a verb the subject of which is in the dual. Compare $k$ '-peg , thou goest; $k$ '-pekchi, you two go; pōng, he becomes; pōng-si, they two become.

We have seen above that pronominal prefixes are used before nouns governing a genitive; thus, thene $k^{\prime}-s \bar{a}$, thou thy-son, thy son. An exactly corresponding form is $k$ hene $k$ '-wă, thou thy-being, thou art. Such forms can be considered as two nouns, one governing the other in the genitive. The use of prefixes and suffixes is, however, much more extensive with verbs than in the case of nouns.

Voice.-There can be said to be three voices, an active, a passive, and a middle. The difference between the active and the passive, which latter form is always used in the case of intransitive verbs, is simply effected by adding suffixes denoting the object to tie active. Several active forms are, however, wanting and replaced by the corresponding phssive ones. Thus, hip-a, he strikes me, literally means 'I am struck.'

The middle is formed by adding sing or ching to the passive or intransitive form in the singular and the third person plural. In the dual a suff $n e$ is inserted before the dual suffix chi, and in the first and second persons plural $\bar{a}-s \bar{i}$ is added. The verb hip-máa, to strike, accordingly forms its middle as follows :-

Sing. 1 hip- $\bar{a}$-sing.
2 k'hip-sing.
3 hip-sing.

Dual 1 inol. $\bar{a}-h i p-n e-c h \bar{i}$.
1 excl. hip-ne-chī-gē.
$k^{\prime}$-hip-ne-chī.
hip-ne-chi.
$\begin{aligned} \text { Plural } & 1 \text { incl. } \bar{a}-h i p-\bar{a}-s \bar{i} . \\ 1 & \text { exol. } h i p-\bar{u}-s i-g \bar{e} . \\ 2 & k^{\prime} h i p-\bar{a}-s \bar{i} . \\ 3 & \text { mé-hip-sing. }\end{aligned}$

The middle is also used as a reflexive form, and further in forms such as $k \dot{u}-c h i n g$, he carried on his back.

Subject and object.-It has already been remarked that the subject and the object are often indicated by means of pronominal prefixes and suffixes added to the verb. If more than one prefix is used at the same time, the first person precedes the second, and
 their-striking, they strike thee.

It has already been remarked that active-transitive forms are commonly distinguished from such as are passive-intransitive. Limbu does not, however, possess complete sets of active and passive forms. Intransitives always take the passive form, and the same is also the case with many active forms of transitive verbs.

A subject of the first person singular is indicated by adding ang, or, after vowels $n g$; thus, $p e ̈ g$-ang, I went; hip-tū-ng, I strike him; hip-ne-nī-ng, I strike you two. Instead of $a n g$ we find $\bar{a}$ in the present tense of intransitive and passive verbs; thus, pēk- $\bar{a}$, I go; pōk- $\bar{a}$, I arise; si $\bar{a}-k \bar{o} t-\bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am dying; hip- $\bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am struck by him. This $\bar{a}$ is perhaps simply the copula; compare ang $\bar{a}$ yākthüng-bā- $\bar{a}$, I Limbu-am. It is, however, also possible that $\bar{a}$ has been derived from ( $a$ )ng $\bar{a}$, I , for a preceding soft sound is sometimes hardened before this $\bar{a}$; thus, pēk- $\bar{a}$, I go, but peeg $\bar{a}$, he went.

A subject of the first person singular is not marked if the object is of the second person singular ; thus, hip-né, I strike thee. The form $\bar{a}-h i p-n e-c h \bar{i}$, I strike me and thee, is identical with the first person plural of the middle.

The suffix ang, ng, is also used to denote an object of the first person singular if the subject is of the second person singular past or imperative, or the third person singular or plural of the past. Thus, $k$ '-hip-täng, struckest me; pī-r-ang-nē, give me; hip-täng, he struck me; mé-hip-täng, they struck me. Ang is replaced by $\bar{a}$ in the corresponding forms of the present; thus, lehip- $\bar{a}$, strikest me; hip- $\bar{a}$, strikes me; me $\bar{e}-h i p-\bar{a}$, they strike me. Such forms are properly passive. If the subject is of the second person dual or plural, $\bar{a}$ is prefixed; thus, $\bar{a}-k^{\prime}-h i p-s \bar{i}$, you two strike me; $\bar{a}$-hip-te-ch $\bar{e}$, strike me ye two; $\bar{a}-l \cdot{ }^{\prime}-h i p-t \bar{t}$, you struck me. Forms such as $\overline{h i p} \cdot s \bar{i}$, they two strike me; süt-chī, they two finish me, are simply the dual of the base and do not contain any suffix indi. cating the object.

A subject of the second person singular is indicated by prefixing $k$ '- to the verb; thus $k^{\prime}$-pēg, goest ; $k^{\prime}-p \bar{e}$, wentest ; $k^{\prime}$-chög $\bar{u}$, didst it. In the imperative a suffix $\bar{e}$ or $n \bar{e}$ is used instead; thus, p $\bar{o} k-h-\bar{e}$, become ; hip-tang- $\bar{e}$, strike me; pī-rang-né, give me.

The suffix $n \bar{e}$ is also used to denote an object of the second person singular if the subject is of the first person singular ; thus, hip-né, I strike thee. Such forms are probably originally passive; compare khené hä-nē, thou who-art? lihenē kē-hip-pā-nē, thou
art a beater. The same is also the case with forms such as $k$ '-hip, he strikes thee; $k^{\prime}-h i p-t \bar{e}$, he struck thee ; $k^{\prime}-m \bar{e}-h i p$, they strike thee, etc. Other forms do not contain any suffix to denote an object of the second person singular; thus, hip-āsi-ge, we strike or struck thee; hip-ne-chīge $\bar{e}$, we two strike, or struck, thee. Compare the forms registered above under the head of the middle voice.

A subject of the third person singular is not indicated by means of any prefix or suffix; thus, pēg, he goes; pé, he went; hip-ti$-g e \bar{e}$, he strikes us, etc.

An object of the third person singular is indicated by suffixing $\bar{u}, t \bar{u}, k h \bar{u}, d \bar{u}, s \bar{u}$, or $r \bar{u}$. I am unable to see any law regulating the use of the various consonants preceding the $\bar{u}$. The actual suffix is probably $\bar{u}$, and the various consonants preceding it apparently belong to the past. Thus, chōgū, he did it; mé-hip-tū, they strike him; päng$k h \bar{u}$, , he sent him ; man $n-d \bar{u}$, he finished eating it ; $n \bar{a} m-s \bar{u}$, he smelt him ; $k \bar{i}-r \bar{u}-s \bar{u}-r \bar{u}$, he feared-him-died-him, he was dying by fear of him; sū-r $\bar{u}-n g$, I finished him ; $k$ '-hip-s- $\bar{u}$, you two strike him ; süt-chu , they two finish him. The two last instances show that this $\bar{u}$ supersedes the final $\bar{\imath}$ of the dual suffix $s \bar{i}, c h \bar{\imath}$, compare $k$ '-pok-s $\bar{\imath}$, you two becone; s $\bar{u} t$-chi, they two finish. The suffix $\bar{u}$ is, on the other hand, dropped in the imperative; thus, hip-tē, strike him; dual hip-te-chē, plural hip-temmé.

A subject of the first person dual including the person addressed is indicated by pretixing $\bar{a}$ and suffixing the dual $s \bar{i}$ or $c h \bar{\imath}$; thus, $\bar{a}-p \bar{e} k-c h \bar{v}$, I and thou go ; $\bar{a}-p \bar{e}-s \bar{i}$, we went; $\bar{a}-w \bar{a}-y e-c h \bar{i}$, we were, etc. The final $\bar{i}$ is superseded by the $\bar{u}$ indicating an object of third person; thus, $\bar{a}-h i p-s-\bar{u}$, we strike him ; $\bar{a}-h i p-t e-c h-\bar{u}$, we struck him.

An object of the inclusive first person dual is expressed in the same way if the subject is of the third person; thus, $\bar{a}-h i p-s \bar{i}$, he strikes thee and me; $\bar{a}-m \bar{e}-h i p-s \bar{s}$, they, or they two, strike thee and me. Such forms are in reality passive. The reflexive form is used with a subject of the first person; thus, $\bar{a}$-hip-ne-ch $\bar{z}$, I strike us two. If the subject is of the second person, an object of the first person dual or plural is simply indicated by prefixing $\bar{a}$, the prefx of the first person singular; thus, $\bar{a}-k$ '-hip, thou, you two, or you, strike us two; or us; $\bar{a}$-hip-tée, strike us two, or us. In such cases there does not appear to be any difference between such forms as include and exclude the person addressed.

A subject of the first person dual, when the person addressed is excluded, is indicated by adding sī-gē or chī-gē ; thus pek-chī-gè, we two go ; pē-sí-gē, we went; hip-ne$c h \bar{z}-g \bar{e}$, we strike you. The $\bar{u}$ indicating an object of the third person is substituted for the $\bar{i}$ of $s \bar{i}-g \bar{e}, c h \bar{i}-g \bar{e}$; thus, hip-s- $\bar{u}-g \bar{c}$, we two strike him; $s \bar{u}-r \bar{e}-c h-\bar{u}-g \bar{e}$, we two finished him.

An object of the exclusive first person dual is indicated by means of the same suffix if the subject is of the third person singular or plural ; thus, hip-tē-chī-gè, he struck us two; mé-hip-si-gé, they strike us two. Such forms can just as well be translated 'we two were struck,' 'we two are struck by them,' respectively. If the subject is of the first or second person, an object of the exclusive first person dual is expressed in the same way as if the person addressed is included.

A subject of the second person dual is indicated by prefixing $k$ ' and suffixing $s \bar{\imath}$ or $c h \bar{\imath}$, the final $\bar{\imath}$ being dropped before the $\bar{u}$ denoting an object of the third person. Thus, $k^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime} \bar{e} k-c h \bar{i}$, you $5^{\circ}$; $\bar{a}-k^{\prime}-h i p-t e-c h \bar{i}$, you struck mo; $k^{\prime} h i p-s-\bar{u}$, you strike him. 'The prefix $k$ ' is used alone, z.c., the second person singular is substituted for the second person
plural, if the object is of the first person dual or plural; see above. In the imperative the prefix $k^{\prime}$ is dropped, and the final $c k i, s i$ is replaced by che, $8 \bar{e}$, respectively; thus, pëgë-chē and $p \vec{e}-s \bar{e}$, go ye two ; $\vec{a}$-hip-te-chē, strike me, us, us two; hip-te-chī-sée, strike them two, etc.

The same forms are also used to denote an object of the second person dual with a subject of the third person; thus, $k$ '-hip-te-chi, he struck you two; $k$ ' mée-hip-te-chí, they struck you two. Such forms can, of course, just as well be translated 'you two were struck,' 'were struck by them,' respectively. If the subject is of the first person, an object of the second person dual is expressed in the same way as if it were of the second person singular. If the subject is of the first person singular, however, chi is added to the $n \bar{e}$ denoting the second person singular, and the termination $n g$ of the first person singular is suffixed. Thus, hip-ne-chī-ng, I strike, or struck, you two ; hip-ne-chi. $g e \bar{e}$, we two strike, or struck, you two.

A subject of the third person dual is expressed by adding the dual suffix $s i \bar{i}$ or chi to the base; thus, pōng-si , they two beoome, w $\bar{a}-y \bar{e}-c h \bar{i}$ or wä-y $\bar{a}-s \bar{i}$, they two were. The final $\bar{\imath}$ is dropped before the $\bar{u}$ denoting an object of the third person; thus, hip. $8-\bar{u}$, they two strike him.

There is not a complete set of forms in this person. The intransitive forms are used, without any indication of the object, if it is of the first person singular or dual excluding the person addressed; thus, hip-si, they two strike me, or me and him. If the object is of the first person plural, or of the second person, the corresponding forms of the third person plural are used instead; thus, $\bar{a}-m \bar{e}-h i p$, they two, or they, strike us two; $k$ '-mé-hip-si, they two, or they, strike thee. Thus also $\bar{a}-m \bar{e}-h i p-s \bar{i}$, they two, or they, strike thee and me. Note $m \bar{e}-h i p$, they two strike me and him; but méehip.si$\cdot g \bar{e}$, , they (plural) strike me and him.

An object of the third person dual or plural is indicated by adding $s \bar{\imath}$ to the $\bar{u}$ denoting an object of the third person singular ; thus, $k$ '-hip-tiu-s $\bar{\imath}$, thou strikest them; mung-khu$-s \bar{x}$, he made them play; hip-s $\bar{u}-s \bar{i}$, they two struck them, etc. The suffix ng of the first person singular and the suffix $m$ of the first and second persons plural are put both alter $\bar{u}$ and $s \bar{\imath}$; thus, chāj $\bar{a}-t u-n g-s \bar{u}-n g$, I feasted them; hip-t $\bar{u}-m-s \bar{i}-m-b \bar{e}$, we strike them. In the second person singular of the imperative the termination is $\bar{\imath} 8 \vec{e}$ and not $\bar{e} s \bar{\imath}$; thus, hip-tī-sé, strike them two.

A subject of the first person plural including the person addressed is indicated by prefixing $\bar{a}$; thus, $\bar{a}-p \ddot{e g}$, I and you go; $\bar{a}-p \bar{p} k-s \vec{e}$, we became. Such forms are never used with an olject of the first or the second person. If there is an object of the third person, $m$ is added to the suffix of the object; thus, $\bar{u}-h i p-t \bar{u}-m$, we strike, or struck him ; $\bar{a}-h i p-t \bar{u}-m-s \bar{\imath}-m$, we strike, or struck them.

The prefix $\bar{a}$ is also used to denote an object of the inclusive first person plural ; thus, $\bar{a}-k^{\prime}-h i p$, thoi, or you, strike us; $\bar{a}$-hip-te , he struck us; $\bar{a}-m \bar{e}-h i p$, they strike us. Such forms are properly passive. If the subject is of the first person singular, an object of the first person plural is indicated by adding ne-ni ; thus, hip-ne-ni-ng, I strike us.

If the person addressed is excluded, the suffix of the first person plural is $\overline{i g e}$ in intransitive and passive verbs; thus, pēg-i$-g \bar{e}$, we go, we went: pōk-s-i$-g \bar{e}$, we became; $w \bar{a}-\bar{i}-g \bar{e}$, we were. With an object of the second person the corresponding suffix is $\bar{a}-s \bar{\imath}-g \bar{e}$, and it is $m-b \bar{e}$ if there is an object of the third person; thus, hip- $\bar{a}-8 \bar{i}-g \bar{e}$, we
strike, or struok, thee, or you two, or you; hip-tī-m-bë, we strike, or struck, him ; hipt $\bar{u}-m-8 \bar{i}-m-b \bar{e}$, we strike, or struck, them.

An object of the exclusive first person dual is indioated by adding $\bar{i}-g \bar{e}$, thus, hip-t-$\bar{i}-g \bar{e}$, he strikes us; $m \bar{e}-h i p-t-\bar{\imath} \cdot g \bar{e}$, they strike us. Such forms are properly passive. Corresponding forms with a subject of the first or second person do not appear to exist.

A subject of the second person plural is indicated by prefixing $k$ ' and suffixing an $i$, before which a final vowel is often dropped. Thus, $k^{\prime}-p e \bar{e} g \cdot \bar{i}$, you go ; $k^{\prime}-p \bar{o} k \cdot h-\bar{i}$, you became; $\bar{a} \cdot k \cdot h i p-t-\bar{i}$, you strike me. If there is an object of the first person, the final $\bar{i}$ is appareutly dropped in the present; thus, $\bar{a}-k^{\prime}-h i p$, you strike me, or us. An $m$ is substituted for $\bar{i}$, if there is an objeot of the third person ; thus, $k^{\prime}$-hip-tī-m-si$-m$, you strike them. The same $m$ is used in the imperative of transitive verbs before the suffix of the second person plural, which is in that form mé ; thus, hip-te-m-mé, strike ye him ; $\vec{a}$-hip$t e-m-m e \bar{e}$, strike me, or us ; hip-te-m-sī-mé, strike them.

A subject of the third person plural is marked by adding the prefix mée; thus, mépong, they become; mè-lak-he, they were playing; $\bar{a}-m \bar{e}-h i p-t \bar{e}-c h \bar{i}$, they struck us, etc.

Verbs substantive.-Several bases are used as a verb substantive. $A$ is used in all persons and numbers; thus, ang $\bar{a} n \bar{a} s h t \bar{a} k \bar{e}-c h \bar{o} k-p \bar{a}-\bar{a}$, I sin cloer-am, I have sinned; hing $\cdot \bar{a}$, he is alive. Other bases used in the same way are $b \bar{a}$ and $b \bar{e}, n \bar{e}, n \bar{e}-b \bar{a}, d \bar{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{d} \bar{\imath}-g e \bar{e}$ (first person plural) ning (second person plural), neching (second person dual), etc. The verb $l \bar{o}-m \bar{a}$, to be, is also used as a copula. It takes the form rō after vowels. The present tense is $l \bar{o}$ or ro throughout; the past is $l \bar{o}-r \bar{e}$, which is regularly inflected. The verb pöng-mā, to become, is often used in a similar way. It forms its past tense in sé or $h \bar{e}$; thus, $p \bar{o} k-h$-ang or $p \bar{o} k-s-a n g$, I became. A similar verb is $w \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, to be present, to remain, to be, past $w \bar{a}-y \bar{e}$. The table which follows registers the present and past of this verb :-

|  | Singolar. |  | Doal. |  | Pioral |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present. | Past. | Present. | Past. | Present. | Past. |
| 1 excl. | $w \bar{a}-\bar{a}$ | $w \bar{a}-y-a n g$ | $w \bar{a}-\mathrm{s} \bar{i}-g \bar{\theta}$ | $w \bar{a} \cdot y e \cdot c h \bar{i}-g \bar{e}$ | $w \bar{a}-i-g \bar{e}$ | $w \vec{a}-i-g \bar{e}$ |
| 1 incl . |  |  | $\bar{a}-w \bar{a}-s \bar{\imath}$ | $\bar{a}-u \bar{a}-y \in-c h \bar{d}$ | $\bar{a}-w \bar{a}$ | $\bar{a}-w \bar{a}-y \bar{e}$ |
| 2 | $k^{\prime}-w \vec{a}$ | $k^{\prime}-w \bar{a}-y^{\dot{p}}$ | $k^{\prime}-w \bar{a}-s i$ | $k^{\prime}-w \bar{a}-y \theta-c h \bar{i}$ | $k^{\prime}-w \bar{a}-y \bar{i}$ | $k^{\prime}-w \bar{a}-y \bar{e}$ |
| 3 | $w \bar{a}$ | $w \bar{a}-y \bar{e}$ | w $\bar{a}-s \bar{i}$ |  | $m \bar{g}-w d$ | $m \bar{e}-w \bar{a}-y \bar{e}$ |

Finite verb.-The inflexion of the finite verb is comparatively simple, allowing for the difficulties resulting from the use of the pronominal prefixes and suffixes. There are only two real tenses, a present which is also used as a future, and a past.

Present tense.-The base alone is used as a present tense; thus, pēk- $\bar{a}$, I go; $k \cdot p \overline{e g}$, thou goest; pēg, he goes; dual 1 incl. $\bar{a}-p e \overline{e l}-c h \bar{\imath}, 1$ excl. $p e \bar{e} k-c h \bar{i}-g e \bar{e} ; 2 k '-p e \bar{e} k-$ chī; 3 pēk-ch̄̄; plural 1 incl. $\ddot{a}-p e ̄ g ; 1$ excl. pēg-igē ; $2 k \prime-p e ̄ g-\bar{\imath} ; 3$ mé-pëg.

In the case of transitive verbs the same form is often used in the present and past tense. Some of these seem to belong to the present, while others appear to be originally
forms of the past. To the former class belong some forms where the subject is of the first person and the object of the second, and which seem to be closely connected with the forms registered above under the head of middle voice. Such forms are hip-ne, I strike thee; $\bar{a}-h i p-n e-c h \bar{i}$, I strike us two; hip-ne-ching, I strike you two; hip-ne-ni-ng, I strike you, or us; hip-ne-chi$-g e \bar{e}$, we two strike thee, or you; hip $\bar{a}-8 i-g \bar{e}$, we strike thee, or you.

To the second class, which seems to contain forms originally belonging to the past tense, belong all those forms which contain an object suffix of the third person if the subject is of the singular or the plural ; thus, hip-ti$-n g$, I strike him; mé-hip-t $\bar{u}-8 \bar{i}$, they strike them. The same is the case if the object is of the second person plural and the subject of the third person ; thus, $k^{\prime}-h i p-t \bar{i}$, he strikes you; $k^{\prime}-m \bar{e}-h i p \cdot t i$, , they two, or they, strike you. A form of the same kind is, fimally, the third person singular with an object of the exclusive first person plural ; thus, hip-tī-ge , he strikes us.

All other forms of the present are quite regular. 'Thus, $k$ '-hip- $\bar{a}$, thou strikest me; $\bar{a}-k^{\prime}-h i p$, thou strikest us; $k '-h i p$, thou art struck by him ; $\bar{a}-h i p-s \bar{l}$, we two are struck by him ; $\bar{a}-h i p-s-\bar{u}$, we two strike him, and so forth.

A kind of present definite is formed by adding $\bar{a}, b \bar{a}, b \bar{e}$, or other forms of the copula to the present; thus, $\bar{a}-n \bar{u} \bar{a}-l \bar{l} n g-b \bar{a}$, we are dancing; $l h h \bar{u} n \bar{e} l \bar{a} n g-b \bar{a}$, he is dancing; mé-lāng-bè, they are dancing; klienē $\bar{a} t a n g ~ k '-v a \bar{a}-b \bar{e}$, where do you live?

Past time.-The base alone is sometimes used as a past tense; thus, $k$ '- $p \bar{e}$, wentest; $p \bar{e}$, went. Commonly, however, an $\bar{e}$ is added, thus, $p \bar{e} g \cdot \bar{e}$, went; p $\bar{o} g-\bar{e}$, arose. This $\bar{e}$ is always dropped before pronominal suffixes beginning with vowels; thus, pig-ang, I went; chōg-ū-ng, I did it.

The suffix $\tilde{e}$ is commonly preceded by a consonant. I have noted the following : 一 $k h, t, d, r, s$, and $h$. Thus, pang-kh- $\bar{u}$, he sent him; lōk-te , he ran; hip-t-ang, he struck me; hip-t-ū-ng, I struck him; män- $d-\bar{u}$, he finished cating it; $k \bar{e}-r \bar{e}$, he arrived; phē-rē, he came; sū-r- $\bar{u}-n g$, I inished him; l $\bar{\alpha}-s \bar{e}$, he entered; $k h \bar{o}-s-\bar{u}-n g$, I found him; pök$h \bar{e}$, he became, and so on.

The suffix $\bar{e}$ is also dropped before the copula $\bar{a}$; thus, $p \bar{e} g-\bar{a}$, he went; p $\bar{g} g-\bar{a}$, he arose; $p h \bar{e}-r-\bar{a}$, he came.

A final consonant is often changed in the past. Thus $t$ is dropped in $s \bar{u} t-m \bar{i}$, to finisl, past $s \bar{u}-r-\bar{u} ; n g$ is changed to $k$ in $p \bar{o} n g-m \bar{a}$, to become, past po$k$-hé, became; la $n g$ $m \bar{a}$, to dance, past $l \bar{a} k-\bar{e}$, he danced, etc.

A perfect is formed by adding $b \bar{a} n$ to the past; thus, $k h \bar{o}-s \bar{u}-n g-b \bar{a} n$, I have found him; sep-k'-pang-khī-sī, thou hast caused them to kill; chōgū-bān, he has done.

A pluperfect is formed by adding $b \bar{a} n \bar{e}-b \bar{a}$ or $b \bar{a} n e \bar{s}-b \bar{a}$ to the past; thus, $\bar{i}-\bar{\iota} \bar{u} b \bar{a} n \bar{e}(s)-$ $b \bar{a}$, he had said.

Other periphrastic forms of the past are sī̄ $\bar{a}-b \bar{e}-b \bar{a} n$, he has been dead; kī$-m \bar{a}-b e n$ $w \bar{a}$, he is a-lost-one, he was lost; khom-mena $\bar{a}$, he was found by us; hip-t $\bar{u}-m-m e n \bar{a}$, we were beating him; hip-t $\bar{u}-m$-menā-s $\bar{u}$, were beating them, and so on.

Imperative. -The imperative is formed by adding $\vec{e}$, before which the same consonants can be used as in the case of the past tense, with which the imperative mainly agrees in form. Thus, pēg-è, go ; yūng-ē, sit ; pū-rē, give ; lōk-tē, run.

In the dual chē or $s \bar{e}$, and in the plural $m \bar{c}$ is added; thus, pègechê, pëgemé, go ye; chēsē, chḕmeè, eat; yüngechē, yüngemé, sit, and so on.

A corresponding first person dual and plural is formed by adding $c h i \bar{i}, \bar{i}$, respectively ; thus, pē-chi, let us two go ; pēg-i , let us go. In ch $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, let us eat, the infinitive is used instead, and in thang, let us become (merry), the base alone is employed as an imperative.

An object is indicated by inserting the usual prefixes and suffixes; thus, $p_{i}-r-a n g-n \bar{e}$, give me; chōk-ang-ē, make me; $\bar{a}-h \bar{a}-t e \bar{e}$, divide to us; chak-te-mé, put it on him ; sē-r-$\vec{d}-m \bar{e}$, kill it, etc. The form $p \bar{i}-r a n g-n \bar{e}$, give me, shows that $\bar{e}$ is often replaced by $n \bar{e}$ after $n g$.

A negative imperative is expressed by prefixing meh and suffixing $n \bar{e}$, or, after $n g$ nine $\bar{e}$ in the singular, chē-né in the dual, and mine $\bar{e}$ in the plural. Thus, meh met-angniné, do not call me; meh hip-tem-minë, do not ye beat lim. If there is already a prefix indicating the object, $n$, or, before labials, $m$, is added to this prefix, and meh is not used. The table which follows registers the principal forms of the imperative of hip-m $\bar{a}$, to strike.

|  | Sthieb thod. |  | Strife fe two. |  | Sthiem if. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Positive. | Negative, | Positive. | Negative. | Poritive. | Negative. |
| me | hip-tang.è | meh-hip-tang- $n \mathbf{i n e}$ | $\bar{a}-h i p-t_{\theta-c h e}^{e}$ | $\underset{n \bar{e}}{\bar{a} n-h i p-t e-c h \bar{e}-}$ | n-hip-tem-me' | $\bar{a} n$-lıip-tem-minē |
| thee | hip-sing-e | meh-hip-singnine |  |  |  |  |
| him | hiprtè | meh-hip-lè-n̄ | hip-te-che | moh-hip-te-chē$n \bar{e}$ | hip-tem-mē | meh-hip-tem~minē |
| us two or uB | a-hip-tē | $\bar{\sim}-n-h i p-t \bar{e}-n \bar{e}$ | $\bar{a}-h i p-t_{\theta}-c h \bar{e}$ | $\bar{a} n-h i p-t e-c h e ̄ .$ $n \bar{e}$ | $\bar{a}_{\mathbf{a}} \mathrm{h}$ hip-tem-mē | $\bar{a} n$-hip-tem•minē |
| you two or you |  |  | hip-ne-chē | meh-hip-ne-chē$n \bar{e}$ | hip-āsi-me | meh-hip-äsimminè |
| them two or them | hip-tē-see | meh-hip-tē-sē-2n्̄̄ | hip-te-chth-sē | $\begin{aligned} & \text { meh-hip-ts chi-1 } \\ & s \bar{e}-n \bar{e} \end{aligned}$ | hip-fem-si-mp | meh-hip-tem-simminè |

An $\bar{o}$ can be added to the positive imperative, if the action is desired to take place after some time; thus, hip-tang-è- $\bar{o}$, strike me in a little while.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The suffix mä is commonly used in order to form an infinitive or verbal noun; thus, $k \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, to divide ; $k \bar{u}-m \bar{a}$, to carry on one's back; $k h o-m a \bar{a}$, to lift up; $k h \bar{o}-m \bar{a}$, to find ; saplā $n \bar{p} p-m \bar{a} \operatorname{sa} p-m \bar{a} k \prime-l e-s \bar{u}-b \bar{a} k i e n-l e-s \bar{u}-n-b \bar{\imath}$, can you read and write or no?

The infinitive of purpose is formed by adding $s \bar{e}, c h e \bar{e}$, or sometimes $k h \bar{e}$, thus, kömsē, in order to graze; $\bar{o} m e-c h e \bar{e}$, in order to watch; ang $\bar{a} y \bar{a} k t h \bar{u} n g-b \bar{a}-\bar{a}$ ang sipāh $\bar{\imath}-y \bar{o}$ bhart $\bar{u}$ pōng-khē (or -sē) tē-ang, I am a Limbu and I have come to become a soldier; tändik anga $k '-h i p-s \bar{c} p e ̈ k-\bar{a}$, to-morrow I will go to beat thee.

A relative participle and noun of agency is formed by prefixing $k \bar{e}$ and sufixing $p \bar{a}$ or $b \bar{a}$, feminine $m \bar{a}$; thus, $k \bar{e}-c h \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, an eater ; $k \bar{e}-c h \bar{o} k-p \bar{a}$, a doer; $k \bar{e}-y \bar{u} n g-b \bar{a}$, a sitter, an inhabitant. Such forms can be inflected for person. Compare the forms of kë-hippā, a striker, which follow :-

|  | Siugular. | Dual. | Plural. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 incl. |  | $k \bar{e}-h i p-p \bar{a}-8 \mathrm{i}$ | $k \bar{\theta} \cdot h i p-p \bar{a}-t \bar{i}$ |
| 1 exclusive | ${ }_{\text {k }} \bar{e}-h i p-p \bar{a}$ | $k \bar{e}-h i p-p \bar{a}-s \bar{i}-g \bar{e}$ | $k \bar{e}-h i p-p \bar{L}-t i-g e{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 2 | $k \bar{e}-h i p-p \bar{a}-n \bar{e}$ |  |  |
| 3 | $h e ̄-h i p-p \underline{L}$ | $k \bar{e}-h i p-p \overline{-s} \bar{s}^{\text {u }}$ | $k \bar{e}-h i p-p \bar{a}-s \bar{\Sigma}$ |

Thus, $\bar{a} t a n g k \bar{e}-y \bar{u} n g-b \bar{a}-n \bar{e}$, where sitter-you? where do you dwell ? Kōyā khōl $\bar{a} k \bar{e}-$ $y \bar{u} n g-b \bar{a}-\bar{a}$, Koya river sitter-am, I live in the Koya district; Köyā khōlāsang átī'n püng-phē-yō $k \bar{e}-y \bar{u} n g-b \bar{a}-n \bar{e}$, Koya being what village-in sitter-art? in what village of the Koya do you dwell? ang $\bar{a}$ nēes $\bar{u}-n g$ khenē yāmbōk nō-rik kē-chōg-pā-nē rēchhō, I see-it thou work well doer-art stayest, I see you did your work well.

A verbal noun denoting the thing done or effected by the action of the verb is formed by adding $n$; i.e., probably $i n$, to the base; thus, $c h \bar{a}-n$, food ; $p \bar{u}-n$, speech.

There is no conjunctive participle. The word ang, also, and, is added to the ordinary tenses instead; thus, tāndik angä $k$ 'hip- $\bar{a}$-ang khené $k$ '-pëg, to-morrow me thou-wilt beat-me-and thou thou-wilt-go, to-morrow having beaten me thou wilt go; mëmáa ang $\tilde{a}$ $k^{\prime} \cdot h i p-t a n g-a n g ~ k h e n e \bar{e} k '-p e \bar{g} \bar{e}-b \bar{a} n$, yesterday me thou-struckest-and thou thou-gone-hast; $t e \bar{t}$-h $\bar{\alpha}$ lo-te-mé-ang chak-te-mé, clothes take-them-out-ye-and put-on-him-ye, having brought clothes put them on him ; lh $\bar{o} p-s \bar{u}-a n g$, having taken up; $k \bar{e}-r \cdot \bar{e}-a n g$, having arrived. Sang is sometimes used instead of ang; thus, w $\bar{x}-y \bar{e}-s-a n g$, Laving been; khené y $\bar{a} k$ th $\bar{u} n g-b \bar{a}-n \bar{e}-s-a n g \bar{a} t \bar{z} s m \bar{a} y \bar{a} k t h \bar{u} n g-b \bar{a}-n \bar{e}$, thou Limbu-being what-sort Limbu-art?

An adverbial participle is formed by adding lē, $\bar{\imath} l \bar{e}, n \bar{l} \bar{e}$, or melē; thus, tukhē chyā$l \bar{e}$, distress becoming; tāndik lchünē hip- $\bar{a}-\bar{\imath} l \bar{e} k h e n e \bar{e} t \bar{e}$, to-morrow he beats-me-when thou come, come thou to-morrow at the time when he is beating me; memā khūnē hip-tangnīlē $l i h e n e \bar{e} l{ }^{\prime}$-tē, yesterday when he was beating me thou camest; $\bar{a}$-set-láak-me-lé, my-hunger-getting-on, because I am hungering, (I die) from hunger; khenī nākhen $k$ '-hip$t \bar{u}-m$-melē angā nē-neni-ng, I see you beating him.

It will be seen that the difference between $\bar{i} l \bar{e}$ and nīlē is that the latter is used after nasals. This form, which should be compared with the suffix lē, īlē, nīlē, mentioned above under the head of nouns, is often used in conditional phrases; thus, angä sing gōt $\bar{u}-n g-n \bar{u} l \bar{e}$ ang $\bar{a} h i m ~ c h o ̄ k-m \bar{a} s u \bar{u} k-t \bar{v}-n g$, if I had wood I could build a house.

Negative verb.-The negative verb is formed from the positive one by prefixing meh and suffixing $i n$, or, after vowels $n$. If the verb contains a pronominal prefix meh is replaced by $e n, n$, or, before labials $e m, m$, respectively, added to the prefix. The sulfix $i n$ is replaced by nen if it is added immediately to the base; thus, mel k $\overline{0}-t \bar{u}-n$, he did not get anything; meh-hip- $\bar{d}-\operatorname{sing}-i n$, I do not beat myself; ken-hip- $\bar{a}-i n$, thou art not beating me; ān-ken-hip-nen, thou art nct beating us.

Interrogative particle.-There is apparently an interrogative particle $g \bar{o}$; thus, $h \bar{a} n \bar{e}-g \bar{o}$, who is it $\hat{p}$ khenē $\bar{a} t \bar{t} \hat{k}$ '-wä-g $\bar{o}$, where do you dwell? Bē can also be added; thus, khene $k^{\prime}$-pēg-bē, goest thou? Khüné pēebē, did he go? In disjunctive questions $b \bar{u}$ is added to both members; thus, khenē āchōn liāillē-kāīlē sarkār-ēō yämbōk k'-chōgū-bī kenchōg $\bar{u}-n-b \bar{z}$, thou formerly ever-erer government-in work didst didst-not? have you ever served the government before or not?

Compound verbs.-Causals are sometimes formed by aspirating the initial consonant ; thus, $p \bar{e}-m \bar{a}$, to fly ; phe$-m \bar{a}$, to cause to fly. The usual way of forming causal verbs is, however, to add pang-māar or $y \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, to the principal verb; thus, sem-p $\bar{a} n g-k h \bar{u}-s \bar{u}$, he caused them to kill; sep-k'-pang-khū-si-bān, thou hast caused them to kill. The princiral verb can be inflected as well, and $l \bar{o}, r \bar{o}$, is often interposed; thus, ang $\bar{a}$ apne peg- $\bar{a}-\operatorname{sing}-l \bar{o}$ pāng- $\bar{a}-s i n g$ (or $y \bar{a}-n-\bar{a}-\operatorname{sing}$ ), I oause myself to go; angā khene $k$ ' peg-lō-
 pāng-tech $\bar{\imath}$; they caused you two to go yesterday, etc.

Frequentatives are formed by adding $l \bar{o}, r \bar{o}$, followed by the verb $p \bar{t} t-m \bar{a}$, to continue, to the various forms of the principal verb; thus, hip-né-rō-pōt-né, I am continuing to beat thee. The past tense of $p \bar{o} t-m \bar{a}$ is $p \bar{o} t-c h \bar{e}$ which is regularly inflected.

Desideratives are formed by adding $k \bar{o}$ followed by the inflected auxiliary $l \bar{o}-m \bar{a}$, to be, to the inflected principal verb; thus, hip-tüng-kō-k'-lō, thou art, wishing to beat him ; chang-kō-lō, he wanted to eat.

An inceptive is formed by adding the various forms of chog-mă, to do, to the verbal noun ending in $m \bar{a}$; thus, pe $\bar{g}-m \bar{a} c h \bar{o} g-\bar{a}-s i n g$, I begin to go. By adding the various forms of $k \bar{t} t-m \bar{a}$, to be ready, to this form or to the inflected principal verb, a compound is formed which means 'to be about,' 'to be ready' to accomplish the action indicated by the principal verb; thus, hip-mă chōg- $\bar{u}-n g$ kōt- $\bar{u}-n g$, I am ready to beat him.

Potentials and completives are formed by adding $s \bar{u} k-m \bar{a}$, to be able, and $s \bar{u} t-m \bar{a}$, to finish, respectively, to the verbal noun ending in $m \bar{a}$; thus, hip-m $\bar{a} k$ 'sūk- $\bar{a}$, thou canst beat me; hip-mā $s \bar{u}-r-\bar{u}$, he is finishing beating me.

Note finally the particle $m \bar{u}$ in forms such as $p \bar{e}-m \bar{u}$, he went it is said ; hip-t $\bar{u}-s \bar{u}-m \bar{u}$, they beat they say. $M_{\bar{u}}$ can be compared with Sanskrit liila, etc.

A more detailed account of Limbu grammar, from the pen of Major Senior, will be published in the near future. Till then the student is referred for further details to the specimens which follow. The first is a version of the Parable for which I am indelted to Major Senior. The same gentleman has also been good enough to correct the worst mistakes in the remaining two specimens and in the list of Standard Words and Phrases which is printed on pp. 408 and If. The original list was kindly prepared for the purposes of this Survey by the Nepal Darbar. The second specimen is a comparatively correct rendering of the Parable in the dialect of the Fäguarāi Limbus, which has been received from Darjeeling. The third specimen, 'which has been kindly forwarded by the Nepal Darbar, is a version of the Parable in the dialect of the Camarkhōlēā sept of Limbus. It contains several mistakes, and it bas not been possible to corrent them all.
[ No. 27.]

## TIbeto-Burman Family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

LIMBU.
Fedopíà Dialect.


 music they-clancing-playing-were
he-heard. Servant one summoned-having sēlāp-tūng, [!] 'kōn thēbe? thiang mē-mūkū, lhiang mē-lāng-bē?' he-asked-him, 'this what? why they-play, why they-dancong-arep'
Sēōtē-lē tīchek-tū, 'k'-nūsā kē-mā-ben kū-m-pā-rē
Servant-by he-said-lo-him, 'thy-younger-brother the-lost-one his-father-by khō-sū-ang tāh-rū, ānī-gē sīrā thang-ang kū-w-pā-rē khūnchī found-him-having brought-him, we glad come-having his-fathas-by them
lūng-pāng-khūsī, gānā bājā mūng-hbīsī. Kū-m-pā-rē orūp-lūp to-dance-caused-them, singing music made-them-play. His-father-by fattened
kē-lū-ben bōhōren phe-tē-mē-ang sem-pāng-khūsi. Kü-m-pī-rē kōn the-being-one heifer brought-having to-kill-caused-them. Mis-father-by this yāmbōk-īn kak chōgū-bā(n) (or chōg-pāng-khūsī), thīang-phelē work all done-has (to-do-caused-them), because k'nūsā men-dūk-hē-men-chak-hē khō-sū.' Kū-sā tūmbē-lē thy-younger-brother not-ill he-found-him.' His-son elder-by
khep-sū-ang kū-yāk lērē kū-him-bēsang thang-mā meh-ten-nen.
heard-it-having his-anger got-loose his-honse-near to-come-up not-wanted. Kū-m-pā kōt-nānak phērē-ang kū-sā-n tūm-bā hēkīang ūtü. His-father this-side come-having his-son eldest then he-called-him. Khūnē lrū-m-pā-rēō pān sīlāp-tū, 'ōmēt-ang-ē, kōn yārik tōngbè He his-father-to speech he-asked, 'look-to-me-thou, these so-many years k'-bēsang wāyang; k'-pān khep-sū-ng (ur tend-ang); k'-yāmbōk chōgū-ng. thee-near was-I; thy-speech heard-I (obeyed-I); thy-work did-I.
Kāīlē-rang näshtā men-chōg-pā. Kōrō mēndak-sā thīk ken-pī-rang-nen (or -nin),
Ever evil not-doer. But goat-young one thou-gavest-me-not, jāsōrī a-n-dīngbā-hā chājā-tūng-sing. $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{lo} \mathrm{c}$ k'-sī̀ chīk-pen tē-ang, so-that my-friends feast-them-I. Now thy-son younger come-having, chīā k'-jīkōkwā-hā lep-tū tē-sū-bān, khenē khūnē k'-tāh-r'ūwho thy-substance threw given-away-has, thou him thou-brought-himeang būhōren chīa khenē k'-hing-khū khūnē kū-lagì àlō having heifer which thou thou-didst-care-for-it he his-sake-for nowo sep-k'-pāng-khūsī-bān.' Kū-m-pā-rē khūnē mētū, 'ā-sā-è, to-kill-thou-caused-them-hast.' His-father-by him he-saidl-to-him, 'my-son-O, khenē ā-bēsang sōdē-phōrē k'wā; chī̀ angā-ō kē-wā-ben (or -pān), thou me-with always thou-art; what mine remains,
khenē-in. Sīā thang-ang sōsō-lālang chōg-mā nō-bā, thīang-phelē kōn thine. Merry become-having festivity to-do good, because this k'-nūsǜ sī̄-bān, ālō hing-à; khūnē kē-mā-ben, àlō yanç-phērī thy-younger-brothor died-had, now lives; he lost-one-was, now again khō-sū-ng-bān.' found-him-I-have.'

# TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY. Tibeto-Himalayan Group. 

## LIMBU.

Fāgūràí Dialect.

(District Darjeeling.)


ningwā-tē-rō mē-yūng-è.
heart-came they-sat.



## TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

## LIMBU.

Tamarehoilea Dialect.

(Nepal.)
Lōchbā yāmi thik-lē nechhī ku-sā wēyè-chhī. Nechhī-yō phōbā-lē, Some man one-to two his-sons were-they. Two-in younger-by, 'a-m-bā-rē, chyō pōmparné (sic) ansa-bhāg, pī-rang-nē,' mē-tū-lé 'my-father- $O$, which I-should-get share, give-me-thou,' said-to-him-when
a-m-bā-rē ansa-bhāg pī-rang. Hėkiang tāndik hcllē phōbā-lē $m y$-father-by share gave-to-me. Then afterwards that-by younger-by kāk rāng-sā sup-sū khōp-sū māngkhā pardēs pē-mū. all money-goods gathered took-up far other-country went-it-is-said. Mābēkhu (sic) mōj-sanga-khungā ku-yānğ-ku-sã kāk mān-dù. There merriment-with his-money-his-goods all finished-eating.
Kharcha chōgū-mān-dū-ang hen ṭhāw-èō yom-bà sak pükhā, Expenditure done-eating-finished-having that place-in heavy famine happened, hellē tūkhē chīā-lē hen thāw-ēō lōchhā manuā thik-lē pē-ang him-to distress becoming that place-at some man one-to gone-having
wê-yā. Hen sahar-bīsi-lē pyāngsī-ō phāk kōm-sē pāng-khū. he-was-present. That city-dweller-by rice-field-in swine grazing-for sent-him. Thik-lāng thē-ang mem-bī-rū-n. Hellē phāk-len chö-bīn khalung-lang Anyone-by anything they-not-gave-him. Those pigs-of food husks-with melh-yō-sū-n, 'angā ā-sakpõ khā-khā-lā.' Chēt-pa-chōğ-anç pā-tū-mé-tū, not-sufficed, 'I my-belly filled.' Seinse-making said-spoke, 'a-m-bā-rē-mō ku-chākarē lōtō mē-jō-ang mè-mān-dū-lē 'my-father-of-in his-servants bread they-eaten-having they-finished-eating-while angã set-lāk-melē siāng. Angã a-m-bā-rēō pekā-ang, "am-bē, $I$ lunger-getting am-dying. I my-father-near going, "father- $O$, henē-nū pāp chơgū-ug', Bhagwān-nū pāp chōgū-ng. Angã 'ī-sū-ē' thee-from sin did-I, God-from sin did-I. I 'my-son-O' mēmang-swāb-mē-jukāi (sic). Angā-in henē k'-tarmāidār chōg-anģeé," I-am-not-worthy-to-be-called. Me thou thy-servant make-me,"
mē-tū-ng.' l'ōgang henē (sic) a-m-bä-rè-pōk (sic) pēgang yōrik eoill-say-to-him-I.' Having-arisen thou my-father-near gone-having very pharīk wē-yang ku-m-bā-rè nē-sū-ang ku-lung-mn̄̄ dūkī̀ lōkfar been-having his-father-by seen-hin-having his-liver pained, run-

| tang <br> having | pēg-ang gone-having | ku-ning-mā-yō his-neck-on | hep-tū-ang embraced-having | näm-sū. <br> smelt-him. | Ku-sā-lē <br> His-son-by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ku-m-bā his-father | mētũ, he-said-to-him, | $\begin{array}{ll} \cdot h \bar{e} & \mathrm{a}-1 \\ 2, & O \\ & m y-f \end{array}$ | BLagwān-nū O, God-from | henè-nū <br> thee-from | mukhyānjī before |
| sin | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { ōg-ū-ng. } & \text { Angā } \\ \text { did-I. } & M e \end{array}$ | $\bar{a}$ henē <br> thou | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { sā-ē" } & \text { mēt-mā } \\ \text { on- } O^{\prime \prime} & \text { to-say } \end{array}$ | meh-lā worthy-a |  | a-m-bā-rē henē k'-chākarē-hā k'-mētūsī, 'nōb tēt thāk-tē-mē-my-father-by thou thy-servants saidst-to-them, 'good clothes from-belowang kōl-lē ku-huk-khēyō anguti wā-te-mē, ku-lang-hā-yō. brought-ye-having this-one-to his-hand-palms-on ring put-on-ye, his-feet-on juttā wā-te-mē. Ānī chā-mā thūng-mā mōj chōgī. Thī-ang-phā-lē shoes put-on-ye. We to-eat to-drink merriment should-make. Because kōn ā-sā siā-bēbān, phērī hingā; mai-bēbān-nin, phērī khōm-menā.' this my-son dead-has-been, again alive-is; lost-has-been, again found-was.' Hēkiang hen-hā-rē hun-chhī sīrā thāngā. Then them-by their pleasure came.

Hellē ku-sā tūm-bān pyāngsī-ō wē-yā. Nōkh-phērā him Him-of his-son elder field-in was. He-returned-he-came house bēsang kērā bājā-nu-lāng khep-sū. Chākarē lōchlā-thik ū-tū-ang near arrived music-and-dance he-heard. Servant some-one called-having selāp-tī-lē, ' $k$ '-nū-sā tē-ang ku-yam-tuk-mā-sāk-mā ke-m-bā-asked-when, 'thy-younger-brother come-having his-body-safe-and-sound thy-fatherrē bhōj thik chōgū,' chākarē-lē mētū. Hellē ku-yāk lerē, by feast one did,' servant-by said-to-him. Him-of his-anger loosed, bhitra meh-pē-n. Hellē ku-m-bā pākhā phērā-ang lēmū-thuptū. inside not-went. Him-of his-father outside come-having entreated-him. Ku-m-bā-rē ku-bān nōk-tū, 'kōn yārīk barkha-pōkbà henē Bis-father-to his-word he-gave-back, 'these many years-became thou k'-chākar chōgū-ng. Kailē-yang k'-bān men-khem-bē-bān? Aphālang thy-service did-I. Ever-even thy-word have-I-not-listened-to? Ever henē angā ā-n-dinģ-bhā-nū mōj chōg-mā lōchhā bhēṛā sā thikā thou I my-friends-with merriment to-make some sheep child one kem-pī-rang-nen. Bēsyā-nū sampati kē-chā-pā kōn k'-sān tāi-mennī, thou-gavest-not-to-me. Harlots-with property eater this thy-son coming-on, benē hellē ku-lāgī bhōj bē-chōg-pā-nē.' Tara hellē a-m-bā-rē thou him-of his-sake-for feast doer-art.' Then him-of my-father-by mētū, 'hē à-sā-ē, henē sadhai angā-nū-sōrik k'-wā; angā chīā said-to-him, ' $O$ my-son- $O$, thou always me-with art; I what kūtū-ng-bān kāk henē-in-bā. Harkha-lhhōj chōg-mā nō-bā; thiang-phālē possessed-I-have all thine-is. Joy-feast to-make good; because kōn k'-nū-sā siā-băn, hing-tē; mai-bē-bān-in, ā-khō-sūm.' this thy-younger-brother died-has, lived; lost-had-been, we-found-him.'

## YĀKHA

The Yākbās are a small tribe who are found in the same localities as the Limbus, i.e. between the Arun River and the Singilela range. They are not numerous. Most of them are found in Chainpur. There ard also small settlements in Darjecling and Sikkim, and at the last Census of 1901, Yākhās were also returned from Assam.

No estimates are available about the number of speakers in Nepal. According to
Number of speakers. information colleoted for the purposes of this Survey the dialect was spoken by 1,250 individuals in Darjeeling. At the last Census of 1904, Yäkhā was returned from the following districts : -


According to Sir Herbert Risley, the tribe call themselves yak-thomba or yakherds, with reference to the tradition that this was their characteristic occupation before they crossed the Himalaya into Eastera Nepal. Compare the denomination y $\bar{a} k$-thūng-bü which the Limbus apply to themselves. Hodgson, on the other haud, is inclined to identify the Yākhās with the Yakkhas mentioned in the Mahāvamsa as living in the Himālayar.

The Yäkhās, like the Jimdārs, use the honorific title Rāi to denote themselves.

## AUTHORITIES-

Hodason, B. H.,-Comparative Vocabulary of the several Languages (Dialects) of the celebrated people called Kirântis, now occupying the eastern-most province of the kingdom of Népill, or the busin of the river Arun, which province is named after them Kirânt. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 333 and ff. Reprinted in Misc6llaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects, Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 177 and ff.
Honter, W. W., A Oomparative Diclionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.

Two specimens and a list of Standard Words and Phrases have been recoived from Darjeeling. The handwriting in the list was so bad that some passages could not be restored with certainty. Such cases have been indicated by adding a query within parenthesis.

Pronunciation. - The vorels $a, i$, and $u$ may be either long or short. The long and short sounds, however, sometimes interchange in the same word, e.g. in the plural suffix $c h \bar{\imath}$ or $c h i$. It is therefore possible that Yākha agrees with 'libetan in not possessing really long vowels.
$E$ and $y \bar{a}$ are sometimes interchanged ; thus, Tāngkheng and T'ānglihyāng, heaven.
'There are four gutturals, viz.: $k, k h, g$, and $g h$. The dialect also possesses corresponding sets of palatals, dentals, and labials, and probably also of cerebrals.
$C h$ interchanges with $j$ and $z$ in the plural suffix $c h i, j i$ or $z i$.
Concurrent consonants are sometimes assimilated; thus, liām-me instead of käm-be, on work; hip $p \bar{a} n g$ and hichchi, two; ten, village, but tem-be, in the village; ung-khi,
you, but om-bā-gă, your father's. It is not, however, possible to give any definite rules about the matter, the practice of the specimens being too inconsistent.

We bave no information about the use of tones and accent. The visarga which occurs in words such as nuh-nä, small, probably denotes the abrupt tone. The same is the oase with the sign' in Hodgson's list in words such as ap'tu, bring; yük'su, put down, and so forth.

Prefixes.-The prefixes $\bar{a}, i$, and $u$ are of oommon occurrence; thus, $\bar{a}-g \bar{a} \bar{a}-p \bar{a}$, my father ; $i$-chchy $\bar{a}$ and $u$-chchy $\bar{a}$, his son. $A$ is originally the possessive pronoun of the first person, and $i$ and $u$ the comresponding forms of the third person. Compare om-b $\bar{a}-g \bar{a} u$ - $b \bar{a} n g-b e$, your father-of his-house-in, in your father's house.

A similar use of prefixed personal prooouns is common in connected languages. For instance, it forms a very promineut feature of Limbu and of the so-called Kuki-Chin group.

Article. -There are no articles. The numeral $i k k o$, one, is, however, often used with the meaning of an indefinite article; thus, ikko yäp-mī, a man.

Nouns.-Gender.-The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding qualifying afixes. Thus, $b \bar{a}$, father ; m $\dot{a}$, mother: on, horse; on $i-m \bar{a}-c h \bar{a}$, mare: pik, bull ; pik-mā, cow: kuchumā, dog, kutimā, bitch: ippä chhā, male goat; imā chhā, female goat: $火 k i s s \bar{u} d a \imath h e, ~ m a l e ~ d e e r ; ~ k i s s \bar{a} u m a \bar{a}$, female deer.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The usual suffix of the plural is $c h i$ or $z i$; thus, $b \bar{a}-c h i$, fathers; on-zi, horses.

Case.-There are no separate suffixes to indicate the subject of intransitive verbs
 have a dative-accusative formed by adding $g o$.

The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding the suffix ngă. Thus, pāk-u $\bar{a}-n g \bar{a} l u-n \bar{a}$, younger-by said, the younger said. The same suffix is also used to denote the instrument; thus, $s \bar{a} k-n g \ddot{a}$, from hunger.

The suffix $n g \bar{a}$ is closely related to the suffix $g \bar{a}$, which is used to form genitives; thus, $b \bar{a}-g \bar{a}$, of a father. Instead of $g \bar{a}$ we find $n g \bar{a}$ in words such as $\bar{a}-p h \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$, of my uncle; $\bar{a} n i-n g \bar{a}$, our. In such forms the initial consonant of the genitive suffix has perbaps coalesced with a preceding $n g$; compare $\bar{a} n i n g$, we. The governed noun is sometimes repeated before the governing noun by means of a pronominal prefix; thus, om-bā-gā $u$-bāng-be, your-father's his-house-in, in your father's house.

There is no proper suffix of the dative; compare ka piyäng, me (-to) give. Hodgson mentions a suffix $\bar{a}$. It does not occur in the specimens, but is perhaps contained in the example ikio metnyung-m $\bar{a} \bar{a}$-chiya, , to a daughter, in the list, though the initial $\bar{a}$ in $\bar{a}$-chiy $\bar{a}$ can also be the pronominal prefix.

The locative-terminative is formed by adding be to the base or to the genitive; thus, rāj $\bar{i}-b e$, in a country; tem-be, to the village. Note kàm-me instead of kām-be, on work.

Other relations are indicated by adding jostpositions. Such are bhandä, from; lū̄ng, bungà, bongà, bung, bolung, hobung, hunu, from, out of; dekhi, from; hebe, to, towards; helisüng-be, at the back of, behind ; keng, near ; lägi, for the sake of ; nu, nung, nuhung, with ; nuhmug, out of; sämne, bofore, etc.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the words they qualify. Ther commonly end in $h \bar{a}$ or $n \bar{a}$; thus, $u \boldsymbol{u}-n \bar{u} \bar{u}$ yäp-mai, a good man ; nu-hä yā $p$-mi-chi, good men. I do not know if it is more than a mere chance that the suffix $h \bar{a}$ in the list is used before plural nouns, while $n \bar{a}$ is used in the singular. Compare the plural suffix $h \bar{a}$ in Limbu.

The particle of comparison is apparently the Aryan bhandā ; thus, mā-lhand $\bar{a}$-cha $k e t-n \bar{a}$, anyone-from high, highest; $k a \bar{a} k$ bhandà $n u-n \dot{a}$, all from good, best. The writing of the list was so bad that No. 231 could not be restored with certainty.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. The suffix chi, ji, which is added to most numerals, is perhaps identical with the plural suffix chi. In hip-päng nu-hä yäp-mi-chi, two good men, we apparently liave a generic particle päng. Higher numbers appear to be counted in twenties; compare hi-bong hichchi nga i-bong, twenties two and ten, fifty.

Pronouns - It has already been remarked that short forms of the personal pronouns are used as prefixes with the meaning of possessive pronouns. The following occur, $\bar{a}$, my ; $i n, u n$, thy ; $i, u$, his. Compare $\bar{a}-p \bar{a}$, my father; in-ning, thy name; omb $\bar{a}$, i.e. $u n-b \bar{a}$, thy father; $u-g \bar{a} i-p \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$, him-of his-father-by, by his father; $\bar{a}-g \bar{a} \bar{a}-p h \bar{a} n g \bar{a}$ chiyä-gä $u$-bihä $u$-ng $\bar{a}-n u$ lelis $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, me-of my-uncle's son-of his-marriage his-sister-with occurred, the son of my uncle is married to his sister. Om in om-bä, thy father, has been derived from un under the influence of the following $b$.

The prefixed pronouns are the shortest forms of the full bases. Compare $\bar{a}-n i n g$ and $k \bar{a}-n i$, we; $\bar{a}-g \bar{a}$, my $; \vec{a}$, prefix of the first person.

The most common forms of the personal pronouns will be found in the table which follows:-

| $k i \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$. | ing-khi, thou. | $i-k h i, u-k h i$, he. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$, by me. | ing-khi-ng $\bar{a}, \quad u n g-$ lihi-ngä, by thee. | $u-k h i-n g a ̈(n g)$, by him. |
| $\bar{a}-g \bar{a}, \bar{a}-, \mathrm{my}$. | ing $\bar{a}, u n g \bar{a}, i n g-k h i-$ $g \bar{a}, u n g-k h i-g \bar{a}, i n-$, thy. | $i-g \bar{a}, u-g \bar{a}, i-, u-$, Lis. |
| $\bar{a}-n i n g, l \bar{a}-n i, w e$. | ing-khi(-ni), in-ninglihik, you. | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$-jing-khi, ikhä-zi, they. |
| $\bar{a}-n i-n g \bar{a}, \quad k \bar{a}-n i-n g \bar{a}$, by us. | ing-lhi-ngā, by you. | $u-j i-k h i-n g \bar{a}$, by them. |
| $\bar{a}-n i n g \bar{a}$, our. | ing $\bar{a}_{\text {, }}$ your. | $u$-jing $\bar{\alpha}$, their. |

The suffix $k h i$ or $k h i k$ in $i n g-k h i$, thou; $i-k h i$, he, ete., is probably a demonstrative pronoun. It is sometimes also added to the pronoun of the first person; thus, $\bar{a}-n i n g$ $k h i$, we. The suffixes of the plural are $n i$ or ning, and $j i$ or $j i n g$, and $z i$. The latter suffix is the same as that used with nouns.

Other pronouns of the third person are hitina, he ; hunuā, he; and according to Hodgson, khena, yon $\bar{a}, \operatorname{mon} \bar{a}$, and tona, he. They can all be used as demonstrative proncuns. Other demonstratives are $n \bar{a}$, this ; $n i$, this; yo, that; $u$, that; inu, that; $i-k h \bar{a}$, those, etc.

Interrogative pronouns are $i$-sä, who? $i$, $i-j e t i$, $i-l \bar{a}$, what? hene, where? in-khoi, how much, how many? Indefinite pronouns are effected by adding chā to interrogatives; thus, $i$-s $\bar{a}-n g \bar{a}-c h a$, by anyone ; $i$-je-ti-ch $\bar{a}$, anything ; hene-ch $\bar{a}$, anywhere; kaile-ch $\bar{a}$, ever.

The interrogative pronouns are also used as relatives; thus, wākkhu-wä-be is $\bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$ $u-k h \bar{i} p a \bar{k} k-s u-n \bar{a}$, with a citizen who sent him ; jetikā $\bar{a}-g \bar{a}$ vaaitnā $k \bar{a} k$ ung-khi-gā, what mine is, all thine, all that is mine is thine. Such phrases are due to the influence of Aryan vernaculars. The Aryan relative jo is used in kholt $\bar{a}-b e-h u n u$ jo phāk-chī-ngà cho $w o \bar{a}-c h \bar{i}$, from the huslis which the swine ate.

Verbs. -The verb is in all essential features a noun. The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent, which looks like a modified form of the genitive. The person of the subject is not regularly (distinguished in the verb. There are only some indications of a tendency to add affixes denoting the subject. Thus, a $n g$ or $n g \bar{a}$ is sometimes added in the first, and a $k a$ or $g a$ in the second person. Compare nāangà am ; chugu-ngă, I did; n $\bar{a} k-k \bar{a}$, art; khek-k $\bar{a}$, goest. These affixes are inserted before the copula; thus, khem-me-ng $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, going-in-I-am, I shall go; khem-me-ka-n $\bar{a}$, goivg-in-thouart, thou wilt go. This state of affairs agrees with the practice in Muṇdā languages. It is not, however, certain that $n g a \bar{a}$ and $k a$ are in reality personal affixes, our materials not being sufficient for settling the question. Compare u-jing-khik-ngā mokk $\bar{a}$, they beat.

The verb is also shown to be a noun by the fact that plural suffixes oan be added. Thus, cho-w $\bar{a}-c h i$, they ate ; wae-h $\bar{a}-z i$, they were; $i-k h \bar{a}-z i \quad n \bar{a} e-k h \bar{a}$, they are; compare $i-k h \bar{a}$, those.

Verb substantive.-Several bases are used as a rerb substantive. The most common ones are $n \bar{a}, i, w a i$ and wait, leng, leks $\bar{a}$, and so on. The base $h o$ in $m \bar{u}-h o$, is it not $?$ is probably Aryan.

The materials available are not sufficient for giving a full sketch of the conjugation of the verb substantive, the more so because the bad handwriting of the list has made it impossible to arrive at certainty about the real form in all cases.

Finite verb.-The conjugation of finite verbs is apparently comparatively simple. It is not, however, possible to decide how far the actual state of affairs is represented in the texts.

Present time.-The base alone is sometimes used with the meaning of a present; thus, $k \bar{a} \bar{x}, \mathrm{I}$ am ; $k \bar{a} k h e k-n g \bar{a}$, I go. The copula $n \bar{a}$, is, is often added ; thus, wait-n $\bar{a}$, is ; wai-ka-nā, art.

Other forms of the present are apparently participles. A present participle ending in $w \bar{a}$, correspouding to Tibetan $p a$, is used in forms such as $y u n g-y u n g-w \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, sitting is, bo is sitting; hesu-w $\bar{a}-k a-n \bar{a}$, able thou art.

Another suffix of a similar participle is $h \bar{a}$; compare the suffix hā used with adjectives. Thus, wae- $h \bar{a} \cdot c h i$, being-ones, they are; $\bar{a}-n i n g n \bar{a}-h \bar{a}-i$, we being are, we are.

A participle ending in $m e$ seens to occur in yung-me-n $\bar{a}$, he lives. The suffix $m e$ is perhaps connected with the locative sulfix be.

The suffix $t u$ is mol-tu, (he) beats, (you) beat; mok $t u-g \bar{a}$, beatest, perhaps denotes an object of the third person. It has an $m$ added in forms such as mok-tum, we beat; tol-tum-n $\bar{a}$, he is found ; compare the suffix $m$ which is used in several plural forms of the verb in Limbu.

Isolated forms are $n \bar{a} k i k \bar{a}$, thou art; khek-k $\bar{a}$, thou goest; mokk $\bar{a}$, they beat; najk $\bar{u}$, you are; näelilia, they are; mokneng, I strike. They only occur in the list of words.

Past time.-Several of the forms mentioned abore are also used with the meaning of a past. The base alone is apparently used in forms such as chugu-ngā, I did. The
copula $n \bar{a}$ is commonly added; thus, lu-n $\bar{a}$, he said; lämā-ng-n $\bar{a}$, I have walked; $k h y \bar{a}-k \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, wentest. The suffixes $\tau o \bar{u}, h \bar{a}$, and $t u$ or $d u$ ocour in forms such as $c h o-w \bar{a}-o h i$, they ate; lu-w $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, he said; täe-wā-nă, has come; chugu-wáang-nă, I have done; leks $\bar{a}-h \bar{u}$, occurred ; mok-tu-ng $\bar{a}$, I have beaten ; $\operatorname{sim}-d u-n \bar{a}$, he asked.

A sufix $s \bar{a}, s e$ occurs in forms such as wai-s $\bar{a}$ and wai-s $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, was; lek-s $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}, l e k-s e-n \bar{a}$, and lek-sey $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, became. It also occurs in forms such as lek-s $\bar{a}$, be, and is perhaps the suffix of a past verbal noun or participle.

The suffix $s \bar{a}$ is perhaps connected with $s u$, which is often used in order to form a past tense; thus, lept $\bar{a}-h \bar{a} k-s u$, he had wasted; p $\bar{a} k-s u-n \bar{a}$, he sent; si-suk-su-no, killedest; tok-tu-su-n $\bar{a}$, he was found. Compare the final $\bar{u}$ denoting an object of the third person singular in Limbu.

Isolated forms are lägyo, he began; chuioantyo, he wanted. They are Aryan loans.
Future.-The participle ending in $m e$ is commonly used to form a future; thus, $k h e m-m e-n g \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, I shall go; ta $\bar{a}-n e-n g-n \bar{a}$, I shall come; khem-me-ka-n $\bar{a}$, thou wilt go. Suoh forms do not differ from the present. The same is the case with forms such as $k \bar{a}$ leng-ngā, I shall be; lu-wā-ngā-nā, I shall say; and perhaps also kā mok-tuáng-ngà, I shall beat.

The suffix $m$ in $c h \bar{d}-m$, we will eat ; chugu-m, we will make (merry), is perhaps connected with $m e$.

Forms such as lāsā-khep-méa par-lā, to-return is-required, I will return; khusi chug-má-nu wai-nä, merry making-for is, we should make merry; tār-nà par-chha, coming is required, one should come, are not futures. I am not able to analyse them properly.

Imperative.-The base alone is commonly used as an imperative; thus, pi, give.
A sufix $\bar{a}$ is often added; thus, yung- $\bar{a}$, sit; $\bar{a} b \bar{a}$, come; pug $\bar{a}$, stand; siy $\bar{a}$, die. In kā fiyäng, give me, $\bar{a} n g$ is used instead. The final $n g$ is perhaps a pronominal sufíx denoting an object of the first person singular.

The sufixes $t u, d u$, and $s u$ are used in forms such as mok-tu, beat; $t h u n-d u$, bind; tak-su, draw. They perhaps denote the object.
$T \cdot \bar{a}$ is used instead of $t u$ in $l u k-t \bar{a}$, run.
A suffix $n u$ is used in $w \bar{a}-m \bar{a}-p i-n u$, put on; $\bar{a} p-t \bar{a}-n u$, bring. It is probably a postposition meaning 'in order to,' 'for.'

Chuk-mā-leng-di-n $\bar{\imath}$, please make, literally seems to meau 'to make is.' Compare $y u n g-m \bar{a} l e n g-d i$, to sit is, I should be.

The negative imperative is formed by adding $n$ to the base; thus, $k l y \bar{a}-n$, do not go; chugu-n, do not do.

Verbal nouns.-The most usual verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix $m \bar{a}$; thus, $w \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, to live ; chuk-máa, to do ; ch $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, food. Mok-m $\bar{a}-g \bar{a}$, to beat, and $m o k-m a \bar{a}-$ $n g \bar{a}$, beating, are the genitive and the instrumental, respectively, of this form.

Other verbal nouns are formed by adding $\bar{a}, n \bar{a}$ or $t \bar{a}$; thus, uni- $\bar{a}$, to go ; wet-n $\bar{a}$, to be; mok-ta hesu-ng, to beat can-I, I may beat.

In chàrā-chuk-nu, in order to feed, we have the suffix $1 u$ which is also used in the imperative.

Participles. - It has already been mentioned that several participles are apparently used in order to form the Guite tenses. Forms suoh as lek-s $\bar{a}-w \bar{a}$, were; $\bar{a}-n i n g n \bar{a}-h \bar{a}-i$;
we being-are, we are; yung-me-n $\bar{a}$, being-is, he lives, seem to contain participles, or rather verbal nouns, ending iu $w \bar{a}, h \bar{a}, m e$, respectively. Forms such as mok-mā-ng $\bar{a}$, beating, have also been mentioned and explained as cases of the verbal noun.

As in other connected forms of speech participles are also formed by adding suffixes to a verbal noun which is identical with the base; thus, sohung, seeing-from, having seen.

Siyä-rok, dead, seems to mean 'dying like' and to contain a verbal noun ending in $\bar{a}$. In siy $\bar{a}-$ rok- $m \bar{a}$, dead, the sufflx $m \bar{a}$ has been added. It is the same suffix as that used in the formation of verbal nouns.
$M \bar{a}-8 \bar{a} b-w \bar{a}-r \bar{i}$, lost, is formed by adding the suffix $w \bar{a}$ mentioned above and a particle $r i$, which is perhaps an emphatic suffix.

A suffix $n u$ occurs in we-nu-chä, being; yem-nu-n $\bar{a}$, fatted. The latter word contains the suffix $n \dot{a}$ which seems to be the usual suffix of the relative participle. Compare the suffix nä used with adjectives.

A form such as solhung, seeing from, having seen, can be considered as a conjunctive participle. The suffix is hung, which probably means 'from.'

In batlä-chugu-hung-ra, together-making-on, having gathered, ra has been added. This ra is probably an intensifying or indefinite particle related to the $r \bar{i}$ which has been mentioned above.

The most common suffix of the conjunctive farticiple is, howerer, $n \bar{a}$; thus, chugu$n \bar{a}$, having done. $\quad R a$ can be added; thus, luk-t $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}-r a$, having run.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. K $\bar{a}$ mok-t $\bar{a}$ chāe-ng-n $\bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am beaten, literally means 'I beating eating-I-am.'

Negative verb.-The negative verb is apparently formed by suffixing $n$ and adding $n \bar{a}$, is; thus, wāmme-nga-n-n $\bar{a}$, I will not remain. Lek-se-w $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, did not pass, must in that case be a slip instead of lek-se-w $\bar{a}-n-n \bar{a}$. Compare $t \bar{a} e-w \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, be has come. In $k h \bar{a} k-s \bar{a}-n g-n g \bar{a}$, he did not want, $n g$ is apparently used instead. In pi-yo-n-n $\bar{a}$, did not give ; the negative $n$ is preceded by yo. In pi-yo-na, didst not give, this yo is used alone, if pi-yo-nä is not a slip of the pen for pi-yo-n-nă. Hodgson states that the negative particle is an infix $n i$ or nin. It is probable that this $n i$ is identioal with the $n$ just mentioned. If that be the case, the negative verb is formed by adding the negative verb substantive formed by prefixing $n$ to the copula $n \bar{a}$. $M \tilde{a}-n-n \bar{a}$, it is not, seems to contain a double negative, the particle $m \dot{a}$ and $n$.

Interrogative particle.-There is apparently an interrogative particle $l \bar{\alpha}$; thus, $i-l \bar{a}$, what? $n \bar{a}$ on inkhok thäppa$-l \bar{a}$, this horse how old? Another particle $i$ is used in disjunctive questions; thus, cho-ko-n $\bar{a}-i$ män-nă $-i$, have you eaten or not?

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb.
For further details the student is referred to the specimens which follow. The first is a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son, and the second is a conversation with a villager. Both have been received from Darjeeling. A list of Standard Words and Phrases will be found on pp. 408 and ff.
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Y AKHÃ.

## Specimen I.

(District Dabjeeling.)
Ikko yāpmī-gā hichchi ichchyā waisā. U-gā-mā-dekhī pāk-nà-ngā
One man-of two his-sons were. Them-among-from younger-by lu-nā, 'e ā-po, sampati nuhmag ā-gā angsã-chahĩ kā piyāng.' Lo 'said, ' $O$ 'my-father, property from ny share me give.' Then u-khī-ngà u-khī-ngā-chi āpnu sampati hāsu-bi-nā. Pyāk din lekse-wā-nā, him.by them-to own property divided. Many days passed-not, pāk-nā ichchbe kāk baṭlā chugu-hung-ra māngdu-nã rājīpatā-be khewà-nã young his-son all together having-made far country-to went hāku wah $\overline{\bar{a}}$ luchāpan chuguwā-nā din bitwa-nai āpnu sampati and there riotousness doing days spending own property leptā-hāk-su-nā. Jaba u-khī-ngā kāk leptā-hāk-su, taba u rājī-be wasted. When him-by all wasted, then that country-in aghor anikāl leksā-hā. Taba u-khī kangāl lekse-khewā-nā. Ani u-khi mighty famine became. Then he destitute io-be-began. And he khyã-nā, u rājī-be wākkhn-wā-be ikko-gā be wā-mā lāgyo, isā-ngã went, that country-in citizens-in one-of with to-live began, whom-by u-khī āpnu khet-be phāk chārā-chuk-nu pāk-su-nā. Ani u-khī-ngā u him own field-in swine to-graze sent. And him-by those knolțā-be-hunu jo phāk-chī-ngà cho-wā-chī, àpnu pet bharā-mā chuwāntyo, husks-in-from which swine-by ate, own belly to-fill wanted, ani isā-ngà-chī pani u-khī i-je-tī-chā piyonnā. Taba u-khī chet and anyone-by even him anything gave-not. Then to-him sense lekse-yā-nā, ani u-khī-ngā lu-nā, 'ā-gā à-pā-gā nā-khoi khetālä-chī-gā became, and him-by said, 'my my-father-of how-nuany serbants.of pyāk chāleppā leksā-wā, àni k̄̄ sāk-ngā mārā-chungme-ngā-nā. Kā much bread was, and $I$ hunger-with dying-am. I pung-me-ngā-ra $\bar{a}-g \bar{a} \quad \bar{a}-p \bar{a}-h e b e ~ k h e m-m e-n g a ̀-n \bar{a}$ ani $u-k h i ̀-n u n g ~ l u w a ̄-n g a ̄-\bar{n} \bar{a}$, rising my my-father-to go-will and him-to say-will, "e $\bar{a}-p \bar{a}, \quad k a ̄-n g \bar{a}$ Tāng-kheng-be ani ing-khi-be sāmne pāp olugu-ngai. " O my-father, me-by Heaven-to and you-to lefore sin did. K̄̄ pheri ung-khi-kā ichchyā lup-mā rokhiptu-ngā-mā mānuā. Kā $I$ again your son to-say worthy am-not. He
ing-khī-gā khetālā-be bohung ikko chuk-mā-lenç-di-nī." Taba u-khi pugà-nā
your servants-in from one make-please." Then he arose
āpnu ā-pā hebe klyyā-nā. Tara u-khī wai-sā-nā, u-gã his-own father to went. But he (far-oll) was, his i-pā-ngà sohung dayà chugu-nā, ani luk-ta-nā-ra u-gā gāl à-be father-by having-seen pity made, and running his neck-on țã̃ā-chugu-nā ukhi-ngā chuppā chugu-nā. Ichchyā-ngā u-khī-nu iu-nā embracing-doing him-by kiss did. The-son-by him-with said, 'ye $\overline{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{a}}, \quad$ kā-ugā Tāngkhyāng-be ani ing-khi-kā sāmne pāp chugu-nā. - O father, me-by Heaven-to and you-of before sin did. Ani plerī ing-khi-gā ohyā lup-mā mānnā.' 'Tara āpā-ngā āpnu And again your son to-say am-not.' But father-by own olākar-chī-hobung lu-nā, 'kāk bhandā nu-nā te niklā-chugu-hung-ra servants-to said, 'all from good cloth having-brought
u-khī wã-mā-pi; ani u-gā muk-be chhen, ani lāng-be jutā wā-mã-pi-nu. him put-on; and his hand-on ring, and feet-on shoes put.
Ani yem-nu-nā pik uchchyā āp-tā-nu si-su. Ani ani-ngā cbām ani And fatted cow its-young-one bring kill. And us-by will-eat and ānand ohugu-m. İrok-bohung, nā à-gà achchyā siyārok, pherī merriment will-make. What-for, this my my-son was-dead, again ningā-nā ; māsā-khiyā-nā-ra, pherī tok-tu-nā.' Taba u-chī-khe-ngā khusi revived; having-been-lost, again was-found.' Then them-by happy ānand chugu-nā.
merriment made.
U-gā tum-nā uchchyā khet-be wai-sã-nā. Jaba u-khī tāmennā pāng-gā His elder his-son field-in wos. When he coming house-of keng tāe-nā, taba bāzā nung lāktā-mā sor lkep-su-nā. Ani u-khi-ngā near came, the music with dancing sound heard. And him-by āpnu dās-be bohung ikko keng kā-nā, ani sim-du-nā, 'nā ijetī?' own slaves-in from one near called, and asked, 'this what?' U-khī-ngā u-khì lu-nā, 'ing-khi-gā nunchhā tīye-mā-nā; ani ing-khi-gā Ilim-by him-to said, 'your brother come-is; and your. pālıā-ngā yem-nu-nā pik uch-chbyā 'si-su-nā, irok-hong u-khi nuroknā father-by fatted cow its-young-one killed, because him safe tok-tu-su-nā.' Tara ukbi-ngā lak-khok-mā chuguk-su-nā ani bhitrā uniā found.' But him-by anger made and inside to-go khāksūng-ngà. U-khi-be u-gā pābā bāirā tāe-nā, u-khi mānã-chug-niā. wanted-not. Therefore his father outside came, him entreated.

leptā-hāk-sung-mānnā. Ani ung-khi-ngā kā kaile-chā ikko meduhā-kā transgressed-not. And you-by me ever one goat-of
uchchyā-chā piyo-nā, ā-gā kām-nib̄̄ nuhung ānand chuk-mã. Tara kid-even gavest-not, my friends with merriment to-make. But ung-khi-gā nā chyā isā besyā nung ung-khi-gā kāk sampati chāi-nā, your this son who harlots with your all property devoured, jaba täe-na, ni-ghari-be ung-khi-ngā u-gā lāgī rem-nu-nā pik when came, that-time-at you-by him-of sake-for fatted cow uchchyā si-suk-su-no.' Pābā-ngā u-khi luk-su-nā, 'he achchyā, ung-kbi its-calf killedest.' Father-by him-to said, 'O my-son, you
kā-nung sadhai wai-ka-na, ani jetikā ā-gā wait-nā, kāk ung-khi-gā me-with always are, and what mine is, all yours mā-bo? Tara khusi ohug-mā-nu-wāi-na, irok-bhane nā ung-khi-gā nunchba is-it-not? But merry making-should-be, because this your brother siyārok-mā wai-sā-nā, pherī hing-ngā-rā-nā; māsāb-wā-rī khyā-wà-nā, pherī dead was, again revived; lost gone-was, again tok-tum-nā.'
is-found.'

## TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

## YÃK HA.

## Specimen 1 I.

(District Dabjeeling.)
Kā Chainpur-be wākhubā nā-ngā, ani Dār-jī-ling-be tāe-wā-nā, bis $I$ Chainpur-in resident am, and Darjiling-in have-come, twenty barkha lekse-nā. Chainpur bohung Dār-jī-ling yechchi din lāmbu wäit-nā. years passed. Chainpur from Darjiling eight days way is. Tāp-mā kherī Dār-j̄̄-ling roknā lāmbu mảnnā. Nuḥ-nā lāmbu bung Coming in Darjiling like road not-is. Small road from tār-nā-par-chha. Aningā tem rok Dār-jī-ling mānnā. Alik din to-come-is-necessary. Our village like Darjiling not-is. Few days wā-mã purlā pherī tem-be lăsā-khep-mā par-lā. Hākku pyāk din living on again village-to to-return is-required. Now many days wàm-me-ngan-nā. Pāng-be ā-gā pābā māmā ani lichī tum-nā āpphu-chī stay-will-not. House-in my father mother and four elder brothers wāit-uā. īāk-kā beh $\overline{\tilde{a}}$ leksā-nā. Kāk-nuhung ibong chyā-chī wāit-nā. are. All-of marriage occurred. All-with ten sons are.

| Ung-khi | hene | wākhubā? | Hākku | ung-khi | hene | khem-me-ka-nā? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| You | where | resident? | Now | you | where | go-will? |

## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

I am a citizen of Chainpur, and I oame to Darjiling about twenty years ago. It is a week's journey from Chainpur to Darjiling. The roads are different from those in Darjiling, and it is necessary to follow a small path. Our village is also different from Darjiling. In a few days I shall go baok to my village, and I shall not stay here much longer. My father and mother and four elder brothers are at home. They are all married, and they have ten sons all counted. Where are gou living? Where are you going? Have you dined or not? When are you going to work? How long have you lived here? What is your occupation? How far is it to your house? Where are you going? When are you coming back ?

I shall come back in four days.
Do not go away. Come quickly. Do not delay. Eat quickly. Do not talk.

## KHAMBU.

'Ihe Khambus are one of the fighting tribes of Nepal. They have been described by Hodgson under the head of Kirānti. Their country is sometimes called 'nō läkh Kiränt.' This phrase has been interpreted to mean that a household tax, at two annas per family, yielded nine hundred thousand annas, but should probably be understood as an exaggerated estimate of the number of villages inoluded. Compare the remarks by Dr. Fleet in the Bombay Gazetteer, vol. i, Part ii, p. 298, Note ${ }^{2}$.

Hodgson states that the Kirānt country comprises the districts inhabited by the Khambus and Limbus, respeotively. The former, the so-called Khambuwān, is situated between the Sun Kosi and the Arun; the latter, the so-called Limbuwān, between the Arun and the Singilela Range. Mr. Gait, on the other hand, informs us that, according to an educated Yākhā whom he has consulted, the Khambus are not Kirãntis. Compare the remarks in the introduction to this sub-group on p. 274 above.

The Khambus live to the north-east of the Jimdārs and Yākhās, on the soutbern spurs of the Himalayas. Their name is dialectioally pronounced Khwombu. They speak different dialects, and Hodgson has published vocabularies of several of them, and given a full grammatical description of the Bāhing dialect.

It has been mentioned in the introduction to this group that Hodgson divided the oountry iuhabited by the Khambus into three parts-

1. Wallo Kirānt or Hither Kirānt, from the Sunkosi to the Likhu;
2. Mãjh Kirānt or Middle Kirānt, from Likhu to Arun ; and
3. Pallo Kirānt or Further Kirant, from the Arun to the Mechi and the Singilela ridge. These are Khas terms and refer to the Khas metropolis in the valley of Nepal proper.
The so-called Wallo Kirānt is the home of the Lōhōrōng and Chhingtāng septs of Khambus.

A long series of minor tribes lives in the so-called Mã̃jh Kirānt, viz., the Rūngchhënbung, Rōdong, Dungmāli, Khāling, Dūmi, Sāngpāng, Bālāli, Lāmbichhōng, Bāhing, Thưlung, Kūlung, Wäling, and Nāchherēng septs.

In the so-called Pallo Kirannt we finally find the Chourasya Khambus.
All these dialects are closely related. Most of them are, however, unsatisfactorily known, and it is impossible to class them with certainty. Hodgson classed Rüngchhēnbūng, Chhingtāng, Wāling, and Lāmbichhōng as a separate group, which he oalled Bontāta, and he further remarked that Lāmbiohhōng can be considered as a sub-division of Wāling. 'I'se so-called Bontāwā dialects are closely connected with Dūngmālī, Lühōrōng, Sāngpāug, and Bālāli. All these forms of Khambu can therefore be classed as one separate group. The Rōdong, Nāchuerēng, Kūlung, Bāhing, Thūlung, and Chouraśa dialects connect this group with Dūmi and Khāling. Bāhing is most closely connected with Thūlung. It has been fully dealt with by Hodgson, and a sketch of its grammar will be given in what follows.

Two specimens and a list of Standard Words and Phrases in Khambu have been forwarded trom Darjeeling. They represent a dialect which corrosponds to Hodgson's Kulung. A nother set of specimens have been forwarded as illustrations of the dialeot of the laais. In most characteristics it is the same dialect as that described by Hodg'son under the bead of Dümi.

The various Khambu dialects will be dealt with in what follows. In the first piace the Kbambu specimens forwarded from Darjeeling will be reproduced and described. A detailed sketch of the Bähing dialect, based on the materials published by Hodgson will follow, and short notes on the remaining dialects mentioned by Hodgson will be added. Lastly the specimens forwarded under the head of Rāi will be printed.

Khambus have emigrated from their home in Nepal into sikkim aud Darjeeling.
Number of speakers. At the last Census of 1901, they were also returned from Jalpaiguri and from Assam.
No information about the number of Khambus in Nepal has been forthcoming. The number of speakers in those districts which fall within the scope of this Survey has been estimated as follows :--


At the last Census of 1901, the dialect was returned from the following districts:-


It is impossible to say whether all the speakers of Khambu in the Bengal Presidency use the same dialect. The two specimens printed below, which have been forwarded from Darjeeling, apparently represent the dialect whioh Hodgson called Kūlung.
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The remarks on Khambu grammar which follow are entirely based on the materials forwarded for the purposes of this Survey, viz., two specimens and a list of Standard Words and Phrases.

Pronunciation. -The vowels $a, i$, and $u$ occur both as long and as short. The difference between long and short vowels does not appear to be great.

Final vowels arp sometimes dropped; thus, kongā and kong, I; mi and m, of; $p-k \bar{a}$, from in, compare $p i$, in, and so forth.
$O$ and $u, e$ and $i$, respeotively, are sometimes interchauged; thus, om and $u m$, his; $o-m i, \mathrm{my}$; $\bar{a} \boldsymbol{s}-m e$, whose ?

The dialect possesses sets of gutturals, palatals, dentals, and labials. Each set consists of hard and soft sounds, with and without aspiration. A cerebral $t$ occurs in words such as $k h \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-n u$, going. It is intercliangeable with the dental $t$, and we must probably infer that there is only one $t$, pronounced as a semi-dental.
$B$ is used instead of $p$ in $b \vec{a} r i-b$, in the fields.
We have no information about the use of tones and accent. Hodgson mentions the pausing and the abrupt tones as very pronounced in some Khambu dialects. The abrupt tone is probably meant in cases where a visarga is written, such as roh, a slave; pih, a cow.

Prefixes.-Mest prefixes in use in Khambu are abbreviated forms of the personal pronouns. They are, however, sometimes used as simple formatives without a pronominal meaning; thus, o-chhä, son, lit. my son; äm-long, foot, lit. thy foot. Compare the remarks under the head of pronouns, below.

A prefix $i$, with uncertain meaning, occurs in words such as $i$-jina and jina, merry; i-hop-mi-si, mine own; hap-mi, own. Compare the Yākhā prefix of the third person.

Articles. -There are no articles. The numeral 'one' is often used as an indefinite article; thus, $i$-bom mimchh $\bar{a}$, a daughter; $i$-bom $p \bar{a}$, a father. Forms such as $i l p o-m i s s i$, eli missi, a man, show that the numeral can be combined with various generic suffixes. Our materials are not, however, sufficient for giving a list of such suffixes and their meaning.

Nouns-Gender.-The natural gender is distinguished in the common way by using different words or by adding sulfixes. Thus, $p \bar{a}$, father; $m \bar{a}$, mother: chhāng $\bar{a} r \bar{a}-p \bar{a}$, he-goat; chhāngārā-mā, she-goat: lheb- $\bar{a}, \operatorname{dog} ; k h e p-c h i$, bitch : ghora, , horse; ghori, mare: khissi dāre, a male deer: khis om-mā, a female deer.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The suffix of the plural is chi; thos, o-bu-chi, my elder brothers. Instead of chi we find si in beshye-si-kā, with harlots. A plural suffix hā seems to occur in ngäli nop-hä-m, to good men. Compare Limbu. There are no traces in the materials available of a dual.

Case.-The base alone, without any suffix, is used to denote the subject of intransitive verbs, and the object. It sometimes also occurs as the subject of transitive verbs; thus, $\bar{a} m-p \bar{a} s e t-t u$, thy-father killed. The subject of such verbs is, however, commonly put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding the suffix $\bar{a}$; thus, $p \bar{a}-\bar{a} p i k \bar{a}$, the father-by said. The same form is also used as an instrumental ; thus, ribo-w $\bar{a}$, (bind him) with ropes.

The suffix $\bar{a}$ is also used to form a dative; thus, $p \bar{a}-\bar{a}$, to the father.
The usual suffix of the locative and terminative is $p \bar{a}, p i$, or $b$; thus, thamput-p $\bar{a}$, in the country; khim-pi, in the house; bāri-b, in the fields. Another suffix of the terminative is to; thus, am-dos-to, upon his back. The suffix ko is often also used with the meaning of a locative; thus, thämpu-ko, in the country; tup $\bar{a}-k o$, among the oitizens.

The suffix of the ablative is $k \bar{a}$; thus, tāto-k $\bar{a}$, from years; kholong-pi-k $\bar{a}$, from among all, umsip-pä-kä, from the husks; sewaite-chi-p-kä, from among the servants. Another ablative suffix is khon $\bar{a}$; thus, kheta-l $\bar{a}-p \bar{p}-l / h o n \bar{a}$, from among the servants.

The sutix of the genitive is $m i$; thus, $p \bar{a}-m i$, of a father. The final $i$ is often dropped, thus, khetälä-chi-m, of the servants. The governed noun is commonly repeated by means of a pronominal prefix before the governing noun; thus, o-mi o-hai, me-of my-share; äm-mi $\bar{a} m$-chhā, thee of thy-son. The genitive suffix is often dispensed with ; thus, eli missi ām.chhā, one man his-sons, one man's sons.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are dos-pa, behind; $l a i 8-p i$, before; lo, with; lägi, for; dok-pu, under; $k \bar{a}$, with, etc.

Adjectives.-Adjectives sometimes precede and sometimes follow the noun they qualify. A common suffix used after adjectives is $p \bar{a}$, which becomes $p$ before the plural suffix chi. Thus, omlo-pā ghoräng zin, the white horse's saddle; ngäli no-p-chi, men good-ones, good men. The suffix $p \dot{a}$ is sometimes dropped; thus, ilpo ngäli no-pi$k \bar{a}$, from a good man ; compare no-p $\bar{a}$, good.

Comparison is effected by putting the compared noun in the ablative; thus, am-nechhe-p-k $\bar{a}$ mimchh $\bar{a}-p-k \bar{a}$ nechho dungre-pe, his-elder-sister-than woman-than brother tall-is, his brother is taller than his sister; kholong-pi-kä ngali no-pä teī, all-in-from much good cloth, best cloth.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. They precede the word they qualify. The suffix chi in ngich-chi, two ; sup-chi, three, etc., is probably the usual plural suffix. The suffixes bum, po, li, etc., in $i$ - $b u m$, one; ngip-po, two; e-li, one; i-l-po, one, etc., are probably generic particles. We have not, however, sufficient materials for laying down defnite rules about their use. Compare the remarks under the head of Bāhing on p. 329 below.
'The original form of the numeral 'one' is apparently $i k$. Compare ik-pong, ten; ik-khälo, one score, twenty. Higher numerals were apparently formerly counted in twenties. Compare ngäk-khal, fire twenties, hundred. Aryan loan-words are now also used ; thus, pachäs, fifty.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

| kongā, kong, I . | $\bar{a} n \bar{a}$, thou. | $n \bar{a}$, kho, khungko, khallu, he. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $o-m i, o, \mathrm{my}$. | $\bar{a} m-m i, \bar{a} m, e$, thy. | kho-mi, lhasu, um, om, am, o, his. |
| kei, we. | $\bar{u} n-n i$, you. | kho-chi, khas, they. |
| $i-m i$, our. | $\bar{a} n-n i-m i$, your. | kho-chi-mi, their. |

Several other forms occur. The usual case suffixes are contained in forms such as Kei $i-y \bar{a}$, by us ; $\bar{a} n \bar{a}-\bar{a}$, by thee, etc. According to Hodgson most Khambu dialects possess a dual in addition to the singular and the plural. There are no traces of this third number in the materials available.

Other Khambu dialects possess a double set of dual and plural forms of the pronoun of the first person, one including and another excluding the person addressed. Thus, Bähing $g \bar{o} i, \mathrm{I}$ and you; $g \bar{o}-k u, \mathrm{I}$ and they. The form $k e i$ in the table apparently corresponds to Bāhing gōi. $I-m i$, our, corresponds to the inclusive form $i-k e, m y$ and your, in Bāhing. The list of words contains another form o-khi-pi, of us, which seems to be the corresponding exclusive form. Compare Bähing wa-ke, my and their; Külung wolhi-mi, our.

The form $\bar{a} m-m i$, thou, in the list, is perhaps a slip of the pen for $\bar{a} m-n i$; compare Kūlung amni-mi, your. The form am, thy, is simply the abbreviated am-mi, thy. It sometimes also occurs with the meaning of a possessive pronoun of the third person.

In that case it is interchangeable with om and $u m$, and is sometimes written with a short a. Compare Kūlung wa.

The form $e$, thy, in $e-d o s-p a$, behind you, corresponds to Bāhing $\bar{i}$, thy.
The various forms of the third person are probably all demonstrative pronouns. The final ko in khung-ko, he, is an intensifying addition. Compare also nä-ko, him; $\dot{n} \overline{-}-k o-\mu$-kā , from him. Another similar addition is $d o$ or $d \bar{a}$ in $k: h o-d \bar{a}$ and kho-do, him. The same is perhaps the case with su, si in $k h a-s u$, his; lho-s $\bar{a}$,' him ; kho-s $\bar{a}-\bar{a}$, by bim.

Khongäng, his own, is perhaps connected with kho, he. The same base is perhaps contained in honaia, to him.

Other forms of the third person are kho-sā-p, by him ; lhung-ko-sā, by him ; khaash, to them; khik-ki, from them; o-mi, his, etc.

The short forms $o, m y ; \bar{a} m$, thy ; om, un, am, his, are used as pronominal prefixes. Thus, o-mi o-hai, me-of my share, my share; omi om-khet, him of his-field; am-mi $\bar{a} m-c h h \bar{a}$, thee-of thy-son. Instead of $o n b, u m$, his, we also find $o, u$, respectively; thus, omi o-bo, him-of his-belly; o-bongk $\bar{a} u$-chh $\bar{a}$, my-uncle his-son. In mu-huk-pi, his-handon, $m u$ is used instead. Compare the Kūlung pronoun $m \bar{u}-k o$, he, in Hodgson's list. $W \bar{a}$ in deppoye $w \bar{a}-c h c h h \bar{a}$, how many sons? corresponds to Kũlung wa, his.

It has already been remarked that these pronominal prefixes are sometimes used as simple formatives without implying the meaning of a definite person. Compare o-pa, father; $\bar{a} m-l o n g$, feet; om-lo-p $\bar{a}$, white, etc.

Demonstrative pronouns are ongko, angko, angka, ah, this; khungku, khungkä, mung-ko, ko, that.

Note also the reflexive pronoun hop-mi, own; i-hop-mi-si, mine own.
Interrogative pronouns are $\bar{a} s e$, who $? \bar{a} \theta-m e$, whose $?$ how many? dei, how much? deppoye, how many? The final e in às-e, u-e, deppo-y-e, is probably an interrogative particle.

Indefinite pronouns are formed by adding so or so-m to the interrogative; thus, $\bar{a} s-\bar{a}-s 0$, by anybody; $u$-som, anything.

There are no relative pronouns. Interrogative and demonstrative pronouns are, however, sometimes used as a kind of relative; thus, ilpo-mi . . . $\bar{a} \delta-\bar{a} k h u n g-k u$ pok-su, of one . . . who sent him ; $\bar{a} m-m i$ angko $\bar{a} m-c h h \bar{a}$, khollong beshye-si-k $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a} m-m i$ rong ch $\bar{\alpha}-k h u-c h i$, khallu t $\bar{a}$, khollung $\bar{a} \bar{a} n \bar{\alpha}-\bar{a} \quad b \bar{a} c h h \bar{\alpha}$ set-tu, thee-of this thy-son, he harlots-with thee-of property devoured, he came, then thee-by calf killedest, when this thy son came, who wasted thy property with harlots, then thou killedest a calf.

Verbs.-The Khambu verb is comparatively simple, if the specimens faithfully represent the actual state of affairs. It is still a noun, and there are no certain instances of the use of personal suffixes in order to indioate the subject. On the other hand, there is apparently a tendency to prefix a pronoun in order to indioate the object; compare lihung-ko-lo kho-pä-piko, him-to him-to-will say, I will say to him ; khodo-pik $\bar{a}$, he said to him, etc. Similarly, the final $u$ in forms such as set-tu, killedest; tut-tu, asked, perhaps denotes an object of the third person. Compare Limbu.

Verb substantive.-The most common base of the verb substantive is tu. We also find ka and Aryan forms such as ho and chhu.

Finite verb.-The same form is often used to denote different tenses. It is not, therefore, possible to give a full sketch of Khambu conjugation.

Present time.-The usual form of the present tense takes one of the suffires o and e. $O$, or $u$, is most common in the first person singular, but also occurs in the second and third persons; $e$ is used in all persons. Thus, tu-o, am ; tu-voe, art, is, are ; kongà kero, I strike; $\bar{a} n \bar{a}$ kero, thou strikest; kho-s $\bar{a}-p$ kere, he strikes ; tu-ch $\bar{a}-e$, he is sitting ; $k a-e$ and $k e$, it is ; dei tom-e, how far is ; chäre-mu-yo, he is grazing.

The suffixes $o$ and $e$ are sometimes preceded by a $t$; thus, $\vec{a} n \vec{a} k e r-t-e$, you strike, and perhaps also forms such as kong khä-t-o, I go.

A suffix ang occurs in the only instance of the first person plural whioh is found in the specimens; viz., kei-y $\bar{a} k e r-a n g$, we strike. Compare ngā in chimngà, they are.

In khachā ke-chi-no, they strike, chi is perhaps the plural suffix and no a verb substantive.

The forms chhuĩ, they are; chimngā, they are, probably contain the suffix i, i.e., e mentioned above.

Ho, is, is an Aryan loan-word.
A periphrastic present is formed by adding the present tense of the verb substantive to the base or to the present participle; thus, tä-tu-e, they are found; ker-tong tu-vo, I am beating.

Past time. -The suffixes $o$ and $e$ are also used with the meaning of a past. Thus, $t u-o$, I was ; ker-o, I have beaten; tu-e, we were, they were, etc. Forms such as siyo, (a famine) arose ; $m u-y o$, I did, probably contain the same $o . \quad O$ or $u$ is preceded by a $t$ in forms such as set-tu, he killed.

Instead of $e$ we find $\bar{\imath}$ in forms such as män-t $\bar{\alpha} \bar{\imath}$, did not pass ; jawā$p-\bar{p} \bar{i} \bar{i}$, answer gave.
Several other forms are used with the meaning of a past.
The base alone occurs in forms such as mām-p $\bar{\imath}$, did not give; $t \bar{a}$, he came; $m u$, I did.
A suffix ko, i.e., o preceded by a $k$, occurs in $k h a \bar{a} m-m o-k o$, he filled; $b \bar{a} n \bar{a}-k o$, I have come, etc. This $o$ is probably connected with the $u$ in forms such as pok- $\delta-u$, he sent him ; māsdi-t-u, he wasted.

A common suffix of the third person is $\bar{a}$; thus, tuw $\bar{a}$, he was, they were. Forms such as $t \bar{a} h-\bar{a} h$, he found ; chhuli-mäh, he made anger, he got angry, apparently show that this suffix is pronounced with the abrupt tone. In the first person singular we find ker-tong tu-w $\bar{a}-\bar{a}$, I was beating. The double $\bar{a}$ probably denotes the tone, and the final $n g$ of ker-to-ng is perhaps a pronominal suffix of the first person singular.
$A$ is sometimes preceded by a $t$; thus, tolc-t $\bar{a}$, passed. Another suffix le has been inserted in $k h \bar{a}-l e-t \bar{a}$, he went (to a distant country). It perhaps indicates that the action of the verb takes place at some distance.

A suffix ngā occurs in mám-mo-ngā, I did not; mām-pi-ngā, didst not give. It has been added to the suffix $e$ in $m \bar{a} n g$ lihā-e-ng $\bar{a}, ~ I ~ d i d ~ n o t ~ g o . ~ I t ~ i s ~ a p p a r e n t l y ~ o n l y ~ u s e d ~$ with a negative.

A suffix $n i$ or $n u$ occurs in forms such as $t u-w a-n i$, you were; häyo-po-ni, he divided; mohi-dungu-nu, he kissed ; e-nu, he heard.

In the second person singular a suffix yo has been added in tuwā-yo, wast; khā-to-yo, wentest.

Isolated forms are to-khi, he saw; chā-khu-chi, he devoured. They apparently contain a suffix $k h \bar{i}$ or $k h u$. $\quad C h i$ in $c h \bar{a}-k h u-c h i$ is perbaps the plural suffix. It occurs in the phrase khollong àm-mi rong chä-khu-chi, he thy property devoured; compare rong-chhī, property.

Future.-The present is also used as a future; thus, khäto, I will go; kho-pä-pik-o, I will say to him.

A suffix $n \bar{a}$ is added in forms such as kong chhu-o-n $\bar{a}, ~ I ~ m a y ~ b e, ~ I ~ s h o u l d ~ b e ; ~ k o n g a \bar{a}$ $k e r-u-n \bar{a}$, I may beat. It is preceded by $y \bar{a}$ in kong $\bar{a}$ ker-e-y $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, I shall beat. The list of words further contains the form kong tu-o-ho-la, I shall be.

Imperative.-The base alone is sometimes used as an imperative; thus, cha, eat; $y u k-s o$, keep. The most common form of the imperative, however, ends in te; thus, bai-te, take; pi-te, give; ker-te, strike. The present base ending in $o$ is used in forms suoh as pi-yo, give. The list of words further contains forms such as khätā, go ; siyā, die, etc.

Chā! $a n$, let us eat, is perbaps a verbal noun or a participle.
Verbal noun.-A verbal noun is formed by adding am; thus, ker-am lagi, beating for, to beat. In the form ker-mà, beating, am has been replaced by $m \bar{a}$. Compare also $k h \bar{a}-m$ mo-ko, flling did, he filled.

A locative or terminative of the base is charāi-p $\bar{i}$, in order to tend. Chhuw $\bar{a}$, to be, is the past base; or else $w \bar{a}$ is the same suffix as Tibetan $p a, b a$; compare $t \bar{a}-b \bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, on coming.

Participles.-A present participle is formed by adding to, and a corresponding past participle by adding ta ; compare the present and past bases. Thus, khā-to, going; ker-to-ng tu-wo, beating am, I am beating. A suffix paco occurs in tu-p $\bar{a}$, living, resident.

Other past participles are formed by adding $k o$ or $k \bar{a}$ to the past base ending in $\bar{a}$; thus, siyä-ko, dead ; mäs $\bar{a}-k \bar{a}$, lost.

Chhuw $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, being, is probably the ablative of the verbal noun. Compare khetala $\bar{a}-$ $p_{\bar{i}}-k h o-n \bar{a}$, servants-in-them-from, from among the servants. Compare $t \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} \tilde{a}-n \bar{a}$, comingafter, on coming.

Conjunctive participles are formed by adding $n u$ or $n \bar{a}$ to the base, with or without the sufixes $o$ and $\bar{a}$; thus, bai-n $\bar{a}$, brin凹ing; pok-o-n $\bar{a}$, arising; bulsa-n $\bar{a}$, running; $l h a \bar{t} t \bar{a}-n u$, going. The past tense alone is also used in the same way; thus, bänā-ko, having come; udohoe-khodo-pikā, why? that having said, because. Pikyā-lo, on saying, is formed from a verbal noun euding in $y \bar{a}$, i.e. $\bar{e}$ by adding the postposition $l o$, with.

Causatives are formed by adding 80, sn or mit ; thus, $y u k$-so, cause to be, keep; pok-8ı, sent; khäm-mit-te, cause him to put on. A causal verb is also set, kill; compare $s i$, die.

Negative verb.-A negative verb is formed by prefixing män, the final nasal of which is assimilated to a following consonant. A suffix ng $\bar{a}$ is sometimes added; thus, $m a ̄ n-t \bar{a} \bar{u}$, did not pass; máng-khā-e-ngā, I did not go; mām-pi, did not give; mäm-pi$n g a \bar{a}$, didst not sive; mäm-mo-ngà, I did not do.

Another negative particle is a suffixed no; thus, khā-to-no, I did not become, I am not ; chehe-to-no, I do not know.

Order of words.—The usual order of words is subject, object, verb.

Fir further details the student is referied to the specimens which follow and to the list of Standard Words and Pbrases on pp. 409 and ff.

# Tibeto-burman Family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group. 

KHAMBU.

(Digteict Darjeeling.)

## Specimen I.


khonā ilpo tongā yuk-so."' Khonā khungko pokā um-pā-tuspo-ko khä-tā. from one like keep."' Then he arose his-father-near went. Khonā khungko chhuburi tuwă, kha-su um-pā khungki tokhī, khikkā Then he far was, his his-father him saw, and um-sām tukā, khonā bulsa-nā khā-tā um-phosi-pā khep-pu kho pity came, and running went his-neck-on embracing him mohi-dungu-nu. O-chhā kho-dā-pikā, 'e pāā, kongā nāmito-ko biruddha kissed. The-son him-to-said, ' $O$ father, $I$ Heaven-to against ām-mi sāmunne pāp mu. Kongā hosangā ām-mi ām.chbā tongko thee-of lefore sin did. I again thee.of thy-son like khā-to-no.' Kongā(sic) o-pā kongāng(sic) sewaite-chi-pkā kho-do-pikā, became-not.' But the-father own servants-to them-to-said, 'kholong-pi-kā ngāli no-pā teī lotte, kha khām-mit-te; khongā kho-mi 'all-in-from most good robe bring, him to-put-on-cause; and him-of mu-huk-pi mundrā, khongā àm-long-pī jutā wāi-mit-te. Kho-do-do-ko his-hand-on ring, and his-feet-on shoes put. Then chhyo-pā bāchhā bai-nā set-te. Kho-do-do-ko keī-yā chāgam ijina fat calf bringing kill. Then we will-eat merry klā-te. Udohoe-khodo-pikā, angko o-chhā siyā-ko tuwā, hosangā le-tā; should-become. Why?-that-said, this my-son dead was, again lived; māsākā tuwā, hosangā tuwā.' Kho-do-do-ko kho.chi jina khā-tā. lost was, again was-found.' Then they merry became.

Um-chhā jethā bārib tuwā. Kho-do-do-ko khallu bāngtong tuwā His-son eldest field-in was. Then he coming was khim-nī nājik-pingā tā-bā-nā, khollongā bājā enu chbāmāko omsal house-of near arriving, then music heard dance sound $\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { enu. } & \text { Khongkā } & \text { kho-sā } & \text { hop-mi } & \text { sewaite-chi-pk } \bar{a} & \text { ibon-chi } & \text { nājik-pi } \\ \text { heard. } & \text { Then } & \text { him-by } & \text { own } & \text { servants-in-from } & \text { one } & \text { near. }\end{array}$ kāchhāaḥ tuttu, 'angko ue?' Kho-sā-ā kho-do-pikā, 'ām-ne-chho calling asked, 'this what?' Him-by him-said, 'thy-younger-brother tā, klodo-doko ām-pā chyopā bāchhā set-tu, udohoe pikyā-lo, kho cume, and thy-father fat calf killed, why saying-on, him seserugā tāḥāḥ.' Khollu khosā chhulimāḥ, kho-do-do-ko gopā khā.to-no. safe found.' But he was-angry, and inside went-not.
 muyo; kho-do-do-ko dāio-song ām-ring dālai-māmi mām-mo•ngā. Khododoko did; and ever thy-order transgressing not-did. And ānā khongā dālo-songā ibam bāthā songā mām-pingā; o-mi o-umthou me ever one kid even not-gavest; me-of my-
chi-kā jivā khă-te. Khalloe ām-mi angko àm-chbā, khollong friends-with merry might-make. But thee-of this thy-son, he beshye-si-kā àm-mi rong chā-khu-chi, khallu tā, khollungā ānā-ā harlots-with thee-of property devoured, he came, then thee-by kho-m lāyī chyop bāchhā set-tu.' Pā-ā kho-sā-ā pikā, 'e him-nf sake-for fat calf killedest.' Father-by him-to said, ' $O$ o-obhāngā, ānā-ā kong-lo sādong tue. Khodo-doko jyā o-m tue, kholong my-son, thou me-with always art. And what mine is, all

| ām-ming | ho. Khalloe jinam khā-mā | khongā khunām | khā-mā |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thine | is. | But | merry | to-become | and | glad | to-become |

āchhing-nęā-ngā; udohoe pikyā-lo, ongko ām-necho siyãko tuwā, was-proper; why saying-on, this thy-younger-brother dead was, pheri le-tā; māsākā tuwá, pheri tuwā.' again lived; lost was, again found.'
[No. 33.]
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KHAMBU.

(District Darjeeling.)

## Specimen II.

Kongà Khambuwān. O-thāmpu ke Mahākulung; aḥ thāmpu-kā

1 Khambu. My-country is Mahäkulung; this country-from passiũ hempā nau lākh Kirãt chimngā. O-thari bikkhosi, kongā west towards nine lakh Kirānts are. My-caste bikkhosi, and $\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { hep-mi } & \text { thari } & \text { kholong } & \text { kongā } & \text { chhe-to-no. Kong } & \text { Dārjīling } & \text { bānā-ko } \\ \text { other. } & \text { castes } & \text { all } & I & \text { know-not. } & I & \text { Darjeeling }\end{array}$ came | other | castes | all | $I$ | know-not. | Darjeeting came |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ikkhāl | barkha | chhuwā. | Kong | 0 -tel | māng | khāengā. | O-thāmpu-pā |
| twenty | years | were. | $I$ | my-home | not | woent. | My-country-in |

o-pā o-mā o-bu-chi ngippu. O-bu-chi-m ngippongà $m y$-father my-mother my-elder-brothers two. My-elder-brothers-of both
biyā chhuwà. Ām-chhā-chi tuwe. O-thāmpu-pā chā-m-thoki rà marriage became. Children are. My-country-in eatable paddy lissī makāi bāmā pesi longkupā sāpkhe yoksikhe khonto tā-tue. millet maize buchwheat millet longkupà potatoes yoksikhe these-all found-are. Angka-pkā binnīpā songā tuwe. Angka-chi-m nging chhe-to-no. These-from others also are. These-of name know-not.

## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

I am a Khambu. My country is Mahakulung, to the west of this country in the country called Nō lakh Kirãt. ${ }^{1}$ My caste is Bikkhosi. I do not know our other castes. I came to Darjeeling twenty years ago, and I have not been home since that time. My father, my mother, and my two elder brothers live in my country. My brothers are both married and have children. There are several eatable plants in my country, such as paddy, marwā, maize, buckwheat, millet, longkupā, potatoes, yoksikhe, and also others, but I do not know their names.

[^12]
## BĀHING.

The Bāhings are one of the sub-tribes of the Khambus, who live in the Central Himalayas between the Likhu and Arun rivers in Nepal. We have no information about their number.

## AUTHORITY-

Hodagon, B. H.,-- Oomparative Vocabulary of the Languages of the broken tribes of Nepal. Journal of the Asiatio Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 317 and ff. (contains a Bühing vocabulary on pp. 350 and ff.) ; pp. 486 and ff. (a full Bāhing vocabulary); Vol. $x$ xvii, 1858, pp. 393 and ff. (Böhing grammar). Repriated in Miscelluneous Essays ralating to Indian Subjects. London 1880, Vol. i, pp. 161 and ff. The short Bāhing vocabulary on pp. 194 and ff. ; the fall vooabalary and the grammar on pp. 320 and ff. The title of this latter part of the reprint is Analysis of the Báhing Dialect of the Kiránti Language. A.-Bāhing Vocabulary (pp. 320 and ff.). B. Bähing Grammar (pp. 353 and ff.).
Hodgson's essay contains a full sketch of Bāhing grammar and also a short specimen of the dialect. The latter will be reproduced below, together with an interlinear translation, which has been added by me. It is not quite certain in one or two places.

No new materials have been forwarded for the purposes of this Survey, and the remarks on Bāhing grammar which follow are entirely based on Hodgson's paper.

Pronunciation.-Bāhing possesses what Hodgson calls the pausing tone. It occurs in words such as the plural $d a a$, in which the double $a$ denotes an $a$ pronounced with that tone.

I am not able to decide the precise value of the sound which Hodgson marks eu. In some cases he states that $e \|$ is the French $e u$; thus in words such as sheureu, neck; neu, nose ; yeu, rat. Writings such as theum and thim, mind; seu, sü and syu , who? and so forth, however, seem to show that the pronunciation is rather that of in French 'lune' or of $\ddot{u}$ in German 'Güte.'
$\bar{Y} a$ and $y e$ are sometimes interchangeable; thus, yam and yem, this; mära däyena and māra du$y a n a$, what saying? to wit, that is to say.

There are several cases of interchange between different consonants; thus, ip-po, sleep; im-päto, make him sleep; bwang-nga, I am ; bwang-ye, thou art; bwak-se, they two are; bwam-me, they are. Numerous instances of such interchange will be found in Hodgson's grammar, to which the student is referred for further details.

Prefixes and suffixes.-There are numerous prefixes and suffixes. The meaning of the prefixes cannot, in most cases, be ascertained. They have commonly been reduced to only oontaining a single oonsonant; thus, blocho, a bed; brepcho, finger; $b r \bar{o}$, taste; grong, horn; grà, rope. The preix $\bar{a}$ in words such as $\bar{a}-r \bar{i}$, smell ; $\bar{a}-p o$, father ; $\bar{a}$-mo, mother, etc., is originally a demonstrative pronoun or a possessive pronoun of the third person ; compare birma $\bar{a}$-tämi, cat its-young, aud so on.

Numerous suffixes are used in order to form participles and nouns from verbal bases.

A common verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix cho; thus, dwak-cho, wish; mö-cho, figlit; lï-cho, silence. The same or a different suffix occurs in words suoh as rūkok-cho, spade; lap-cho, door; rik-cho, bamboo; cho-cho, cheek; brep-cho, finger.

The sulfix cha forms nouns of agency; thus, li-cha, bowman; khyim-cha, houseman, householder ; wär-cha, companion. It often has the same meaning as the suffix
$b a$ which is used to form relative participles; thus, gik-ba, born, child; sing-chok-ba, carpenter ; byang-si-kok-ba, cultivator; duk-ba, a drunkard, etc. It is probably related to $w a$ in words suoh as $y \bar{a}-\imath o a$, elder brother ; $t \bar{a}-w a$, boy; ry $\bar{a}-w a$, rain ; gy $\bar{a}-w a$, oil, ete.

The suffixes po and pau form masculine nouns of agency; thus, ryam-ni-po, an adulterer; dyal-pau, a villager. Corresponding feminines are formed by adding suffixes such as mi, mi-cha, and mo; thus, khlü-mi, widow; li-mi-cha, a female bowman; ryam-ni-mo, an adulteress.

One of the most common suffixes is me or $m$. It is added to other words in order to form adjectives, relative participles, and nouns. Thus, kwong, one; kwong-me, the one ; wake-me, my one, mine; teup-ba-me, the striking one, the striker; singke-me, sing-ke-m, the wooden one; e-ke-me, the here one, he who is here; rimba-me, the handsome one; sheo-di-m, mouth-in-the, belonging to the mouth; ye-m, this; mye-m, that; rū-di-m $k h a ̄ n$, garden-in-the vegetables, the vegetables of the garden; $p u$-di-m pwāku, cup-in-the water, water of the cup; kwä-nga-me, different; bubu-m, white; lala-m, red; lala-m$m e$, the white one; ja-cho-me, eating-of, edible; dak-cho-me, desirable, and so forth.

Other common suffixes are chi, so, sa, si, niwa, etc. Thus, sichi, front; techi, groin ; michi, eye; michi, joint; pokchi, knee, and other nouns denoting parts of the body: grōkso, thing; sōkso, anger; nokso, priest: phūrsa, frost; ploksa, lightning; būsa, snake; gupsa, tiger: ngäsi, beer; hüsi, blood; dhyäksi, tree; gyērsi, pleasure; yuksi, salt: khuncha-niwa, theft; krälkra-niwa, witolcraft, and so forth.

Nouns-Gender.-There is no grammatical gender. The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding suffixes or qualifying words, such as $\bar{a} p o$, father, male ; $\bar{a} m o$, mother, female ; nima, female, etc. Thus, kikī, grandfather ; $p_{\bar{\imath}}^{p} \bar{\imath}$, grandmother: wainsa, man; mincha, woman: tä-wa, boy; tā-mi, girl: līcha, bowman; lī-mi-cha, female bowman : chächa, grandson; chācha-nima, grand-daughter: äpo bing, bull; āmo bing, cow, etc.

Number.-There are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The suffix of the plural is $d a a$, and that of the dual $d a \alpha-s i$; thus, $t \vec{a}$-daa, children; $t \bar{a}-d a a-s i$, two children.

Case.-The subject of intransitive verbs and the direct and indirect object are not distinguished by adding any suffix; thus, ryamni-po $d \bar{\imath}-t a$, the adulterer went ; höpo-mi $h \bar{a} \cdot \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{m}$ kwöng sisi gip-tā, king-by him one phial gave. The word höpo-mi, king-by, shows that the subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding the suffix mi. The case of the agent is properly an instrumental; thus, sokti-mi, with force; jokso-ma-mi, wisely, and so forth.

The genitive is expressed by putting the governed before the governing noun, and usually also by repeating it by means of a possessive pronoun prefixed to the governing noun; thus, swongāra $\bar{a}$-grong, goat its-horn, goat's horn; wainsa-daa āni-ming, men their-wives, men's wives. A genitive is also formed by means of the suffix me, m; thus, r'ukokcho-m rīsing, spade's handle; rī$-d i-m k h \bar{a} n$, the vegetables of the garden. If the governing noun is understood, the common suffix is $k e$; thus, wainsa-ke, the man's. We also find forms such as wainsa-ke $\bar{a}$-ning, man's his-name, a man's name.

A locative is formed by adding $d i$, and a terminative by adding $l \bar{a}$; thus, khyim-di, in a house ; khyim-lā, towards, or at, the house. An ablative can be formed by adding
$n g$ to either of these forms ; thus, syerte $\bar{a}$ limbo ding, hill its middle from; lapcho lang, from the door.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions such as gwāre, within; taure, towards; nung, with; manthi, without; hateu-la, above; hayeu-la, below; gwayeu, under; gwayeung, from under, and so forth. They are often added to the genitive; thus, mej $\bar{a}$-gwayeu, under the table.

Adjectives.-The most common suffixes used to form adjectives are ba, wa, cha, $m e$ or $m, n a$, and $k e$; thus, neu-ba, good ; ngā-woa, old ; gī-cha, alone; lëcho-me, saleable; wang-me, different; keke-m, black; pā-na, manufactured; ki-na, cooked; ram-ke, bodily; sing-ke, wooden. It will be seen that most of them can be considered as participles.

Comparison is effected by putting the compared noun in the ablative; thus, yam ding ngolo, him from great, greater than he; haupe ding kächim, all from small, smallest.

Numerals.-The first numerals are :-
1 kwong; 2 niksi; 3 sam; 4 lē; 5 ngō; 6 rukba; 7 channi; 8 yā; 9 ghū ; $10 \mathrm{kwaddyum} ; 20$ àsim; 30 kwong äsim kwong äphlo (one score one its half); 40 uiksi äsim; 50 niksi āsim àphlo; 60 sam āsim; 100 ngō āsim.

It will be seen that higher numbers are counted in twenties, and that multiplication is indicated by prefixing the multiplicator. Addition is indicated by adding the smaller after the higher number; thus, niksi āsim äphlo nikisi, two scores its half two, two and fifty.

Generic particles are very seldom added. $L i$ is used with reference to various beings and things; sing denotes timber trees; äpum soft trees, grasses, vegetables, etc.; syal weapons and implements; bwom fruits; kha days, and so forth; thus, kwo-bwoom seti sichi, one chestnut fruit ; sam-kha namti, three days.

Pronouns.-Pronouns are in most respects inflected like nouns. The pronouns of the first person have double sets of the dual and the plural, one including and the other excluding the person or persons addressed.

The table which follows registers the principal forms of the personal pronouns.

|  | Firat person. | Second person. | Third person. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sing. Nom. | $g \bar{o}$ | $g \bar{a}$ | hārem |
| Gen. | $w \bar{a}$ (my), wa $-k \theta$ (mine) | i, i-ke |  |
| Instr. | $g \bar{o}-m i$ | $g \bar{a}-m i$ | hārem-mi |
| Loc. | $w \bar{a}-k \theta-d i$ | $i-k e-d i$ | $\bar{a}-k y-d i, h a ̄ r o m-d i$ |
| Term. | $w \bar{a}-k \theta-l a b$ | $\bar{i}-k e-l \bar{a}$ |  |
| Abl. | $w \bar{a}-k e-d i n g, ~ w \bar{a}-k$ - ${ }^{\text {elang }}$ | i-ke-ding, -lang | $\bar{a}-\mathrm{ke}$-ding, hätem-ding, etc. |


|  | First persom. | Second person. | Third persou. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dasl Nom. | $g \delta-s i(\mathrm{incl}),. g \delta-\mathrm{su} k \bar{u}$ (excl.) | ga-si | härem daa-si |
| Gen. | $\bar{i}-s i, \bar{i}-s i-k e$ (incl.) $v \bar{a}-s i, w \bar{a}-s i-k e$ (excl.) | $i-s i, i-s i-k \theta$ | $\bar{a}-s i, ~ \grave{-s}$-si-ke, hīrem daa-si-ke |
| Instr, | $g \delta-8 i-m i$ (incl.) <br> $g \bar{o}-s \bar{u} k \bar{u}-m i(e x c l$. | $g \overline{-}-3 i-m i$ | hārem daa-si-mi |
| Plur. Nom. | $g \bar{o}-i$ (incl.) <br> $g \bar{o}-k \bar{u}$ (excl.) | $g \bar{a}-n i$ | hārem daa |
| Gen. | $i k e, i k-k e$ (incl.) <br> waks, wak-ke (excl.) | $\underline{i}-n i, \bar{i}-n i-k e$ | $\bar{a}-n i, \bar{a}-n i-k e, ~ h \overline{a r r e m ~ d a a-k e ~}$ |
| Instr. | $g o ̄-i-m i \quad$ (incl.) <br> $g \bar{o}-k \bar{u}-m i($ excl.) | gã-ni-mi | härem daa-mi |

It has already been noted that $\bar{a}$ is also used as a common prefix before nouns governing a genitive. The words po, father, and mo, mother, become pa, ma, respectively, when governing a personal pronoun of the first person. In that case $\bar{a}$ is used instead of $w \bar{a}$; thus, $\bar{a}-p a$, my father; $\bar{a}-p o$, his father: $\bar{a}-m a$, my mother; $\bar{a}-m o$, his mother.

Härem, he, she, it, is also used as a demonstrative pronoun meaning 'that.' Other demonstratives are yam or yem, this; myam or myem, that. They are inflected in the same way as hārem.

Interrogative pronouns are $s \bar{u}, s y \bar{u}$, or seu, i.e. probably sü, who? mãra, what? gyem, which? Gyem takes the prefix $\bar{a}$ if it is used in the meaning 'which of these;' thus, $\bar{a}-$ gyem-me lādi, which of these will you take?

There are no relative pronouns. Relative participles are used instead; thus, gyüwa dyam-patta-me sīsi, oil filled phial, a phial which had been filled with oil ; gy $\bar{a} w a \quad r \bar{i}-n \bar{a}-$ $m$ myem rä-cho, oil smelling-one that to-bring, to bring him who smelt of oil.

Verbs.-It has already been remarked that there are no cases to denote the direct and indirect objects. Both are, however, marked in the verb by means of pronominal suffixes. The same is the case with the subject, and Bāhing conjugation therefore presents a rather complicated appearance; thus, $p \bar{a}-w \bar{a}$, he does it ; pāt $\bar{a}$, he does it for him.

Each tense can be turned into a kind of noun by adding the suffix me; thus, $j \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$, I eat him ; $j \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}-m e$, he whom I eat: $j a-n g \bar{a}-s i$, I eat them two; ja-ngā-si-me, those two whom I eat : $j \bar{a}-y \bar{i}$, he eats me ; $j \bar{a}-y \bar{i}-m e$, I who am eaten by him.

Voice.-Bāhing verbs can be said to possess an active, a passive, and a middle. The difference between the active and the passive is, however, only apparent, it being
effected by adding different personal suffixes denoting the subject or the object. Thus, $j \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$, eat-I, I ent him ; $j \bar{a}-y-\bar{i}$, eat-me, I am eaten. The middle is formed by adding a suffix $s$ or $s i$ and conjugating as usual.

In order to conjugate a Bähing verb it is therefore necessary to know the pronominal suffixes indicating the subject and the object. If more than one suffix is added to one and the same form, the suffix of the first person comes before that of the second, that of the second before that of the third. The suffixes of the subject and the object are sometimes different, and sometimes also identical. It will therefore be most convenient to deal with them together.

Subject and Object.-A subject of the first person singular is indicated by means of different suffixes. In the present tense of intransitive and reflexive verbs ngà is added to the base: thus, $p \bar{i}-n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ come; $\cdot \bar{u}-n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am satisfied; bōng-ngä, I get up; teum-si-ng $\bar{a}$, I beat myself. The same is the case in some transitive verbs ending in a vowel, and which insert a suffix $w$ or $p$ in order to denote an object of the third person; thus, $t \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$, I find him ; $p \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$, I do it; si$-n g \bar{a}$, I seize him. The common suffix with transitive verbs is, however, $\bar{u}$; thus, $b r e \bar{e} t-\bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$ summon him; dāt $\bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$ seize him. The same suffix is also used with some intransitive verbs ending in $d$ and $t$; thus, myeld- $\bar{u}$, I am sleepy; bōt $-\bar{u}$, I flower; kh $\bar{u} t-\bar{u}$, I blow, etc.

The suffix in the past tense of transitive verbs is $\bar{o} n g$; thus, $j \bar{a}-t-\bar{o} n g$, I ate him.
In the past tense of intransitive and reflexive verbs and in the whole passive the suffix of the first person is $\bar{\imath}$, or, after vowels, nasals, $r$ and $l, y \bar{\imath}$; thus, $p \bar{i}-t-\bar{i}$, I came; $j \bar{a}-s-t-\bar{i}$, I ate myself ; $j \bar{a}-y-\bar{i}, \mathrm{I}$ am eaten; $j \bar{a}-t-\bar{i}$, I was eaten.

A subject of the first person singular is not separately marked if the object is of the second person.

An object of the dual and plural of the third person is indicated by adding $s i, m i$, respectively, to the forms given above; thus, $j \bar{a}-t-\ddot{o} n g-m i, I$ ate them. The same suffixes are also used to denote the subject in the passive; thus, $j \bar{a}-t-\bar{i}-s i$, I was eaten by them two. A subject of the second and third persons singular is not, in that case, separately marked. Si also denotes an agent of the second person dual, and $n i$ of the second person plural in the first person passive; thus, $j \bar{a}-y-i-n i$, I am eaten by you.

A subject of the first person dual excluding the person addressed is marked by adding the suffix $s \bar{u} k \bar{u}$, or, after $s, c h \bar{u} k \bar{u}$, in the active, and siki in the passive; thus, $p \bar{i}-s \bar{u} k \bar{u}, \mathrm{~J}$ and he come; $j \bar{a}-s-c h \bar{u} k \bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$ and he eat ourselves; $j \bar{a}-t a-s i-k i$, we two were eaten. It will be seen that $s \bar{u} k \bar{u}$ is the same suffix as is added in the pronoun $g \bar{o}-s \bar{u} k \bar{u}$, I and he. Siki is the corresponding suffix of the object. The interchange between $s \bar{u} k \bar{u}$ and siki is parallel to that between $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{\imath}$ in the singular.

Forms such as $b r e \overline{t e} e-s i$, we two summon thee; brëti-si-si, we two summon you two; breti-ni-si, we two summon you; brette-si, we summoned thee, and so forth, apparently contain a suffix si denoting an agent of the exclusive first person dual. The same forms are, however, also used if the subject is of the third person.dual. The sufix si being the regular suffix of that person, or rather a simple dual suffix without reference to person, there can be no doubt that forms suoh as those just mentioned do not contain a suffix of the first person dual, but are common dual forms without auy restriction as to the person of the subject.

If the person addressed is included the suffix of the first person dual is $s a$, after 8 cha, passive so; thus, $j \bar{a}-s a$, we eat; pï-sa, we come; ni-s-cha, we sit down; brēti-so, we are summoned ; brettía-so, we were summoned.

The suffix of the first person plural excluding the person or persons addressed is $k \bar{a}$, past ko, passive $k i$; thus, $p \bar{i}-k \bar{a}$, we come; nīsi-k $\bar{a}$, we sit down; $j \bar{a}-k-t \bar{a}-k o$, we ate ; $p i-k-t \bar{a}-k o$, we came ; $n \bar{\imath}-s-t \bar{a}-k o$, we sat down; $b r e \bar{t} t i-k i$, we are summoned; $j \bar{a} k-t \bar{a}-k i$, we were eaten. It will be seen that the $k$ of this suffix is also inserted before the $t \bar{a}$ of the past tense if $t \bar{a}$ is not preceded by a oonsonant.

The suffix of the first person plural is replaced by that of the third if the object is of the second person; thus, brètte-mi, we, or they, called thee; brēttā-ni-mi, we or they called you.

The suffix of the first person plural including the person or persons addressed is $y a$, past $y o$, passive so. In verbs ending in a vowel an $n$ is inserted before the $t \bar{a}$ of the past in the active, and a $k$ in the passive. Thus, pi$\overline{-} y a$, we come; ni$-s i-y a$, we sit down ; $j \bar{a}-n$ - $t \bar{a}-y o$, we ate ; $j \bar{a}-k$ - $t \bar{a}-s o$, we were eaten; $b r \bar{e} t t \bar{u}-s o$, we were summoned.

It will be seen that a subject of the first person is not separately marked if the object is of the second person. An object of the third person singular is understood in the forms mentioned above. If it is of the dual or plural, the suffixes $s i$, mi, respectively, are added to the suffix of the first person. The same suffises are also added to the passive suffixes of the first person in order to indicate the agent. Thus, $j \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}-s i$, I eat them two; brettà-ki-mi, we were summoned by them.

If the subject is of the second person singular the suffixes added to transitive verbs are $\bar{\imath}$, past $e u$. The corresponding suffix with intransitive verbs and in the passive is $\bar{e}$; thus, $j \bar{a}-y-\bar{i}$, eatest; $j \bar{a}-p-t-e u$, atest; $n \bar{\imath}-s-\bar{e}$, sittest; $p \bar{\imath}-y-\bar{e}$, comest; $j \bar{a}-y-\bar{e}$, art eaten; $j \bar{a}-t-\bar{e}$, wast eaten ; n $n \bar{i}-s-t \bar{e}$, was sitting. Forms such as s $\bar{c}-n-\bar{e}$, wast killed ; ng $\bar{i}-n-\bar{e}$, art afraid, show that the original suffix was perhaps $n \bar{e}$.

The $p$ preceding the $t$ of the past tense in $j \bar{a}-p-t-e u$, atest, probably denotes an object of the third person. An object and a subject of the third persons dual and plural are indicated in the same way as with a subject of the first person; thus, $j \bar{a}-y-\bar{i}-m i$, eatest them; breette-si, wast summoned by them two, etc.

If the object is of the first person the corresponding passive forms of the first person are used ; thus, $b r e \bar{e} t t \bar{a}-k i$, summonedest us.

If the subject is of the first person singular, an object of the second person is indicated by adding na; thus, brēti-na, art summoned by me. In the past tense of verbs ending in a vowel, $n$ is also inserted before the suffix $t \bar{a}$ of the past; thus, $t \bar{a}-n-t \bar{a}-$ $n a$, wast found by me. Such forms are properly passives, and the restriction in their use to such cases in which the subject is of the first person singular, is apparently a secondary departure of the dialect.

The suffix of the second per:on dual is $s i$, or, after $s, c h i$; thus, $t \bar{a}-s i$, you two find him, are found by him ; tā-si-mi, you two find them, are found by them; nī-s-chi, you two sit; $j \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-s i$, jou two ate, were eaten, etc. Such forms are used as active and passive tenses.

If the object is of the firt person, si is added to the passive forms used with a subject of the first person; thus, tā-y-ī-si, you two find me; brēttā-siki-si, we two were summoned by gou two. The suffix $s i$ is added to the $n a$ used when the object is of the
second person singular, if the subject is of the first person singular; thus, tian-n-tä-na•si, you two were found by me.

The suffix of the second person plural is $n i$. Its use is parallel to that of si; thus, $t \bar{a}-n i$, you find him, are found by him ; ni-si-ni, you sit down; brēttä-siki-ni, we two were summoned by you; brēttā-na-ni, you were summoned by me.

The suftixes of the second persons dual and plaral are se, ne, respectively, in the imperative; thus, $j \bar{a}-s e$, eat you two ; $j \bar{a}-n e$, eat ye. It seems probable that the forms ending in $e$ are the real active forms, and that $s i$, $n i$, are properly suffixes of the object, or passive suffixes.

A subject of the third person singular is only distinguished in the verb if it intransitive, or if the object is of the third person. In other cases the passive forms mentioned above under the head of the first two persons are used.

If the object is of the third person, and in intransitive verbs, a subject of the third person singular is commonly distinguished by the absence of any suffix; thus, jyul, he places him ; $p \bar{i}$, he comes. Transitive bases ending in vowels and surd consonants add an $\bar{a}$ in the present; thus, $t \bar{a}-w-\bar{a}$, he finds him; $s \bar{a} d-\bar{a}$, he kills him. The same is the case in intransitives ending in $d$ and $t$; thus, myeld- $\bar{a}$, he is sleepy. The termination in reflexive bases is $s \bar{e}$, thus, $n \bar{i}-s \bar{e}$, he sits down. The termination of the third person of the past is $t \bar{a}$; thus, $j \bar{a}-p-t \bar{a}$, lie ate him. The $p$ preceding the $t \bar{a}$ in such forms only occurs in verbs ending in a vowel. It is perhaps a suffix denoting an object of the third person, and connected with the $w$ inserted between the base and the suffix $\bar{i}$ of the third person singular of verbs ending in vowels; thus, $j \bar{a}-w-\bar{a}$, he eats. This $w$, and also the suffix $\bar{a}$, is dropped before suffixes denoting an agent of the third person dual and plural; thus, $t \bar{a}-w \bar{a}-m i$, he finds them; but $t \bar{a}-m e$, he is found by them.

The suffix of the third person dual is $s e$, or, after $s, c h e$, in the active, and $s i$ in the passive. Si is also used in the active if the object is of the first or second persons. Thus, pī-se, they two come; nī-s-che, they two sleep; $\bar{a}-\mathbf{t} \bar{a}-s e-s i$, they two were eaten by them two; t $\bar{a}-t-\overline{-}-s i$, they two found me; tā-t $\bar{a}-s i-s i$, they two found you two, and so forth. The suffix $s i$ is always used to denote the object. If there are two suffixes of the third person dual or plural, one denoting the subject and the other the object, the former precedes. 'Thus, brētū-si, I summon them two ; brēti-se-si, they two summon them two.

The suffixes of the third person plural are $m e$ and $m i$ which are distinguished in the same way as $s e$ and $s i$; thus, $p \bar{i}-m e$, they come; $n \bar{i}-s-t \bar{a}-m e$, they sat; $t \bar{a}-p-t \bar{a}-m i$, he found them, they were found; brêti-mi, they summoned me; brēti-se-mi, they were summoned by them two. In verbs ending in vowels an $m$ is also inserted before the suffix of the past; thus, $\overline{\bar{i}-m-t \bar{a}-m e, ~ t h e y ~ c a m e ; ~} j \bar{a}-m-t \bar{a}-m e$, they ate.

The preceding remarks will have shown how the various persons are indicated by means of suffixes added to the verb, and how those suffixes sometimes denote the subject and sometimes the object. If the object is indirect, a $t$ is added to the base; thus, teub- $\bar{a}$, he strikes him; teup- $t-\bar{a}$, he strikes for him. Such verbs as end in $t$ do not distinguish between the direct and indireot objects.

Tense.-The Bāhing verb ouly has two tenses, a present and a past. The present is also used as a future. The past is formed by adding a suffix $t \bar{a}$, or, before suffixes beginning with vowels, $t$, to the base. A preceding sound is changed in various ways.

The table which follows registers the singular of the present and past of the aotive and passive of the verbs bläwo, take; pīwo, come; kwōmgo, see; pōkko, raise; bōkỉo, get up; phyērro, sew ; jyullo, place; teuppo, beat; rappo, stand up; brēto, summon; säto, kill; ngito, be afraid ; gramdo, hate; myeldo, be sleepy ; nìso, sit down.

|  | Aotive. |  | Pasbite. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present. | Past. | Present. | - Past. |
| 1. 2. 3. | $b l \bar{a}-n g a \bar{a}$ <br> $\bar{b} \bar{a}-y-\bar{\imath}$ <br> $b l \bar{a}-w \bar{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b l \bar{a} p-t-\bar{o} n g \\ & b l a p-t-\theta u \\ & b l \bar{a} p-t \bar{a} \end{aligned}$ | $b l \bar{a}-y-\bar{i}$ <br> $b l \tilde{a}-y \cdot \vec{e}$ <br> $b l \bar{a}-w-\bar{a}$ | bla-t-i <br> $b l \bar{a}-t-\bar{e}$ <br> blā-tā |
| 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. | $\begin{aligned} & p_{i-n g \bar{a}} \\ & p_{i-y-\bar{j}} \\ & p_{i} \end{aligned}$ | $p i-t i$ <br> pi.t-e <br> $p \bar{i}-t-\bar{a}$ |  |  |
| 1. 2. 3. | pog-іи <br> p $\quad$ g-i <br> $p \overline{d g} \cdot \bar{a}$ | $p \overline{0} k-t-\bar{\delta} n g$ <br> pötr-t-0u <br> $p \overline{0} k-t \bar{a}$ | pōng-y-t <br> $p \bar{o} n g-y-\bar{e}$ <br> $p \bar{o} g-\bar{a}$ | $p \overline{0} k-t-i$ <br> $p \overline{0} k \cdot t-\bar{e}$ <br> $p \bar{\delta} k-t-\bar{a}$ |
| 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. | bōng-nga <br> b $\overline{n g-n g-\bar{e}}$ <br> bong | $b \delta k-t-\bar{i}$ <br> bōk-t-e <br> $b \bar{b} \cdot t \bar{a} \bar{a}$ |  |  |
| 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. | phyēr-ū <br> phyèr-i <br> phyēr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { phyēr-t-ōng } \\ & \text { phyèr }-t-e u \\ & \text { phyēr }-t \bar{a} \end{aligned}$ | phyēr $\cdot y \cdot i$ <br> phyēr- $\bar{e}$ <br> phyēr | phyēr-t-ī <br> phyèr-t-ē <br> phyèr-t̄̄̈ |
| 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. | jynl-ü <br> jyul-i <br> jyul | jyul $-t-\overline{0} n g$ <br> jyul-t-eu <br> jyul-tā | jyzi. $y-$ - <br> jyul-è <br> jyul | jyul-t-i <br> jyul-t-e <br> jyub-tā |
| 1. 2. 2. 3. | taub- $\bar{u}$ <br> teub-i <br> teub-ā | teup-t-ōng <br> teup-t-eu <br> teup-tā | teum-y teum-ë teub-ā | teup.t-i <br> tcup $\boldsymbol{t}-\bar{\varepsilon}$ <br> tcup $\cdot t \bar{a}$ |


|  | Active. |  | Paserva. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prement. | Past. | Present. | Past. |
| 1. | ram-nga | rap-t-i |  |  |
| 2. | ram-è | rap-t-és |  |  |
| 3. | ram | rap-ta |  |  |
| 1. | $b r e ̄ t-\bar{u}$ | brēt-t-ōng | $b r e ̀ t-i$ | $b r e ̄ t-t-¢$ |
| 2. | brest-i | $b r e ̄ t-t-8 L$ |  | $l_{\text {reèt-t-è }}$ |
| 3. | $b r \overline{e r} \boldsymbol{t}-\bar{a}$ | $b r e \bar{e}-t \bar{a}$ | brēt-ā | brèt-tă |
| 1. | $\boldsymbol{s} \bar{d} d-\bar{u}$ | $s \bar{\alpha}-t$ - $\bar{\sigma} n g$ | $s \bar{a}-y \mathbf{i}$ | $s \bar{a}-t-\bar{i}$ |
| 2. | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ d- $\bar{l}$ | sā-t-eu | $8{ }^{\text {a }}$ - - $\bar{\theta}$ | $s \bar{a}-t-\bar{e}$ |
| 3. | sād-ā | $s \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{s a ̄} \boldsymbol{d}-\bar{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{s} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ - $\boldsymbol{\square} \bar{\square}$ |
| 1. | $n g \overline{-}-n g \bar{a}$ | $n g l-t-i$ |  |  |
| 2. | $n g \bar{\imath} \cdot n-\bar{e}$ | $n g \bar{z}-t-\bar{e}$ |  |  |
| 3. | $n g i$ | $n g i-t \bar{a}$ |  |  |
| 1. | gramd- $\bar{u}$ | gran-t-ōng | gramd-i | gram-t-i |
| 2. | gramd-i | gram-t-ew | gramd-ē | gram-t-è |
| 3. | gramd- $\bar{a}$ | gram-tā | gramd-a | gram-tā |
| 1. | myeld- $\bar{u}$ | myel-t-i |  |  |
| 2. | mysld-i | myel-t-ē |  |  |
| 3. | myald- $\bar{\omega}$ | myel-tā |  |  |
| 1. | $n \bar{i}-8 i-n g \bar{a}$ | $n \bar{i}-\mathbf{s}-\mathrm{t}-\bar{i}$ |  |  |
| 2. | ni- $\mathrm{s}-\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ | $n \boldsymbol{i}-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{t}-\bar{e}$ |  |  |
| 3. | $n \bar{i}-\mathrm{s}-\bar{e}$ | $n \bar{e}-8-t \bar{a}$ |  |  |

Other tenses are formed by adding the verb substantive to a participle. The bases of the verb substantive are $k \bar{a}, k h \bar{e}, n g \bar{o}$, and $b w \bar{a}$, but only the last one is used as an auxiliary. It is added to a participle ending in söngo, which denotes continuity, in order to form a present definite and imperfect; thus, brē-söngo öwang-ng $\bar{a}$, I am summoning; pī-songo bwak-t-i, I was coming.

The table whioh follows shows how the personal suffixes are added in the present and past of the verb ja-cho, to eat.

|  | Aotive. |  | Passive. |  | Ripleitive. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present. | Past. | Present. | Past. | Present. | Past. |
| Sing. 1. | $j \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$ | $j \bar{d}-t-\bar{o} n g$ | $j \bar{a}-\mathrm{y}-\bar{i}$ | $j \bar{a} \cdot t-i$ | $j \bar{a}-s i-n g \bar{a}$ | $j \bar{a}-s-t-\bar{i}$ |
| 2. | $j \bar{a}-y-\bar{i}$ | $j \bar{a}-p-t-e u$ | $j \bar{a}-y-\bar{e}$ | $j \bar{a}-t-\bar{e}$ | $j \bar{a} \cdot \mathrm{~s} \vec{e}$ | $j \bar{a}-s-t-\bar{e}$ |
| 3. | $j \bar{a}-w \bar{a}$ | $j \boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{p}$ - $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{a}$ | $j \bar{a}-w \bar{a}$ | $j \bar{a}-p-t \bar{a}$ | $j \bar{a}-\bar{s} \bar{e}$ | $j \bar{a}-s-t \bar{a}$ |
| Dual 1. excl. | $j \bar{a}-8 \bar{u} k \bar{u}$ | $j \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-s \bar{u} k \bar{u}$ | $j \bar{a}-s i k i$ | $j \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-s i k i$ | $j \bar{a}-s-c h \bar{u} k \bar{u}$ | $j \bar{a}-\delta-t \bar{d}-s \bar{u} k \bar{u}$ |
| 1. incl. | $j \bar{a} \cdot 8 \bar{a}$ | $j \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-s a$ | jā-so | $j \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-s o$ | $j \bar{a}-8-c h \bar{a}$ | $j \bar{a}-8$-t $\bar{a}-s \bar{a}$ |
| 2. | $j \overline{i d i s i}$ | $j \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-8 i$ | $j \bar{a}-s i$ | $j \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-s i$ | $j \bar{a}-\delta-c h i$ | $j \bar{a}-s-t \bar{a}-s i$ |
| 3. | ja-se | $j \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-s e$ | $j \bar{a}-w \vec{a}-s i$ | $j \bar{a}-p-t \bar{d}-s i$ | $j \bar{a}-\mathrm{s}$-che | $j \bar{a}-8-t \bar{a}-s e$ |
| Plural 1. excl. | $j \vec{a}-k \vec{a}$ | $j \bar{a}-k-t \bar{a}-k o$ | $j{ }^{-1-k i}$ | $j \bar{a}-k-t \vec{a}-k i$ | $j \bar{a}-s i-k \bar{a}$ | $j \bar{a}-8$-tā.ko |
| $1 . \mathrm{incl}$. | jā-ya | $j \bar{a}-n-t \bar{a}-y o$ | ja-so | $j \bar{a}-k$-tă-so | $j \bar{a}-\mathrm{si}$-y ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $j \bar{a}-8$-tā-yo |
| 2. | $j \bar{a}-n i$ | $j \bar{a}-n-t \bar{a}-n i$ | $j \overline{-}-n i$ | $j \bar{a}-n-t \bar{a}-n i$ | $j \bar{a}-8 i-n i$ | $j \bar{a}-s-t \bar{a}-n i$ |
| 3. | $j \bar{a}-m e$ | $j \bar{a}-m$ - $\bar{a}-m \mathrm{~m}$ | $j \bar{a}-w \bar{a}-m i$ | $j \bar{a}-p-t \bar{a}-m i$ | jā-si-me | $j \bar{a}-s-t \bar{a}-m e$ |

Imperative.-The second person singular ends in $o$ before which a preceding single consonant is doubled. The furms bläwo, take; pïwo, come, etc., given above on p. 334, are such imperatives. An object of the third person dual and plural is expressed in the usual way; thus, $j \bar{a}-w o-m i$, eat them. If the object is of the first person, the corresponding passive forms of the first person present are used ; thus, $t \bar{\alpha}-y \bar{y}$, find me; $t \bar{a}-s i k i$, find us two ; $t \bar{a}-k i$, find us.

The suffix of the second person dual of the imperative is se, reflexive che, and that of the second person plural $n e$; thus, $j \bar{a}-s e-s i$, ye two eat them two; nī- $\delta-c h e$, sit down ye two ; jā-ne, eat ye. If the object is of the first person, passive forms are used ; thus, $t \bar{\alpha}-y \bar{i}-n i$, find me ye.

Verbal Nouns.-The usual verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix cho; thus, $j \bar{a}-c h o$, to eat. Another suffix $n e$ is common in connexion with verbs meaning 'to begin,' 'to end,' 'to wish,' and so forth; thus, $j \bar{a}-n e ~ p r e \bar{e} n-s i-n g \bar{a}$, I shall begin to eat; $j \bar{a}-n e$ theum- $\bar{u}$, I shall have done eating; $j \bar{a}-n e-d w a t-t-\bar{o} n g$, I wished to eat. In forms such as plyënti giwo, release give; lhlyakti giptäko, anoint given-having, having anointed, the base alone is used as a verbal noun. Purpose is expressed by adding the suffix $t h a$; thus, $j a \bar{a}$-tha $l \bar{a}-t i$, to eat I went.

Participles. -The common suffixes of relative participles are $b a$ and $n a$; thus, $g i k$ ba, born ; kik-ba, begetting; jā-si-ba, eating oneself ; ju$-n a$, eaten ; j $\bar{a}-s i-n a$, self-paten. Verbal nouns and tenses can be turned into relative participles by adding the suffix me, $m$; thus, $j \bar{a}$-cho-me, eatable ; $j \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}-m i-m e$, those whom I eat, and so forth.

An adverbial participle is formed by adding so or so-mami ; thus, teu-so or teu-somami, wisely ; neuba pā-so-mami, good doing, well, etc.

Conjunctive participles are formed by adding $n a$ and $k o$ to the tenses; thus, $j \bar{a}-y \bar{i}-n a \quad b r e \bar{e}-n g \bar{a}$, being eaten I shall ory out; $j \bar{a}-t-\bar{o} n g-n a \quad p \bar{i}-t-\bar{i}$, eating it I came; $b r \vec{e}-t \bar{a}-k o \quad u b \bar{o}-t \bar{a}$, having summoned him he said to him.

Causals.-Causals are often formed from intransitive bases by hardening the initial consonant; thus, dokko, fall; tokko, cause to fall; gikko, be born; kikko, beget; bokko, get up; pokko, raise.

Other causals are formed by adding $t$ or $d$ to the base. Thas, pìwo, come; pìto, bring: rāwo, come; rāto, bring: tüngo, drink; tündo, cause to drink: nüso, sit; nüto, set.

Every verb can be made oausative by adding pāto, do ; thus, $j \bar{a}-p \bar{a} t o$, cause him to eat.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{a} j \bar{a}-n e-m i$, don't ye eat them ; $m \bar{a} j a-n g \bar{a}$, I do not eat.

For further details the student is referred to Hodgson's grammar and to the specimen which follows. A list of words will be found on pp. 409 and ff.
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## tibeto-burman Family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

## KHAMBU.

## Bāhing Dialect.

## (B. H. Hodgson, 1857.)

Kwōng mūryeu hōpo-ke-di brētha lātā. Gyēkho-pāso brētha
One man rāja-to to-complain went. How-doing to-complain dāya-na? 'Wā khyim-di kwōng mūryeu rā-sōngo bwak-tā-ko saying? 'My house-in one man coming-continually been-having wā ming nung dwāng-mō-se. Gō hārem gyānaiyo mā tā-ngà $m y$ wife with love-each-other-they-two. I him ever not find-I syū syū. İ-ke nyau āsra jājulso myem si-cho lāma,' who who. Thee-of justice confidence putting that seize-to let-me-go (?),' dāso binti pāptā. Moko-ding hōpo-mi bārem kwōng ri nyūba saying request made. Thereupon king-by him one smell good gyāwa dyam-pāttã-me sisi gip-tā-ko chyan-tā, 'yem sisi i ming oil filled bottle given-having said, 'this bottle thy wife gip-tā-ko, "syū-yo mā giwo," dāso lō-pā-so gīwo.' Hārem given-having, "anyone not give," saying talking give.' That mūryeu-mi myem khōngo pāp-tā. Hōpo-mi yo chīwacla-daa man-by that manner did. King-by also spies
brētā-mi-ko chyan-tā-mi, syu-ke di rī nyūba gyāwa rī-nām called-them-having said-to-them, whom-of in smell good oil smelling-the myem rā-cho.
him bring-to.
Mēke-ding ryam-nīpo bēla kwōso-mami ming-ke-di dī-ta. Myem
Thereafter adulterer time seeing wife-to went. That ming-mi wā-di rī nyūba gyāwa khlyakti gip-tā-ko mō-cho wife-by cloth-in smell good oil anointing given-having say-to prèns-ta māra-dāya-na, 'wā wancha-mi syū mā gīwo mūti-me began what-saying, 'my husband-by anyone not give said-to-me-who bwā. Nākā gā wā ram-khōme bwang, i kam-di mā ra-khēda is. But thou my body-as art, thy sake-for not comes-if syū-ke kam-di ra?' dā-tā (or mō-tā). Mēke-ding ryamnī-po whose sake-for comes?' said (said). Thereupon adulterer. khyim-ding glūtā-na chīwacha-daa-mi ì rì tam-ta-me-ko myem house-from issuing spies-by his smell found-having-they him
sim-tā-me-ko hōpo-ke-di chō-tha dim-tū-me.
seized-having-they king-of-to bring-to went-they.


FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.
A certain man went to his prince to complain saying, ' a certain man is in the habit of coming to my house to make love to my wife, and I can never contrive to identify him. I rely on your justice to have that man arrested.' The Raja then gave him a phial filled with scented oil and said to him, 'give this phial to your wife and caution her not to give it to auyone.' The man did so, and the Rajai instructed his spies to seize any person whose clothes had the scent of otto.

By and by the lover, finding an opportunity, went to his mistress. She rubbed the atter on his clothes and said, 'my husbantl desired me to give this atter to no one, but you are my life; to whom should I give it if not to you?' Then the lover left the house, and the spies, smelling the otto, seized him and brought him to the king. The king sent for the husband and said, ' this is your wife's lover. If you please, kill him, if you please, let him go.'

## MINOR KHAMBU DIALECTS.

It has already been remarked that Hodgson has published rocabularies of several minor Khambu dialects, and it will be of interest to insert sloort notes on them in this place. One of them, the so-called Külung, mainly agrees with the dialect described above on pp. 317 and $\mathbb{f}$, and another, the so-called Dūmi, is essentially identical with the dialect described in what follows under the head of Rāi.

The information collected in the ensuing pages is very unsatisfactory, and numerous points connected with the grammar of the various dialects remain unsettled.

The materials are not sufficient for describing the phonetic system of the various dialects. 'I'he so-called abrupt tone occurs in all of them. It has been indicated by means of an' after the syllable so pronounced; thus, Bālāli pik', cow. The marking of this tone, and the spelling generally, is, however, inconsistent, and I have not been able to introduce consistency.

The various sounds are, on the whole, marked as elsewhere in this Survey. I have, however, retained the writing eu because I am not certain about its proper pronunciation. Hodgson sometimes describes it as the French eu in 'jeu.' It seems, however, often to be a way of writing the $\ddot{u}$ in German 'Güte.' I have therefore preferred to retain Hodgson's spelling.

Hodgson also mentions the pausing tone. It has been indicated by doubling the vowel so pronounced ; thus, Bālāli $k \bar{o} \bar{o}$, this.

A short note on each dialect will be given in the ensuing pages. In this place it will be convenient to print a short comparative vocabulary of all of them.

|  | Brilli. | Sangpang. | Lohdrong. | Lembiohhöng. | Weling. | Chbingtang. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One | ik'kū | itta, auli, oukla-pang | yekko | thilli, thibang | aktai, akta | thitla | oukchata, subpop, eukta. |
| Two | hich'che | hich'chi, hissali, hisalapang | hich'ohi, Aippang | hich'ohi, Aippang | ni, hasa, hasak | hichche | Aewnoang, hewa, heus apop |
| Three | süng'ehe | sūm'ohi, samkali, samkalapang | sumchi, sumpang | sūm'chi, sumbang | syum'yak | sümche | sumya, sumpang, sumkapop |
| Four | $l i j i$ | läkkabo, lakkali, lakalapang | lichi, richi, libang |  | lāyak |  | länya, la-wang, la-roapop |
| Five | $n g a ̈ j i$ | ngākabo, ngākali, ngakalapang | ngāchi, ngabang |  | ngàyak |  | "gaya, ngawang, ngakapop |
| Six | tūk'chi | tūlkabo, tukkali, tukalapang | tūkchi, tuppang |  | 『ūhyak |  | tükya, tukwang, tukapop |
| Seven | $n \bar{u} j i$ | nūk $k a b o$, nukkali, nukkalapang | nūchi, nuvang |  |  |  | bhäng.ya, Chäng-vang, bhang-kapop |
| Eight | $y e \overline{c h i}$ | rekabo, rekkali, rekkalapang | $y \overline{e c h i, ~ y e p a n g ~}$ |  |  |  | re-ya, reutuany, re-kapop |
| Nine | bāng'ij |  | bāng-chi, bang-pang |  |  |  | phang-ya, -wang, •pop |
| Ten | ispong |  | ip'pong |  |  |  | $k i p u, k i p ;$ dheuk-ya, -pang, -kapop |
| Twenty |  |  | nibong |  |  |  |  |
| Forty |  |  | rik'pong |  |  |  |  |
| Fifty |  |  | ngāk'pong |  |  |  |  |
| Hondred |  |  | ippong-pong |  |  |  |  |
| I | $k \bar{a} n g \bar{a}, k \bar{a}$ | $k \bar{a} n g \bar{a}$ | $k \bar{a} n g \bar{a}, k \bar{a}$ | $k \bar{a} n g \bar{a}, k \bar{a}$ | ingka, angka | $\bar{a} k \bar{a}$ | unka, angka, ang |
| Thon | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \boldsymbol{n} \bar{\chi}$ | $\bar{\alpha} n \bar{\alpha}$ |  | $k h \bar{a} n \bar{a}$ | $h \bar{a} n \bar{a}, k h \bar{a} \boldsymbol{n} \bar{a}$ | $h \bar{a} n \bar{a}$ | khāna |
| Who? | $\bar{a} s \bar{a}, \bar{a} s \bar{a} l o$ | $\bar{a} s \bar{a}, \bar{a}_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ le | $\bar{a} s \bar{a}$ | sēong | $d e i$ | sālō, hok-kogo | sāng |
| What? | ükha | yen | imang | thīya | tikwa | thēm | diy |
| How mach? | aptoklo | dähile | yehwa |  | tem, dem | àsuk | dēmye |
| Anybody | $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{s} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ |  | $\overline{\text { a }}$ sā-säng | $8 \bar{i} \cdot \mathrm{ch} \bar{h} \bar{a}$ | asak-chhu | sātō-yāng | süngchhāng |
| Anything | $\bar{u} k h \bar{i} n g$ | yö-säng | imāng-b̄̄ng | $t h \bar{i}-c h h \bar{\alpha}$ | $t i v \cdot \operatorname{chh} \hat{u}$ |  | dichhäng |
| Bird | chhöqgua | chhōnguve | в $n$ ngwa | nōw $\bar{\square}$ | chhongwä | wāsa | chhōngeca |
| Bloch | hêlluwa | $k i$ | hāri | $\boldsymbol{h a n} \boldsymbol{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{h i}, \boldsymbol{h} \bar{a}$ | hāli | hā, hêu |


| Daugmali. | Redeng. | 'Nabherong. | Kalang. | Thalang. | Chouras'ja. | Khalliog. | Dumi. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at'po | aüra, itto | ibhou | $\boldsymbol{u} \bar{u} \bar{m} \boldsymbol{m}$ | kwong, kong, kolr | kolo | tau, tivo | taxu, bavo |
| Michi | hākara | nisbhou | nih'chi | ni, nichi, nale | nik'si | sabpo | sak'pw |
| süm'chi | sūm'ra | sük'biou | sup ${ }^{\text {che }}$ i | syūm, sule | süm'makia | sükpo | sut'po |
| lichi, riohi | $l y u ̄ r a$ | lik'bhou | lichi | bli, bleu-lo | phibakha | bhäl | bhyal |
| $n g a ̈ c h i$ | ngāra | ngãk'bhou | ngāchi | ngo, ngolo |  | bhong | bkiong |
| $t u k{ }^{\prime}$ ohi | tūk' ${ }^{\text {cara }}$ |  | $t \bar{u} k$ 'chi | ro, ru, rule |  | re | rāroong |
|  | raikara |  | $\boldsymbol{n} \bar{u} c h i$ | seren, ser, serle |  | $t a ̄ r$ | r |
|  | bhok' kara |  | rechi | yen, yet, yetle |  | $\operatorname{rin}$ | ri |
|  |  |  | bong'chi | gù, gale |  | ghä |  |
|  |  |  | $u k{ }^{\prime}$ bonq | $k(w) o n g-d y z \bar{m}$ |  | tadham |  |
|  |  |  |  | $k(w)$ ong $u$-sang |  | khāl-taū, kāl |  |
|  |  |  |  | naa-sang |  | khäl sàkpo |  |
|  |  |  |  | naasang ko $0 \cdot d y \bar{u} m$ |  | khāl sāk po tau dham. |  |
|  |  |  | . | ngosang |  | lehâlbhong |  |
| $a n g^{\prime} \cdot k a, ~ i n g ' \cdot k a$ | ingka, $k \bar{a} n g \bar{a}, k \bar{a}$ | $k \bar{a} n g \bar{a}, k \bar{a}$ | kong $\overline{\text { a }}$ | go | $\bar{u} n g-g \bar{u}$ | ūng | ūng, äng-ngu |
| $h \bar{a} n \bar{a}$ | $k h \bar{u} \bar{\sim} \bar{a}$ | $\bar{a} n \bar{a}$ | $\bar{a} n \boldsymbol{a}$ | gāna | ngome, ünu | in | in, $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{n} \times$ |
| süg, khigo | $s a$ | $\overline{\text { a }}$ s | $\bar{a} s \bar{e}$ | $s y \bar{u}, \bar{u} h e m$ | $\bar{a} c h \bar{u}$ | $k h \bar{a} m$ | syü, syügo |
| tigo | däko | $\bar{u} l \bar{e}$ | ūso, $\bar{u} i$ | $h \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{m}$ | $\overline{\text { a ma }}$ | mangga | mininga |
| tem | dū̀mo | dēl | dēìye, dèi | hala, hayu, hamko | asskwalo | hebe | hebe |
| säy $\cdot \mathrm{chhang}$ | isāma, sōi | $\overline{\bar{n}} \boldsymbol{s} \bar{a}$ | $\bar{a}$ so, ${ }_{\text {a }}$ s | syubrva | $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{c} h \bar{u} \cdot \underline{y} \bar{e}$ | $s \bar{u} i-y o$ | syū-yō |
| tichhang | dēēè, dyeu ny $\bar{u}$ | $\bar{u} s{ }_{s} \bar{a}$ | ùso | hambwa |  | māng - $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ | maxg -y ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| chhöngwä | wāsa | chhōwa | chhōwn | chakpu | chakbwa | salpo | salpa |
| hi | $h i, h i ̄ a$ | hi | hi | sisis | $\overline{\text { ùs }}$ / | hi | hi |


|  | Batali. | Sengplos. | Lahording. | Lembiohhong. | Weling. | Chhingting. | RAagobhlabare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ohild | pa.chhä, pioohla | chhāohho-chhē | pa | chhā | chhēehi | ohhäche | chadehi |
| Cook | voïpä |  |  | impa-wa | wäpa | ranggàba | $\omega_{\text {wäpā }}$ |
| Cow | pih' | $\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | pik | pih' | $g a i$ | $p i t^{\prime}$ | Pit, pih' |
| Dsughter |  | mimāchhăchhā | $\begin{gathered} \text { mennwin-ma } \\ \text { pasa } \end{gathered}$ | mēchchhāchhä | $m \bar{a}-\mathrm{ch} h \bar{a}$ | mḕch'chhä-chhã | mēch'chis. chhächhà |
| Day | iētta | um-läpa | lēntā, len | ilēmba | wokholē, nāmdīya | $n \bar{a} m$ | wholen |
| Dog | $k \overline{o b c h u ̈ m a ̄ ~}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{h} \bar{u}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\omega} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | kochū | kōtima, kōohüw | $k \bar{o} c h u \bar{\omega} \boldsymbol{a}$ |  |
| Ear | naba | naba | $n \overline{a b} a(k)$ | ט0י9 | ${ }_{\text {n }} \bar{a} p h \bar{a} k$ | nārek | $n \overline{a b} a$ |
| Egg | wā-dīn | $d i$ | $w \bar{\theta} h^{\prime} \cdot d \vec{\nu}$ | thin, wäthin | dim | $u-t h i n$ | u-ding, wä-din |
| Eye | mūik, mūh' | $m \bar{a} k, m \bar{u} h '$ | mik | mik, mih' | mak | mak | mak, mäk |
| Fboe | $n g a ̆ c h o h ' ~$ | $n g a \bar{b} a$ |  | $n \bar{a} p h a ̄ k$ | ngत̄läng | $n g a ̄ l u ̈ n g$ | ngãlūng |
| Fire | $m i$ | $m i$ | mi | mi | mi | $m i$ | $m i$ |
| Fish | $n g \bar{a}$ | ngà | $n g \bar{a} s \bar{a}$ |  | $n g \ddot{a}$ | $n g \bar{\alpha} s \bar{a}$. | $n g \bar{a}$ |
| Foot | lăk', lāng | $\boldsymbol{l}$ | lāng | lāng | läng | $l \overline{a r n g}$ | läno |
| Goat | mithibä | chbānggara | mēthuba | mēndi | bākara | mêndìlo | chhēnggara |
| Grain | chāma | chāma | chā, ${ }^{\text {buja }}$ | chā-ma, büja | chā | kwak, kok | chāmă |
| Heir | tangä, chā-mi, $m u ̈ n g$ | $m w a, t \bar{a} m u \sim 8 \bar{s} m$ | tanga', mih' | mung, tang-phūkwa | $t \bar{a} n g-m \bar{u} v{ }^{\text {a }}$ | tang'-phe kwa | $\boldsymbol{m a} \boldsymbol{a}$ |
| Hand | $h u h ', h u k$ | $h u h^{\prime}$ | $h_{\text {w }} h^{\prime}$ | $m \bar{u} k, m u h$ | chhū $k$ | $m \bar{u} k$ | chhuk |
| Head | $t \bar{a} k h l o$ | tākhūlo | tākhrok', ningtangwa | tāng | täng | täng | tīng |
| Hog | $b \bar{a} h{ }^{\prime}$ | $b h \bar{a}$ | $b a k ', b a^{\prime}$ | phäh | $b \bar{o} k, p h \bar{a}, k h o n g$ | phak | $b \bar{a}$ |
| Horn | sātāng | (®) | tang | $\operatorname{singa}$ | khūūng-tāng, atam'mi khak | sing'ga | $4 \cdot s a n g^{\prime}-g a$ |
| House | $k h i m$ | khim | khim | khim | chim | khim | khim |
| Hunger | sāge | säka | دāk' | $s \bar{a} k{ }^{\prime}$ | sāang-sū̄ūā | sangsā̀vā | $s \bar{a} \bar{u}, s \bar{u} n g \cdot s \bar{a} w a \bar{a}$ |
| Men | wäthäppa, wäthäkpa | wāchchà ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | wāthāppa, wäthangpa | $p \bar{d}, p \bar{c} c h h i$ | u-dūwa | $p \bar{E}$ | dūwachhā |
| Moon | $l \bar{a}$ | $l \bar{a}$ | $l \bar{a}$ | ladíba | lādìma | lãthîba | līdìma |
| Muoutain | $y \bar{a} k p \bar{u}$ | bhūri | kongku, sani | s $\vec{a} n g g \vec{u}$ | dåda | bour | bhar |
| Mouth | $y \bar{a}$ | ngo | $4 \bar{a}$ | $y \bar{a} s i$ | twō, do | thurum | $d \overline{0}$ |
| Name | nang | $n \vec{a}$ | ning | ning | nang | nang | nang |


| Dangmelis. | Radong. | NLabhdrdag. | Kalazg. | Thalag. | Ohouran'ys. | Ebaling. | Dami |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| chhdohe | chhatohi | chhāmüıoa | mukcha, chhā-chhì-ma. | chwoz-chwo | baba | uehy | chyouehyo |
| $\underline{4}$ | wīpă | wàpà | $w \vec{a} p \bar{a}$ | grōk-pupō | böngäpa | loklap | koklup |
| $p i t '$ | pìpyupa | $p^{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $g a i$ | $b i a$ | $g a i$ | gyai, bi |
| \#ēchichhā | mārchhēchhā | mīmchhāchhā | $\boldsymbol{m i m c h h a ̄} \boldsymbol{C} h \boldsymbol{h} \bar{a}$ | màschueè chwē | täbe | melsimā-chyā | mėsbē-chyo |
| $u m l \overline{\text { a }}$ to $(k)$ | kholē | $m l e \bar{p} a$ | $l \overline{e s p a}^{\text {a }}$ | nēmphï | dutiso | ungol | ūnyol, nülu |
|  | khliz | haga | $k h \bar{e} \bar{b} \bar{a}$ | khlaba | chāli | khlฮb | khlëb |
| näphak | näpro | $n \bar{a} b \bar{a}$ | nōbwa, nōbo | nōhphla | $d \bar{u} b \bar{u}$ | $n \mathrm{e} \mathrm{ch}$ o | nēcho |
| $\bar{u} m$-ting | $d a i$ | dii | $\bar{u} m \cdot d \bar{i}, u \bar{a} \cdot d \bar{l}$ | $d \bar{i} i$ | $b \bar{a}-b \bar{a} n g g^{\prime}-y a$ | $p h a ̄ t t e \bar{~}$ | utti |
| mak | michak | mik'sa | muk'si | $m i k ' s i$ | bisi | mash | mas, miksi |
| nyālung | u-ngãlung | $n \hat{a} b w a$ | $n g o ̂ b w a, ~ n g o ̄ b o$ | kal | kūli | $\lambda \cdot \bar{a} p h i$ | $k \bar{a} p h \bar{u}$ |
| $m i$ | mi | mi | mi | $m \bar{u}$ | mi | $m i$ | mi |
| $n g a ̄$ | $n g \bar{a} s \bar{a}$ | $n g \bar{a}$ | $n g a ̄$ | $\boldsymbol{n g} \bar{\delta} \boldsymbol{s} \bar{\square}$ | $\eta g$ ōsō | ngo | nyo |
| làng | $p h i ̄ l \bar{u}$ | $l \overline{0} \overline{0}$ | lōng | khel | ${ }_{\text {lōsu }}$ | syāl | syāl, y ${ }^{\bar{u}}$ |
| chhägar | chhōng-gara | chhāngara | chhingyara | chhwära | sāngara | grody $\bar{u}$ | grob |
| chāmā, chämcha | $\cdots h \bar{e}$ | chāmma |  | $c h \bar{a}$ | $j \bar{a} m a$ | $j \bar{a}, d y u$ | jy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| mūa | mus'ya, twōng | tāa-sàm |  | sēm, swêm | sōm | umarsam, dosamā sam | do.sūm, u-som |
| chlıüh | chhiu | $h \bar{u} \bar{u}$ | $h \bar{u} h^{\prime} u$ | $l w a b$ | $l \bar{a}$ | khar | khar |
| $t \bar{n} \mathrm{n}$ g | $t \bar{a} k l o$ | tāklo | tōng | $b \bar{u} i$ | $p h u ̈ t i r i$ | udhong | dhong |
| $p \bar{a} h, p a$ | $b \overline{0}$ | ${ }^{\text {b }} \overline{\bar{\sigma}}$ | ${ }^{\text {bō}}$ | $\bar{b} w \bar{a}, b o$ | $p \bar{a}$ | po | po-pwo |
| $k h \bar{u} \hat{k} m \bar{u}-t \bar{a} n g$ | rūng, tong | $1 \bar{a} \bar{a}$ | ùm-pitta | $u m \cdot r a ̄ n g$ | r6so | ughrong | grong |
| khim | khim | khim | khim | nēın | kiüdua | $k \bar{a} m$ | $k \bar{a} m, k i m$ |
| $s \bar{a} g \bar{a}$ | $s \bar{a} k \bar{a}$ | sak $\bar{a} \bar{a}$ | $s \bar{a} k \bar{a}$ | $k r u ̄ i m$ | krēmkhö | sōo | ${ }^{\text {soab }}$ |
| mirchha, $\bar{p}_{\bar{a}}$ | soröohhā | wachechhā | $\bar{a} c c h h \bar{a}$ | vō̄schwe | $\bar{o}_{\text {che }}$ | $l a s ' b a$ | $l a s^{\prime}$ be |
| lădìma, ladipma | lādìpa | lànima | $l \bar{a}$ |  | twasyäl | lya |  |
|  | $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{d} d \boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{d e}{ }_{\text {a }}$ a | täm'him | bro | kwāma | $u d h a_{m}$ |  |
| two | dyd | ngōcho | ngo | si | düli | kucom | l wom, kam |
| nang | nang | $n a$ | ning | nang | $d i$ | nang | nang |


|  | Bsisli. | Slangpeng. | Lohorong, | Lembiohhong. | Weling. | Chbiogt ${ }^{\text {ang. }}$ | Ras |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Night | setta | um-sep $\overline{\text { a }}$ | sen | $i-80 m b d$ | umkhakhē, akhakhwi | ukhakhüit | ukhakhnodi, uhhdiko |
| Road | $\boldsymbol{l a ̄ m}$ | làn | lām, lam'phū | làmbo | lām | lämbo | làin |
| Sky | $n \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{m}$ | ni-nāmbobi, $n \bar{a} n^{\prime}$ 'chho | $n$ àmtrūng ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ | $n \bar{a} m c h h i r i$ | sag'ra | nēmchhuru | nämchok |
| Snalke | $\boldsymbol{p}^{\bar{u}}$ | $\boldsymbol{p}^{\bar{u}}$ | piu-se(-ma) | $p^{\bar{u}}$ | $\boldsymbol{p u c h h a ̈ p , ~}$ puchham | $p \bar{u} c h h \bar{a}$ | pūchaìm |
| Son | wāthapchhā | vō̄chchhachh $\bar{a}$ | wäthāppa-pasā | yemba chhā |  | chhāi | düvachhà-chhà |
| Star | sūngemmax | sänggeü | sängge( $-m m \bar{a})$ | chokehong-gi | sanggenma | chok-chong-i, chok-choi. | sänggēn |
| Stone | $l u^{\prime} k o^{\prime} w a$ | lüng | $l u \bar{u} g$-Kong-u'a | $l \bar{u} n g$ ( $-o k ' w a)$ | $l \bar{u} n g-t \bar{a} k$ | lūnggwak'-wa | lüng'tà |
| Sun | $n \bar{\chi} m$ | ${ }^{\text {abpa }}$ | $n \bar{\alpha} m$ | nãm | nämchhowa | $n \bar{\alpha} m$ | $n \bar{a} m$ |
| Thirst | toaime | $v_{0} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} m \bar{a}$ | wait'mā | wait'mã | $\boldsymbol{w} \bar{a} \boldsymbol{i} k m \bar{a}$ | wāikmā | wāilmā, wàmitmà |
| Tiger | keubu | kipa | kiba | 亿ība | dhīnurā, dhīnrâa | kibha | kiwa |
| 'looth | $k e ̄ n g$ | $k \vec{a}$ | lcēng | $k \bar{e} n g$ | kang | $k \bar{e} n g$ | kang |
| Tree | sin'tenda | tup-säng | sing-tāng-dāk | sing-i-tāng-li | sangu | $s a m g{ }^{\prime}$ | sang'täng |
| Village | $t e n$ | $t \bar{e}$ | $g \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} w \bar{a}$ | $t e n$ | teng | $t \bar{e} n$ | $t \bar{e} n g$ |
| Water | kūngıō | $(k \vec{a}-) w \overline{\bar{a}}$ | yowi | chūwā, wēt | chāwā | chū wā | chà wā |
| Woman | memchhā | mimachhā | menūmmā | $\boldsymbol{m a} \bar{C} \boldsymbol{h} h \boldsymbol{i}$ | $a d \bar{u} m \overline{\text { a }}$ | $m \bar{c} \mathrm{c} h \bar{e}$ | mēchhāchhā |
| Far | tärho | chhūsi | wō, miyo | mänglok | $m \bar{a} n g^{\prime}-k h a-y a$ | māng•no | $m \vec{a} n g-s a$, mangkhiya-da |
| Near | netā | $n e t i, y \bar{u}-h h i$ | nen, ning-tāng | tangnek-lok | mumikng $\bar{a}_{1}$ neh'yang | taughe, tangne | $n e k-t a, n e k k h i d a$, neēk |
| Grod | $\boldsymbol{n u} \bar{\sim} \boldsymbol{n}, \mathrm{n} u \mathrm{p}$ | $n \bar{i}$ | nüye | $n \bar{u} y u k k h a$ | $n \bar{u}, \quad k h u p u-n \bar{u},$ <br> amwa, $i$ | nūno | nūuo |
| Bad | isiñe, isap', $n \bar{u}-n \bar{i}-n e$ | isi | ìs ${ }_{\text {a }}$, phenna | $n \bar{u} y u k-n i n-k h a$, ngasi-yukha | noüdhōi, aitpa | it'no | euwo, $a^{-} \cdot n \bar{u} \cdot n i n \cdot k 0$ |
| Raw | $m \bar{a}-t \bar{u} p t i$ | $m a n^{\prime}-d \bar{u}-(w a k o)$ | mentumpa, mākam' $p a$ | hingli (-kha) | umpā̀va, aamang | $u m \bar{a} n g$ | womäng, umaing |
| Ripe | $t \bar{u} m a p$ | setnāchi, dūwako | dumenipa, tumem'pa | $t h \bar{u} y \bar{u}(-y e-k h a)$ | sūm'sa, tup'sa, bhang'sa | $u t h u \bar{u} b \bar{a} i$ | tūmawo |
| Tall | $k i b y \bar{e} p$ | otto-ripiko | keye | $k e \overline{l n} k{ }^{\prime}(-k h a)$ | kìāang | kēno | kiyang, kong-yang kwangta |
| Short | täksip' | uttuche-ripiko | taksye, mim'mu | ขอื゙yuk' (-kha) | dū̀yāng | บnno | simta, simyang |
| Fat | $\boldsymbol{e h \delta}$ | ch\% | choye | choh' | cho | chōha, chō-a | chō |
| Drink | dùngo | dungu | dùnge | th ${ }^{\text {unga }}$ | dūngб | thūva, thè-a | dūngō |


| Dangmali. | Bodong. | N30hhtreng. | Kalung. | Thalang. | Ohoura'ye | Ethallug. | Demi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $k h \delta_{s}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | umsyāpa | sêpa | dum'ma, dungma | domsā, dwйng. primo, dompaime | $\overline{\mathrm{u}} \cdot \operatorname{sen} \mathrm{c}_{\text {and }}$ | $\bar{u} \cdot \operatorname{sen} \boldsymbol{y} \mathrm{a}_{\text {àm }}$ |
| 1 am | $\underline{\text { làm }}$ | $l \bar{a} m$ | $l \bar{a} m$ | läm | lām | $1 \bar{a}_{\text {a }} \mathrm{m} d \overline{0}$ | līmdā̃ |
| $n \overline{3} m$ | nam | nämehho | chhüburi, netwa | $d$ vixam | dvoàm | $d h a ̈ m$ | nämtū |
| $p \bar{c} c h h a ̄ p$ | pūchho | $\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{u} \bar{u}$ | $p u$ | phūeh $_{\text {chù }}$ | bisa | bhei | $b h e ̄ i$ |
| mirchh $\bar{\sim}-c h h \bar{a}$ | sorochha-chha | wach'chhä-chhä | wāchha-chhā | waschue chwe | tīıra | tārāpā-əhye | lasbềchyo |
| sänggenma | pitipya, pitappa | sangger'wa | sūng-ger | swar | soru | songgar | songger |
| $l \bar{u} n g t \bar{a}$ | $l \bar{u} n g$ 'to | $l u \bar{u}$ | $l u \bar{u} n g$ | $l \bar{u} n g$ | lüng | $7 \overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{ng}$ | lüng |
| näm-chhong-wa | n $\bar{\alpha} m, n \bar{a} m$-liya | $n \overline{\bar{a}} \boldsymbol{n}$ | näm | nepsüng, nem | $d w \bar{a} m$ | nām | nam |
| chāōmit' $-m \bar{a}$ | ธāimà | $w \bar{a} m i m \bar{a}$ | $w \bar{a} m m \bar{\omega}$ | $k o ̄ d \bar{a}$ | dakikhō | kunun', kunur' | lumāna |
| $k h i b h \bar{a}$ | chā $b h \bar{a}$ | dhing'trā | $n \bar{a} r i$ | $g \bar{u} p s y \bar{u}$ | $g \overline{\text { apso }}$ | nyor | nyor |
| kang | king | kaa | làng | $l y \bar{u}$ | $g^{\bar{u}} \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}$ so | ngālu | ngillo, ang'lo |
| sang.pu | song $\cdot p \bar{u}$ va | $s \bar{a} \bar{a}$ | thon $\bar{a}_{\text {a }}$ n | dhak'sa | sing | dhyäksa | topsh包. |
| tèn | tüngmā | ty ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $t \bar{e} l$ | $d \overline{e l}$ | $d \bar{e} l$ | dēl | $d \bar{e} l$ |
| chāh'wa | $w_{\bar{a}}$ | kaawā | $k \bar{a} \bar{u}$ | $k \bar{u}$ | $k a \bar{k} k$ | $k \bar{u}$ | $k i \bar{u}$ |
| umma | mãrohha | mim'chhā |  | wochy $\bar{u}$ | bichomūyo | $m e s p \bar{a}$ | mesbē |
| $m \bar{n} n g(-k h \bar{u} y \bar{a})$ | molchä, mose, mise | chhìburu | chhügri | chhyu-bat | $b h \bar{a} n a$ | chhy $\bar{\sim} p \bar{a}$ | chhyü |
|  | ngan'-ge, nen-ge |  | $n \bar{e} n$ '-kha | $n g e ̄ p a$ | $\overline{\text { a }} \boldsymbol{m n a}$ | nêphām | mebingā |
| ${ }_{n} \bar{u}$ | nyo, krē-nge | nada, nat natkhi | $n \bar{o}, n \bar{o} i ~ n \bar{\partial} y u$ | nyūpa | dūcho | ny unpa $^{\text {a }}$ | $n y \bar{u} p a$ |
| $\bar{i}$ | ìse | is'da | $m a n^{\prime} \cdot n \bar{o} i$ | $m \bar{z}-n y \bar{u} \cdot p a$ | $\bar{u} d \bar{u} c h o ̄$ | $m \bar{\alpha}-n y \ddot{u} p a$ | $m \bar{u}-n \bar{p} p a$ |
| ummãng | mo, ummo | mäpe | $m \bar{a} m t u m-k h \bar{a} p a$, mamd $\bar{u}-p a$, mōpē | $u c h \bar{a} k h-l i$ | krābo | $\bar{u} s \bar{u} t a$ | ūsūta |
| $t \bar{u} \mathrm{~m}^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | tupsāko, mattāko | dùwäk | tum-khäpa, dūpa | thik'ta, thothta | thichō | dham'pa | mis'te |
| badhemego | Lile, run'd $\theta$ | bhāipa, rêpa | wadrē-ppa | $y e ̈ p a$ |  | song'-pa | song'-pa |
| tungo | inang-kile, pakile | $y \overline{e ̄ t} \bar{e}-r \vec{e} p a, y \vec{e} t \bar{c}-$ bhāi-pa | chirëppa |  | $\bar{a}-r o ̄ c h \bar{o}, \bar{a}-r o ̄ b \bar{b}$ | dokhāi-song'-pa | tibi-chyotn |
| choye | chō | ch $\bar{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}$ | cho | $p^{\boldsymbol{e}}$ | jinkāta | $j y \bar{u} y e, k u \bar{y}$ a | jy |
| tünge | dūngō | dū̀ngō | düng'-ngu | düngā | $t \bar{u} k \bar{d} \dagger \bar{a}$ | tyang-ye | tingne |



| Dangmeli. | H6dong. | Na̧ohhêrang. | Ktlang. | Thalang. | Ohoursa'ym. | Khaling. | Datad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in'se | im'sa | in'sa | $i m$ 'sa | $a m^{\prime}$ 'sa | glomba | ann'si | annisi |
| tabe | băna | tuwa | ठбпа | $b i k a$ | pikāta | pagxye | pi ${ }^{\mathbf{i}}$ |
| Lhäde | $\bar{a} t a, p u n g$ 'sa | khāta | khäta | dak'sa | levāstā | khoche | khooldo |
| robde | ขōnı | bal'sa | būlsa | wända | prōkāta | ghüre | ghüre |
| Piyãag ye | idōng | $p i=a-w a$ | piy ${ }^{\overline{3}}$ | $g v \bar{a}-\bar{a} n g$ | $g a k \bar{a}$ | bingaye | binga |
| $p i$-ye | $\underline{i d u}$ | pī-yo | piyū | gwākē | $g \overline{\mathrm{c}} k \boldsymbol{t} \overline{\bar{a}}$ |  | $b i$ |
| nöre | chat-zy $\bar{u}$, chai $-d y \bar{u}$ | $y o p ' s \bar{u}$ | $k e ̄ r u$ | $y \mathrm{alsa}$ | $t \bar{u} p t \bar{a}$ | yalys | $k l e n ' d a$ |
| sëde | $\boldsymbol{s} \bar{t} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{y} \bar{u}$ | situ | setu | sēda | syätta | säde | sede |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## BALALI.

The Bālāli Khambus live in the so-called Mã̃jh, or Middle Kirānt, i.e. the hills between the Likhu and Arun Rivers.

## AUTHORITY—

Hodgson, B. H.,-Dontinuation of the Comparative Vocabulary of the several Dialects of the Kirántee Language. Journal of the Asintic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxri, 1857, pp. 350 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 194 and ff.
Bālāli is most closely related to Lōhōrōng and the Bontãwa dialects.
Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{o}, o m$ in forms such as $\bar{o}-p \bar{a}$, father ; om' $m \bar{a}$, mother, etc., is identical with the pronoun $\bar{o}$, his, her, its.

Gender is distinguished by using different terms or by adding suffixes or words denoting the sex. Thus, $\bar{o}-p \bar{a}$, father; om'-mà, mother: wäthākpa and vā̃thäppa, man; mem-chhā, woman : wā-pua, cock; $w \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$ and $w \bar{a}-o-m \bar{a}$, hen : om-dap'-mi, husband; $n \bar{u}-m \bar{a}$, wife : weh'-chh $\bar{a}$, young man : lāngna-mè, young woman : pichchhā, boy ; pichchhä mīmāchhā, girl: wäthap-chhā and wāthak-pachha, son ; mīmā-chhā chhā, daughter : $\bar{o}-p \bar{a} k o ̄ c h u ̄ m \bar{a}, \operatorname{dog} ; ~ o m{ }^{\prime}-m a \bar{a} k o ̄ c h u ̈ m a ̄$, bitch.

There are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The suffix of the dual is $c h i$, and that of the plural $m i$; thus, mina-chi, two men; mina-mi, men.

The genitive can be expressed by simply putting the governed before the governing word without any suffix; thus, pih' pachhā, cow's young, calf; wā dīn, fowl's egg. A genitive suffix $m i, m$, is also used, and the governed word can be repeated by means of a pronominal prefix before the governing one; thus, mithiba-m pachha, goat-of young, and mithiba-mi u-p-chhä, goat-of its-young, kid.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions. Such are $p \bar{\imath}, b \bar{u}$, in ; pāng, from ; ngā, by ; lüng, with; medding, without, and so on.

Numerals. -The first ten numerals are found in the table on p. 342. The forms hich'che, two, etc., cannot be used when human beings are counted, the final che, ji, being, in that case replaced by pāng, or bāng; thus, hippāng, two.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-
$k a \bar{a} g a \bar{a}, k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$.
$\bar{u} n g, u m$, my.
$k a ̄ n g-m i$, mine.
$k a ̄-c h i, \mathrm{I}$ and thou.
$k \bar{a}-c h i-m$, my and thy.
$k \bar{a}-c h i-m-m i, \quad$ mine and thine.
$k \ddot{a}-c h i-k \bar{a}, k a \dot{-c} c h i-g a ̈, I$ and he.
$k \tilde{a}-r h i-g \bar{a}-m, m y$ and his.
$\bar{a} n \bar{a}$, thou.
$\bar{a}, \bar{a} m, \bar{u} p$, thy.
$\bar{a} m-m i$, thine.
$\bar{a} n \bar{a}-c h i$, you two.
$\bar{a} n a ̄-c h i-m$, your two.
$\bar{a} n \bar{a}-c h i-m i-m i$, yours two.
mo, kho, he, she, it.
$\bar{u}, \bar{o}, u p$, mo-m, leho-m, his, her, its.
mo-mi, kho-mi, his, hers, its.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { kho-chi } \\ \text { mo-chi }\end{array}\right\}$ hippäng, they two.
kho-chi-m, mi-chi-m, mo-chi, hippäng-chi-m, their two.
mi-chi-m-mi, kho-chi-m-mi, etc., theirs two.
$k \bar{a}-c h i-g \bar{a}-m-m i$, mine and his.
ikin, I and you.
$\bar{i} k i n g$, my and your.
ikim-mi, mine and yours.
$\bar{i} k-k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ and they.
$\bar{i} k k \bar{a}-m, \mathrm{my}$ and their.
$\bar{\imath} k k a ̈ m-m i$, mine and theirs.
änin, you.
änim, äninim, your.
anim-mi, yours.
kho-ohi, mo-chi, they. kho-chi-m, mo-chi-m, their.
kho-chi-m-mi, mo-chi-m-mi, theirs.

It will be seen that the plural forms of the third person are dual by origin.
The pronouns of the third person are also used as demonstratives; thus, kōō, this; $m \bar{o} \bar{o}$, that, etc.

Interrogative pronouns are $\bar{a} s \bar{a}$ and $\bar{a} s \bar{u}-l o$, who ? $\bar{u} k h a$, what? The same bases occur in the indefinite pronouns $\bar{a} s \bar{a}-n e$, anybody; $\bar{u} k h \bar{a} n g$, anything.

Verbs.-We are very unsatisfactorily informed about the use of pronominal suffixes in order to denote the person and number of the subject and objeot and about the formation of tenses.

A dual and a plural subject of the second person with an imperative are indicated by adding $c h i, n i n$, respectively; thus, cho, eat; cha-chi, eat ye two; cha-nin, eat ye.

An object of the first person singular is indicated by adding the suffix $n g \bar{a}$ in $p i-n g \bar{a}$, give me. The $t$ in $p i-t-t u$, give him, is perhaps a corresponding sufix of the third person.

The form henge, it is, yes, seems to show that a suffix $e$ is used to form a present.
'Ihe base alone can be used as an imperative; thus, $n \bar{a}$, take; yëpok, stand up. Other imperatives end in o and $u$ or $a$; thus, dūngo, drink; lomu, strike; däba, come. The suffix $o$ or $u$ is changed to $a$ before the suffixes $c h i$ and nin of the dual and plural; thus, düngo, drink; dünga-nin, drink ye.

There is apparently a negative suffix ne; thus, hē-nga-ne, it is not, no. An infix $n i$ occurs in words such as $n \bar{u}-n i-n e$, good not, bad. In mā-tūpti, not ripe, raw, we apparently have a prefix $m \bar{a}$. The negative with imperatives is a prefixed $n a$.

- SANGPANG.

The sāngpāngs are one of the Khambu septs of Mãjh, or Middle, Kirant, i.e, the country between the Likha and Arun rivers.

## AUTHORITY-

> Hodgson, B. H.,-Continuation of the Comparative Vocabulary of the several Dialects of the Kirántee Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 350 and f. Reprint. ed in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indiar Subjocts. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 194 and ff.

Sāngpāng is closely connected with Düngmüli, Bālāli, the Bontāwa dialects, etc.
Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{u} m$ in $\bar{u} m-p \bar{a}$, father; $\bar{u} m$-dhābmi, husband; $\bar{u} m$-lēpa, day, and so on, is by origin a demonstrative pronoun; compare $\bar{u} m$, his, her, its.

Gender is distinguished by using different terms, or else by adding suffixes or words indicating the sex. Thus, $\bar{u} m-p \bar{a}$, father; $\bar{u} m-m \bar{a}$ and $m \bar{a}$, mother: w $\bar{a} c h c h \hbar \bar{a}, \operatorname{man} ;$
$m \bar{i} m \bar{a}-c h h \bar{a}$, woman : $p \bar{a}-s a n g$ and $p \bar{a}-s y \imath n g$, old man ; $m \bar{a} \cdot s a n g$ and $m \bar{a}-s y u n g$, old woman : sãlã, young man; sâlã-me, young woman: ( $\bar{u} m-) d h a ̄ b m i$, husband; y $\bar{u}$, wife: wāa $p \bar{a}$, cock; $w \bar{a} \cdot m \bar{a}$, hen : $\bar{u} m-p \bar{a} h a ̄ \bar{a} g a$, or hōga, dog ; $\bar{u} m-m \bar{a} \bar{a} \vec{a} g a$, or $h \bar{o} g a$, bitch: wächchhä-chh $\bar{a}$, son; mimächh $\bar{a}-c h h \bar{a}$, daughter.

There are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The suffix chi, which appears to be a dual sulfix, is also added in the plural; thus, $\bar{u} m m \bar{a} m a \bar{a} i-m \bar{a}-c h \bar{u}$, female cats.

The genitive is expressed by putting the governed before the governing word without any suffix; thus, pich-chhā, cow's young, calf. The suffix mi, mu, can be added; thus, $t \bar{a}-m u$ säm, head-of hair. It is by origin a demonstrative pronoun; compare Bāhing mi.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $p \bar{c}, \mathrm{in}$, with; $p i-k \bar{a}$, from ; $\bar{a}$, by ; mand and main, without, etc.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the table on p. 342. The forms ending in pang are used with reference to human beings; those ending in $l i$ with reference to unimals; thus, eukla-pang mina, one man; sum-kala-pang mina, three men; eu-li pi, one cow ; hisali pi, two cows; sam-kali pi, three cows.

Pronouns.-'The following are the personal pronouns :-
$k a ̄ n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$.
$\tilde{a}$, my.
$\tilde{a} \alpha-m i$, mine.
$k \bar{a}-c h \bar{i}, \mathrm{I}$ and thou.
$\bar{u}-c h \bar{u}$, my and thy.
$k \bar{a}-c h \bar{\imath}-k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ and he. $\overline{\bar{a}}-c h \bar{u}, \mathrm{my}$ and his.
$k a ̄ y \bar{\imath}$, kaye, I and you. $y \bar{e}$, my and your.
$k \bar{a}-n i, k \bar{a}-k \bar{i}-k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ and they. ang-k $\bar{a}, \mathrm{my}$ and their.
$\bar{a} n \bar{a}$, thou.
$\vec{a} m$, thy.
$\bar{a} m-m i$, thine.
$\bar{a} n \bar{a}-c h \bar{l}$, you two.
$\bar{a} m-c h \bar{u}$, your two.
$\bar{a} n \bar{a}-n i$, you.
$\bar{a} m-n \bar{u}$, your.
mo-ko, me-ko, he, she, it.
$\bar{u} m, m e k ' u m$, his, her, its.
me-ko-mi, his, hers, its.
mökō-chi, me-ko-chi hippang, they two.
meko-hippang-chi-m, me-ko-chi
hippang-mi, their two.
meko-mi, meko-chi, they.
meko-chi-m, their.

The form meko-chi, they, is by origin a dual. ' The suffix $m i$ can be added to the genitive of all pronouns; thus, ang-kā-mi, mine and theirs.

The pronouns of the third person are also used as demonstrative pronouns; thus, moko and moko-nga, that. The nearer demonstrative is noko or noko-ng $\bar{a}$, this.

Interrogative pronouns are $\bar{a} s \bar{a}$ and $\bar{a} s \bar{a} l e$, who? yen, what? $y \tilde{\bar{a}}-p i$, why? hā- $p i$, when? and so on. The indefinite particle is sāng, also; thus, $\bar{a} s \bar{a}-s \bar{a} n g$, anybody; $y \tilde{o}-8 \bar{a} n g$, anything.

Verbs.-We are very unsatisfactorily informed about the use of pronominal suffixes in order to denote the person and number of the subject and object, and about the formation of tenses.

The sullixes $c h u$, or $c h i$, num or $n i$, respectively, are added to an imperative in order to denote a subject of the second person dual and plural, respectively. Thus, chö, eat; cho-chu, eat ye two; cho-num, eat ye : dūng $\bar{u}$, drink; dūng $\bar{u}-c h u$, drink ye two; dünga-
mum, drink ye : $p \bar{\imath} y \bar{u}$, give him; pīyū-chi, give ye two ; pìyū-ni, give ye: bāmā, come; lànā-chi, come ye two; bānā-n̄̄, come ye.

The suffix $\bar{a} n$, i.e. probably $\tilde{\bar{a}}$, is used to indicate an object of the first person singular in $p \bar{i}-\bar{a} n$, give me.

The forms $y \bar{e}, i n$-chhing and in-ng $\bar{a}$, it is, yes, seem to show that the base alone, and with one of the suffixes chhüng and ngà, can be used as a present.

The mere base is also used as an imperative; thus, $n \bar{e}$, take; $m \bar{o}$, do. Other imperatives are formed by adding $\bar{o}, \bar{u}$, and $\bar{a}$; thus, ch $\bar{o}$, eat; d $\bar{u} n g-\bar{u}$, drink; yosu, strike ; ips $\bar{a}$, sleep; bānă, come, etc. We do not know anything about the meaning of the consonants preceding the final vowel of such imperatives.

The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$ or man; thus, $m \bar{u}-n \bar{u}$, not-is, no ; man-ducoako, not ripe, raw. Hodgson also mentions a negative suffix isi. Isi also means 'bad.' The negative with imperatives is $n a$.

## LOHORONG.

The home of the Lōhōrōng Khambus is the so-called Wallo, or Hither, Kirānt, i.e. the hills between the Sunkosi and the Likhu.

## AUTHORITIES-

Hodgson, B. H.,-Continuation of the Oomparative Vocabulary of the several Dialeots of the Kiránteo Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 350 and ff. Reprinted in Miscallaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 18s0, pp. 194 and ff.
Honter, W. W.,-A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.

Lōhōrōng is most closely related to Bālāli and connected dialects.
Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{u} m$ in $\bar{u} m^{\prime} p \bar{a}$, father ; $\bar{u} m n^{\prime} m \bar{u}$, mother, etc., is identical with the pronoun $\bar{u} m$, his, her, its.

Gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding suffixes or words such as $\bar{u} m^{\prime} p \bar{a}, ~ u m p r u \bar{p} a$, male; $\bar{u} m ' m \bar{a}, u m m r \bar{u} m a$, female. Thus, $\bar{u} m$ ' $\bar{a}$, father; $\bar{u} m m^{\prime} m \bar{a}$, mother: n $\bar{u} p \bar{a}, ~ h u s b a n d ; ~ n \bar{u}-m \bar{a}$, wife: wāthāppa and withanypa, man; menūmmáa, woman: wenchā, young man; lāngmē, young woman : wāthāppa pasā, son; menūmmāa pasā, daughter: $\bar{u} m ' p \bar{a}$, or umprūpa, hūk'w $\bar{a}$, a $\operatorname{dog}$; $\bar{u} m^{\prime} m \bar{a}$, or ummrūma, hūk'wā, a bitch: umprūpa wā, cock; wā-mrūp'ma, ben: pi'pasū, male calf; pi'masā, female calf.

There are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The suffix chi is said to be used both in the dual and in the plural ; thus, mik', eye, dual and plural $m i ' c h i$. In the case of adjectives we find a dual suffix chica and a separate plural suffix miha; thus, nūye, good, dual muk-chia, plural nuk-miha.

The genitive is expressed by putting the governed before the governing word without any suffix; thus, pi'pasi, cow's young, calf. If the $\underset{\sim}{c}$ overning word is understood, the suffix $m i$ is added; thus, $k \bar{a} n g \bar{a}-m i$, mine.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions, such as be, bū, in; bāng, päng, from ; $\bar{e}, y \bar{e}$, by ; nüng, with, and so on.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the table on p. 342. The forms ending in pang, bang, are used when the qualified noun denotes male or female VOL. III, PART I.
individuals, those ending in chi are neuter. It will be seen that higher numbers are counted in tens.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-
$k a ̄ n g a ̈, k a ̄, \mathrm{I}$.
üng, my.
kāngā-mi, mine.
$k \bar{a}-c h i, \mathrm{I}$ and thou.
$k a ̈-c h i-m, c n '-c h i$, my and thy.
$k \bar{a}-c h \bar{i}-m i, \quad e n ' c h i-m i$, mine and thine.
$k \bar{a}-c h i-k a, \mathrm{I}$ and he.
$k \bar{a}-c h i-k \bar{a} m, \quad u n g-c h i, \quad \mathrm{my}$ and his.
$k \bar{a}-c h i-k \bar{a} m i, \quad u n g-c h i-m i$, mine and his.
$k \bar{a}-n i, I$ and you.
kià-ni-m, en-ni, my and your.
$k \bar{a}-n i \cdot m i$, mine and yours. $k \bar{a}-n i n g-k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ and they.
$k \bar{u}-n i n g-k a \bar{m}, e n-n i$, my and their.
$k \bar{a}-n i n g-k a m-m i$, mine and theirs.
$h \bar{a} n \bar{a}, \bar{a} n \bar{a}$, thou.
$\bar{a} m$, thy.
$\bar{k} \dot{a} n \bar{a}-m i$, thine.
hänā-chi, änā-chi, hän-chi-na, you two.
am-chi, ānä-chi-m, än-chi$n a-m$, your.
am-chi-mi, ān-chi-nä-mi, yours.
$h \bar{a}-n i-n \bar{a}, \bar{a}-n i-n \bar{a}, \quad k a n g-$ $n \bar{a}$, you.
ann-ni, hän-n̄̄a-m, hā-ni$n a ̈-m$, your.
$h \bar{a} n-n a m-m i, h \bar{a}-n i-n \bar{a}-m i$, yours.
$m o-m u, m i, m \bar{o}$, he, she, it. $u m$, his, her, its.
mo-mi, meyem-mi, his. mo-chi, măháa-chi, they two.
$u m-c h i, m a ̄ h \bar{a}-c h i-m$, their.
$u m-c h i-m i, m \bar{a}-h \bar{a}-c h i-m i$, theirs.
$m \bar{i} h \bar{a}-n a, m \bar{\imath} h \bar{a}-c h i$, they.
$u m-c h i, m \bar{i} h \bar{a}-c h i-m$, their.
um-chi-mi, mī-hä-chim-mi, theirs.

It will be seen that the dual and the plural are confounded in the third person, as in the case of nouns. It looks as if the dual were gradually giving way to the plural. Our materials are, however, insufficient for arriving at a definite result.
$M \bar{o}$, he, is also used as a demonstrative pronoun meaning 'that.' The corresponding nearer demonstrative is $\bar{q} g o$, this. The real base is $\bar{z}$, and the suffix $g o$ can also be added to $m \bar{o}$; thus, mōgo-chi, they. The dual of $\bar{z} g o$ is given as iga-chi, these two.

Interrogative pronouns are $\bar{a} s \bar{a}$, who? imang, what? They can be changed to indefinite pronouns by adding sīng; thus, $\bar{s} \bar{a} \bar{a}-s \bar{a} n g$, anyone ; imāng-säng, anything.

Verbs.-The subject of the rerb is probably indicated by adding pronominal suffixes; thus, linuk-nga, I am sweet; khik-nga and $k h i k-t i-n g a, ~ I ~ a m ~ b i t t e r ; ~ d u ̈ n g-e \bar{e}$, drink thou; dünga-che, drink ye two; dünga-ne, drink ye. Our information about the matter is not sufficient. There seems to be a suffix nga denoting a subject of the first person singular. In the imperative, a dual or plural subject is indioated by adding che, ne, respectively. Those forms are identical with the dual and plural suffix of personal pronouns. An $m$ is sometimes inserted before the $n$ of the plural; thus, sede, kill; seda-che, kill ye two; sedam-ne, kill ye.

A suffix $n g$ is also used to denote an object of the first person; thus, $p \bar{i}-n g-\bar{e}$, give me. The $t$ in pit-t-e, give him, is perhaps a corresponding suffix of the third person.

Forms such as $m \bar{o} n \bar{u}$, that is good; $\bar{i} g o n \bar{u}$, this is good ; medding, it is not, show that the base alone can be used as a present. We have no other information about the formation of the various tenses.

The suffix of the imperative is e, dual a-che, plural a-me or am-ne; thus, dabe, come; däla-che, come ye two; dābā-ne, come ye; lome, strike; loma-che, strike ye two; lomam-ne, strike ye.

Causals are formed by suffixing mette ; thus, dung-mette, cause him to drink; immette, cause him to sleep.

The negative particle is apparently a prefixed me; thus, medding, not-is, without. A suffixed $n i$ is used in words such as $n \bar{a}-n i$, grood-not, bad. A negative imperative is formed by prefixing $e^{\prime}$; thus, $e^{\prime}-d \bar{u} b e$ and $e^{\prime}$-tōnge, do not make.

## L.АМBICHHÖNG.

The Lámbichhōng Khambus are a sept of the Wāling Bontãwas. They are found in the so-called Mãjuh, or Middle, Kiränt, i.e, the hills between the Likhu and Ãrun rivers.

## AOTHORITY-

Hodgson, B. H.,-Oontinuation of the Comparative Vocabulary of the several Dialects of the Kirániee Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 350 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1850, pp. 194 and ff.

Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{i} m$ in words such as $\bar{i}$-chhā and $c h h \bar{a}$, child ; $\bar{i}$-thin and thin, egg; $\bar{\imath}-l \bar{l} m b a$, day; $\bar{z} m-p \bar{a}$, father; $\bar{\imath} n_{3}-m \bar{a}$, mother, etc., is by origin a prououn; compare $\bar{i} m$, his, her, its. The same is the case with $\bar{o}$ in words such as $\bar{i} m p \bar{a} \bar{o}-p h a k$ and $\bar{i} m p \bar{a} p h a k$, a boar ; compare $\bar{a} o-k h \bar{a}, y o-k h \bar{a}$, etc., they.

Gender is distinguished by using different terms, or else by adding suffixes or words indicating the sex. Thus, $p \bar{a}$ and $p \bar{a} c h h i$, man; $m \bar{a} c h h i$, woman : yēm'bā, husband; $m e ́ c h-c h h \bar{a}$, wife : $\bar{i} m p \bar{a}$, father; immáa, mother: $p \bar{a}-h \bar{u}-b a$, an old man; mā-hu-ma, an old woman : wängchabāng, a young man; kām-rum-mé, a joung woman : yēm'ba-chhā, son; $m e \bar{c} c h h \bar{a}-c h h \bar{a}$, daughter : nōwā $\bar{\imath} m p \bar{a}$ and $\bar{i} m p \bar{a} n o ̄ w \bar{a}$, a male bird ; nōwā $\bar{i} m m \bar{a}$ and $\bar{i} m m \bar{a}$ nōwā, a female bird : imp $\bar{a} \bar{o}-p i t '$ and $\bar{\imath} m p \bar{a}$ pit', bull ; $\bar{i} m m \bar{a} \bar{o}-p i h^{\prime}$, cow.

We have no information about the sulfixes added in the dual and the plural. The suffix chhi in chhā-chhi, child; pā-chhi, man; m $\bar{a}$-chhi, woman, is perhaps a dual suffix.

The genitive is expressed by putting the governed before the governing word and inserting the possessive pronoun corresponding to the former before the latter ; thus, pit'i$-c h l \bar{a}$, cow its-young, calf; sing- $\bar{\imath}$-tāngli, wood-its-plant, tree. The possessive pronoun can be dropped; thus, tang phükwa, head hair, the hair of the head; wä-thin, bird's egg. The suffix lhā, of, is probably identical with the final kha in numerous adjectives, such as nüyukkha, good; kūyū-kha, hot, etc. It seems to be used when the governing word is understood; thus, $k \bar{a}-k h a \bar{a}$, mine. Ngäka, of, is perhaps miswritten for $n g \bar{a} k h a \overline{,}$, and contains the suff $\times n g \bar{a}$, by. Compare $\bar{a} k o-n g \bar{a}-k h a \bar{a}$, his, hers, its.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions such as $n g \bar{a}$, by ; be, in ; behong, from ; lok, with; mängchhi, without, and so on.

Namerals.-The first three numerals are found in the table on p. 342. The forms ending in bang and pang are only used when rational beings are counted. The suffixes $l i$ and $c h i$ are used with reference to other nouns. 'Ten' is ippong as in Löhōrōng and Bālāli.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-
$k a ̈ n g \bar{a}, k a \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$.
ang, ūng, um, my.
$k \bar{\alpha}-k h \bar{a}$, mine.
$k a ̄ n$-chhī, I and thou, my and thy.
$k \bar{a} n-c h h \bar{\imath}-n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ and he, my and his.
$k \bar{a}-n i$, I and you, my and your.
$k \bar{a}-n i-n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ and they, my and their.
khānā̀, thou.
$\bar{a}, a m, a n$, thy.
$k h \bar{a} n \bar{a}-k h \bar{a}$, thine.
khānä-chhī, you two, your two.
lkhānā-n $\bar{\imath}$, you, your.
$\bar{a} k o, ~ y o n \bar{a}$, mona, ton $\bar{a}$, he, she, it.
$i, \bar{i} m$, his, hers, its.
you $\bar{a}-n g \bar{a}-k h \bar{a}$, etc., his, hers, its.
yonā-chhī, etc., they two, their two.
$y o-k h \bar{a}$, etc., they, their.

The pronouns of the third person are originally demonstrative pronouns. Such are also $n \bar{a}$ and $n \bar{a} r o k$, this; yōna and yōnā-rok, that; oukhā and $\bar{a} u k h a$, that, etc.

The use of the possessive pronouns with nouns has already been mentioned. Compare also ãko im-sing-i-tangli nuyuk-nin-kha, k $\bar{a}-l h \bar{a}-n g-s i n g-i-t a n g l i ~ n u y u k-k h a$, he his-tree good-not, mine-my-tree good, his tree is not good, my tree is good. Possessive pronouns are also yōnā-ng $\bar{a}-k h \bar{a}$ and $\bar{a} k o-n g \bar{a}-k \bar{a}$, his, hers, its; $\bar{a} u-\operatorname{lch} \alpha-c h h i-n g \bar{a}-k h \bar{a}$, of them two, etc.

Interrogative pronouns are sē-ong, who? thī-ya, what? Indefinite pronouns are formed by adding chhä to the interrogatire bases. Thus, si-chhā, anyone; thi$-c h h \bar{a}$, anything.

Verbs.-We do not know how the various tenses are formed. Forms such as nuyuk-nin-kha, not-good, it is not good, show that the base alone can be used as a present.

We have not sufficient information about the use of pronominal suffixes to indicate the person and number of the subject and the object. A dual and a plural subject with imperatives is indicated by adding chu or $c h i$, $n u$ ( $n u m$ ) or $n i$, respectively; thus, thunga-chu, drink ye two; thunga-num, drink ye: pira-chu, give ye two; pira-nu, give ye: thäba-chi, come ye two ; thäba-ni, come ye. We do not know how the forms containing an $i$ are distinguished from those containing a $u$. The latter are perhaps the transitive forms.

A suldix $1 g$ is used to denote an object of the first person singular in $p i-r \bar{a}-n g$, give me; pi-ra-chi-ng, give me ye two; pi-ra-ni-ng, give me ye.

The suffix of the imperative is apparently $a$; thus, thünga, drink; pira, give; thapta, bring. The suffixes of the dual and plural have already been mentioned. Note choh', eat; dual clicisa-chu, plural chasa-num.

The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{a}-h \bar{a}, m \bar{a}-l e$, not-is, no. A negative suffix nin is used in adjectives such as nuyuk-nin-kha, good-not, bad. The negative imperative is formed by prefixing ang and suffixing $\boldsymbol{*} n$.

## WALING.

The Wäling sept of the Bontāwa Khambus live in what Hodgson calls Mãjh Kirānt or Middle Kirint, i.e. the hills betweon the Likhu and Arun rivers.

## AUTHORITIES-

Hodgson, B. H.,-Oomparative Vocabulary of the several Languages (dialects) of the celebrated people called Kiräntis, now occupying the Eustern-most province of the kingdom of Nêpal, or the basin of the river Arun, which province is named after them, Kirant. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 333 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 176 and ff.
Honter, W. W., -A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
Nouns.-The prefix $\tilde{a}$ in words such as $\bar{a}-p \bar{a}$, father ; $\bar{a}$-d $\bar{u} w a$, man, and so $o \mathrm{n}$, is probably a demonstrative pronoun.

Gender is distinguished by using different words or by means of additions meaning 'male,' 'female,' respectively. Thus, $\bar{a}-p \bar{a}$, father; $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, mother: $\bar{a}-d \bar{u} w a$ and $d \bar{u} w a$, man ; $\bar{a}-d \bar{u}-m \bar{a}$, woman : $p \bar{a}-$-sung, old man; $m \tilde{a}-s a n g$, old woman : $\bar{a}-p \bar{a}-s a n g$, husband; $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}-$-sang, wife : d $\bar{u} w a-c h h \bar{a}$, son ; $m \bar{a}-c h h \bar{u}$, daughter : phang'ta, young man ; kāmechh $\bar{a}$,
 $\bar{a}-p o$ chhongw $\bar{a}$, a male bird̀ ; $\bar{a}$-ma chhongw $\bar{a}$, a female bird.

We have no information about the use of suffixes for marking the dual and the plural.

The genitive is apparently formed by prefixing the governed to the governing word without any suffix; thus, täng müwa, head hair, the hair of the head; bäkara chhächi, goat's young, kid. Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $\bar{a}$, by ; $p i$, edē, and inan, with; dè, idē, inan, and pe, in ; pangkwa, from; dàngka, towards ; mochhi, without, and so on.

The first six umerals are found in the tahle on p. 342. 'They are apparently most closely connected with the forms in use in Rüngchhēnbūng.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-
ang-ka, ing-ka, J.
$\bar{a}, \mathrm{my}$.
$\vec{a} n g-p i k$, mine.
$i-k \bar{a}, \bar{u}-k \bar{a}$, ing-kai, ing-
$k a-n i, I$ and you.
kong-kai-ka, I and they. àng-ka-pil, our.
$h a \bar{a} n \bar{a}, k h a ̄ n a ̄$, thou.
am, thy.
am-pik, thine.
hänā̈-ni, you.
hayekika-pik, your. häyanka-pik, their.

Some of these forms are rather suspicious. None of them appear to be dual forms, though there cannot be any doubt that such forms exist.

Angka, ingka, I, correspond to the forms in use in Rūngchhēnbūng and Dūngmäli. The final pik in ang-pik, nine, etc., corresponds to $b i$ in the latter dialect. The plural
suffix is $n$ i, corresponding to $n i n$ in Rūngelhēnbūng and Dūngmàli, ni in Lāmbichhōng, etc.

Demonstrative pronouns are $\bar{o}-k g \bar{a}, \bar{o}-k o$, and $i-p i-n g \bar{a}$, this; khō-ng $\bar{a}, k h \bar{o}-k o$, and hayaya, that.

Interrogative pronouns are dei, who? tikwa, what? khā $\bar{u}$, whioh? tem aud dem, how much $P$ dèh $\bar{a} n \bar{a}$, why? The indefinite particle $k c h h \bar{u}$ makes interrogative prououns indefinite. It ocours in forms suoh as ti-ikchh $\bar{u}$, anything; asa-kchh $\bar{u}$, anybody.

Verbs. - We have no materials for judging about the formation of tenses or the marking of the person and number of the subject by means of pronominal suffixes. Forms such as $\bar{o}$ and $\bar{a}$, yes, literally 'it-is,' show that the base alone is used as a present. The bases $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{o}$, to be, are probably identical with the bases of the demonstrative pronouns $\bar{o}-k o$, this; $a-y a$, it. Other bases of the copula are in and ang' in $m \bar{a}-i n$ and má-ang', it is not, no.

The form $p \bar{u}$-ang, give me, shows that the suffix ang can be used to indicate an object of the first person singular.

Imperatives end in $u$ or $o$, and $a$; thus, bāttu, take ; sēru, kill; yūng'su, put down; yēnu, hear; düngo, drink; cho, eat; im'sa, sleep; khära, go ; yünga, sit; thing'ta, wake; chēeoa, speak; bäna, come, and so on. The base alone is also used as an imperative; thus, $p \bar{u}$, give ; $n \bar{e}$, take.

The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{a}-i n$ and $m \bar{a}-a n g$ ', it is not, no. Mäyē or mäi is used instead with an imperative. Hodgson also mentions a negative suffix $\bar{\imath}$, but he does not give any instance of its use.

## CHHINGTĀNG.

The Chhingtāng sept of the Bontāwa Khambus are found in the tract called Wallo Kirant, between the Sunkhosi and the Likhu river.

## AUTHORITY-

Hodgson, B. H.,- Oomparative Vocabulary of the several Languages (dialects) of the celebrated people called Kirûntis, now occupying the Eastern-most province of the kingdom of Népál, or the basin of the river Arun, which province is named after them, Kirint. Journal of the Asiatic Sociaty of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 333 and H. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 18S0, pp. 176 and ff.
Our information about Chhingtāng grammar is very unsatisfactory, and it is only possible to judge about some few points.

Nouns.-The natural gender is distinguished in the usual way, by means of separate words or of qualifyiug additions; thus, $p \bar{a}$, man; māché, woman: $\bar{u}-p \bar{a}$, father ; $\bar{u}-m \bar{u}$, mother: chhāi, son; mēclíchhā chhā, daughter: $\bar{u}-p \bar{a} k o-c h \bar{u} w \bar{a}, ~ d o g ; ~ \bar{u}-m a ~ k o c h u ̈ w a \bar{a}$, bitch: būdhrt-p $\bar{a}$, old man; büdhi-mà , old woman: wānch $\bar{a}-b a \bar{a} g$, youncr man; liămèch'chhā, young womalı.

The pretix $\bar{u}$ in words such as $\bar{u}-p \bar{a}$, father; $\bar{u}-m \bar{a}$, mother, etc., is by origin a demonstrative pronoun.

We have no information about the formation of the higher numbers.

Cases are formed by adding suffixes such as nga for the instrumental and ablative, $b e$ and $p e$ for the locative. Instances of the locative are $\bar{u} t e \bar{e} n-b e$, above; $\bar{u} r h \bar{a}-b e$, between; $\bar{u}-k u \bar{m}-b e$, in the interior, within.

The genitive is expressed by putting the governed before the governing word, and sometimes repeating the former by means of a pronominal prefix before the latter; thus, mēndi bachhā, goat's young, kid ; tang'phūkwa, hoir of the head; bhēdi $\bar{u}-\bar{p} \bar{a}$-chhā, sheep its-male-young, a male lamb.

The first three numerals will be found in the comparative vocabulary on p. 342. It will be seen that they closely agree with tise forms occurring in Lāmbichbōng.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

| $\bar{a} k i \bar{l}, \mathrm{I}$. | hānā, thou. | mogwa, yoko, he, she, it. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{a}$, my. | hānā, hänā-yakkwä, thy, thine. | $\bar{u}$, his, her, its. |
| akoo, akwa, mine. |  | mogwasēkkwā, his, hers, its. |
| kānanà, lã̃ngāna, we. | $h \bar{a} n \bar{a}-n i n a, ~ y o u . ~$ | mogo-na, they. |
| $k a \overline{n u} n \mathrm{n} \bar{a}-i k k w \bar{a}$, our. | häni-yakkwā, your. | $h \bar{u} n g c h e i k k w a \bar{a}$, their. |

It will be seen that the suffix of the plural is na. The form hüngcheikkwa, their, is perhaps a dual; compare the dual suffix chi in Lambichhōng and connected dialects.

The forms $k \bar{a}-n a-n \bar{a}$ and $k a ̄ n g \bar{a}-n a$, we, are apparently formed from singulars corresponding to Lāmbichhōng $k a \bar{n} g \bar{a}$ and $k \bar{a}$, I. The plural suffix is $n a$, and the final $n \bar{a}$ in $k \dot{a}-n a-n \bar{a}$, we, perbaps corresponds to Lāmbichhōng $n g \bar{a}$ which is added to the dual and plural of the first person if the person addressed is excluded; thus, kē-ni, I and you; $k \bar{a}-n i-n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ and they.

The form häni-y-aklkwa, your, shows that the plural suffix also has the form ni.
 the forms lchana-nin and lkhana-na, you, in Rūngchhēnbūng.

Demonstrative pronouns are $\bar{o} k \bar{o}, b a g o$, and nago, this ; lhōkho and mogo, that.
Interrogative pronouns are sālō, who? hokkogō, which ? thēm, what? They can be changed to indefinites by adding $y \bar{a} n g$, also; thus, sālō-yāng, anybody; thém-yāng, anything.

Verbs.-We do not know if the person and number of the subject is indicated by adding pronominal suffixes to the verb. The object is sometimes marked in this way, for we find the suffix ang, me, added in $p \bar{u}$-ang, give me.

The base alone is apparently used as a present ; thus, $y \bar{e}$, or $y \bar{c} t$, it is, yes. We have not, however, any information about the formation of the various tenses.

The base alone is used as an imperative; thus, p $\bar{u}$, give. Usually, howerer, the imperative ends in a, commonly preceded by some consonant; thus, chōha, chōa, eat; thūwa, thūa, drink; ip'sa, sleep; rē̈ta, laugh; thēna, strike; thäba, come; khāda, go; ping'da, run; lhātta, take. The first of two connected imperatives is ohanged to a conjunctive participle, which is formed by substituting a $u$ for the final $a$; thus, kh $\bar{a} t t u$, $k h a ̈ r a$, taking go, take away.

Thrs negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{a}-h \bar{a}$, not-is, no. Before imperatives $m \bar{a}$ is interchangeable with th $\bar{a}$. Another negative is said to be formed by means of an infix $i$.

## RDNGCHHENBDNG.

The Rūngohhēnbūng sub-tribe of the Bontāwa Klambus is stated to dwell in Middle Kirānt, i.e. in the Himalaya between the Likhu and Ārun rivers.

## AUTHORITIES-

Hodgson, B. H.,-Oomparative Vocabulary of the several Languages (dialects) of the celebrated people called Kirantis, now occupying the Eastorn-most province of the kingdom of Népal, or the basin of the river Arun, which provinse is named after them, Kirant. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 333 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 176 and ff.
Honter, W. W.,-A Oomparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
Nouns.-Many nouns contain a prefix which occurs in the forms $\bar{u}, \bar{o}$ and $e u . \quad E u$ is said to be pronounced as the $e u$ in French 'jeu.' It seems, however, probable that it should rather be written $\ddot{u}$ and pronounced as $u$ in French 'lune.' Instances of the use of this prefix are $\bar{u}$-chho, arm; eu-t $\bar{a} n g$, head; $\bar{u}-p \bar{a}, \bar{o}-p \bar{a}$, and $e u-p \bar{a}$, father; $\bar{u}-d i n g$, egg; $\bar{u}$-bhé, arrow. This prefix is originally a demonstrative pronoun.

Another common prefix is $s \bar{a}$, which originally means 'flesh'; thus, sā-hokwa, skin; $s \bar{a}-y \bar{u} b a$, bone.

There is no grammatical gender. The natural gender is distinguished by using different terms or else by adding words meaning 'male' and 'female,' respectively; thus, dūwachhā, man; méchchhachhā, woman: $\bar{o}-p \bar{a}$, father; $\bar{o}-m \bar{a}$, mother: $\bar{o}-p \bar{a} k \bar{o} c h \bar{u} w \bar{a}$, $\operatorname{dog} ; \bar{o}-m \bar{a} k \bar{c} c h u ̈ w \bar{a}$, bitch : dūwachh $\bar{\alpha}-c h h \bar{a}$, son ; mēchchhachh $\bar{a} c h h \bar{a}$, daughter: būdh $\bar{a}-$ $k h o ̄ k-p \bar{a}$, old man; $b \bar{u} d h \bar{a}-k h \bar{o} k-m \bar{a}$, old woman.

There are no instances arailable of the marking of number in the case of nouns. Adjectives have three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The dual is formed by suffixing chi and the plural by prefixing ma; thus, nu$w o$, good, dual nūwo-chi, plural ma-nüwo. Such forms probably only occur if the adjective is used as a noun, and we can therefore describe the suffix chi as that of the dual, and the prefix ma as forming a plural of nouns.

The case of the agent and the instrumental is formed by adding $\bar{a}$ and $y a$; the suffix of the locative is $d \bar{a}$, and that of the ablative $d \bar{a} n g k \bar{a}$. The genitive is formed by simply prefixing the governed to governing noun; thus, p $\bar{i} y \bar{u} w a$, cow's bone; w $\bar{a} d \bar{i} n$, fowl's egg. The governed noun can be repeated by means of a pronominul prefix; thus, bhēda $u m$-chh $\bar{a}$, sheep its-young, lamb.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions. Such are chak-d $\bar{a}$, side-in, near ; chok-d $\bar{a}, d u n g-d \vec{a}$, on, upon; it'nan, with ; mädang, mandang, without, and so on.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the word they qualify ; thus, euk-chhānūwo mana, a good man ; euk-pop nüvoo chupi, a good knife. Some adjectives are, however, stated to be sometimes also put after the qualified noun.

Numerals.-The first numerals will be found in the table on p. 342. They precede the noun they qualify; thus, euk-chhä nũwo mana, one good man. It will be seen from the table that the numerals have more than one form, different suffixes being added. These suffixes are probably all generic particles. Thus, $c h h \vec{a}$, and probably also wang, denote human beings, and pop denotes things. Forms such as eulta, one; heu-sa, two; süm-ya, three, are unchangeable.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-
ung-ka, ang-ka, ang, I.
ang, my.
ang-ko, mine.
$u n g-k a-c h e u-a$, I and he.
ung-ka-chi, I and thou. ung-kan-ka, I and they. ung-kan, I and you. äinkwa, our.
khäna, thou.
am, thy.
$a m-k o$, thine.
khana-chi, you two.
khana-nin, khana-na, you.
àmno, your.
oko, moko, euhyako, euyauko, he, she, it.
$o, u, e u$, lis, her, its.
mo-so, yan-so, his, hers, its.
oko-chi, moko-chi, euyako-chi, they two.
moko, they.
myäūcho, their.

Oko, this; moko, that, are also demonstrative pronouns. When used as adjectives, they have the form $\bar{o}, m \bar{o}$, respectively. Another domonstrative is khokho, that person, non-present.

Interrogative pronouns are sāng, who ? säng-yē, which? khäwa, which? diyē, what? dena, why? Indefinite pronouns are formed by adding chhäng to interrogatives; thus, sāng-chhäng, anybody; di-chhāng, anything.

Verbs.-The number of the subject is sail to he indicated in the verb, but we are not told how. Nor have we any information as to whether tho person of the subject is marked by means of suffixes added to the verb.

The object is apparently sometimes indicated by means of a suffix. The only instance in the materials available is $p \bar{u}-\bar{a} n g$, give me; p $\bar{u}-c h-a n g$, give me you two; $p u \bar{u}-n$-ang, give me ye, which contains a suflix $a n g$, me.

Tho final nga in ang-nga, yes, is probably a copula, and the literal meaning of ang-nga is perhaps 'being-is,' 'it is so.' The copula nga is only used in such sentences as state that some action really takes place. It is therefore dropped in negative clauses; thus, $m \bar{a}-\bar{a} n g$, not-is, no.

We are not informed about the suffixes of the different tenses.
The suffix of the imperative is $\bar{o}$ or $u$, or $a$ in the singular; thus, $c h \bar{o}$, eat; sëru, kill; $i m$ 'sa, sleep; bäna, come. The suffixes $c h i$ and $n i n$ are added if the subject is of the dual and plural, respectively. Thus, $p \bar{u}$-chi, give ye two ; pin-nin, give ye. If the sullix $u, \bar{o}$, is added in the singular, the corresponding dual and plural are formed by changing $\bar{o}, u$ to $a$ and adding $c h u$ (i.e. perhaps $c h u ̈ u)$ and num respectively; thus, düng- $\bar{o}$, drink, dual dunga-chu, plural dunga-num.

Forms such as $p \bar{u}$, give; né, take, do not contain any suffix in the singular, and consequently add chi, nin, respectively.

Forms such as bāttu-ki bāna, take and come, bring; khättu-ki khära, take and go, take off, show that the first of two connected imperatives is changed to a kind of conjunctive participle by adding $k i$.

Causals are formed by adding mettu; thus, khang-mettu, oause to see, show.
The negative partiole is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{a}-\bar{a} n g$, not-is, no. The negative imperative is formed by adding man. Another negative is formed by prefixing $e u$ and suffixing nin; thus, om-ko, white; ell-om-nin-ko, not white.

## DDNGMALI.

The Dūngmāli Khambus live in the so-oalled Mā̃jh, or Middle, Kirant, i.e. in the hills between the Likha and Arun rivers.

## AUTHORITIES-

Hodason, B. H.,-Oontinuation of the Oomparative Vocabulary of the several Dialects of the Kirantes Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol, xxvi, 1857, pp. 350 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellansors Essays relating to Indian Subjocts. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 194 and fi.
Hontes, W. W.,一A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
Düngmäli is most closely connected with Wāling, Löhōrōng, and the Bontāwa dialects.

Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{u} m$ in $\bar{u} m-m a$, mother, ( $\bar{u} m-$-)lentok, day; $\bar{u} m$-tang, head, etc., is by origin a demonstrative pronoun.

Gender is indicated by using different terms, or else by adding suffixes or words denoting the sex. Thus, $\bar{u} m-p \bar{a}$, father; $\bar{u}-m \bar{a}$ and $\bar{u} m-m \bar{a}$, mother: mirchh $\bar{a}$ and $p \bar{a}$,
 wāngchhā, young man; mechhābang, young woman: tāp-p $\bar{a}$, old man; tāp-mā, old woman: $\bar{u} m b h \bar{a} k u ̄ t i m \bar{a}, \operatorname{dog} ; \bar{u} m-m \bar{a} k u ̄ t i m \bar{a}$, bitch.

There are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The dual is formed by suffixing $c h \bar{\imath}$ or chie and the plural by prefixing $m a$; thus, $\bar{i}$, bad, dual $\bar{i}-c h \bar{i}-e$, plural ma-y-i. Compare Rūngchhēnbūng. The plural can also be formed by suffixing $n e$; thus, ummāng, raw, dual um-māng-chīe, plural ummāng-ne. Sometimes also ma is prefixed and $c h i$ sulfixed ; thus, $d h \bar{i}-g o$, great, dual $d h \bar{i}-c h \bar{i}$, plural ma-dhik'-chi. 'i'here are apparently also other, slightly different, ways of forming the dual and the plural; thus, mäkchācha, black, dual makchāk'-pa-chī, plural makchak-chak-chiye; om, white, dual om-chi, plural ma-onga-che; hārchhop'chho, red, dual hārchop'chho-ka-chī, plural $h a ̈ r c h o p ' c h h o m a-k a t ' k a-c h \bar{\imath} e$, etc.
'The genitive is apparently expressed by putting the governed before the governing word, and inserting a pronominal prefix referring to the former before the latter; thus, pit' $\bar{u} m^{\prime}-c h h \bar{a}$, cow its-young, calf. The suffix $b i$ is added if the governed word is understood; thus, ang-bi, mine.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $p \bar{\imath}, b \bar{\imath}$ and $y \bar{a}$, in ; bang and $i b a n g \bar{a}$, from; $\bar{a}$, by ; bit'-p $\bar{\imath}$ and $n \bar{a} n g$, with; mānchhi, without, etc.

Numerals.-The first six numerals are given in the table on p.343. The suffix po in $a k^{\prime}-p o$, one, is a generic particle referring to human beings.

Pronouns.-'The following are the personal pronouns:-
ang'-ka, ing'-ka. I.
ang, my.
ang-bi, mine.
anchäāä-che, I and thou.
ang-chu, āncha, my and thy.
$h a \bar{a} \bar{a}$, thou.
$\bar{a} m$, thy.
$\bar{a} m-b i$, thine.
hānā-che, you two.
am-cha, your two.
$m \bar{u}-g o$, he, she, it.
( $\bar{u} m$ ), igem, mogom, lis, her, its.
$i g \bar{a} m-b i$, mogom-bi, his, hers, its.
mu, malia-che, moko-chi, they two.
mıgu-m, mukha-cha-cha, their two.
ang, äncha-bi, mine and thine.
$i_{n}{ }^{\prime} k a-c h \bar{a}-g a, \mathrm{I}$ and he.
ang, än-cha-ga, my and his.
ang, än-cha-ga-bi, mine and his.
$\bar{a} n k a ̄ n, i ̄ n k a ̄ n, \mathrm{I}$ and you. $\bar{a} n-g a, m y$ and your.
$\bar{a} n-b i$, mine and yours.
inkän-ga, I and they.
$\bar{a} n g-g a, m y$ and their.
$\dot{a} n g-g a-b i$, mine and theirs.
$a m, k a n-c h \bar{a}-l i$, yours two. $\quad m u k h a-c h a-b i$, theirs two.
$h a ̄ n a ̄-n i n$, you.
äm-ga, your.
$k a ̈ n-l i$, yours.
$m u k h a, m a k h a ̈$, they.
mugum-ga, makhā-ūm-cha, their. makha-bi, theirs.

I am far from being certain that all the forms in the above table are correct.
$I-g o$, this; mgi$-o$, that, are given as demonstrative pronouns. Mg $\bar{u}-o$ is probably a misprint for $m u \bar{g} o$.

Interrogative pronouns are $s \bar{a} g$ and khi-go, who? ti-go, what? tem, how much? The indetinite particle chhang, also, is added to interrogative bases in order to form indefinite pronouns; thus, säg-chhang, anybody; ti-chhang, anỵthing.

Verbs.-We are very unsatisfactorily informed about the use of pronominal suffixes for indicating the person and number of the subject and object, and about the formation of tenses.

A dual and a plural subject of an imperative is indicated by adding chie, num'-ye, respectively. 'The preceding sound can be modified in different ways. Thus, mū-ye, do; mü-chīe, do ye two; mū-num'-ye, do ye : lū-ye, lū-chīe, lū-num'-ye, tell: nōr-e, norchie, nor-num'-ye, strike: sede, sede-chīe, ser-num'-ye, kill: thende, then'de-chie, then'de-num'-ye, lift up: täg'we, tagwe-chīe, tag-num'-ye, bring: yēne, yen'-che, yenanum'ye, hear: tūbe, tūba-che, tūba-num'-ye, make: yūng'se, yung'si-chīe, yung'-su-num'ye, put down, etc.

The suffix $\bar{a} n g$ is added to denote an object of the first person singular in $\bar{i} y-\bar{a} n g-y e$, give me.

The suffix of the imperative is $e$ or ye; see the examples just given.
The negative particle is perhaps a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{a} n$, is not, no. 'No' is also translated $j \bar{e}$, and soh'. There is said to be a negative suffix $-\bar{i}$-, and the negative imperative is formed by adding man'to.

## RODDONG OR CHAMLING.

Our information about the Rōdōng or Chāmling tribe of the Khambus is very scanty. They are found between the Likhu and Arun rivers.

## AUTHORI'IY—

Hodoson, B. H.,-Comparative Vocabulary of the several Languages (Dialects) of the celebrated people called Kirantis, now ocrupying the Eastern-most province of the kingdom of Nepall, or the basin of the river Arun, which province is namel after them, Kirânt. Journal of the Asiatic Society of vol. iII, Part I.

Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 333 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 176 and ff.

Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{u}, \bar{u} m$, which occurs in forms such as $\bar{u}-n g \bar{a} l \bar{u} n g$, face ; $\bar{u} m-p \bar{a}$, father ; $\bar{u}-m \bar{a}$, mother, etc., is by origin a pronominal prefix of the third person.

Gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding qualifying words; thus, $\bar{u} m-p \bar{a}$, father ; $\bar{u}-m \bar{a}$, mother : sorõ-chh $\bar{a}, \operatorname{man} ; m \bar{a} r-c h h \bar{a}$, woman : sorõ-chh $\bar{a}$ chh $\bar{u}$, son; mär-chhā chhā, daughter : pāchhā, old man; mächhā, old woman: khlī-pā, dog; $k h \overline{\bar{l}}-m \bar{a}$, bitch : wāsa $\bar{o} p \bar{a}$, male bird ; wāsa $\bar{o} m \bar{a}$, female bird : $\bar{u} m p \bar{a} h a t t i$, male clephaut; $\bar{u} m m \bar{a} h a t t i$, female elephant : $p \bar{i} \bar{u} m p \bar{u}$, bull ; $p^{\bar{\imath}} \bar{u} m m \bar{a}$, cow.

We have no information about the use of suffixes denoting number. It will be seen in what follows that such suffixes are used with pronouns, and there is no reason for supposing that the same is not the case with nouns.

The various cases are formed by adding suffixes. Thus we find $w \bar{a}$ for the agent; $d \bar{a}$ for the locative; $d \bar{a}-n o$ and $d \bar{a}-k \bar{a}$, for the ablative, and so on. Other locative suffixes are probably lo. la and pa; thus, khong-lo, then; dha-lo and dha-la, above; wos-pa, now; tes $\cdot p a$, then, etc.

The suffix of the genitive is said to be $m i$ or $m o$ '. The genitive suffix cau be dropped, and the governed word can be indicated by means of a pronominal prefix before the governed ove; thus, $p^{\bar{u}} \bar{u} m-c h h \bar{a}$, cow its-young, a calf.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are cho-d $\bar{a}$, top-in, ou ; chak-d $\bar{a}$, sile-in, close to ; $p \bar{i}-d \bar{a}$, together with ; $m a-d a n g$, without, and so on.

Adjectives often end in ko; thus, kūre-ko, hot; ise and ise-ko, bad. This ko is probably a demonstrative pronoun which adds definiteness, so that $\bar{s} s e-k o$ should properly be translated 'the bad one.'

The lirst numerals will be found in the table on p.343. The meaning of the final $r a$ cannot be ascertained.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

| $k a ̄ n g a ̄ . k \bar{a}, ~ i n g k a \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$. | $k h a ̈ n a ̄$, thou. | $k h \bar{u}, \mathrm{he}$, she, it. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{a}, a n g, \mathrm{my}$. | $k^{\prime} / \bar{a}$, thy | $\bar{u}, \bar{o}, \bar{u} m$, ung, his, her, its. |
| no, mine | $k h \bar{a}-m o$, thine. | $k^{\prime} h \bar{u}-m o$, his, her |
| $i$, | $k h a-\bar{i}-n i$, kh $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}-i$, | $k h \bar{u}-c h u$, $k h \bar{u}-\bar{\imath}$, they |
| o, | lcha-ì- | $k h \bar{u}-\bar{i}-$ |

There are no certain traces of a separate dual in the materials. It is possible that $k h \bar{u}-c h u$, they, is a dual, but the question must be left undecided.
$K a i$, we, is said to be used in all cases, whether the person addressed is included or not. It corresponds to $k e i$ in the dialect of Khambu described above on pp. 317 and ff . and to kai in Nācherēng, goi in Bāhing and Thūlung, and so on. The final i is probably a plural suffix; compare $k h \bar{u}-\bar{\imath}$, they. 'the suffix $c h u$ in $k h \bar{u}-c h u$, they, is another plural suffix, or else it is a dual termination. The final $n i$ in $k h a-\bar{\imath}-n i$, you, is perhaps also a plu'al sutfix; compare Limbu khe-n̄, Rāi $\bar{a} n-n i$, you, and so on. The pronoun $k h a ̈ n \bar{a}$, thou, is identical with $h \bar{a} n \bar{a}$ and $\bar{a} n \bar{a}$ in other Khambu dialects.

Other pronouns are hyāo, this; hyāo-ko and hy $\bar{a}-k o$, this one; ty $\bar{a}$, that, ty $\bar{a}-k o$, that one ; sō, which? sa, who? dā-ko, what ? dē-ma, why? sō- $\bar{\imath}$, anybody ; $i$-sà-ma, anybody; de $\bar{e}-\bar{i}$, dye $u$, and $n y \bar{u}$, anything, and so on.

Verbs.-We have no information about the use of pronominal suffixes to distinguish the person of the sulbject. I'he suffix $n g$ is used to denote an object of the first person in $\bar{z} d o-n g$, give me; compare $\bar{\imath} d u$, give.

The usual suffix of the imperative is $\bar{o}$ or $u$; thus, chō, eat; dungu, drink. Other imperatives end in $\bar{a}$; thus, riy $\bar{a}$, laugh; khāp $\bar{a}$, weep; bān $\bar{a}$, come; ro $\bar{n} \bar{a}$, run. The final $n a$ in $i m^{\prime}$-sa-na, sleep, is perhaps a suffix of the second person plural, while $i m^{\prime}-s a$, sleep, seems to be the ordinary singular; compare Rūngchbēnbung im'sa, sleep thou; imsa-chi, sleep ye two ; imsa-nin, sleep ye.

The negative particle is said to be a suffixed or infixed $\bar{i}$. It is probably contained in $a-\bar{\imath}-n a$, no. A prefix ma apparently occurs in ma-dang, without, lit. probably' notbeing.' 'Ihe negative with imperatives is $m i, m a i$, or $d \bar{a}$.

## NACHHERENG.

The Nāchherēng Khambus are found in what Hodgson calls Mãjh Kirānt or Middle Kirānt, i.e. the country between the Likhu add Ārun rivers.

## AUTHORITIES-

Hodgson. B. H.,-Comparative Vocabulary of the several Languages (Dialects) of the celebrated people called Kirântis, now occupying the Eastern-most province of tha Kingdom of Népál, or the basin of the river Arun, which province is named after them, Kirant. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 333 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellanoous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 176 and ff.
Honter, W. W.,-A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1808.
Nouns.-The natural gender is distinguished in the usual way by means of separate terms or by adding qualifying words. Thus, wāch'chhā, man; mīm'-chhā, woman: $\bar{u} m$ topo, husband ; yüh'u , wife : solo, young man; solo-me, young woman: $\bar{u}-p a$, and $\bar{u} m$ $p a$, father; $\bar{u}-m a$ and $\bar{u} m-m a$, mether : passou, old man; massou, young woman : $\tau \bar{a}-p \bar{a}$, cock; w $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, hen: $\bar{u}-p \bar{a}$ chlıōwa, a male bird; $\bar{u}-m a \quad c h h o ̄ w a$, a female bird: $\bar{u} m^{\prime}-p a$ mēis $\bar{a}$, a he-bulfalo; $\bar{u} m^{\prime}-m a$ mēisã, a she-bulfalo: w $\bar{a} c h ' c h h \bar{a} c h h \bar{a}$, a son; mím'chh $\bar{a}$ $c h h \bar{a}$, a daughter, and so on.

We have no information about the formation of the dual and plural.
The genitive is formed by prefixing the governed to the governing word, the former being of ten at the same time repeated by moans of a demonstrative pronoun prefixed to the latter; thus, tāa sām, head's hair, the hair of the head; pi$-m i ~ u \bar{u} n-c h h \bar{a}$, cow its young, calf.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions, such as $\bar{a}$, by ; $\bar{a} m$, from; $p i$, in ; $n g \bar{a} n g$ and $m a \bar{a} g$, with; $m \bar{a} n g d i$, without.

The first five numerals are given in the table on p. 343. They are apparently most closely connected with the forms occurring in Sāngpāng.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-
$k a \bar{a} g \bar{a}, k \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$.
ang-mi, mine.
$k a-i, I$ and you.
$k a-i-k a, \mathrm{I}$ and they. wooki, our. wo-ki-mi, ours
$\bar{a} n \bar{a}$, thou.
am, thy.
am-mi, anmi, thine.
$\bar{a} n \bar{a}-i, \bar{a} n-n i-m o$, you.
ami-ni-mo-wā, your. yāk-mo-mi, their.
manka, yāko, he, she, it. $\bar{u} m$ um, his, her, its.
yäk-mi, manka-mi, his, hers, its. $y \bar{a} k-m o-v \bar{a}, y \bar{a} k o-i$, maka- $i$, they.

Kängā, $k a \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$, is identical with the forms used in Rōdōng, Sāngpāng, Lōhōrōng, eto. We have no information as to whether the dialect possesses separate dual forms.
The plural suffix $i$ in $k a-i$, we ; $\bar{a} n \bar{a}-i$, you, etc., also occurs in Rōdōng, Sāņ̧pāng, Kūlung, Bāhing, etc.

Another plural suffix is $n i$ in $\bar{a} n-n i$-mo, you. $M o$ is perbaps also a plural suffix; compare $y \bar{a} k$-movo $\bar{a}$, they.

Demonstrative pronouns are $\bar{u} n \bar{u}, a n-n g \bar{a}$, this; $k h \bar{a} n k o \bar{u}$ and $y \bar{a} k-n g \bar{a}$, that.
Interrogative pronouns are $\bar{a} s$, who $? \bar{a} s-n \bar{a}-l \bar{l}$, which? $\bar{u}-l \bar{e}$, what? The final $l \bar{e}$ in the two latter forms is probably the verb substantive, compare $l \bar{c}$, yes, literally 'it is.' An interrogative base dē occurs in dēl, how much? By adding sa to the interrogative hases indefnite pronouns are formed; thus, $\bar{a} s a$, anybody; $\bar{u}-s a$, anything.

Verbs.-We have no information about the use of pronominal suffixes in order to indicate the person and number of the subject. $\mathcal{A}$ in $p \bar{i}-a-w a$, give me, is probably a pronominal suffix of the first person denoting the objeot. The imperative of the base $p \bar{i}$, to give, with an object of the third person is $p \bar{i}-y-o$.

Forms such as lé and $h \bar{o}$, yes, literally ' it is,' seem to show that the base alone is used as a present. We have no other information about the formation of the various tenses.
'The imperative ends in $u$ or $o$, or else in $a$; thus, ch $\bar{u}-u$, eat; dūng-o, drink; yop'su, strike; sìtu, kill ; ìmsa, sleep; rhēsa, laugh; khäpa, weep; nīna, speak; tāwa, come; khäta, go. We cannot decide if the consonant preceding the $a$ forms part of the suffix or belongs to the base.

The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$, thus, $m \bar{a}-\bar{a}$, not-is, no. Nō is used insteal if the verb is in the imperative. Hodgson mentions a negative infix is-a, but he does not give any instance of its use.

## KOLUNG.

The home of the Kūlung sept of the Khambus is the so-called Mãjh, or Middle, Kirānt, i.e. the hills between the Likhu and Arun rivers.

## AUTHORITY-

Hodoson, B. H.,-Comparative Vocabulary of the several Languages (Dialects) of the celebrated people called Rirantis, now occupying the Eastern-most province of the kingdom of Népál, or the basin of the river Arun, which province is named after them, Kirint. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 333 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 176 and ff.
The Kūlung dialect is most closely connected with Nāchberēng. It is essentially identical with the dialect described above on pp .317 and ff.

Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{u} m$ in words such as $\bar{u} m$ - $l \bar{\imath}$, egg; $\bar{u} m$-p $\bar{\imath} t t a$, horn; $\bar{u} m-t \bar{u} p p o$, husband ; $\bar{u} m^{\prime}-p \bar{a}$, father, etc., is probably a demonstrative pronoun and connected with va, his, her, its.

Gender is distinguished by using different terms or else by adding words meaning ' male,' 'female,' respectively. Thus, $\bar{u} n{ }^{\prime}$ '-p $\bar{a}$, father; $\bar{u} m-m \bar{a}$, mother : wāchch $h \bar{a}$, man; mim'chhä, woman : tūppo, husband; $y u h ' u$, wife: solo, young man; solo-me, young woman :
 male bird ; wām-chhōwa, a female bird : mēsi mī-pa and $\bar{u} m^{\prime} p \bar{a}$ mēsi, a he-buffalo; mēsi
$m \bar{i}-m i$ and $\bar{u} m^{\prime} m \bar{a}$ mési, a she-buffalo. The initial $\bar{u}$ of $\bar{u} m^{\prime} p \bar{a}, \bar{u} m^{\prime} \cdot m \bar{a}_{1}$ is dropped when those words are added to words ending in a vowel ; thus, $p \bar{i}-m^{\prime} p \bar{a}$, bull ; pi $\bar{i} i-m^{\prime}-m \bar{a}$, cow.

There are no instances in the materials of a dual or a plural.
The genitive is apparently formed by prefixing the governed to the governing word without any sulfix ; thus, w $\bar{\alpha}-d \bar{l}$, bird's egg. In $p i-m$ ' $c h h \bar{a}$, cow's joung, calf, an $m^{\prime}$ has apparently been inserted. It is probably the possessive pronoun of the third person.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $n g a, \bar{a}$ and $p i k \bar{a}$, from; $\bar{a}$, by ; $g \bar{a} m p i, l o, w i t h ; m \bar{a} n d i$, without; $p \bar{a}, p i, g \bar{o}-p \bar{a}, p i-t \bar{u}$, and them-t $\bar{u}$, in, and so on.

The first ten numerals are given in the table on p. 343. They most closely correspond to the forms in use in Nächherēng, Lōhōrōng, eto.

Pronouns. - The following are the personal pronouns:-

| kongà, I . | $\bar{a}$ | näko, mūko, netako, he, she, it. wo, his, her, its. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kek $\bar{a}-\bar{a}, k o-i, k o n i$, we. | $\bar{a} m-m i$, thine. $\bar{a} n i, \bar{a} 川 \bar{a}-i$, you. | nakwa-mi, his, hers, its. näko-ni, they. |
| wokhi-mi, our. | $\bar{a} m-n i-m i, ~ y o u r . ~$ | kwachi-mi, na-kwa-chi-mi, their. |

The forms kwa-chi-mi and na-kwa-chi-mi, their, are perhaps dual forms. $K o-i$ and $k o-n i$, we, are perhaps the inclusive, and kek $\bar{a}-\bar{a}$, the exclusive form; compare N āchberēng $k a i$, I and you; kai-ka, I and they. Hodgson, however, registers all the three forms as inclusive.

Demonstrative pronouns are ingkōng, inko-pi, this; mūngkong, näkong and nakopi, that.

Interrogative pronouns are $\bar{a} s \bar{e}$, who ? $\bar{a} s$ and $\bar{\iota} s d a t u k u a$, which ? $\bar{u}_{80}$ and $\bar{u} i$, what ? $d \bar{a} i$ and $d \bar{a} t \bar{u} k w a$, why? Sō, and, also, is used as an indefinite particle; thus, $\bar{a} s$ and $\bar{a}-s \bar{o}$. anybody; $\vec{u}$-sō, anything.

Verbs.-We have no information about the use of pronominal suffes for indicating the person and number of the subject and the object, or about the formation of tenses.

The final $\bar{a}$ in $p i-y-\bar{a}$, give me, is perhaps a pronominal suflix indicating an object of the first person.
$Y \bar{e}$, it is, yes, is probably the present tense of a verb substantive $y \bar{e}$, and apparently shows that the mere base can be used as a present.

The mere base can also be used as an imperative; thus, $n \bar{e}$, take. In most cases, however, an $u, o$, or an $a$ is added; thus, keru, strike; dungngu, drink; cho, eat; pō-a, tell; nēna, speak; bāna, come; khāpa, weep; thōrèpa, stand; khāta, go; im'sa, sleep; gësa, laugh; bülsa, run, and so on.

I'he negative particle is a prefixed mā or man; thus, man'nōi, good. Hodgson also mentions a negative infix $i$, but does nat give any example. The negative with imperatives is na.

## THOLUNG.

The habitat of the Thūlung sept of the Khambus is in the hills between the Likhu and Arun rivers, in the so-oalled Mã̃jh, or Middle, Kirānt.

## aUTHORITIES-

Hodason, B. H.,-Comparative Vocabulary of the several Languages (Dialects) of the celebrated people called Kirantis, now occupying the Eastern-most province of the kinglom of Népäl, or the basin of the river Arun, which province is named after them, Kirant. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 333 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 176 and ff.
Honter, IV. W.,一A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
Thūlung is most closely related with Külung on one side, and Chouraśya, Khäling, and Dūmi on the other.

Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{u}$ in $\bar{u}$-p $\bar{a} p$, father; $\bar{u}-m \bar{u} m$, mother, etc., is identical with $\bar{u}$, his, her, its.

Gender is distinguished by using different words or by means of qualifying additions; thus, $p \bar{a} p$ and $\bar{u}-p \bar{a} p$, father; mām and $\bar{u}-m \bar{a} m$, mother : wäschıe, man; wochy $\bar{u}$, woman: wäschwe-chueè, son; mis'che-chwē-chwē and mäschwe-chwē, daughter: grōl'pn-pē, cock; $\bar{u}-m \bar{a} m-p w a-p \bar{o}$ and $\bar{u}-m a \bar{m}-p \bar{o}$, hen : $\bar{u}-p a ̈ p ~ m e \overline{e s i}$, a he-buffalo; $\bar{u}-m \bar{m} m$ mési. a she-bulfalo: $\bar{u}-p a b o$, a boar; $\bar{u}-m a ̈ m b u \bar{a}$, a sow : $n g \bar{a}-\bar{u}$, an old man; $n g \bar{a}-m i$, an old woman, and so on.

There are no instances in the materials of a dual or a plural of nouns.
The genitive is sometimes expressed by simply putting the governed before the governing noun; thus, bhēdē chwē, sheep's young, lamb. A genitive suffix $k \bar{\alpha}-m$ can be added and the governed word is, at the same time, commonly repeated before the governing one by means of a pronominal prefix; thus, gai-k $\bar{a}-m \bar{u} \cdot c h a c \bar{e}$, cow-of its-young, calf. The suffix $k \bar{a}-m$ is a compound consisting of the sulfix $k \bar{a}$, which also occurs in the meaning 'by,' 'by means of,' and which must have the meaning 'in,' 'with,' and a second suffix $m$, whish is originally a demonstrative prououn or verb substantive, and which is used to form adjectives and nouns of agency in the same way as Bāhing mi. The literal meaning of $g a i \cdot k \bar{a}-m$ is accordingly ' cow-with-being.'

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $k \bar{a}, \mathrm{by} ; \mathrm{d} \bar{a}-n g$ and $k \bar{a}-n g$, from ; $n \bar{a}, d \bar{u}, d \bar{u}$, in ; nung, with ; mànthi, without, and so on. A postposition $k \bar{a}$, in, with, must be inferred from $k \bar{a}-n y$, from.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the table on p. 343. The forms ending in $l e$ are used if the qualified word denotes an animal, those ending in ong, chi, etc., when human beings are counted. Higher numbers are counted in twenties.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

Hodgson gives goi as the exolusive and goku as the inclusive form. I have distinguished between them after the analogy of Bāhing gōi and gōkn. I have also supposed $\overline{i k i}(-m \bar{a})$ and $\bar{a} k i(-m \bar{a})$, our, to correspond to Bāhing ike, wake, our, respeotively.

There are certainly also dual forms in addition to the above, for Hodgson gives wochi, these two, as the dual of $w \overline{0}$, this.

Demonstrative pronouns are $w \bar{o}^{\circ}$ and wṑrām, this; wo-chi, these two; woomim, these; $m y \bar{o}, m y \overline{o r} a \bar{m}$, and hanüm, that.

Interrogative pronouns are $s y \bar{u}$ and $\bar{u} h \bar{e} m$, who? hüm, what: Indefinite pronouns are formed by adding $l w a$, also, to the interrogative bases; thus, syu-bioa, anyone; ham$b w a$, anything.

Verbs.-We have no information about the use of pronominal suffixes for indicating the person and number of the subject and object, or about the formation of the various tenses. The suffix $\bar{a} n g$ in $g w \bar{a}-\bar{a} n g$, give me, denotes that the object is of the first person singular.
$B \bar{u}$, yes, is probably the base of a word meaning 'to be,' used as a present. Mi-si, yes, literally 'it-is,' perhaps contains a suffix si.

The base alone can be used as an imperative; thus, $p \bar{e}$, eat; $n \bar{e}$, take. Other imperatives end in $a$; thus, $b a \bar{a} k a$, wake; büka, come; $g w \ddot{a}-k a$, give; dünga, drink; lı̄̄a, be silent; wānda, run; jēsa, speak; dak'sa, go, and so on.

The negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{e}, m \bar{u}$ or $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{e} e$, not-is, no; $m \bar{\imath}-n y \bar{u} p \bar{a}$, not good, bad; mänthi, without. Another negative prefix is dōkhōn, which corresponds to Khāling dokhai; thus, dōkhōn dhyūpa, not long, short; dōkhön yépa, not tall, short.

## CHOURASYA.

The Chourasya Khambus live in what Hodgson calls Pallo, or Further Kirānt, i.e. the hills from the Arun to the Mechi and the Singilela Range.

## AUTHORITY-

Hodgson, B. H.,-Comparative Vocabulary of the several Languages (Dialects) of the celebrated people called Eirântis, now occupying the Eastern-most province of the kingdonn of Népál, or the basin of the river Arun, which province is named after them Kirint. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Hengal, Vol. sxvi, 1857, pp. 333 and ff. Reprinted in Miscollaneous Essays ralating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 176 and ff.
Our information about the Chourasya dialect is even more unsatisfactory than is the case with other forms of Khambu. It seems to occupy a somewhat independent position, and often differs from connected forms of speech in grammar and vocabulary. Dúmi and Kbāling are apparently most closely connected.
$B$ and $m, d$ and $n$, respectively, are apparently interchanceable; thus, sāa $a-m e$, young woman; tā-be, daughter; lisi, Dūmi miksi, eye; dōlū, Kūlung nōbo, nose; di, Külung ning, name; $d w a \bar{m}$, Dūmi näm, sun, etc. It will be seen that $d$ in the last instances corresponds to $n$ in connected forms of speech.

Nouns.-Gender is distinguished in the usual way, by means of different words or of qualifying additions. Thus, $\bar{a}-p o$, father; $\bar{a}-m o$, mother: ng $\bar{e}-w \bar{a}$, old man ; ng $\bar{e} \cdot b \bar{e}$, cld wowan : tā-wa, son; tā-be, daughter: ócho and wöcho, man, husband; līcho, wife: vol. ili, part I
ücho-bēbā, boy; bīcho-bēbā, girl : sālācho, young man; sāla-me, young woman : àpo chäli and chäli ngäpo, dog ; chäli nīma and àbomo chäli, bitch : àpo bīya, bull; ämo bīya, cow.

There are no instances of a dual or a plural in the materials available.
The genitive is apparently formed lor simply putling the governed before the governing word withont any suffix; thus, bā bäng'gya, bird's egg; būya nünu, cow's young, calf.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions, such as bi-lo, with; kho, by; lo, in; lo-ngo, from; sokho, without, and so on.

The first four numerals are given in the table on p. 343. They are apparently more closely related to the numerals in Thūlung than to those in other Khambu dialects.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-
$\bar{u} n g g \bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$.
$\bar{a}, \mathrm{my}$.
$\bar{a}$-leme, mine.
$\bar{u} n g g \bar{u}-t i c h a$, we.
iki-leme, our.
ngo-me, $\bar{n} n u$, thou.
i-leme, thine.
ngo-me-tich a, you.
mūyem-leme, your.
time, yo-me, ya-me, he, sbe, it.
nge-nee-leme, his, hers, its.
to-me-ticha, they.
ngo-no-ma-ticha-leme, their.

The above table probably contains some mistakes. Corresponding forms are Dūmi and Khäling ūng, I; Khāling $\bar{a}$, my ; $\bar{\imath}$, thy; Dūmi $i k i$, our ; ānu, thou; tem and tami, this, etc.

Interrogative pronouns are $\bar{a} c h \bar{u}$, who? which? thämé, which? $\bar{a} m \bar{a}$, what? Indefinite pronouns are formed by adding $y \bar{e}$, also, to interrogatives; thus, $\bar{a} c h \bar{u}-y \bar{e}$, anybody ; $\bar{a} m \bar{a}-y \bar{e}$, anything.

Verbs.-We have no information about the use of pronominal suffixes to dencte the person and number of the subject and object, or of the formation of tenses.

There is apparently a verb substantive $t i$; thus, $t i-m e$, it is, yes. The final me of this form is probably a copula, which is used as an assertive particle, and is probably connected with the final me in many pronouns.

Forms ending in $\bar{a}, t \bar{a}$, st $\bar{a}, k \bar{a} t \bar{a}$, etc., are given as imperatives; thus, liha, be silent; galkā, give; hältā, walk; phittā, bring; bākstā, speak; levāstā, go; jā-kātā, eat; pi-kāt $\bar{a}$, come, etc. The base alone is used as an inperative in nē, take.

The negative particle is a prefixed $\bar{a}$; thus $\bar{a} t t i$, it is not, no ; $\bar{a} d \bar{u} c h \bar{o}$, not-good, bad. Before imperatives nō can be used instead.

## KHĀLING.

The Khāling Khambus are found in the so-called Mã̃̄jh, or Middle, Kirānt, i.e. the hills between the Likhu and Arun rivers.

## AUTHORITIES-

Hodgson, B. H.,-Continuation of the Comparative Vocabulary of the several Dialects of the Kirántee Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 350 and ff. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 194 and f.
Honter, W. W.-A Oomparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.

Khäling is most closely related to Dūmi and the soccalled Räi.

Nouns.-The demonstrative base $\bar{u}$, that, its, is used as a prefix in words such as $\bar{u}$-chy $\bar{u}$, child ; $\bar{u}$-dhong, head ; $\bar{u}-n y o l$, dav ; $\bar{u}$-päp, father, etc.

Gender is distinguished by using different terms, or else by adding suffixes and words denoting the sex; thus, $\bar{u}-p \bar{p} p$, father; $\bar{u}-m \bar{u} m$, mother: $\bar{a} d u m b u$, husband ; $\bar{u}-$ may, wife: las'bū, man ; mespā, woman : pächhā, old man; mächh $\bar{a}$, old woman : sāl $\hat{a}-$ chye, young man; sälā-me, young woman: koklap, cock; ūphäm, hen: ūpäp khlēl,
 las'bā chwe, boy ; mēlsem-chyē, girl, etc.

There are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural, but we do not know how the dual and the plural are formed.

The genitive is formed by adding po as in Dūmi or $k \bar{a} m$ as in Thūlung and repeating the governed word by means of a pronominal prefix before the governing one: thus, grot-po $\bar{u}$-chyēs $\bar{a}$, goat-of its-young, kid; gai-kām $\bar{u}$-chyēs $\bar{a}$, cow-of its-young, calf.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions. Such are $b \bar{l}, \mathrm{in}$; bi-kä, from; $\bar{a}$, by ; pō-bi and $k \bar{o} l o$, with ; t $\overline{\bar{z}}$, on, upon; màngth $\bar{a}$, without, and so on.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the table on p. 343. It will be scen that bigher numbers are counted in twenties.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

| ung, I. | $i n$, thou. | tām, mām, yäkām, he, she, it. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{a}, \mathrm{my}$. | $\bar{i}$, thy. | $\bar{u}, y a ̈ k a ̈ m, ~ h i s, ~ h e r, ~ i t s . ~$ |
| $\bar{a}-p o$, mine . | in-po, thine. | $y$ àkäm-po, his, hers, its. |
| $i$-chi, in-chi, I and thou. | ye-chi, än-chi, you two. | óm-sa, they two. |
| is, my and thy. $\bar{o} c h \bar{a}, \tilde{a} c h \bar{u}$, I and he. | yēs, your two. | $\bar{u} n s \bar{u}, \bar{u}, a m s a, y \bar{a} k a \bar{m}-s \bar{u}$, their two. |
| $\bar{i} k, I$ and you. | $y e ̀ n$, you. | am-ham, they. |
| $\bar{i} k$, my and your. | $y e ̈ n$, your. | yākām, $\bar{u}$, their. |
| $\bar{o} k$, I and they. |  |  |
| $\bar{o} k, \mathrm{my}$ and their. |  |  |

It will be seen that the dual and the plural are frequently left unmarked in the third person. Forms such as $\bar{o} c h \bar{u}-p o$, mine and his; $\bar{i} k$-po, mine and yours, etc., are of course used in addition to those just registered.

Demoustrative pronouns are tom-ng $\bar{a}$, this; mäm-ng $\bar{a}$, that.
Interrogative and indefinite pronouns are khäm, who? mang-ga, what? hebe, how much ? khä-bi, where? mā-bi, why ? süi-yō, anybody; mägg-y $\bar{o}$, anything.

Verbs.-We are very unsatisfactorily informed about the use of pronominal suffixes to indicate the person and number of the subject and object, and about the formation of tenses.

A dual subject with an imperative is indicated by adding chi or sometimes $\bar{i}$ or by inserting it before the imperative suffix $e$. The corresponding plural suffix is $u i-y-e$, $n a-y-e$, or $s-n a-y-e$. Thus, sede, kill; se-chi, kill ye two; se-s-na-y-e, kill ye; pid-e, ${ }^{\prime} p \bar{i}-c h \bar{\imath}-e, p \bar{\imath}-s-n a y e$, bring; lhātte, khātte-chī-e, lcho-s-naye, take away ; ngānde, ngände-chī-e, ngāndi-nī-ye, put down; m $\bar{u}-y e, m \bar{u}-\bar{i}-y e, m \bar{u}-n \bar{\imath}-y e$, do, eto.

The suffix $n g \bar{a}$ is added in order to denote an object of the first person singular in $b i-n g \bar{a}-y e$, give me.
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It has already been remarked that the imperative ends in $e$ or $y e$. That is not, however, always the case, and we also find imperalives such as leba, be silent; an'si, sleep, etc.

The negative particles are a prefixed $m \bar{a}$ and a prefixed dokhäi (compare Thūlung dōkhōn) ; thus, ma- $\tilde{a}$, not is, no ; mā̄-ny $\bar{u} p a$, not good, load; dokhāi-song'-pa, not long, short. The negative imperative is formed by adding mō.

## DDMI.

The Dūmi Khambus are found in the so-called Mã̃jh, or Middle, Kirānt, i.e. the hills between the Likhu and Arun rivers.

## AUTHORITY-

Hodgson, B. H., - Continuation of the Oomparative Vocabulary of the several Dialects of the Kirántes Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 350 and tf. Reprinted in Miscellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 194 and ff.
Dūmi is most closely connected with Khāling and with the dialect described below under the head of Rāi.

Nouns.-The prefix $\bar{u}$ in words such as $\bar{u}-p \bar{u}$, father; $\bar{u}-m y \bar{a} m$, mother; $\bar{u} t t \bar{u}$, egg, etc., is by origin a demonstrative pronoun.

Gender is indicated by using different terms or else by adding suffixes and words indicating the sex. Thus, $\bar{u}-p \bar{u}, \bar{u}-p y \bar{a} p$ and $i-p y \bar{a} p$, father; $\bar{u}-m y \bar{a} m$, mother: las'bë, man ; mésbè, woman: $\bar{a} d \bar{u} m b o$, husband; $\bar{u}-m e \bar{u}$, wife : pāchh $\bar{a}$, old man; māchh $\bar{a}$, old woman : sāl $\bar{a}-c h y o$, young man; sāl $\bar{a}-m e$, young woman: $\bar{u}-p \bar{u}$, or $\bar{u}-p y \bar{a} p, k h l \bar{b} b, \operatorname{dog} ;$ $\bar{u}-m \bar{u}$, or $\bar{u}-m y y u ̈ m, ~ k h l e \bar{b}$, bitch : gyai-p $\bar{o}-\bar{u}-c h y o ~ \bar{u}-p y \bar{a} p$, male calf ; gyai-po$-\bar{u}-c h y o ~ \bar{u}-m y a \bar{a} m$, female calf : laslēe-chyo, son; mēsbē-chyo, daughter, and so on.

There are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. We do not know how the dual and the plural are expressed.

The suffix of the genitive is $p \bar{o}$, and the governed word is repeated by means of a pronominal prefix before the governing one; thus, $\bar{u}-\bar{z} \bar{o} \bar{u}-c h \bar{u}$, cow-of its-young, calf.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $b i, y \overline{0}, \mathrm{in}$; $b \bar{i}$, $k e$, with ; $b i-k \bar{a}$, from; $\bar{a}, n g \bar{a}, b y$, etc.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the table on p. 343. 'Ihey are closely related to the forms in the so-called Rai.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-


Demonstrative pronouns are tami, tem, and tem-ngā, this; momi, yākām, and yäkām$n g \bar{a}$, that.

Interrogative and indefinite pronouns are syī and syū-go, who? mā̄ng and mimngă, what? mū-p $\bar{u}-n e$, why ? sy $\bar{u}-y \bar{u}$, anybody ; mäng-y $\overline{0}$, anything, and so on.

Verbs.-The use of pronominal suffixes and prefixes in order to indicate the person and number of the subject and the object, and the formation of the tenses are probably the same as in the so-called Rāi. A suffix $n g \bar{a}$ is used in order to indicate an object of the first person singular in $b \bar{i}-n g \bar{a}$, give me.

The base alone, and with suffixes suoh as $e$ and $a$, is used as an imperative; thus, $j \bar{e}$, speak; $p \bar{u}$, comc ; tūng-e, drink; sed-e, kill; ripha, stand up, etc.

The negative particle is a prefised ma, mo, or m $\bar{u}$; thus, mo- $\overline{0}$, not-is, no ; m $\bar{u}-b h a n g$ '$p a$, not-handsome, ugly. The negative imperative is formed by adding $m \bar{u}$.

## RĀI.

The country between the Dud Kosi and Tambor rivers in Nepal is inhabited by the tribes known as Jimdārs and Yākhās. They olaim that their country alone is properly oalled Kiränt dēs. They call themselves Räis.

The Jimdārs have often been considered to be identical with the Khambus. According to information collected for the purposes of the last Census of 1901, howerer, the two terms are quite distinct. 'The Khambus of Darjeeling often assume the title of Rāi and claim to be the same as Jimdars, but their pretensions are not admitted in Nepal.' In this place, where we are only concerned with language, the difference between Jimdār and Khambu is of no importance.

Hodgson does not use the designation Jimdār, but includes the tribes in question in the Kirānti group. 'the name 'Jimdār' is said to be a corruption of the Hindōstānī ' Zamindār' used in the sense of 'crofter.' 'Rāi ' is the well-known Indian honorific title.

No information has been forthcoming about the number of Jimdars in and outside Nepal. At the various Censuses and during the preparatory operations of this Survey they have been confounded with the Khambus.

A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Standard Words and Phrases in a dialect called Rāi have been forwarded from the Nepal Darbar. The Parable is written in a dialect which corresponds to what Hodgsnn called Dúmi. The same is the case with the bulk of the list. Some few forms, however, belong to a dialect which more closely corresponds to Hodgson's Bāhing. Those forms have been printed within parenthesis.

According to Mr. Gait, the Jimdārs speak more than one dialect. It is probable that the Dūmis and Bāhings are sub-tribes of the Jimdārs. We have not, however, sufficient information about the various Nepalese tribes and their habitat, and I therefore give the Rāi texts below under the head of Rāi, as I have received them.
AUTHORITIES-
Hodason, B. H.,-Oontinuation of the Comparative Vocabulary of the sevoral Dialects of the Kirúnted Language. Jourual of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1557, pp. 350 and ff. Reprinted in Misellaneous Esscys relating to Indian Subjacts. Vol. i, London, 1880, pp. 194 and ff. Contains Bāhing and Liūmi vocabularies.

Hodgson, B. H.,-Bāhing Vocabulary. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 486 and ff.; Vol. xxvii, 1858, pp. 393 and ff. Reprinted in Mistellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Fol. i, London, 1880, pp. 320 and ff. Containe e Būbing vocabulary, grammar, and a specimen of the dialect.
Hunter, H. H.,-A Oomparative Dietionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
The remarks on Rāi grammar which follow are based on the Parable of the Prodigal Son reproduced on pp. 380 and ff., and on such forms in the list of words as belong to the same dialect. A full analysis of the Bāhing dialect has been given above on pp. 327 and ff.

Pronunciation.-Short and long vowels are sometimes interchanged. Thus, the ablative suffix $k \bar{a}$ also occurs as $k a$. The final vowel is sometimes dropped altogetlier; thus, kusta-k, going ; but phu-chu-ka, arriving.
$I$ and $e, u$ and $o$, respectively, are sometimes interchanged; thus, ngeru, finished; $n g i r u m$, finishing : mo, were ; $m u$-sa, being. Instead of $o$ we often find $w a$; thus, $o$ and wa, my.
$I$ is sometimes interchangeable with $u$; thus, lal-bu, and lal-bi, before. The final $b u$, $b i$ in this word is the usual suffix of the locative, which is commonly written $b i$. The actual pronunciation is perhaps bii.
$A$ or $\bar{a}$ is sometimes also interchangeable with $o$; thus, mom and mam, that; ya-bu and $y o-b i$, behind.

The dialect has four gutturals, four palatals, four dentals, and four labials. The cerebrals $t$ and $d$ occur in some few words. It is not certain if their pronunciation differs from that of the corresponding dentals.
$D$ and $t$ are interchanged in words such as $l u-t u$ and $l u-d u$, said.
Instead of $n \bar{a}$, name, Hodgson gives nang under the head of Dūmi.
Prefixes.-The prefixes used in the formation of words are mostly pronominal. The prefix $u$, which is originally an abbreviated form of the personal pronoun of the third person; is often used as a mere formative; thus, $u-n u$, nose ; $u$-kam, mouth; $u$-chu, son. If such words are qualiged by a posscssive pronoun of the first or second persons, the prefix $u$ is replaced by o or $w a$, my ; $\bar{a}$, thy, respectively. The prefix does not necessarily belong to the word, but such ideas as 'nose,' 'mouth,' 'son,' etc., are not conceived in the abstract but put into relation with somebody, so that, instead of saying ' nose,' etc., we say ' my nose,' ' thy nose,' ' his nose,' etc., according to circumstances.

Articles.-There are no articles. The numeral tik-pu, one, is often used as an indefinite article. If the qualified noun denotes a human being, the Aryan janā, person, is sometimes substituted for the final $p u$ of $t i k-p u$; thus, $t i k-j a n a ̈ m i n u$, one-person man, a man.

Nouns-Gender.-The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding words denoting the gender ; thus, $p u$, father; mu, mother : s $\overline{\bar{a}} \cdot y \tilde{\bar{a}}$, bull; bhi, cow : khilu, dog; khibu-me, bitch : chhangur bokā, he gcat; chhangur, she goat; darhya mirga, a male deer; mirga me, a female deer: wa-lanchu, brother ; wa-michun, sister.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The usual suffixes of the plural are mul and hām; thus, pu-mul, fathers; chähara-häm, servants.

Case.-The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by means of any suffix. The base alone is also used as a dative; thus, chälcara-häm lu-ti-ni,
servants said, he said to the servants. Sometimes, however, the Aryan suffix läi, for, is used instead ; thus, pu-läi, to the father.

The subject of transitive verbs is usually put in the case of the agent, which is also used as an instrumental. It is formed by adding the suffix $\bar{a}$; thus, $p u-\bar{a} l u-d u$, the father-by said; riba- $\bar{a} p u d \bar{a}$, ropes-with bind.

The suffix of the ablative is $k a$, which is usually preceded by one of the suffixes la or $l i$; thus, $p u-l a-k a$, from a father; tam-bi-ka, from here.
$B i$ is the usual suffix of the locative and terminative. Thus, kim-bi, in the house; $k h u r^{r}-l i$, upon his neck. It has already been remarked that the final $i$ of this postposition sometimes interchanges with $u$. Compare the compound postposition gho-bu, in the interior of, in, into. The suffix $l a$ which often precedes the $k a$ of the ablative is probably another suffix of the locative. Compare yo-lam, after; yo-pi, behind.

The usual suffix of the genitive is $p u$ or $p o$; thus, $p u-p u$, of a father; min-po, of a man. 'Ihe governing noun is often repeated by means of a pronominal prefix before the governed noun; thus, mam-po $u$-pu, him-of his-father, his father.

Another genitive suffix $m$ occurs in forms such as del-bi-m tik-pu pastya, village-in-of a shopkeeper, a shopkeeper of the village. It is not used as a genitive sulfix in the proper sense of the word, but is added to other forms in order to transform them into adjectives or relative participles.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions, such as $d e-b i$, near; $k \bar{a} \bar{i}$, with ; lal-bi, before; yo-bi, behind ; lim-bi, under, etc.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the noun they qualify and are often put in the genitive; thus, ghala-pu siso, great famine; jaudu chuchu, a bad boy.

The particle of comparison is likandu; thus, um-po wā-michum likandu um-po wädanchu rippu mota, his sister than his brother tall is, his brother is taller than his sister.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. The numerals twelve to nineteen are formed by adding two, three, etc., to tik, i.e. tik-ri, ten. Thus, tik-sak, twelve; tik-maluk, fourteen. Note tik-raj, seventeen. 'Eleven' is tikluk. Similarly are formed sāk-tik, twenty-one; sājh-masi, twenty-two; sāk-sup, twenty-three; säk-pok, twenty-five; sāk-jak, twenty-six; sup-tik, thirty-one; sup-si, thirty-three; sup-bhaluk, thirty-four ; suph-jhak, thirty-six; tap-tambu (sic), thirty-nine; bhäluk-ti, forty-one; bhāluk-bhä, forty-four, etc.

Note also jhakari, sixty; rākari, seventy ; rekkuri, eighty; tamburi, ninety.
Many of these forms are curious. The whole method of counting is, however, IndoChinese.

The numerals precede the noun they qualify.
Pronouns.-The principal forms of the personal pronouns will be found in the table which follows. There are no traces of a dual in the specimens forwarded for the purposes of this Survey. Hodgson's Dūmi vocabulary contains separate forms for the dual, and also double sets of the dual and the plural of the first person, one including and one excluding the person addressed. I have added several forms from Hodgson's
vocabulary within parenthesis. J. have also followed him in distinguishing between an exclusive and inclusive form of the dual and the pheral of the first person.

| ang, angn, I. ang- $\bar{u}$, by me. | $\bar{a} \neq u, \bar{a} n \bar{a}$, (in), thou. $\bar{a} n a \bar{a}-\bar{a}$, by thee. | um, mom, manı, yükam, he. um- $-\overline{\text { a }}$, etc., ly him. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $o-p o, w a-p o, o, w a, \mathrm{my}$ <br> ( $\bar{i}-c h i$, I and thou.) | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{a}-p o, \bar{a} \text {, thy. } \\ & \text { (ye-chi, you two.) } \end{aligned}$ | um-po, $u$, ctc., his. <br> (um-ni, yük'(m-su, they two.) |
| ( $\bar{o}-c h \bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$ and he.) |  |  |
| ( $\bar{\imath}$-chi-po, mine and thine.) | (ye-chi-po, än-chi-po, of you two.) | (um-ni-po, mom-ni-po, yākam su-po, of them two.) |
| ( $\bar{\sigma}-c h u-p o, \quad \tilde{a}-c h i-p o, m y$ and his.) |  |  |
| $i-k i, i n-k i, I$ and you. ang-ku, I and they. | $\bar{a} n-n i$, you. | $k a ̄-m u l, m a m-h a ̈ m, ~ e t c ., ~ t h e y . ~$ |

Hodgson further gives $\bar{o} n g e$, I and they, genitive ok-po, ok. $\bar{A} p$ - $p o$, your, has been influenced by Hindi.

The form mom, he, looks like a noun of agency formed from the verb substantive mo by adding the pronoun um. A suffix $m$, i.e. perhaps um, is not infrequently used to form nouns of agency and relative participles from other words. It corresponds to Bāhing me. Thus, mam del-bi-m tik-jana minu, that village-in-being one-person man, a man of that village: ang dok-ta-m ansa-bhäg, I get-shall-that share, the share which I shall get; mit-chu-m, died-he, the dead one. dead.

The forms $o, w a, m y ; \bar{a}$, thy ; $u$, his, are used as pronominal prefixes with nouns; thus, o-ngasi-hām, my companions; $\bar{a} p-p o \bar{a}-w \bar{a}$, your brother; um-po $u$-chu, his son. It has already been remarked that the prefix $u$ is sometimes used as a mere formative. Compare $\bar{u}$-sūta, raw, in Hodgson's Dümi vocabulary.

The verb is, as is also the case in other connected forms of speech, a noun, and the pronominal prefixes should, therefore, be expected to be used with verbs. So far as we can judge from the specimens, this is, however, only the case with the prefix $\bar{a}$, thy. Compare bhoj $\bar{a}-m u$, feast you-made; $\bar{a} n u$ sadhai ang $k a \bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{a}-m o-l a$, you always me with you-are; $\bar{a}-l i c-d u$, thou-boughtest. Compare Limbu.

The forms $n g u$ and $n g a$ are apparently used as suffixes of the first person. Compare $m \bar{a}-n g u, \mathrm{I}$ did ; $m u-n g a-t \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ am doing; $m o-n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$ was; be-ng $\bar{a}$, give me; mo-ng $\bar{a}-n i$, make me.

Demonstrative pronouns are tum, tom, tam, this; mom, mam, yākam, that. Compare the personal pronoun of the third person.

The interrogative pronouns are $b o$ and $\alpha-b o$, who? $m \bar{a}$, what? hit-po, how many ? etc. A form $\bar{u} s$, who ? must be inferred from $\bar{a} s-\bar{a}-y o$, by anyone. Compare mä-yo, anything, which word shows that indefinite pronouns are formed by adding yo, even, also, to the interrogatives.

An interrogative pronoun is sometimes also used as a kind of relative; thus, o-po máa go tum äp-pong, mine what is this thine, all that I have is thine. Relative clauses are, however, usually expressed by means of relative participles.

Verbs. - It has already been remarked that the verb is still virtually a noun. The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent; there is no passive; and verbal
forms are freely used in connexion with postpositions. It has also been remarked that pronominal prefixes and sulixes are, to a small extent, used in order to denote the subject and object. This tendency towards pronominalization is, however, less pronounced than in other connected dialects such as Limbu.

Verb substantive.-The most common base of the verb substantive is mo or mu. It has, besides, the fuller meaning of sitting down, residing. In addition to no we also find go. Other bases which are used with the same meaning are chhu and wa, and perhaps also $n g$ in ap-po-ng, it is thine. The final $n g$ of this latter word is perhaps only a euphonic nasalization of the vowel.

Finite verb. -The materials available are not sufficient for giving a detailed sketch of Rä conjugation. The remarks which follow give a short survey of the principal forms contained in the specimen.

Present time.-The base alone is used as a present; thus, $\bar{a}-n \bar{a} m \bar{a}$ wa, thy name what is?

The most common suffix of the present tense is ta or $t \bar{a}$; thus, ang mo-t $\bar{a}$, I am; ang- $\bar{a} y \bar{a} m-t a$, I strike; $\bar{a} n \bar{a}-\bar{a}$ y $\bar{a} m-t a$, you strike. In $m u-n g a-t \bar{a}$, I am doing, the infix $n g a$ apparently denotes the subject.

Some verbs insert an $s$ before $t a$; thus, mis-t $\bar{a}$, I die; khus-ta, I go, thou goest.
In angku muk-ta, we are, a suffix $k$ has been added to the base before tā. Mutk is formally a participle meaning 'being.' The suffix tā is, therefore, probably a form of the copula.

The suffix ta can also be preceded by other suffixes, such as ja, tha, thing; thus, mo-ja-ta, he is sitting; um- $\bar{a} y a m-t h a-t a$, he strikes; um khus-thing-tu, he goes. The last mentioned form shows that $t a$ is sometimes replaced by $t u$. Instances only occur in the third person singular. Compare, however, past time, below.

In $\bar{a} n \bar{a}-\bar{a} y a m-t h a-t i s$, thou strikest, tis has be substituted for ta. Another suffix of the present is $n i$, which is usually preceded by other sulixes such as $t i$ and $b i$; thus, dok-ti-ni, they are getting; ang-mul (sic) $\tilde{a}-m o-b i-n i$, you arr. An $m$ has been added in umul-hām- $\bar{a}$ yom-ti-ni-m, they strike. Comp:rre the remarks on the forwation of nouns of agency under the head of pronouns.

A suffix la orcurs in $\bar{a} n u \bar{a}-m o-l a$, you are. It is perhaps a $\operatorname{slip}$ for $t a$.
The form: goe läkshi, we go gäni lawni, you go; gumikāgà lawmi, they go, in the list, have not been taken from the same dialect as that represented by the Parable and the bulk of the list. Compare Bāhing.

Past time. -The base alone is also used as a past tense; thus, mo, they were, he lived; $\bar{a} n g$ mo-nga, I was; jawäph bi, answer he gave, he answered.

The suffix $n i$, which is sometimes preceded by $t i$ or $d i$, is used in forms such as $m u-n i$, they made ; $l n-t i-n i$, he said ; se-mn-di-ni, he made lim tend (pigs).

A common sulfix is $u$, which is usually preceded by consonants such as $t$ or $d$, ch, and $n$. Thus, $k a \bar{n} n-n u$ nger- $u$, squandering finished, he wasted; $l u-t u$ and $l u$ - $d u$, he said; yom- $d u$, I have beaten; $\bar{a}-k i-d u$, thou boughtest ; mu-nu, he has made.
$U$ is prohably connected with the sutixx yo in lans-thi-yo, 1 have walked.
In $m \bar{a}-n g-u$, I hive done, the suffix $u$ is preceded by $n g$, which is probably a suffix of the first person singular.
vol. III, part i.

Most of the forms mentioned above can be followed by the suffix $m$. They are then properly nouns of agency or relative participles, but can also be used with the function of ordinary verbs. 'Ihus, $g o \cdot m$, he was; mo-m, thou wast, they were; $\bar{a} n-n i \bar{a}-m o-n i-m$, you were ; $\bar{a}-c h u-m$, he said ; li-chu-m, he became alive. In khu-chu-m thiyo, (J, thou, or he) went; yom-du-m thiyo, I had beaten, thiyo has been added. Thiyo probably means ' was,' and yom-du-m thiyo would then literally mean ' I was a beater.'

A suffix $\bar{a}$ occurs in forms such as angku mu-k- $\bar{a}$, we were; khu-ch- $\bar{a}$, he went; li-ch- $\bar{a}$, he became alive; chhukhü, it arose, etc.

Forms such as don-po, he was found, are properly participles.
The forms given under Nos. 185-190, 214-216 in the list belong to another dialeot.
Future.-The suffix $t a$ or $t u$ is also used with a future meaning; thus, ang lu-onu $\dot{a}-s-t a$, I saying will-say; chhuk-tā, it will be ; ang chhup-tu, I shall be; $\bar{n} n g-\bar{a}$ yom$t u$, I shall beat.

Imperative.-The base alone is used as an imperative ; thus, $t u$, put; $b i$, give ; be. $n g \bar{a}$, give me.

A common suffix is $n i$; thus, mo-ni, sit; yum-i-ni, beat; mo-ng $\bar{a}-n i$, make me. It is sometimes preceded by another suffix chi; thus, pi-chi-ni, take; repma-chi-ni, stand; kap-mu-chi-ni, cause him to put on. Note lu-nu-ni, say.

Another suffix is $\bar{a}$, which is sometimes preceded by other suffixes such as $c h, t$, or $d$; thus, $p i-\bar{a}$, come; lam-thiy- $\bar{a}$, walk; micha, die ; khuch $\bar{a}$, go ; làtā, draw; pud $\bar{a}$, bind; send $\bar{a}$, look.

The forms ending in $t i$ in the sentence in-ki- $\bar{a} j u-o-k a-t i t u n g-k i-t i$ moj muk-ti, us-by eat-should drink-should, merry make-should, let us eat, drink, and make merry, are perhaps future forms.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The most common verbal noun is formed by anding the suffix $n u$; thus, lu-nu, to say; moj mu-nu, in order to make merry; chhuä$n u-l \bar{u} i$, being for, to be. Compare the suffix $n u$ mentioned under the head of past time.

Another verbal noun is formed by adding $m$ or om; thus, $m u k-t i-m$, to do; ho-m pachhi, after the coming; yom-om, to beat. In ho-lom- $\bar{\alpha}$, by his arriving, because he came, it is preceded by an $l$ which should perhaps be compared with la mentioned under the head of present.

The suffix $m$ is also used to form relative and verbal participles; thus, ang dok-ta-m ansa-bhäg, I getting share, the share that I shall get; mitchu-m gom, dead was.

The verbal noun ending in $n u$ is also used as a relative participle; thus, po- $\bar{\alpha} j u-n u$ bhusa, pigs-by eating husks, the husks which the pigs ate.

A common relative participle is formed by adding $p u$, i.e. probably the suffix of the genitive, to a participle ending in $k$; thus, mam sahar-bi mu-k-pu mam minu, that townin living that man, that man who lived in that town; sampati ju-k-pu tum $\bar{a}-c h u$, property eating this thy-son, this thy son who wasted thy property.

The various forme mentioned under the head of present, past, and future, above, are properly verbal nouns or participles, and are often used as such. Compare yom-jata, beating; chhuk-thing-ta, being; lkhus-thing-ta, going; khuchu, gone.

Forms such as ho-yo, coming-also; ho-pü-chu-yo, arriving-also, can be used as conjunctive participles. The most common conjunctive participle is, however, formed by
adding the saffix $k \bar{a}, k a$, or $k$, which is identical with the ablative suffix, to the various verbal bases; thus, bātule mu-ka, together making, gathering; yom-du-kā, having beaten; khu-chu-k $\bar{a}$, going; kus-ta-k, going; phuka-k, arising; ngini-k, hearing; $h u-c h i-n i-k \bar{a}$, bringing, and so forth.

A suffix $8 a$ is used in forms such as dok-8a, getting; mu-sa, remaining.
Note finally the isolated forms $\bar{a}-n \bar{a}$, saying; $\bar{a}-t a$, saying; lu-o-nu, saying.
Causals are apparently formed by suffixing lai or mu; thur, jo-lai-mi, he is grazing, from jo, eat ; se-mu-di-ni, to-tend-caused, from $s e$, tend.

Negative particle. -The negative verb is formed by prefixing mā and suffixing $n a$; thus, $m \bar{a}$ dok-tu-na, he did not get; mä be-nga-na, you did not give to me (nga); $m \bar{a} d i r a-n a$, I am not worthy. Note $m \bar{a} b i n-i n-n a$, he did not give.

Order of Words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. Qualifying words precede the qualified ones.

For further details the student is referred to the version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which follows, and to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 409 and fr.

# Tibeto-BURMAN FAMILY. Tibeto-Himalayan Group. 

$R A ̄ I$.
(Nepal Darbar.)
Tik-pu min-po sak-pu u-chu mo. Sak-pu madhe kānchhā, 'pu-ā, One man-of two his-sons were. Two among younger', 'father- $O$, ang dok-tam ansa-bhāg angu be-ngā,' ānā o-pu lu-tu. O-pu-ā $I$ getting shave me give,' saying his-father .said. His.father-by ansa clhhuțiā musa-khancia. Mam-po yo-lam mam kānchhā pu- $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ jharā share division making-gave. That-of after that younger son-by all sampati baṭu-le-mu-ka ṭāḍo pardes khuchā. Ma-bi moja property together-making far foreign-country went. There in-debauchery muswo um-po ansa-blāg-bim simpati jharā kānnu-ngeru. Kharcha lioing his share-of property all to-squander-finished. Expenditure mu-nu ngiru-m pachhi mam thā̄u-bi grhala-pu siso chbukhā. Mam making finishing after that place-in big fumine arose. He dukh huk-ho. Mam del-bim tik janā minu kāī khuchukā destitute became. That country-of one person man with going mo. Mam sahar-bi muk-pu man minu- $\underset{\sim}{\text { a }}$ um-po kheti-lii po stayed. That city-in living that man-by his field-in swine
se-mu-di-ni. $\overline{\text { Às-ā-jo mā-yo mā-bin-in-na. Mam po-ā ju-nu }}$ to-graze-caused. Anyone-by anything not-gave. Those swine-by eaten
bhusa-ä-yo u-mupu bhin-nu mā dok-tu-nā. U-sāni hom pachhi husks-with-even his-belly to-fill not got. His-sense coming after à-chum, ' o-pu-po hit-po sebantite-hām-ā kebā suba ju-nu dok-sa said, 'my-father-of how-many servants much bread to-eat getting yen-nu-yo dok-ti-ni. Anğ̣u sukhā mista. Ang kustak o-pu phār-bi to-spare-even get. I hunger die. I arising my-father near ho-pā-chā, "ye pā, İsura āuu-bi ang-a pā! māngu; angu coming, "O father, God you-to me-by sin dicl; I $\bar{a} p$-po $\bar{a}$-chuye lu-nu mā-dira-na. Angu āp-po your-Honour-of your-son say-to not-zorthy. Me your-Honour-of sebante-hem mo-ngā-ni," luo-nu-āsta,' ānā phukhak mam-po u-pu servant-like make-me," saying-will-say,' saying rising his his-father de-bi khuchā. Mam chu dherai lākāng mo-yo, u-pu-ā dok-kha-tu, near went. That son very far was-even, his-father-by sano, u-go ngísi-chum-ā lhul-pha-chuka, tokchhi-bi hep-lkha-tu-ka chuk-mu-du his-mind aching running-going, neck-on embracing leiss-nade.

Chu-ā u-pu lu-du, 'e o-pu, Isura à-mukliāji-bi pāp Son-by his-father said, 'O my-father, God your-face-in sin māngu; angu ā-chu lu-nu mā-dira-na.' Meyo pu-ā chākara-hām did; I thy-son to-say not-worthy.' But father-by servants lu-ti-ni, "jàti gu huchi-ni-kà tam kap-mu-chi-ni; khur-bi chhukurim said, 'good cloth bringing him to-put-on-cause; hand-on ring bhig-be-ni, u-phāli-bi juttā yo be-ni. Lau, "in-ki-ā ju-o-ka-ti to-put-on-give, his-feet-on shoes also give. Well, "us-by shall-eat tung-ki-ti moj muk-ti," lu-nu-ni; o-chu mitchum gom, lichā; shall-drink merry shall-male," say; my-son dead was, lived; chāmum gom, don-po,' teni ānā mam-hām-à ananda mu-ni. lost was, was-found,' thus saying them-by merry made.

Mam diasapi chu kheti-li mom-gom. Ho-yo kim deī-bi lopā̄-chu-yo That older son field-in was. Coming house near arriving bājā hānchhomum sora nginik, tik janā chākara bra-tu-ka, 'mā-wa?' music dancing sound hearing, one person servant calling, 'what-is?' $\bar{a} n \bar{a}$ siku-bi. ' $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$-po $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-wā holom- $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ à $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-pu- $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ saying asked. 'Your-Honour-of your-brother arriving-for your-father-by tili-pu blonj mu-nu,' chākara-à me lu-du-yo, mon-pu u-chili bru-chu-ka one feast made,' servant-ly so said-also, him-of his-anger arising kim-ghobu mā unga-na. Main-po ju pālihà lana-chulk mam biuti house-into not went. His futher outside coming him entreaty mu-tu. Mam- $\bar{a}$ u-pu jawāph-bi, 'send $\bar{i}$, teni barkha-bi à-țhal made. Him-by his-father answer-gave, ' lo, these years-in your-service mu-ngatā. Hiyo-yo ā-bachan mā-çap-tu-na. Maï-yo angu o-ngasi-ham doing-am. Ever-even thy-word not-transgressed. Still I my-friends kāi moj mu-nu tik-pu bhedā-po u-chu mī be-nga-na. with merry to-make one sheep-of its-young-one not gavest-to-me. Besyā-ham-kāi musa sampati juk-pu tum à-chu holom- $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ bhoj Harlots-with living property eating this thy-son coming-on feast à-mu.' Meyo u-pu-ā ludu, 'ye chu, ãnu sadhai ang kāi thou-madest.' But his-father-by said, ' $O$ son, you always me with à-molā. O-po mā go tum jharā $\bar{a} p$-pong. Ing-ki-ā moja musa you-are. Me-of what is this all yours. Us-by merriment making
 joy-feast to-make proper will-be. Why? saying, thes youtr-brother mitchum gom, lichum; chāmum gom, don-po.'
dead was, lived; lost was, was.found.'

## VĀYU.

According to Hodgson the Vāyus, who are vulgarly calied Hāyus, inhabit the slopes of the central region of the Himalaya in Nepal. They are found in small villages scattered on both sides of the river Kosi, from the great valley of Nepal proper to that point where the Kosi turns southwards to issue into the plains. Their number in Nepa? cannot be ascertained, but is said to be smail and not to exceed a few thousands. At the last Census of 1901 some few speakers of Vāyu were returned from districts outside Nepal, viz.:


The Vāyus of Lakhimpur were probably either serving in our Indian Army, or were employed on tea-gardens.

Hodgson describes the Vāyus as being in an exceedingly depressed oondition, probably passing to gradual extinction. There does not appear to be any close connexion betwren the different villages. Each village has a headman; whom they call majhua. This name recalls the word mañjhi, which is used in the same way among the Santāls.
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Hodgson's Essays contain a full grammatical analysis of Vāyu with a good specimen of the language. The remarks which follow are entirely based on Hodgson's work, to which the student is referred for more detailed information. I lave also reproduced Hodgson's specimen with the addition of an interlinear translation.

Vāyu is a typical language of the complex pronominalized class, though the conjugational system is less complicated than in the case of Bähing.

Pronunciation.-The consonant $l h$ has a peculiar sound. Hodgson describes it as 'verging upon a vague th or hard $h$, or Sanskrit $k$ sh.' Thus, khis-to, rub. According to the same authority ' $\underline{c h}$ is hard Arabic, without the least vagueness, as in khwasto, to tighten.'
$E$ and $i$ are commonly interchanged; thus, ning-la and neng-la, congeal. $O$ and $थ$ are said to be interchangeable in the same way.

Old final consonants are often slurred, and the word is then pronounced in the abrupt tone ; thus, top-ta, struck, but to'-vi, striking; mék', eye; cho'-mi, small. The abrupt tone has been indicated by means of the sign ' after the vowel or the consonant.

Some words are pronounced in what Hodgson calls the pausing tone; thus, $\bar{i}$, this; $m \bar{i}$, that ; tō-vi, placing, compare to' $v i$, striking.

Conourrent consonants are changed in various ways. Thus $k$ or $k$ ' often becomes $n g$ before $m$ and $n$, as in thing-ne, shut ye; däng-ne-m and $d a k-n e-m$, you desired; from thik, shut; dak', desire, respectively. $K$ moreover often becomes $p$ after labials and $t$ after dentals; thus phi-ki-kōng-mi, we came; däm-pi-köng-mi, we were full; ho-ti-köng$m i$, we talked, from $p h i$, come; dam, be full; hot', talk, respectively. There are, however, many exceptions, at least in the case of dentals preceding the $k$, when the change only takes place if the dental is dropped. Compare hot'-kok-mi, we talk. In dam-pop-mi, we are full, the suffix look has been changed to pop under the influence of the preceding $m$.

A final $t$ is often changed to $s$; thus, $s i-s-c h y a n g$, an instrument to kill with ; $8 i-s-$ chhol-mi, we two kill him; si-s-to, kill him ; si-s-sung, kill me, etc., from the base sit, kill. Before $n u$ a $t$ is apparently sometimes changed to $n$; thns, hon-mi, talked, from hot', talk. Similarly $p$ becomes $m$ before $n$; thus tom-ne, strike ye, from the base top, to strike.
$\Lambda g$ apparently becomes $m$ after labials, and, sometimes, $n$ after $t$; thus dam-mu-m, I am full, si-n-mi, I kill him, from dam, to be full; sit', to kill, respectively. In these instances $n u u$ and $n$, respectively, are derived from the pronominal suffix $n g o, n g$.

Such changes play a great rôle in the conjugation of verbs.
Suffixes and prefixes.- Several suffixes and prefixesare used in the formation of words. The prefixes cannot, in most cases, be analysed. The prefix $\bar{u}$ in $\bar{u}-p \bar{u}$, father ; $\bar{u}-m \bar{\imath}$, wother, is originally a demonstrative pronoun. It has, however, become an inseparable part of the word. The meaning of many other prefixes cannot now be ascertained ; thus, cho-lo, Tibetan zla-ba, moon; b-li-(-ning), Tibetan bzhi, four, etc.

A common suffix is lüng, which denotes place; thus, im-lüng, sleeping room, from im, to sleep. The suffix sing is similarly added to verbal bases in order to form compounds with the meaning of time for an action; thus, $i m$-sing, bed time.

The sufix chyäng denotes the instrument; thus, top-chyang, a beating instrument, a hammer; ruk-chyäng, a ploughing instrument, a plough.

Nouns of agency are formed by adding the suffix $v i$; thus, $t o^{\prime}-v i$, a hammer; pö-vi, a maker; cheli-tun-vi, a goatherd. The suffix wo is sometimes used in a similar way; thus, daksa-wo, a covetous man; liwo-wo, a bow-man, an archer. A corresponding feminine is formed by adding the suffix $m i$; thus, daksa-mi, a covetous woman. The same sullix is also used to form neuter nouns; thus, heldung-mi, the yellow thing, gold; khak-chhing-mi, the black thing, iron ; däwāng-mi, the white thing, silver. It is connected with the suffix $m u$ which is used in order to form adjectives and genitives, especially before neuter nouns; thus, sing-mu, wooden; jājā-mu, right; mādum-mu, central, etc. $M i$ and $m u$ are connected with the demonstrative pronoun mi, that, and the verb substantive $m i, m$, which is used as an assertive particle after verbs.

A suffix s/ occurs in words such as ram-sa, fear ; jung-sa, fever; suk'-sa, hunger; $d a k-s a$, wish; $t i-d a k-s a$, water-wish, thirst, etc. It apparently forms abstract nouns from verbal bases.

Nouns.-There is no grammatical gender. The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding suffixes suoh as wo and cho, male; mi, female. Thus, kiki, grandfather; pipi, grandmother : lôcho (or perhaps löñcho), man; mescho: woman: tā-wo, boy; tā-mi, girl: bang-cho, a joung man; bang-ni, a young womau:
bing-cho, a handsome man ; bing-mi, a handsome woman. Mes-cho, woman, shows that cho cannot be a simple male sufix, and the male and female suffixes are sometimes. addeù after cho; thus, bang-cho-wo, a mature man; bang-cho-mi, a mature woman.

Number.-'here are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. Number is not separately indicated if it can be inferred from the context. In other cases numerals or the suffix lihäta, which probably means 'many,' are added; thus, nāyung got,' two hands; lõ̃cho-khäta, men.

Case. -The cases of the subject and the object are not indicated by means of any suffix. They are sufficiently marked in the verb. The subject of transitive verbs is, however, put in the case of the agent or the instrumental in such forms as are common to the active and the passive. Compare the remarks under the head of verbs, below.

The genitive is commonly expressed by putting the governed before the governing noun without adding auy suffix; thus, lõ̃cho got', the man's hand ; chhäju mädum-bé, hill middle-in, in the mid-ascent of the hill. If there is no qualified noun the sulfix $m u$ or $m$ is added; thus, lõ̃cho-mu, the man's. The same is also sometimes the case before a qualified noun; thus mulung-mu mādum-bé, in the middle of the village. The suffix $m$ is very commonly added after suffixes of number and in some pronouns; thus, lō̃cho $n a k-p u-m$ of two men; lõ̃cho khäta-m, men's.

The suffix of the allative is $k h e n$, that of the instrumental $h \bar{a}$, and those of the locative $b \bar{e}, h \bar{e}$, and $\bar{e}$; thus, sing-khen, from the wood; lṑcho-häa, by a man; lõ̃cho-lë, in a man; wan-hé, in the top; kem-ë, in tho house.

Other relations are indicated by adding postpositions such as nung, with; bong, up to, so far as ; rek, towards, and so forth.

Adjectives.-Most words used as adjectives are formed from verbal bases, and they are also used as participles; thus, met'-vi, dying; me'-ta, dead ; jä-täng, eatable, wholesome, etc. When adjectives are used as nouns, they are often qualified by suffixes denoting the geuder; thus, suksa-roo, the hungry man; suksa-mi, the hungry woman. The suffix $m u$ is similarly used to denote irrational beings; thus, noh'-ka-mu, the good one.

Comparison is effected by putting the compared noun in the ablative; thus, wathi-m khen cho'-mi, him from small, smailer than he; inung-khäta khen cho'-mi, these from small, smallest among these; sabim khen khimta, all from cold, coldest.

Numerals. - The first four numerals have separate forms for the masculine, the feminine, and the irrational gender. Compare the table which follows :-

|  | Masouline | Feminin | Irrationnl. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One | kom-pu, kuong-pu | kwo-mi, kwong-mi | ko.lu |
| Two | $n \bar{k} k \cdot p u$ | $n \bar{z} n g \cdot m i$ | $n \bar{a} \cdot y u n g$ |
| Three | chhut-pu | chhung-mi | chhu-yuna |
| Four | lik-pu | llig-mi | uli-ning |

There are further separate forms for the numerals 'five' and 'six'; thus, $\bar{u}-n i n g$, five; chhu-ning, six. Chhu-ning seems to be a compound and to mean 'three times two.' 'The final ning is another form of näyung, two. Cining, five, probably has a similar origin.

The numerals above four are usually counted in hands, feet and scores; thus, kolu got' khulup, one land ontire, five; näyung got' lihulup, two hands ontire, ten; näyung got' khulup-hä kolu got' khulup, two hands entire with one hand entire, fifteen; le got' khulup, f'eet hands all, twenty; cholōk or kolu cholök, one score, twenty; bli-ning cholōk, four score, eighty; üning cholōk or kolu got' cholōk, five score, hundred.

Pronouns.-Pronouns are in most respects inflected like nouns. There are however separate genitive bases, which are also used before the suffixes then of the ablative and be of the locative, as also before postpositions such as nung, with. The dual is often indicated by adding the numeral $n a ̈ k-p u$, two ; thus, $g \bar{o} n a ̈ k-p u$, we two. There are, however, besides, separate dual suffixes in the two first persons, viz.: chi in the first and chhe, chhi, in the second. Similarly we find a plural suffix $k i$ in the genitive of the first person and $n e, n i$ in the second person. Compare Rāi $i$-chi, I and thou; $\bar{o}-c h \bar{u}$, I and he; ye-chi, you two; $i n-k i, \mathrm{I}$ and you; ang-ku, I and they ; ann-ni, you.

There are, moreover, two sets of forms in the dual and plural of the first person, not however in the nominative, but only in the genitive. Compare the table whioh follows :-

| Sing. Nom. |  | Firat person. | Second persun. | Third pereon. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $g o ̄$ | gōu | valli ; mi ; i |
|  | Instr. | $g^{0} \cdot h \bar{z}$ | $g \bar{o} n-h \bar{a}$ |  |
|  | Gen. | ang | $u n g$ | $\bar{x}, w \bar{a} t h i \cdot m, m \bar{i}-n u n g, \bar{i} \cdot n u n g$ |
|  | Abl. | $a n g-k / h e n$ | $u n g \cdot h / h e n$ | wathi-m khen; mi-nung-khen; i-nung $k h c u$ |
| Dual | Nom. . | $g \overline{-}-\bar{a} k p u$ | $g^{\bar{o} n-c h h e}$ |  |
|  | Gen. | ang-chi !uy and his', ung-chi (my and thy) | ung-chli | wathi-m $\quad n \bar{n} k-p u-m ; \quad m i ̄ n \bar{a} k-p u \cdot m$; $\bar{i}-\mu \bar{a} i \cdot p u \cdot m$ |
|  | Instr. . | $g \bar{o}-n \bar{\alpha} k p u-h \bar{\alpha}, g^{a}-h \bar{a} n \bar{\alpha} k-p u-h \bar{a}$ | $g \bar{o} n \cdot c h h e-h \bar{a}$ | wathi $n \bar{a} h \cdot \rho u \cdot h \bar{a}$, etc. |
| Plur. | Nom. . | $g \overline{0} k h \bar{a} t a$ | göne | wathi hhāta; mi-khāta; ìkhāta |
|  | Gen. | ang-ki (my and their), ung-ki (my and your) | un-ni | wathi.m khāta-m, mi-nung khata.m, $\bar{i}-n u n g k h \bar{a} t a \cdot m$ |
|  | Justr. | $g^{\bar{o} h} h \boldsymbol{h} \bar{t} t c-h \bar{a}, g^{n}-h \bar{a} h h \bar{a} t a \cdot h \bar{u}$ | $g \mathrm{~g}^{\text {one }}$-hi | wathi khīta hā, eto. |

The pronouns of the third person are also used as demonstrative pronouns; thus, $i$, this ; $m \bar{\imath}$ and wathi, that.

The nomeral $n \bar{a} k-p u$, two, is replaced by $n \bar{a} n g-m i$ and $n \bar{a} y u n g$ if the pronouns refer to women or irrational beings, respectively.

Interrogative pronouns are sū and sunna, who ? mische, what ? hänung, which ? An interrogative pronoun is sometimes used as a kind of relative; thus, hänueng got-hā $t n^{\prime}$ -pung-mi mīnō-mi, which hand-by struck-him-I that pains me, the liand with which I
vol. ili, part i.
struck him pains me. Usually, however, relative participles are used instead; thus, jo-vi singtong thä thik-to, eating man not hinder, don't hinder the man who eats.

Verbs.-The verb is the most interesting feature in Vāyu grammar. It is often a mere noun without different forms to denote the person of the subject. In such cases the subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent. On the other hand, there is a long series of forms in which the person of the subject and the object are indicated by means of pronominal affixes inserted in the verb. We can therefore distinguish two different principles prevailing in Vayyu conjugation. A comparison of the forms usual in Bāhing and other dialects, in which pronominal suffixes are used to a much greater extent than in the case of Vayu, points to the conclusion that an older system of indicating the subject and object by means of pronominal suffixes is on its way towards being superseded by the much simpler Tibeto-Burman principle, according to which the verb is a kind of noun incapable of inflexion in person and number.

The number of tenses is limited to two, a present, which is also used as a future, and a past, and even these two are often identical in form. In narrative sentences, a suffix $m i$ or $m$ must be added to all verbal tenses in order to show that the action really takes place; thus the base phi means 'to come,' and vathi phi$k i m_{\bar{a}}$ means he comes or not, does he come? If we want to indicate that he really comes, mi must be added; thus, wathi phì-mi, he comes.

Subject.-The person of the subject is in many forms indicated by means of pronominal infixes which are inserted between the base and the copula $m i, m$. The details are as follows.

The affix of the first person singular is ngo or $n g$; compare Rāi $n g a$, Limbu $n g$, etc. ; thus, $p h \bar{\imath}-n g o-m i$, I go; tā-ng-mi, I place. After labials $n g$ is replaced by $m$; thus, jyop'-mu-m, I am tired; hom-mu-m, I am tasted. In to'mi, I strike, the $m$ seems to represent both the pronominal suffix and the copula.

In si-n-mi, I kill him; si-n-chhe-m, I kill them two; si-n-me-m, I kill them, the pronominal infix $n g$ has apparently been contracted into one sound with the suffix indicating the object. Similarly the suffix $n g$ is dropped or contracted before the suffix $n o, n u$, which denotes an object of the second person; thus, $h \bar{a}-n u-m$, I gire thee; $h \bar{a}-n o-$ $n e-m$, I give you.

A subject of the second person singular is not usually indicated by means of a pronominal infix. In the base no, to be, however, the second person singular is no-nu-m, art. The affix $n u$ in this form is identical with the affen no, $n u$ in forms such as $h \bar{a}-n u$ $m$, I give thee; top-nu-m, I strike thee. They can just as well be translated ' thou art given by me,' 'thou art struck by me.' The suffix no, nu is identioal with Kanā ${ }^{\text {arī }}$ $n$, 'lhāmī $n \bar{u}$, etc.

A subject of the third person singular is not indicated by means of any affix.
The first person dual is indicated by adding the affixes chhok, past chhong, if the person addressed is excluded, and chhik, past chhing if he is included; thus, phi-chhokmi, phì-chhik-mi, we go ; phī-chhong-mi, phī-chhing-mi, we went.

The affix chhik is also used to denote the second and third persons dual in the present tense of intransitive verbs; thus, phi-chhik-mi, you two, or, they two, come; .sis-chhik-mi, you two, or, they two are killed. Forms such as sis-chhilk-mi are also used as actives. In such cases, however, the subject is separately marked by being put in
the case of the agent. That the affix chhik does not really denote an active subject of the second and third persons is shown by the fact that it is replaced by che whenever a second affix indicating the object is added ; thus, sit-ngo-chhe-m, you two, or, they two, kill me. The affix chhe is also used with intransitive verbs in the past tense; thas, phï-chhe-m, you two, or, they two, went.

In the first person plural the affixes kok, past ki-kong, are added if the person addressed is not included; thus, $h \ddot{h}-t i-k o k-m i$, we give him; phi-ki-kōng-mi, we went.

Kok is changed to pop after labials; thus, dam-pop-mi, we are lost. Similarly $k i$ $k o ̄ n g$ becomes pi-kōng after lalials, and ti-kōng after dentals; thus, dam-pi-kōng-mi, we were lost; ho-ti-kong-mi, we talked, from hot', talk. Ti-kōng is also used in such transitive verbs as indioate the object by means of a sutix beginning with $t$; thus, $h \bar{a}-t i-k \bar{o} n g-m i$, we gave him; but $h \bar{a}-k i-k \bar{o} n g-m i$, we were given. The corresponding reflexive form is chi $\cdot k \bar{n} n g$; thus, $i m-c h i-k \bar{n} n g-m i$, we sleep.

If the person addressed is included the affixes are ke (after labials pe), past ki-keng (with the same parallel forms as in the case of $k i-k o \bar{n} g$ ) ; thus, phi-ke-m, we came; im-chi-keng-mi, we slept.

The affixes of the second and third persons plural are $u e, m e$, respectively; thus, phi-ne-m, you come, you came; phī-me-m, they come, they came.

Object.-Reference has occasionally been made to affixes denoting the object in addition to those indicating the subject. The use of two affixes, one denoting the subject and another denoting the object, in one and the same form is not common. It is restricted to cases where the subject is in the plural and the object in the singular, or vice versá.

An object of the first person singular is indicated by means of the same intix as a subject of the same person; thus, $h \bar{a}-s u-n g$, give me; thä $h \bar{a}-n g o$, don't give me. Suoh forms can of course also be considered as passives, and the affix as the affix of the subject. The affix $n g$, ngo can be followed by the affixes chhe, ne, and me, denoting an agent of the second or third person dual, the second person plural, and the third person. plural, respectively; thus, to'-mo-chhe-m, you two (or they two) strike me; hä-ngo-ne-m, you give me; hä-ngo-me-m, they give me; top-su-ng-me-m, they struck me, etc. In forms such as gó gōn-hā mut-ping-kut-m, I thec-by to-stay gave, you made me stay, there is no affix to denote that the object is of the first person. The form ping-ku-m usually means 'gave him.' If it is correctly used, the passage is au instance of Tibeto-Burman principles supplanting the old conjugation of the dialect.

The first person dual and plural are only indicated in the imperative; the affixes are the same as in the case of the subject; thus, hä-chong, give us two, hā-ki-kōng, give us; thā $h \bar{a}$-chhok, don't give us two ; thā $h \bar{a}-k \bar{o} k$, don't give us. Forms such as $h \bar{a}-k o k$ $m i$, he gives us, are passive and should properly be translated 'we are given,' etc. .

An object of the second person is only indicated with a subject of the first person singular. The atifes are no (nu), dual no-chhe, plural no-ne. It has already been remarked that there is no affix to denote the subject. 'Ihus, top-nal-m, I strike thee; top-no-chhe-m, I strike you two; top-no-ne-m, I strike you. It is possible that the affis $n g$ of the first person singular has been fused into one sound with the foliowing $n$. If that is not the case, the restriction of the use of such forms to those cases in which the subject is of the first person singular, can only be a secondary development. a form
such as top-nu-m, I strike thee, would then be passive and should properly be translated 'thou art struck.' Such verbs as distinguish the active from the passive by using different vowels in the base, add the object affixes of the seoond person to the passive form; thus, to-no-ne-m, I place you; but tā-ng-me-m, I place them.

An object of the third person singular is usually indicated by adding an alfix to the base. In many cases there are different allixes to denote the direct and the indirect objects, ko being used for the direct and to for the indirect one; thus, yeng-ko, see him; yeng-to, see for him : jā-ko, cat it; jā-to, eat for him : khī-ko, steal it; khū-to, steal for him : po'-po, lick it ; pop-to, lick it for him. The last instance slows that $k$ is changed to $p$ in the usual way after labials.

In many cases the affix to is used for the direct as well as for the indirect object; thus, chek-to, hate him, and, for him ; sis-to, kill him, and, for him, etc.

If the subject is of the first person singular the affix $n g$, ngo, is inserted between $k o$, to and the copula; thus, hiu-tu-ng-mi, I give to him, I gave to him; sis-tu-ng-mi, I killed him ; t $\bar{a}-k u-n g-m i$, I placed him. Some verbs ornit the affix of the object in the present. This is the case with such verbs as have different vowris in the active and the passive; thus, tā-ng-mi, I place him ; compare to-ngo-m, I am placed. Verbs ending in $t$, which change this $t$ to $s$ before the affix $t$, do not appear to have any mark referring to the object in the first person singular of the present; thus, si-n-mi, I kill him. The $n$ inserted before $m i$ in this form is probably derived from the final $t$ of the base and the pronominal affix $n g$ of the first person singular. Similarly transitive verbs ending in $p$ drop the affx of the object in the same forms; thus, to'-mi, I hit him. It is possible that $t o^{\prime}-m i$ is simply a passive form 'he is hit.' If not, the $m$ in $m i$ must be derived from a double $n$, one the initial consonant of the verb substantive, the other the regular form of the affix of the first person singular after labials, the base of the verb being top. This latter explanation is probably the right one, two $m$ s being used if the object is of the third person dual or plural. In such cases the affixes chhe, me, respectively, are inserted between the affix of the subject and the verb substantive; thus, to-m-chhe-m, I strike them two; to-m-me-m, I strike them; si-n-chhe-m, I kill them two: si-n-me-m, I kill them; hä-tu-ng-chhe-m, I give them two; hā-tu-ng-me-m, I give them.

It has already leen remarked that there are no pronominal affixes to denote a subject of the second and third persons singular. Such forms are distinguished by using the suffix of the agent after the subject. If the subject as well as the olject is of the third person singular, such forms can be considered as actives as well as passives. In the second person with an object of the third person singular, the form is always identical with that of the third person. The affix of the object is always added in the past; thus, sis-tu-m. thou killedest him, he killed him; to'-pu-m, strurkest, struck, him. In the present, on the other hand, the passive forms are commonly used; thus, to'-mi, thou strikest him, he strikes him, he is struck ; $h \bar{a}-t u-m$, givest him, gives him, he is given. The affix of the object is added if it is to and the verl) does not end in a $t$; thus, thik-tu-9n, he shuts it, etc.; bong-tu-m, pleasest him, pleases him, is pleased, and so on.

If the subject is in the dual or the plural, an object of the third person singular is not separately marked. If the subject is of the third person the aflix to is added as above, in the active as weli as in the passive; thus, hä-to-chhe-m, they two give, or, are
given. In the past tense the alfixes $t o, k o$, etc., are always added; thus, sis-to-chhe-m, they two killed him; tia-ko-me-m, they put him, etc.

Voice.-There are three voices, the active, the passive, and the middle. .The active and passive voices are distinguished by the use of pronominal atixes indicating the object in the case of the former, which are dropped in the latter. Intransitive verbs do not use affixes of the object. Their form therefore agrees with the passive of transitives.

It has already been remarked that the active and passive voices are often oonfounded, many forms being used with an active as well as with a passive meaning. In such eases the common Tibeto-Burman principle of distinguishing the aubject and the object, not in the verb, but by means of additions to the noun, is resorted to, the subject of transitive verbs being put in the case of the agent; thus, gon-hä wathi yeng-ku-m, theeby he was-seen. The meaning is, however, sometimes left to bo inferred from the context, as is also the case in other 'Pibeto-Burman languages; thus, Khäsu-khāta Häyu it-ke-m, Khas Hāyu called-are-we, we are called Hayu by the Khas.

Three verbs, $t \bar{a}$, to place; $j \bar{a}$, to eat; and $p \bar{a}$, to do, change their $\bar{a}$ to $o$ in most passive forms. Other verbs distinguish the two voices only by means of pronominal affixes. Those forms which differ in the active and the passive are the first person singular, the second person singular of the past, and the first person plural. In the second and third persons dual and plural an object of the first person singular is indicated by inserting the pronominal affix ngo; see above under the head of olject. Other forms do not differ for the active and the passive; thus, sis-tu-m means 'he killed' and 'he was killed'; sis-chhik-mi, they two kill, or, are killed. It will be seen that the form sis-tu-nt contains the aftix of the object $t u$, and is, consequently, an active form. Sis-chhil-mi, on the other hand, has a passive form.

The middle voice is formed from transitive, and also from some intransitive, vorbs by inserting che, dual na, plural chi, between the base and the personal affixes denoting the subject. Transitive bases ending in a vowel nasalize it before the infixes che and chi; thus, $p \bar{a}-n g-m i$, I do it; p $\tilde{\tilde{a}-c h u-n g-m i, ~ I ~ d o ~ i t ~ f o r ~ m y s e l f . ~}$

Tense.-It has already been remarked that the dialect does not possess more than two finite tenses, the present and the past, and that the difference between the two is not great. The conjugational tables in Hodgson's Vayu grammar are probably incomplete, and the distribution of the various forms on the present and the past sometimes makes the impression of being artificial.

- It has already been remarked that the present and past tenses are distinguished by using different affixes to denote the subject in the dual and in the first person plural. The affix of the second person plural is ne in the present as well as in the past. A preceding sound is, however, usually changed to a nasal in the past; thus, dak-ne-m, you wish; dak-ne-m or dàng-ne-m, you wished; jyop-ne-m, you are tired; jyöm-ne-m, you were tired; hot-ne-m, you talk; hō-ne-m, you talked. It will be seen that a preceding vowel is, in such cases, lengthened, and that $n$ is dropped before $n$; compare also sit-ne-m, you kill; sē-ne-m, you killed, with change of the long $\bar{i}$ to $\bar{e}$. The lengthening is accordingly due to a kind of contraction between the dropped consonant and the following $n$, and it should be noted that vowels are as a rule lengthened as a kind of compensation when a consonant is dropped; thus, $l \bar{a}-m i$, from dam-mi, he is full.

The termination of the second and third persons singalar is the simple copula mi or $m$, in the present as well as in the past; thus, $p h i-m i$, comest, comes, camest, came. A preceding sound is usually treated in the same way as before ne in the past; thus, $d a k-m i$, desires ; däng-mi, desired, etc. To judge from Hodgson's grammar the past is often also distinguished from the present by inserting an affix denoting the object; thus, sit-mi, thou killest; sis-tu-m, thou killedest. Similarly Hodgson also gives ais-chhik-mi, they two kill, but sis-to-chhe.m, they two killed. This distinction, however, seems to be artiticial, the forms containing an affix of the object being properly active, the rest passive forms. Forms such as $h \bar{a}-t u-m$, he gives, he gave, show that the atfix of the object is also used in the present.

There remains the first person singular. The difference established between the present and the past in Hodgson's grammar is apparently sometimes artificial; thus, si-n-mi, I kill (him) ; sis-tu-ny-mi, I killed him, in which case the affix of the object is only added in the past. Forms such as hā-tu-ng-mi, I give, or gave, him, show that the use or non-use of the object affix does not mark a difference of time. There is apparently only one affix of the first person which is really a tense affix of the past, viz., the
 $h \bar{a}-s u-n g-m i$, I was given. In transitive bases ending in nasals the first person singular of the present ends in $s u-n g-m i$, as does also the past tense of the passive; thus, ping-su-ng-mi, I give, I was given.

The table which follows registers the prosent and past teuses of the bases phi, to come ; dak', to desire ; dam, to be full ; and hot, to talk.

|  | Prosent. | Past. | Prosent. | Past. | Preeent. | Past. | Prasent. | Past. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sing. 1 | $p^{h i}-n g o-m$ | phī-sung-mi | dak-ngo-m | dak-sung-mi | $d \bar{a}-m u-m$ | dam-sung-mi | hot'-ngo-m | ho-sung-mi |
| 2 | pini-nni | phi-mi | $d a k-m i$ | däng-mi | $d \bar{a} \cdot m i$ | $d \bar{a}-m i$ | hot' -mi | hōn-mi |
| 3 | ${ }_{\text {p }}{ }^{\text {in-mi }}$ | phi-mi | dak-mi | ${ }^{\text {da }}$ ng-mi | $d \bar{a} \cdot m i$ | $d \bar{a} \cdot m i$ | hot ${ }^{\prime}$-mi | hōn-mi |
| Soual 1 escl. | phìchhok-mi | $p^{3 i}$-chhong-mi | dak-chhok-mi | dak-chiong-nni | dam-chhok-mi | dam-chhong-mi | hos-chhok-mi | hos-chhong-mi |
| 1 iacl . | phī-chhik-mi | phi-chhing-mi | dak-chihk.mi | dak-chhing-mi | dam-chikik-mi | dam-chhing-mi | hos.chhik-mi | hos-chhing-mi |
| 2 | phi-chkik-mi | phì-chle-m | dak-chhik-mi | dak-chhe-m | dam-chhikomi | dam-chhe-m | hos-chlik-mi | kor-chike-m |
|  | phi̇-chhik-mi | phi-chhe-m | dak-chhik-mi | dak-chhe-m | dam-chhik-mi | dam-chhe-m | hos-chhik-mi | hos-chke-m |
| Plur. 1 eroi. | phi-kok-mi | phi-ki-kông•mi | dak-kok-mi | dak'-ki-kōng-mi | ${ }^{\text {dajm-pop-mi }}$ | dàm-pi-kong-mi | hot-kok-mi | koti.köng.mi |
| 1 incl. | 'phi-ke-m | $p h i ̀ k i$-keng-mi | dak-ke-m | dak'-ki-keng-mi | $d \bar{a} m \cdot p e-m$ | dàm-pi-keng-mi | hot'-ke-m | no.ti-keng-mi |
| 2 | phi-ne.m | ,hirne-m | $d a k \cdot n c \cdot m$ | dāng-ne-m | dam-ne-m | dam-nc-m | hot'ne-m | 4o-ne-m |
| 3 | phi-me-m | pli-ne.m | dak-me-m | dab-me-m | dà-me-m | $d \bar{a}-\mathrm{mc}-\mathrm{m}$ | Lot'-me.m |  |

It has already been noted that the object $i$ is indicated in various ways in transitive verbs. The details will be found in Hodgson's grammar. In this place we shall only give the present and past tenses of the active and the passive, and the micldle voice of the base ping, to send, to give. In the middle voice there is no difference between the present and the past except in the dual and the first person plural.

| Sing. 1 | Active. |  | Passive. |  | Middio Present. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prebent. | Paet. | Presout. | Prat. |  |
|  | fing-sung-mi | ping-kung-mi | ping-ngo-m | ping-sung-mi | pluy-chung-mi |
| 2 |  | ring-ku-m | ping-mi | $p i n g \cdot m i$ | ping-che-m |
| 3 |  | ping-ku-m | $p^{i} \mu \mathrm{~g}-m i$ |  | ping-che-m |
| Daal 1 exol. |  |  | ping-chlok-mi | ping-clihong.mi | ping-nc-chlok-mi |
| 1 incl. |  |  | ping-chhik-mi | ping-chhing-mi | ping-na-chhik-mi |
| 2 |  |  | ping-chlikicmi | ping-chlle-m | ping-na-chlik $k$-mi |
| 3 |  | ping-ko-chho-m | ping-chhik-mi |  | ping-nc-chhik-mi |
| Plur. 1 excl. |  |  | ping-kok-mi | ping-ki-kong-mi | ping-chi-kok'mi |
| 1 incl. |  |  | ping-ke-m | ping-ki-keng-mi | ping-chi.ke-m |
| 2 |  |  | ping-ne-m | ping-ne-m | ping-chi-ne-m |
| 8 |  | ping-ko-me.mp | ping-me-m |  | ping-chi-me.m |

The missing forms of the active must be supplied from the passive, and vice versá. The past tense of the middle agrees with the present in all forms outside the dual and the first person plural which are as follows; dual 1 excl. ping-na-chhong-mi; 1. incl. ping-na-chhing-mi; 2. ping-na-chhe-m; 3. ping-na-chhe-m; plur. 1 excl. ping-chi-kong-mi, 1 incl. ping-chi-keng-mi.

Other forms are ping-nu-m, I send, or sent, thee; ping-no-chhe-m, I send, or sent, you two; ping-no-ne-m, I send, or sent, you ; ping-sung-chhe-m, I send them two; ping-sung-me-m, I send them; ping-ku-ng-chhe-m, I sent them two; ping-ku-ng-me-m, I sent them ; ping-ngo-chhem, you two, or they two, send me; ping-sung-chhe-m, you two, or
they two, sent me; ping-ngo-ne-m, you send me; ping-ngo-me-m, they send me; ping-sung-ne-m, you sent me; ping-sung-me-m, they sent me, etc.

Similarly are formed the present and past tenses of most transitive verbs.
It has already been remarked that the verbs $j \bar{a}$, eat; $t \bar{a}$, put; and $p \bar{a}$, do, ohange their $\bar{a}$ to $o$ in the passive. Compare the table which follows:-

|  | Active. |  | Passiva. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present. | Past. | Present. | Pant. |
| Siog. 1 | $t \bar{a} \cdot n g-m i$ | $t \bar{a}-k u-n g-m i$ | to-ngo-m | to-swng-mi |
| 2 |  | $t \bar{a}-k u-m$ | tomi | to-gi |
| 3 |  | $t \bar{a}-k u-m$ | to-mi |  |
| Daal 1 exol. | t $\overline{\text { - }}$ chhok-mi | $t \bar{a}-c h h o n g-m i$ | to.chhok-mi | to-chhong-mi |
| 1 incl. | $t \bar{a}-c h h i k-m i$ | $t \bar{a}-\mathrm{chhing}-\mathrm{mi}$ | to-chhik-mi | to-chking-mi |
| 2 |  | $t \bar{a}-\mathrm{ch} h e-m$ | to-chhik-mi | fochhe-m |
| 3 |  | $t \bar{a}-k o-c h h e-m$ | to-chhik-mi |  |
| Plar. 1 excl. | $t \bar{a}-k o k=m i$ | $t \bar{a}-k i-k o ̄ n g-m i$ | to-kok-mi | to-ki-kōng-mi |
| 1 incl. | $t \bar{a}-k e \cdot m$ | $t \bar{a}-k i-k e n g \cdot m i$ | to-ke-m | to-ki-keng mi |
| 2 |  | $t \bar{a}-n e-m$ | to-ne-m | to.ne-m |
| 3 |  | $t \bar{\alpha}-k o-m e-m$ | $t o-m e-m$ |  |

The base $n \bar{o}$, to be, is inflected like $p h \bar{\imath}$, to come. The second person singular is, howerer, $n \bar{o}-n u-m$, art ; and the third person $n \bar{o}-m i$ or $n \bar{o}-m$, is.

The base $l \bar{a}$, to go, has the form $l \bar{a}{ }^{\prime} l a$ in the second and third persons singular ; thus, $l \bar{a}-n g o-m, \mathrm{I}$ go $; l \bar{a} \cdot$-sung-mí, I went ; lä'la-m, goest, goes, wentest, went.

Imperative.-The imperative is not a finite tense denoting that something takes place. It is accordingly not followed by the copula $m i$ or $m$. In other respects it is identioal with the past; thus, $p h \bar{\imath}$, come; phī-chhe, come you two; phī-ne, come ye; im-che, sleep; im-nä-chhe, sleep you two; im-chi-ne, sleep ye; hä-to, give him; hä-to$c h h e$, give to them two; hā-chhe, give you two; hä-sung, give me; hä-chhong, give us two; hä-lki-kong, give us; hā-sung-chhe, give me you two, and so forth.

The negative imperative is formed by prefixing thä to the present of transitives and to the past of intransitives; thus, thā phī-chhe, don't come you two; thä hō-ne, don't talk; thä $h \bar{a}-c h h o k$, don't give to us two, etc. There are, however, many exceptions to this latter rule; thus, thā hä-sung-ne, do not ye give to me.

The suffix $m i, m$ is not only omitted in the imperative, bat also in other forms which do not state that an action really takes plaoe; thus, phi-ngo-nam, come-I-if, if

I come; phìsa, if he comes; phi-sung-phen, if I came; phī-ngo-yu, O that I might come, etc.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The base alone is used as a verbal noun; thus phit'-hé, coming-in, coming ; phit'-nung, coming-with, when coming; phit'-khen, comingfrom, after having come; phit'sing-he, coming-time-at, when coming. The instrumental of the reduplicated base is often used as a kind of conjunctive participle; thus, $p h i t^{\prime}-p h i t^{\prime}$-hà, having come. An infinitive of purpose is formed by adding mung; thus, phit'-mung, in order to come. 'I'his form is also used as a kind of relative participle; thus, phit'-mung lom, a way to go on.

The common suflixes of relative participles are $v i$, denoting the agent, ta, forming a kind of past participle passive, and täng which is added in order to form a future participle passive; thus, hä-vi, who gives; hä-ta, given; hä-tāng, what will be given, lit to be given.

Causals.-Causals are formed by hardening a soft initial or else by suffixing ping to the base. 'Thus, $b u k$ ', wake; $p u k$ ', awaken: $d u k$ ', move along; thuk-to, move it: bok, to be born; phok and bok-ping, beget.

Negative particle.-The| negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, mā phi-sung$m i$, I did not come.

Hor further details the student is referred to Hodgson's grammar and to the specimen which follows, which has been reprinted from Hodgson's work. A list of words will be found on pp. 409 and ff.
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## Tibeto-burman family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

vayu.

## (B. H. Hodgson, 1857.)

Ang ming Pāchya nom. Ang thōko Vị̄u nōmi. Khāsa-khāta My name Pächya is. My tribe Väyu is. Khas
Hāyu it-ke-m. Ung.ki dāvo-be Vāyu is-chi-ke-m. Gō jek-ta Hā̀u call-us. Our language-in Vāyı call-ourself-we. I old

| dum-sung-mi. | Hāthā-bong | dum-sung-mi | g-hā | mā | se-ng-mi. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| became-I. | How-much-up-to | became-I | me-hy | not | lnow-I. |


Ang kō mā nom. Ang tā-wo Gajrīj Thāpa nung nomi. M! land not is. My son Gajräj Thäpa with is. Gon-hā kōphe nakphe inang-munang wathi yeng-kum. Wathim nārung Thee-by one-time two-times here him saw. His form gōn-hā blek-tum. Wathin chho le pö-kum. Honko à thum rāmi. thee-by portrayed. His body also made. At-first his heart feared, Kaptān-hā thüm hā-nung hōn-ping-kum. Ang dāvo lit'nung Captain-by heart giving agree-made-him. Jly language teaching
blining chōlo chupsit khen inhe gō gōn-hā mut-ping-kum. four months ending from here me thee-by to-stay-causedst.
Dāँo chingngak chamchem. Gōn sēn-che. Ung-jitā
Language very difficult-is. Thou knowest-for-thyself. Thy-asked
dāvo g-hā chit-num. Ang thum-be ithaji nōmi, gōn-hä wālige question me-by taught-thee. My heart-in hope is thee-by soon
lat-ping-ngom. Ang-ki thōko Kōsi blingmu homba imba to-go-allowed-shall-be. Our tribe Kösi river that-side-on this-side-on mus-chi-kok-mi. Nēpāl-khāral khen Tämbakōsi bong mus-chi-kok-mi. sit-we. Nepal-valley frone Tämbakōsi to sit-we.


| sētung | jō-vi | kem | mà | pō-vi | thōko | Kusūnda | Chēpāng | bāhamu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fruits | eating | house | not | making | tribes | Kusünda | Chëpäng | like |
| chhāju | puch |  | má | mus-chi | kok-mi. | Ang-ki-mu | kem | nōmi ; |
| hills | sumen |  | not | sit-w |  | $U_{s}$-of | house | 28; | vik le nōmi; pāngamu vik nom, memha makai dōsi phāphai field also is; cultivalle field is, thus maize kodo buckwheat bōja lēvi rōwa mäsa sākha gōhūṇ lāru livi vik nom. rice millet cotton beans barley wheat madder ripen? $\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { Ang-ki } & \text { mūlung } & \text { kōlu-be } & \text { Hēngong-wo } & \text { bāba, } & \text { Lapchā } & \text { Limbu } \\ \text { Our. } & \text { homes } & \text { in-one-place } & \text { Néwär, } & \text { manner, } & \text { Lapchà } & \text { Limbu }\end{array}$ bāha māng jāhe, chhāju mādūm-be gadhà pāhe mus-chi-kok-mi. manner not changing, hills middle-ins terraces making sit-we.



| grēti-m | gōt-khen | jng-chi-kok-mi. | Ang-ki | kem | ang-ki | gōt-hā |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| other-of | hand-from | buy-we. | Our. | houses | our. | hand-with |
| pā-chi-kok-mi. | Ang-ki | wās-chyāng | ang-ki | vik | sē-tang | rōwa-khen |
| make-for-us-we. | Our | dress | our. | field | gathered | cotton-from |
| rōme-khāta-hā | dūri | chinching-hā | jēwa | pūng-me-m. | Vāyu-khāta |  |
| wives-by | dūri | spinning | clothes | weave-they. | Vāyus |  |

khakchhing-puchhum-pō-vi (or-chup-vi) mā nō-me-m. Mische-pā gyēti soldiers not are. Any-way other

| namsang-mu | sēva | mā | $\mathrm{p} \overline{0}-\mathrm{me}-\mathrm{m}$. | Jēwa | Hēngong-wo | gōt-khen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| smell-of | service | not | do. | Clothes | Nēwārs | hand-from |

rangai pō-me-m; lōncho-khāta dāwāng-mi (sic) jēwa wās-chi-me-m;
dye do; men white clothes wear;
mes-cho-khāta rangau-pō-ta wās-chi-mem. Ang-ki mu-lung ithijila nō-mi. women dyed wear. Our villages small are.
Nāyung gōt kulup-hā bā kulup-khen chholup bong mūphta chhāju Two hands entire-with half entire-from score up-to seated hills mādūm-be it-hā dōk-hā ham-ta nō-me-m. Ang-ki kem chhālung sing-hā sides-on here there scattered - are. Our houses rough timber-from pō-ta di-ha wamta hūng-lung-kō-hā rō-ta khisti-hā sup-ta made cane-with (?) chalk(?)-with plastered straw-with thatohed
gège-gēge pā-chi-mem. Kem bhitari nāyong kuna no-chhik-mi, kōlu separately made-are. Howse within two rooms are, one
im-lung kūlu khō'-lung. Tā-wo-khāta tā-mi-khāta gēge-ta mā sleeping-room one cooking-room. Boys girls separate-place not hok-mi. Bangchodum-khen biak pa-chi-kok-mi. Nāyung gōt kulup-hā sleep. Maturity-from marriage make-we. Two hands entire-with bā kulup-khen lè gṑ kulup bong pēnku hà-hā-hā rome half entire-from feet hands all up-to rupees giving wives ing-chi-kok-mi. Pēnku phem māng won-ti-ke nam rome upu kem-be buy-our-we. Money pay not can-we if wife father house-in lat'-lat'-hā kam pā-pā-hā pheng-kok-mi. Mische mā pā-pā-hā me'-ta going work doing pay-we. Anything not doing dead sing-tong kō-be khum-pop-mi. Khōcho puk chēli bēli mēchho person earth-in bury-we. Fowl swine goat sheep buffaloes jā-chi-kok-mi; gai bbālu phōka mā jā-kok-mi; singwo-klhūdu eat-we; cows bears monkeys not eat-ve ; bees-honey dūdu chālung jā-kok-mi. Sōve tung-chi-kok-mi, bukchha-le tung-kok-mi. milk eggs eat-we. Beer drink-we-our, spirits-also drink-we.
Sōve ang-ki pō-ta ching-ngak tung-kok-mi; bukchha gyēti-m Beer our made much drink-we; spirits others-of gōt-khen ing-ta jang-ngak tung-chi-kok-mi. Ang-ki chho-be mā hand-from bought little drink-we. Our body-in not blek-chi-kok-mi; nōkchhung sas-chi-kok-mi, mescho le tōncho le. tattoo-ourselves-we; ears bore-we, women also men also. Bālung-khen gyēti suna-le mā dak-kok-mi. Ang-ki chōlvi bālung, Exorcist-from other any not wish-we. Gur physician exorcist, gyēti suna-le mā nom. Vāyu thōko-mu singtong suna-hā brahman other any not is. Väyu tribe-of person any-by brāhmaṇ lama mā hon-mi. Gyēti-m lom mā khok-chi-kok-mi. Ang-ki vik lama not obey. Others-of way not walk-we. Our field hā-khele mā wat-kok-mi. Upo met'-khen tāwo-khāta-hā chhing-ngak ever not abandon-we. Father died-from sons-by much
yang-ngak mā pāpā-hā ling-me-m. Tāmi-khāta-hā mische-le mā ling-me-m. litlle not doing get-they. Daughters-by anything not get-they. Imha-mu dāwo dēvi ang-ki mājhua nō-mi. Inung wanikhen Such disputes deciding our village-headman is. Him from pōvi sunā-le mā nom. Ang-ki thōko gyēti-m gōt-be lās-ta yang-ta making anyone not is. Our tribe others-of hand-in gone decreased thōko nāti tolgong bong yang-mi. tribe two handfuls till decreased-is.

## free Translation of The foregoing.

My name is Pāchya. I am a Vāyu. The Khas tribe call us Hāyu, but in our own tongue we call ourselves Vāyu. I am an old man. I do not know how old I am, but I am more than sixty years old. We cultivate the land assigned br the Raja to the soldiers of the Dhankuta regiment. I have no land of my own. My son is in the service of Gajräj Thāpa. You have seen him here several times, and drawn his portrait, and measured him. At first he was alarmed, but the captain reassured him, and induced him to consent. You have kept me here four months that I might teach you my language. Our language is very difficult, you must judge for yourself. I can only answer your questions. I hope that you will soon let me go.

Our tribe live on both sides of the Kosi, from the Valley of Nepal to the Tambakosi. We do not live in the fever districts, as do the Kuswàrs, the Bōtiais, and the Dēnwārs. We do not live near the river for fear of the fever. We do not, neither, live on the hill summits like the Kusūnda and Chēpāng tribes, who never cultivate but live on wild herbs and fruits, and never build houses. We have houses, and cultivate the soil, growing maize, kodo, buckwheat, rice, millet, cotton, beans, barley, wheat, madder. We have fixed homes like the Nēwars, and are not migratory like the Lepchas and Limbus. We occupy the central parts of the hill slopes, which we cut into terraces. Rice will not grow on the tops, nor any description of grain. We go up as high as grain will grow. We use the plough where it is possible. In other places we use the spade. We have no siniths, carpenters, or potters. We buy utensils and ornaments from others. We build our own houses, and our dress is made of home-grown cotton which our wives spin and weave. No Vāyus are soldiers, and we never take menial service. The Néwärs dye our clothes. The men use white clothes, but the women wear dyed clothes. Our villages are small, usually fifteen to twenty houses, scattered here and there along the hill-sides. Our houses are made of rough timber, interlaced with canes, plastered with chalk, and thatched with strav. There are two rooms in the house, one for sleeping and another for cooking. There is no general dormitory for the grown up boys and girls of the village. We marry at maturity. We buy our wives at a cost of from fifteen to twenty rupees. If we have no money, we earn her by working in her father's house. We bury our dead without any ceremony. We eat fowls, swine, goats, sheep, and buffaloes, but not cows, bears, or monkeys. We also eat honer, milk and eggs. We drink beer and spirits. The beer is home-brewed, and we drink mucin of it. We must buy the spirits from others, and we therefore do not drink much of it. We do not tattoo our bodies, but we bore our ears, the men as well as the women. We have no other priest than the exorcist. He is also our physician. None of the Vāyu tribe follow the brāhmans or lamas, and we do not adopt foreign customs. We never abandon our fields. When the father dies, the sons equally inherit him, the daughters do not inherit. Our village headman decides our disputes. We never appeal from him. Our tribe has been subjugated by others, and is reduced to very inconsiderable numbers.

## OTHER NEPAL DIALECTS.

Hodgson has published vocabularies of several more Nepal dialects. Some of them such as Dahi, Dēnwār, Kuswār, Pāklyã and Thāru are Aryan forms of speech and do not interest us in this connexion. Others are of the same kind as Váyu, and it will be of interest to collect such scraps of information about their grammar as can be gathered from Hodgson's vocabularies. Some short notes about the dialects of the Bhramus, the Chēpāngs, the Kusūndas, and the Thäksyas therefore follow. They are entirely based on the materials published by Hodgson.

The Chēpāng and Kusünda tribes live in the central region of Nepal, to the west of the Vāyus. Their dialects are complex, pronominalized, forms of speech. 'The same is the case with the language of the Bhramu tribe, who dwell in the Nepal Terai. With regard to the remaining dialect, that of the Thāksya tribe, I am unable to state whether it is a pronominalized form of speech or not.

The vocabulary of these dialects is relatively free from Aryan loan-words, as will be seen from the short comparative vocabulary which follows :-

|  | C'hēping. | Kusündm. | Blirānu. | Thüksya. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One | $y \bar{a}-z h_{0}$ | goi-sing | ${ }^{\text {dex }}$ | $d i$ |
| Two | nhi-zho | ghinga | $n i$ | $n g i$ |
| Tbree | sum-zho | $d \bar{\lambda} h a$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ wōm | som |
| Four | $p l \bar{\sim} \cdot \underline{\prime} \cdot z h o$ | pinjäng | $b i$ | bla |
| Five |  | pangangjing | bângà | ngā |
| Six | $k r u \bar{u} k-z h o$ |  |  | $t u$ |
| Seven | chānā-zho |  |  | nges |
| Eight | prap-zho |  |  | bhre |
| Nine | taku-zho |  |  | $k u$ |
| Tou | $g y \bar{z} b-z h o$ |  |  | chyu |
| Twenty |  |  |  | ugiyu |
| Fifty |  |  |  | ngasyu |
| Hundred |  |  |  | bhra |


|  | Chēpāng. | Kusūnda. | Bhràmu. | Thäksya. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $n g \bar{a}$ | chi | $n g a$ | ghyāng |
| Thou | nāng | $n u$ | nāng | $n g a$ |
| Who ? |  | nātat | hai | id |
| What? |  | nätāng |  | khajupero |
| Bird | $w^{\sim} \bar{a}$ | kotau | jyäling | nom'ya |
| Blood | $w e \bar{e}, w i$ | $\boldsymbol{u y} \bar{u}$ | chiwi | $k a$ |
| Child |  | gilasê, chyächi |  | ālōpichām |
| Cow | ${ }^{\text {mos-sy }} \overline{\bar{a}}$ | nokmwa | sya | $m h e \bar{e}$ |
| Day | $n y i$ | dina | $\operatorname{din} \vec{a}$ | sar |
| Dog | $k w i$ | agai | $a k y \bar{\Omega}$ | näga |
| Ear | $n \bar{e}, n \bar{o}$ | chyāu | $k \bar{a} n \bar{a}$ | nha |
| Egg | $w \bar{a}-k \bar{u} m$ | ${ }^{\prime} w \bar{a}$ | hom | chhyärkyaphū |
| Eye | mai, mik | chining | mik | mi |
| Fire | $m \bar{e}, m i$ | $j \bar{a}$ | $m \bar{a} \tilde{a}$ | $m h e \bar{~}$ |
| Fish | $n y \bar{a}, n g \bar{a}$ | $n g \bar{a} s a$ | $n \bar{n} n g \bar{a}$ | trangngā |
| Foot | la | chān | unnzik | malethin |
| Goat | $m e \bar{s} y \bar{a}, m i \bar{c} h \bar{x}$ |  | mīchha | rāmo |
| Hair | mễ | gyaii | syām | chham |
| Hand | $k \bar{u} t-t$ | ${ }^{\text {gipa }}$ | bhit | yāyäthin |
| Head | tà, tōlong | chipi | käpa | $t a$ |
| Hog | Pyă (k) | $h \bar{T}, y \bar{a} s a$ | paksyă | $t i t$ |

MINOR NEPAL DIALECTS.

|  | Chëpđug. | Kasünda. | Bhràmu. | 'lhàkeys. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horn | rōng | iping jing | ünya | T6 |
| House | kyim, tim | $b \bar{i} h i$ | nam | ghim |
| Moon | lahe, lame | jın | chaluwani | latinga |
| Mountain | ${ }_{\text {rià }}$ | parbat | $d \bar{a} n d a$ | yedadhyu |
| Mouth | mōtong | birgyāa | anim | sung |
| Name | myēng | $g i j i$ | $\min$ | min |
| Night | $y \bar{a}$ | inggai |  | mun |
| Road | lyām | won | $\bar{u} m m \bar{a}$ | $g h y d m$ |
| Sky | sārāg | $l{ }_{\text {la }}$ gāi |  | mis |
| Smoke | $l \bar{u}$ | tou | $p^{\text {a }}$ àgù | pudhi |
| Stone | $b \bar{a} n g$ |  | $k u \bar{n} g-b \bar{a}$ |  |
| Son | $n y \bar{a} m$ | ing | $u n i$ | $g h a \bar{a} n g i$, saughini |
| Tiger | $j \bar{a}-(k e l a)$ | dī̀jā kāuli | būmäng | $n \bar{d}$ |
| Tooth | $s r o ̈ k$ | toho | $s w \bar{a}$ | gyo |
| Tree | sing (-tak) | $i$ | simma | ghyung |
| Water | $t$ | tāng | $\bar{a} w_{\bar{\alpha}}$ | kya |
| Good | pito | waiyaki | $g \bar{a} d o$ | $\overline{\text { a }}$ S $¢ \bar{a}$ |
| Bad | pilo | ka-ingbarai | mado | $n a \bar{a} s b a$ |
| Far | dyāng-to | isinha | kalōk | chari |
| Near | lohto | ista | kanyäk | n! 1 ese |
| Tall | - ....- - - - - | phiyong | alhok | bauchlirba |


|  | Chëphirg. | Küsunda. | Hhrümu. | Tliàksy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Short |  | poktok | anyak | putulu |
| Eat | jēche, jhisa | $\vec{a}^{n}$ | olda | lhila |
| Drink | tümche, tumsa | täng gonong | syāngā | $p^{i-u}$ |
| Sleef | emche, yernsa | iptu | nāwa | nhuko |
| Come |  | agga | thāy $\bar{a}$ | khau |
| Go |  | $d \bar{a}$ | $y \bar{e} n g \bar{a}$ | hero |
| Ran | $k i, k i s u$ | gorgowōto | gēgwèya | nginahero |
| Give | ${ }_{\text {un }} i$ | $\bar{a} i$ | $p y \bar{u}$ | pino |
| Strike |  | pungbōyo | nuōtō | tāu, thopatu |
| . Kill |  | puwāgo | sāto, aprito | thagothāpäti |

## CHEPĀNG.

The Chēpangss live in the dense forests of the central region of Nepal, to the west of the great valley.
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Chēpāng is a dialect of the complex, pronominalized type. We are only unsatisfaotorily informed about its grammatical features. Hodgson was of opinion that the dialect was likely to disappear ere long. I do not know in how far this forecast has heen verified.

Nouns.-The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by means of qualifyiug additions; thus, $p \bar{a}$, father ; máa, mother : pürsi, mau; mír $\bar{u}$, woman; to,
grandfather; aie, grandmother: chō, boy; chō-riäng, girl: hou, brother; hou-dhiäng, sister : palam, husband ; malam, wife : you-shyā, bull; mō-shyā, cow.

We do not know anything about the existence of separate dual and plural suffixes. $M a i$ in $w \bar{o}-m a i$, they, and lum in ngi-lum, we, are perhaps plural suffixes.

The genitive can apparently be formed by prefixing the governed to the governing word without any sutix; thus, wā-kūm, bird's egg, egg. The sulfix $k u$ means 'of,' and is probably used when the governing word is understood.

Other cases are indicated by adding postpositions. Such are $\boldsymbol{a} \bar{a} \boldsymbol{i}$, to ; $\bar{i}$, with, from ; häng, in, on.

Numerals.-The first ten numerals are :-
$y \bar{a}-z h o, y \bar{a}-z y o$, one.
nhi-zho, nhi-zyo, two.
sum-zho, sum-zyo, three.
plöī-zho, plōi-zyo, four. рйпа-zho, püma-zyo, five.
$k r u ̄ l i-z h o, k r u \bar{l}$ :-zyo, six.
chānă-zho, chünä-zyo, seven.
prap-zho, prap-zyo, eight.
talu-zho, taliu-zyo, nine.
gyīb-zho, gyib-zyo, ten.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-
$n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$.
$n g \bar{a}-k \cdot u, m y$.
ngā-lum, we. $n g \bar{\imath}-k u$, our.
$n \bar{a} n g$, thou. nāng-ku, thy. ning-lum, you. ning-ku, your.
$\bar{u}$, he, she, it.
$\bar{u}-k u$, his, her, its.
wō-mai, they.
$\bar{u}-m a i-k u$, their.

Verbs.-We know almost nothing about the conjugation of verbs. Forms suoh as $b \bar{u}-i$, give; le- $i$, take, are probably imperatives. The same is perhaps the case with forms ending in che and $s$-che ; thus, je-che, eat; tum-che, drink; mū-s-che, sit down; nhö-s-che, speak.

Hodgson supposed the forms ending in $s a$ to be verbal nouns; thus, $j h \bar{i}-8 a$, to eat; tum-sa, to drink; mu-sa, to sit down. It is however also possible that they are relative participles or nouns of agency; compare rūp-sa, tailor; nailiyou-sa, weaver, and so on.

Certain verbal forms end in $\bar{a} n g$; thus, bajhinäng, to request. Hodgson supposed that they were participles. They can also be verbal nouns ; compare, youngsang, tasting ; jensatäng, murder; latilāng, robbery ; mharläng, love, and so on.

The negative participle is apparently a suffixed $l o$; thus, pito, good; pi-lo, bad; nim-to, sweet; nim-lo, sour; bainang-lo, to refuse. Compare the negative suffix lo in some Kuki Chin dialects.

## KUSONDA.

The Kusünda live in the same district as the Chēpāngs, viz., in the jungles of the central region of Nepal, close to the plains, to the westward of the great valley. Hodgson in 1848 predicted the extinction of the tribe within a few generations, and it can only be very insignificant.
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Hodgson classed Kusūnda as a dialeot of the complex pronominalized type. The short remarks which follow are based on the vocabulary published by him.

Nouns.-Gender is distinguished by using different terms or by adding words with the meaning ' male,' 'female,' respectively. Thus, pää, father; mää, mother : talasäü, boy ; taksē, girl : dūwöi, husband ; ningdai myāhoa, wife ; mih'ya dawäi, man; ningdai, woman; gy $\bar{a}$ kotau, male bird ; gimi kotau, female bird : ägai gyā, dog ; ágai gimi, bitch, and so on.

We do not know anything about the existence of separate dual and plural suffixes.
Cases are formed by adding postpositions. Such are nata igin, of; tãi, in; lai, to; jāng jai, from ; $\bar{a} i$, by ; tängche, with ; käuthäi, without, and so on.

Numerals.-The first five numerals are goï-säng, one; ghīnga, two ; däha, three; pin-jāng, four ; pangang-jāng, five. The final sāng, jāng, in some of these forms is probably a generic particle.

Pronouns.-'The following are the personal pronouns :-
chi, I.
chīyī, my. tok'-jhinga, we two. tok-jhingayi, ours two. chō-baki, we. cho-baki-yida, toki-baki-mida, ours.| noki-baki-yida, yours.
gida, he, she, it. gidayī, his, hers, its. gida-jhinga, they two. gida-jhingayī, theirs two. "ida-baki, their. gida-baki-yida, theirs.

The suffix jhinga in the dual forms is probably another form of ghinga, two.
Some other forms are given in another place in Hodgson's vocabulary; thus, ki, I; tangda, me; gido-däni, him ; tok-jhingai, by us two ; tok-khāgyai, by us; tokkhädai, us; nok-khag, you, and so on.

Demonstrative pronouns are $t a$ and $t a \dot{a}$, this; $i s s i$ and $i t$, that.
Interrogative and indefinite pronouns are nätat, who? nätāng, what ? nataim'ya hak, anyone ; nataum'ya hägit, anything.

Verbs.-Hodgson gives the following table of the present tense active and passive of pungbōgo, strike, 一
li pomat anha-u, I beat.
nu pomatawa, thou beatest.
gida pomatawa, he beats. tok-jhingai pomatanhä̈, we two beat. nok-jhinga pomatawa, you two beat. gida-jhinga pomatawa, they two beat. tok-khägyai pomatanhaï, we beat. nok-khag pomatawa, you beat. giduki pomutawa, they beat.
tangda pungmatabahini, I am beaten.
gidodàni gidai pungmataba, he is beaten.
tok-jhigai pomatabai, we two are beaten.
gida-jhinga gi pungmataba, they two are beaten. tokkhādai ponıatabai, we are beaten.
gidakhai gi pungmataba, they are beaten.

The base alone is apparently used as an imperative; thus, $\tilde{a} m$, eat; d $\bar{a}$, go ; $\bar{u} \hat{i}$, give; $m \bar{a}$, take. Suffixes such as $o, u$, and $a$ can apparently be added; thus, gorgowōto, run; pungbōgo, strike; puwägo, kill; mangbo, hear; aū̃, do; iptu, sleep; agga, come; proūktoba, speak, and so on.

Negative imperatives are anilil, do not; anoktabin, do not speak; abägānebin, be silent. They are apparently formed by prefixing $a$ and sulfixing bill or bin. A prefixed negative $\bar{a}$ seems to occur in $\bar{a} y e w \bar{a}$, no.

## BHRĀMU.

The Bhrāmus are one of the tribes of the Nepal Tarai. At the last Census of 1901, 15 speakers of the Bhramu dialect were returned from Assam.

## aUthorities-

Hodgson, B. H.,-Comparative Vocabulary of the Languages of the broken Tribas of Nepál. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxvi, 1857, pp. 317 and ff. Reprinted in Misoellaneous Easays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol, i, London 1880, pp. 161 and ff.
Honter, W. W.,-A Oomparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia, London 1863.
Hodgson stated that Bhrimu is a dialect of the oomplex pronominalized type. The materials published by him are still the only foundation of our knowledge of the dialect. They are not sufficient for more than drawing attention to some few facts.

Nouns and adjectives are often preceded by a prefix $a$; thus, $a-k y \bar{a}, \operatorname{dog} ; a-n a p$, ant; $a-n \bar{a} m$, mouth; $a-m a i$, mother; $a$-bo, white; $a-l h o k$, long; $a-n y a k$, short; $a-l h a m$, large, and so on. This $a$ is probably a demoustrative pronoun.

We have no information about the way in which the natural genders are distinguished. Babäi is 'father' and a-mai, mother, and these words are probably used in order to denote the sex, as is the case in connected dialects.

There are apparently two numbers, the singular and the plural. The final $d \bar{u}$ in $h \bar{u}-d \bar{u}$, they, is probably a plural suffix.

Cases are formed by adding postpositions such as $k \bar{u}$, of ; t $\bar{u}$, to ; găng, jāng, from ; $d i$, in ; $t h \bar{a} c h i$, in, on ; $g \bar{a} \ddot{u}$, on, upon ; chou, with.

The first five numerals are $d \vec{e}$, one ; $n i$, two ; swōm, three; $b i$, four ; $b \vec{a}-n g \bar{a}$, five.
The following are the personal pronouns:-
$n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$.
$n g \bar{a}-k \bar{u}, \mathrm{my}$.
$n \bar{i}$, we.
$n \bar{z}-k \bar{u}$, our.
$\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & n \bar{a} n g, \text { thou. } \\ & n \bar{a} n g-k \bar{u}, \text { thy. } \\ & n \bar{u} n g, \text { you. } \\ & n \bar{u} n g-k \bar{u}, \text { your. }\end{aligned}\right.$
$\bar{u}$, he, she, it.
$\bar{u}-k \bar{u}$, his, her, its.
$h \bar{u} d \bar{u}$, they.
$\bar{u} n-k \bar{u}$, their.

The base $\bar{u}, h \bar{u}$, is also used as a remote demonstrative; thus, $h \bar{u} d i$, there. The corresponding nearer demonstrative is apparently $h \bar{\imath}$; thus, $h \bar{\imath} d i$, here.

Interrogative and indefinite pronouns are hai, who? ku-wa, how muok? süng, somebody; häng, something.

We do not know much about the conjugation of verls. The base alone, without any sulfix, is appareatly used as a present; thus, mō and $l i k$, it is, yes; $m \bar{a}-m i$ and $a-l i k$, it is not, no.

The base alone, or with one of the suffixes $\bar{a}$ and $o$ or $\bar{u}$, is used as an impsrative; thus, chä, eat; so, get up; syō, walk; syāng $\bar{a}$, drink; thäyà, come; yēngä, go ; thāyo, take; sāto, kill ; $p y \bar{u}$, give, etc.

The negative participle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$ or $a$, before imperatives a prefixed $m \bar{a}$; thus, $m \bar{a}-m i$, not is, no ; $a-l i k$, not is, no ; $m \bar{a} p \bar{e}$ and $m \bar{a} k h a l e$, do not speak, be silent.

The vocabulary is, to a great extent, mixed with Aryan words.

## THAKSYA.

Our information about the Thäksyas and their language is very unsatisfactory. I am unable to decide whether the dialect belongs to the pronominalized or to the nonpronominalized class.

## AOTHORITIES-
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Nouns.-Gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding suffixes such as $g y \bar{a}, d h \bar{o}, r a \bar{g} o$, yese, male; mā, moma, mama, $\bar{\imath} s o$, female. 'Thus, $\bar{a} b o,{ }_{\downarrow}$ father; $\bar{a} m \bar{a}$, mother: mrinthin, husband ; mrinmh $\bar{\imath}$, wife: pyung, man ; mrin, woman: khēba, old man; khūgyu, old woman: mhē-yese, bull; mhē-mama, cow; rāmo-gy $\bar{a}$, he goat; rāmo-mā, she-goat; mai rāgo, he-buffalo; mai mona, she buffalo: nom'ya dhō, male bird ; nom'ya ìso, female bird.

We do not know anything about the existence of separate dual and plural suffixes.
Cases are formed by adding postpositions. Such are chaye, of ; dhyāri, to ; kyāche, from ; kau, by ; ngäyero, with; ārobhoja, without; hīsono, in.

Numerals.-The first numerals are :-

| 1 di . | 6 tu . | 20 ngiyu. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ngi . | 7 nges. | 30 sombu. |
| 3 som. | 8 bhre. | 40 blibyu. |
| 4 bla. | 9 ku . | 50 ngasyu. |
| $n g \dot{a}^{\text {a }}$ | 10 chyu. | bhra |

It will be seen that higher numbers are counted in tens.
Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-
ghyāng, I.
ghyang-ge, my, mine. ghyang-si, we two.
ghyangsi-ye, our two.
ghyang-cha, we.
ghyang-cha-ye, our.
> nga, thou.
> ngāye, thy, thine. $n g i-s i$, you two. $n g \overline{\mathrm{z}}$-si-ye, your two. nga-cha, you. nga-cha-ye, your.
mhi, chana, he, she, it. mhiye, his, her, its. mhi-si, they two. mhi-si-ye, their two. mhi-cha, they. mhi-cha-ye, mni-ye-ke, their.

It will be seen that there are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The tahle of the present tense of the verb täü, strike, reproduced below, contains another set of forms; thus, ngajai, by me, I; chyang-chai, by thee. It seems as if the forms of the first and second persons have been interchanged in one of the two tables.

Demonstrative pronouns are paēng kyungpa (sic), this; cha and chapami, that.
Interrogative and indefinite pronouns are tā, who? khajupero, what? sabadhyangpa, anyone; khajāngpémhi, anything.

Verbs.-Hodgson gives the following table of the present tense active and pasaive of the rerb tāü, beatngajai toba, I beat. chyang-chai tobā, thou beatest. the tobamu, he beats. nginji tobakā, we two beat. namãnyi tobamu, you two beat. thamangi tolamu, they two beat. ngingichai tobamu, we beat. nama-cha tobambu, you beat. mhi-cha-ka tobamu, they beat.
nga-zir tobamu, I am beaten.
ngingi tobamu, we two aro beaten.
ngiri, we are beaten.

Imperatives are lhau, do; khau, come; reto, wake; hero, go ; pino, give; lhila, eat; tūpa, sit down, etc. Negative imperatives are formed by prefixing tha; thus, tha lau, do not; tha tyātō, do not speak.

The usual negative particle is apparently a prefixed $\bar{a}$; thus, $a \dot{i}$, no; mhi-ăkyähopic, look-not-good, ugly. We also find forms such as nuäsba, not good, bad, with the Aryan na, not.









| English. | Dhimanl (Hodgron). | Thèmi (Darjeeling). | Limbu (Nepal). | Yäuhà (Darjeling). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07. Of fathers | Aba galai-ko . | $\overline{\text { Ā-pā-haru-ko . }}$ | Pī-hà-ren | Bub-chi-gã |
| 08. To fathers | Aba galai-êng . | $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$-pā-haru-lăi | Pä-hã-ren | Bā-chi |
| 09. From fathers | Aba galai-sho . | Ā-pā-hara-dekhin | P à-hā-roù-nū | Bà-chi-nung |
| 10. A daughter | Chămdi | Diware chā-mai | Lop-thik menchbemã sā | Ikvo metnyang-mã chiyà |
| 11. Of a daughter | Chämdi-ko | Diware chā-mai-ko | Lop-thik menchhemā sīren. | Ikko metnyung-mȧ chiyäga |
| 12. To a danghter | Chāmdi-êng | Diwere chā-mai-lài | Lop-thik menchhemü sāin. | Ikko metryung-mā à-chisă |
| .13. From a daughter | Chāmdi-sho | Diware chā-mai-dekhin | Lop-thik menchhemã sū-rō̃-nй. | Ikko màma-gà-mă |
| .14. Two daughters | Ngē-long chāmdi | Dui chà-mai-pãli | Nechhi menchhemã sà-bā | $\underset{\text { ohiyì-ohi. }}{\text { Hichchi }} \text { metayang-mă }$ |
| 15. Daughtera | Chāmdi galai . . | Chà-mai-pāli . | Menchhemã sā-hà | Metnyung-mã ohiyà-zi |
| 16. Of daughters | Chàmdi galai-ko | Chã-mai-pāli-ko | Menchhemā sā-hà-ren | Metnyung-mã chiyā-2i-gà |
| 17. To dsughters | Chāmdi galai-ēng | Chā-mai-pāli-lāi | Menchhemã sã-hã-in . | Mãmu |
| 18. From deughters. | Chāmdi galai-sho | Chā-mai-pāli-dekhin. |  | Metnyung-mà chiyā-rinung. |
| 19. A good man | Elsa wà-val | - Diware àpra mi | Lop.thik manē no-bà | Ikko nu-nã yàp-mi |
| 20. Of a good man | Ellea wā-val-ko | Diware àprà mi-ko | Lop-thik no-bū manê-ren (or manē-ilen). | Ikko nu-nã yãp-mi-gã |
| 31. To a good man | Elka wā-val-ēng | Diware àpră mi-lāi . | Lop-thik manẽ no-bā-rēn (or not-bä-i len). | Ikko nu-nā yãp-mi |
| 22. From a good man | Elka wă-val-sho | Diware āprā mi-dekhin | Lop-thik manè-roūnu no-bū | Ikko nu-nă yàp-mi-nung |
| 23. Two good men | Ngē-long èlka wà-val | Nis-ka āprā mi . | Nechhi nō bā (-sī) manè-hà | Hip-pāng nu-hā yāp-mi-chi |
| 34. Good men. | Elka wê-val galai | Àprà mi-haru . . | Nōobā manē-hā | Nu-hā yāp-mi-chi |
| :5. Of good men | Ēlka wā-val galai-ko. | Àprà mi-pāli-ko . | Nơ-kà manē-hā -ren | Nu-hà yāp-mi-chi-gā |
| 16. To good men | Élsa wā-val galai-èng | $\bar{A}_{\text {prā }} \mathrm{mi}$-pali-kai . | Nó-bă manê-hă-in | Nu-hā yāp-mi-chi $\quad$ nga |
| 17. From good men . | Élca wī-val galai-sho | Āprà mi-pàli-dekhin | N $\bar{\delta}$-bū manè-hā-roù -nū | Nu-hī yāp-mi-chi-nung |
| 8. A good woman | Ėlka bè-val | Diware āprā chā-mai-chā | Lop-thik kē-nס̄-mā menchhemã. | Ikko nunā metneng-mē |
| 9. A bad boy. | Ma êlba wā-jan | Diware narāmro ha-chā pāli (sic). | Lop-thik kē-ji-pa hen-jā | Ikko isi-nã piohchhā |
| 0. Good women | Ēlka bè - val galai | - Āprā chā-mai-chã pāli | Nō-mā-si menclhemãa-sì | $\mathrm{Nu}-\mathrm{hā}$ metnyung-chi |
| 1. A bad girl. | Mí èlka bējan | Diware narāmro chā-mai-chā | Lop-thik kè-jī-mē henjū | Ikko isi-nū metnyange |
| 2. Goud | Elsa | . Ãprā . . . $^{\text {a }}$ | No -bà | Nu -nā |
| 3. Better | O-ko nhā-dong èlka . | Āprū . . . | -nū-lê not lã | Nu-nā . |



| nasplath. | Dhimâl ( $\mathrm{Hodgron)}$. | Themi (Darjoaling). | Limba (Nopal). | Telthe (Darjealing). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134. Best . | Sogiming-ke nhä-dong âlka | Jhen àprà . | Käk-ninllè (chhonã) no-bè | Uchan nu-nā. |
| \|35. High | Dhàngã . . . | Ãlamgā | Kēm-lā . . . . . | Ket-nà |
| 36. Higher | O-ko nhā-dong dhăngà | Jhan àlamgà . | Chhenā ( $n 0 \tau \theta$ ) kèm-bā | Ket-nī-hi |
| 37. Highest | Sogiming-ko nhādong dhānga. | Ajhar ālamgã . | Kāk-nālẻ kēm-bâ. . . | Nabhardãcha ketnã |
| 38. A horee | $\chi_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {yhā }}$ | Diware ghorã | Lop-thik 0 n . . . . | Ikro on |
| 39. A maxe | Thangani õyhà | Diware ghor | Lop-thik on Līn-moma | Ikko on i-mã-chhã |
| 10. Horaes | Ôyhà galai . . | Ghorâ-pāli | Ón-hā. | $\mathrm{On} \cdot \mathrm{zi}$ |
| 11. Mares | Thanganî ôyhā galai . | Ghoṛi-pāli | On kū $\cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{mã} \cdot \mathrm{hà}$ | On i-mà-ohhà-ohi |
| 2. A ball | Dānchā pīã . . | Diware pãpã-gyà | Pit sandriā (a blaok bull with red markings). | Ikrko pik |
| 3. A cow | Mahani pià . . | Diware māmā-byã | Pip-mà . . . . | Ikko-pik-mā |
| 4. Bulls | Dānkhà piã galai . | Păpà-syā-pãli . | Pit samdriā-hã. | Pik-ohi . |
| 5. Cows | Mahani pià galai | Māmã-8yà-pāli . | Pīp-mã-ha . . . | Pik-mí-chi |
| 6. A dog | Dānkbê khīà . | Diware kuchn . | . Lop.thik kocho . . | Ikko lachu-mā |
| 7. A bitch | Mahani khiè - | Diware kacha-mi | . Lop-thik kōcho-mā . . | Ikko kati-mã |
| 3. Dogs | Dēnlchs̄ khià galai . . | Kuchaw pâli . | . Koch $\overline{-}$-hē . . . | Kuchu-mã-zi |
| 9. Bitches | Mahani khīă galai . | Krchamā pāli . | . Kơchō-mã-hā . . | Kuti-mà-zi |
| 0. A he goat. | Eēchā | Diware boke chari | . Lop-thik mēndak yārim-bā | Ikko ippã ohhē |
| 1. A female goont | Mahani abchā . | Diware māmã chuṛi . | Lop-thik mēndak-mē . | Ikko imã chhē |
| 2. Goats | Eēchì galai . | Charimpali . . | . Mēndak-hā | Menthimã-ohi . |
| 3. A male deer |  | Diware dărhe ârki | . Lop-thik pengwã kũ-m-bã | Ikko kissa darhe |
| 4. A femele deer |  | Diware mămã ârki | . Lop-thik pèngwà kū-m-mã | Ikko kieses ams̃ |
| 5. Deer | $\ldots$ | Ārki-pāli . | . Pēngwā-hā . . . | Kisaf-chi |
| 6. 1 am |  | Gai holk-ngax-du | - Angā wā- $\mathrm{a}_{\text {a }}$. . | Kāi |
| 7. Thou art | Nâ johionî . . | Nã hok-ngã-du | - Khenè k'-wà | Ing-khi nakkū |
| 8. He is | Wà jıhi . | Begãle hoddu . | - Khunê wã | U-khi i . |
| 3. We are | Kyōl jēhi-kyēl | Ni-haki-du | - Ānī ârwà, ānigè wā-igè | Āning nā-hāi |
| J. Yoreme | Nyēl jêhi-nyēl . | Nāng hotzâ-dn | . Kheni k'-will . | Ing-khi nä-kat . |



| Englioh. | Dhimal (Hodgton). | Thämi ( Darjeeling). | Limbu (Nepal). | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161. They are - | Ư-bal jêhi | Dhã-mã pāli hod-du . | Khünchhì mè-wà | I-khā-zi n互elchā |
| 162. I was . . | Kā higà-hi-kā . | Gai hok-ngā-thiyo | Angā wĩyang . | Kã Fāeng-nū |
| 163. Thou west | Nā higā-hi-mã . | . Nā hoknī-du-thiyo . . | Khené $\mathbf{k}^{\prime}$-wà-yē | Ing-khi wâe-gà-nă |
| 164. He was - - | Wā higā-hi . | Dhā hod-du-thiyo | Khūnē wà-yè . | Hitaũ wĭe-na |
| 165. We were - | Kyêl higã-hi-kyèl . | Ni hoki-du-thiyo | Ānī à-wà-yê, innige wâ-iga | Àning-khi wainghà |
| 66. You were - | Nyöl higà-hi-nyèl | Ningwai hodni-du-thiyo. | Kheni k'-wā-i . | Inning-khik waiga-hg |
| 67. They were | U-bal higā-hi | To-büngai hodni-du-thiyo . | Khūnchhì mè-wà-yē | I-khā-2i waelha-mi |
| 68. Be | Jē | Thíà | Wā-yè, polk-hè | Lekqă |
| 69. To be | Jêvg-li . | Thā¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Wà-mã ; pong-mā | Wetaú . |
| 70. Heing | Jêng-katang | T'hātēle . |  | We-pu-chē |
| 11. Having been | Jēng-tēug | Jet-long-tā-le . | Wê-yè-ang ; pok-sē-ang | Leksā-gã-hang |
| 2. I may be . . . | ... | Gai thāngnā du | Ȧngã pơng-mã eūk-tū-ng | Kã leng-mo-ngà-nà |
| 3. I shall be - | Kā jēnkā. | Gai hoknã du . | Angā wā-ā | Kã leng-ngà |
| 4. I should be | $\ldots$ | Gai-kāi thā-ngā du | Ang ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Wū- $-\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | Kā yung-mā-leng-di |
| 5. Beat | Dāng-hai | - Reho | Hip-tó . . . | Mokmix . |
| 3. To beat . . | Dāng-hai-li | - Re-manà | Hip-mã. . . | Mok-mà-gã |
| 7. Beating . - | Dāng-hai-lkatang | - Rehanā . | Hip•tū•ang . | Mokmā-ngà . |
| 3. Having beaten - | Däng-bai-tēng . | . Reko-damtole . | Hip-tū-ang . . | Mok-ta-kā-hong |
| 3. I beat | Kā dāng-hai-khi-kē . | . Ge rehana | Angā hip-tūng (him, and so also in Nos. 180-184). | Mok-neng . |
| ). Thou beatest | Nā dàng-hai-khi-nū | Ne rehanîdu . | Khenē $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$-hip-tū | Ing-khi-ngā mol-tu-gā |
| 1. He beats - | Wā düng-hai-khi | Dhāi rehu-du | Khūnē hip-tū . . | U-khi-ngang mok-tü |
| 2. We beat . | Kyèl dảng-bai-khi-kyè | . Ni re-sã | Ānīā-hip-tī-m, ānī-gẽ hip-tī-m-b̄̄. | Kū-ni-ngā mok-tn-nu |
| 1. You beat . . | Nyēl dāng-Lai-khi-nyel | Nai ṛeho . . . | Kheni k'-hip-tū-m . | Ing-khi-ngā mok-ta . |
| ! Thes locat . - | Ū-bal dāng-hai-khi . | Dhā-bang-e rehu-du . | Khūnchhì mé-hip-tū . | U-jing-khik-nga mokkà |
| 1. I beat (Past Tense) | Kī dū̀ng-bai-bi-kã | ..... | Angā hip-nē (thee, and so also in Nos. 186-190). | ..... |
| i. Thou bcatest (Past | Nà dídg-bai-bi-nà | - ..... | K henē k'-hip-sing . . | - ...... |
| $\therefore$ He lnht ( Past Tense) . | Wa darg-hai-lii | ...... | Khñ̀è $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$-hip-tè $\quad$. | - ..... |


| KLambu (Darjeelling). |  | BGhing (Hodgson). |  | Raii ( Nepal ). | Vaja (Hod | Oodgron). |  | Englirb, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Khas tawe . . |  | Bwing-me |  | U-mul-hĭm mo-ta | No-me-m | - | , | 161. They are. |
| Kong tuo . . | , | Bwākti • |  | Ȧng monga . | No-sang-mi | - - |  | 162. I was |
| Àm tawūyo . . | - | Buākté |  | Āuā mom | No-na-m | - - | , | 163. Thou west. |
| Khotamā . . |  | Bwâktà . | - | Un mom | No-mi | - . | - | 164. He wras. |
| Ketawe . . | . | Bwâktāko | - | Āng-ku mukè . | No-keng-ni | - • |  | 165. Wo were. |
| Àn-ni tamani . . | , | Bwālitāni |  | Ȧn-ni u -mo-nim | No-ne-m | - • | - | 166. You were. |
| Kbas tawã - | - | Bwàktãme | - | O-mul-hām mom | No-me-m | - - | - | 167. They were. |
| Ngãli nota |  | Bwākko . | - | Chhuā-nu | Nб | - - | - | 168. Be. |
| Chhawà . . |  | Bwākcho | . | Chhuà-nu lài . | Not'-mung | - - | , | 169. To be. |
| Chhawānā . . |  | Bwãng-na . . | - | Chhak-thing-ta | Not'-he | - • | - | 170. Being. |
| Chhawā-khãtna |  | Bwūktāko | - | Chha-kha-ka . | Not'-not'-has | - | - | 171. Haviug been. |
| Kong chhronã. . |  | $\ldots$ |  | Ang chha-na chāp-ta | ...' | -'• |  | 172. I may be. |
| Kong tuohole . . |  | Bwang -nga | - | Ang clihap-tu . | Nō-ngo-m | - • | - | 173. I sball be. |
| Kong chhoonã . |  | Go bwāk-cho dyum . | - | Aug chhup-ta . . |  | ..... |  | 174. I shoold be. |
| Ker-te . . . |  | Teuppo . . . | - | Yom-dā . - | To'pa . | - |  | 175. Beat. |
| Keram-lagi . . | - | Teapcho . | - | Yomom . . | 'To'mung | - • | - | 176. To beat. |
| Ker-mã . . . | - | $\ldots$ |  | Yom-jata . . | Top'-he . | - | - | 177. Beating. |
| Ker-o-mà . . | - | Teup-tā-ko - |  | Yom-du-ka . . . | Top-top-hā | - • | - | 178. Having beaten. |
| Kongā kero . . | - | Teub-ū |  | Ang-ā yām-ta . . | To'-mi | - • | - | 179. I beat. |
| Ānā kero . . | - | Teub-i . |  | Ānā-ă yam-thatis | ${ }^{\text {T }}{ }^{\prime}$ '-mi |  | , | 280. Thou beatest. |
| Kho-sāp kere . . | - | Teab-ā |  | Un-ū yam-tha-ta | To'-mi | - • | - | 181. He beats. |
| Keiya kerang . - |  | Teap-kā |  | U-mal-hām-ā (sic) yom•tini. | To'-pe-m | - . | - | 182. We beat. |
| Ānă ker-te . . |  | Teum-ni |  | Ãnā-ā Yãm-ta . . . | Top-ne-m | - • | , | 183. Yoa beat. |
| Khachā kechino | - | Teara-me . | . | U-mul-hām-ă yom-ti nim | To'-me-m | - | , | 184. They beat. |
| ..... |  | Teup-tong . . |  | (Go yāl-to ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) . . . | To'-pung-mi | - - | , | 185. I Mret (Past Tanse). |
| ...... |  | Teup-tea. |  | (Gā-ni yāl-ni) . . | 'To'-pu-m |  | , | 186. Thou beateat (Pa4 T'ense). |
| ...... |  | Tenp-tā . . . |  | (Gnkīgā yālsta) . | To'-pu-m | , - |  | 187. He beat (Past Tense) |


| English. | Dhimă (Hodgsou). | Thâmi (Darjeeling). | Limbu (Nepal). | Yakba (Darjeeling). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 188. We beat (Past Tense) | Kyôl dāng-hai-hi-kyèl | ... | Ànigē hip-äsige |  |
| 189. Fou beat (Past Tense) | Nyêl dàng-hai-hi-nyèl | ..... | $\underset{\text { Kelves). }}{\text { Kheni }}$ k'-kip-āsi (your- | ..... |
| 190. They beat (Past Tense) | U'bal dãng-hai-hi . | ..... | Khünchhi $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$-mē-hip-tē | - • ...... |
| 191. I am beating | Kã elāng dãng-hai-khi-kā | Ge rehandu |  | Kă mok-mã-ngà sengà |
| 192. I was beating | Kã lămpāng dāng-hai-khi-kā | Ge fèhundu nāhundu | Angà hip-tī-ng (him) | Kā-ngā mok-mī-ngã syãng. nà. |
| 93. I had beaten | Kā dāng-hai-hi-kē . | Ge rehanda |  | Kà-ngā mok-tu-ngà . |
| 94. I may beat | ..... | Ge réēnı | Anga hịp-mà sāk-nẻ (I can beat thee). | Kā mok-tã hesung |
| 95. I shall beat | Kã dăng-hai-ãng-kã . . | Ge reãna | Angă hip-tū-ng ( him ) | Kã mok-twāng-ngà |
| 96. Thou wilt beat | Nā đăng-hai-āng-nā . . |  |  |  |
| 97. He will beat | Wà dāng-hai-āng . . | ..... | Khiniè hip-ä (me) - | . : ...... |
| 98. We shall beat | Kyêl danng-hai-ăng-kyè | ..... | Ãnigē hip-à-sigē (thèe) . | ..... |
| 79. You will beat | Nyèl dàng-hai-āng-nyēl | ..... | Kheni k'-hip-äsi (yourselves) | ... |
| j0. They will beat . | U-bal dāng-hai-āng . | . | Khünchhif k'-medhip (thee) | $\cdots$ |
| 31. I should beat | ..... | Gai-ke reke mai-du | Angà hip-tū-ng . . | Kã̃ chā mok-twēng-nã |
| 12. I am beaten . | Kā dăng-hai-nēì-chä-khi-kā | Gai-kai rểgāng | Ang ${ }^{\text {hip- }}$ - $\quad$ | Kā mok-tā chūeng-nā |
| 13. I was beaten | Kã 'dāng-hai-nēn-chā-hi -kā | Gai-kai ree-ngà-do thiyo | Angà hip-tang | Kā mok-tā chēe-tā-singroni |
| 14. I shall be beaten | Kā dāng-hai-nḕinchāngkā | Gai-kai rèesu chāhi du | Angā bip-ā | Kā $\cdot$ tembuk chāwãng.na lok-pe. |
| )5. I go. . . | Kā hadē-khi-kà | Gai' yā-ngà-du . | Angã pēk:ī . | Kā khek-vgã . |
| 30. Thou goest . | Nā hedè kihi-nã | Nāng yâ-nā-duk-lā . | Khenè k'-pēg : | Ing-khi khekkā |
| 17. He goes | Wā hadė-khi . | Dhăyā-du . | Khünê pề | Ū-khi khee-nã . |
| 18. Wego . . | Kyèl hadē-khi-kyçl . . | .... | Ānì à-pèg, ànité pêgigè | ..' |
| 9. Yongo . . | Ny®̇l hadè̇-khi-nyêl . | ..... | Kheuī $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$-pēg-i | ... |
| 0. They go . . | Û-bul hadē-khi | $\cdots$ | Khūnchhì mē-pēg . | $\ldots$ |
| 1. I west | Kã hadè-hi-kā . | Gai yāng-ngāng | Angā peagang | Kă kheeng-nã |
| 2. Thou wentest | Nã hadab-hí-nū . | Nāṅg yā-ngāng . | Eheni k'-pègè, or $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$-pē | Ing-Ehi khyã-Eã-nă |
| 3. Hewent . | Wä ${ }^{\text {a }}$ hadè-hi | Dhá yā-hün . | Klıñnĩ pētē, or pè . | U-khi khyā-nū |
| 4. We west | K ydl hade-hi-kyel | ..... | Ānī à-pē, ànigè pegigè | ..... |



| Eugliob. | Dhimal (Hodgron). | Thami (Darjeeling). | Limbu (Nepal). | Yakhe (Darjeeliug) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. You went , | Nyol hade-hi-nyal |  | Kheni k'-peg-i |  |
| 6. They went | Ü-bal hadel-hi . | - ..... | Khūnchhì mè-pe |  |
| 7. Go | Hade | Yāā | Pāgè | Khyā |
| 8. Going | Hade-katang | Yenchhā . | Peg-lo-pot | Khep-ma-ngi |
| 7. Gone | Hade-kā. | Yāhān | P è | Khyā-nà |
| ). What is your name? . | Hai ming nang-ko ? | Nãn-ko nāme hārã? . | Khenē k '-ming hen ? | In ning ila P . |
| I. How old is this horse? | ....'• | Hāni theare ghoṛā thā ? |  bé? | Na on inkhok thatppàdè? |
| 1. How far is it from here to Kashmir? | ...... | Kā’-iming Kashmir hāni $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-lam thā ? | K $\delta$-yō-nū Kashmir ākhen măug-bé? | Nā-nang Kasmir inkhos māng-du-aū-là ? |
| i. How many sons are there in your father's house? | ..... | Nāng-ko āpā-ko nim-te chīpālì hãni hoddu? | Khenē-ke-m-bã-ren kū-himmō embechhā sũ ākhen mē-wá P | Ombā-gã u-bāng-be inkhok metnyung-ba ohiyi wae ba-chi? |
| . I have walked a long way to-day. | ..... | Yāng âlam thāā jang-ngang | Āin angā manngkhā lang khēgang. | Ka ben mang-du-lo lāmàng nā. |
| The son of my uncle is married to his sister. | ..... | Gai-ko u-chȳ̄̄ à-pā-ko chāko bore dliai-ko chāmai nāmā thābān. | Angā a-m-bhangā-rē embechhā kū-sū-ñ̄ khūnē kūuū | Ā-gāāphāngā obiyā-gā abibà u-ngì-na (?) lebsànā. |
| . In the house is the saddle of the white horse. | ..... | Nim-te ubba ghorā-ko zin hoddu. | Him-mō phōdang-bā on nnile chĩnen pòt. | Pāng-be put-nā ong-gă yupmānă wait-nã. |
| Put the saddle apon his back. | ...... | Topu lukushā-to zin chhnho | Ön jongthū chinen phơt-chē | U-mising-be jupmāna thāksu (or yukisu). |
| I have beaten his son with cuany stripes. | ...... | To-ko•chā-kai chābuke āī rehanu. | Angā khellē kñ-sā sitot khēp hip-tū-og. | Kā-ngā uchchya-go korlàngã pyāk mok-tu-ngà. |
| He is grazing cattle on the top of the hill. | - ...... | Dhāi hiw ḍani-te syā-meshā jabu-du. | Khūnē jakēk killē kūjougthü pit-sãwat-há kānn̄si. | Hunnä kunga song-be bastu-chi sopmã-ngã sebnā (?). |
| He is sitting on a horse under that tree. | - ..... | Dhā dhā rulbhā-ko pole-te ghora chiri-te landu huddu. | Ōn-nīlē $k \bar{n}$-jongthā Jū̆k-tū-ró-pot khūné sing-nillè kūbhōsang wā. | Yo sing $\bar{a}$ n-lūng-be on song. be yung-jung-wa-na. |
| His brother is taller than his sister. | .'. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dhā-ko būbū to-ko hami bhandà aglo hoddu. | Kū-nīsī menchhemā-nūlē k $\bar{n}-n$ nūbã embechhā $\bar{a}$ 厄̄embā wi. | C-kā nuncba wemphā hok. chencha inchhä-mechchlü ket-nā (?). |
| The price of that is two rupeces and a half. | ... ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | To-ko mole nis rupe àth anā | Khūnē kã-mēlngg yāng uechhi ang kūkhêlē wā. | U-gā n-men yāng hicli hang njäphelek (?). |
| My father lives in that small housc. | . ...... | Gai-ko $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$-p̄̄̄̄ che nim-te hoddu. | Angā n-m.bū nākken bim clink-pí-mo yãng. | Āppā jo-nā nuik-nā păng.be jang-me-nĩ. |
| Give thie rupee to him | ... ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | Kā rupe to-kai piko . | Kön yāng-nin nākhen pi-rem-mé. | Nā-khā yãng hitnē pi |
| Take those rupees from him. | ...... | Tyo rupe dhä-ini rāho | Khen yáng-hỉ khānē-ס-nū phētermè. | Hitnā-nung i-khā yãng-hā aptu. |
| Beat him well and bind him with ropes. | .. | To-kā āprā-tini rebo ani tokai shâk-pa-e chhiho. | Khen-nin chärik hip-temmēang siki-liü-rè khêkhemmè. | Hitná ajamma (?) mok-ta ikhong-khi-ba-ngã thandu. |
| Draw water from the well. | ..... | Kuwãin pāngku kāyo | Wodumpokwñeōnū chūā-tin (or-nin) lotē. | Pokhāri-būng mãng-chuwā taksu. |
| Walk before me. . | "'* | Gai-ko hābi-te chāyo | Angā ū-togèo langkhēgē | $\bar{A}-\mathrm{gã}$ agho ämĩ . |
| Whose boy comes behind you? | ..... | Nā-ko libite su-ko ha-chā rä-da? | Khenë k -ègang âtī-lē kā-sē phen? | Ungā. helvsāng-be isē-gà uchchýa ap-rã̈ngà set- |
| From whom did you buy that? | ..... | Nāya to kutaini kinai-mūng p | Khon lā-lònū khenē k'ingnō? | İeà-bongā ina kā-nā ? |
| From a shopke日per of the village. | ..... | To dese-ko diware dokane dekhin. | Pangphē pasalyā-бnä inga-ug-ba. | Tem-be-nā ikko toktok-pă bangà. |


| Ehamba (Darjeeling). | Babing (Hodgron). | RII (Nepal). | Vaju ( Hodgron) $^{\text {a }}$ | Englub |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ...... | Dī-n-tà-ni | (Gãni lawmabāsi) | Là-ne-m. | 215. Yon went. |
| ...... | Di-m-tā-mo | (Gamikāgā lamdi) | Là-me-m | 216. They went. |
| Khātte • . . | Diwo | Khachā . | Lè' ${ }^{\text {da }}$ | 217. Go. |
| Khā-to | Diba | Khas-thing-tā . | ...... | 218. Going. |
| Khă-tā . . . | $\ldots$ | Khuchū . | $\ldots$ | 219. Gone. |
| Ām ning uwe ? | $\ldots$ | À-nū mū-wa ? . | ...... | 220. What is your neme? |
| Angko ghora dek barkbā kae? | ...... | Tam ghorà hita bhurla mota ${ }^{\text {? }}$ | $\ldots$ | 221. How old is this horse? |
| Ekkā Kashmir dei to-me? | ..... | Tam-bi-ka Kashmir hita yākā gota ? | ...... | 222. How far is it from here to Keshmir? |
| Ām-pā-m khim-pi deppoye wächchbā towe? | $\ldots$ | $\bar{A}-$ pu-po kim-bi hita lang-chu-mul mota? | $\cdots$ | 223. How many sons are there in your father's house ? |
| Ese konga oro bāipā lām dumo. | $\ldots$ | Àng àıun duma lam-thi-yo | ..... | 224. I have walked a long way to-day. |
| O-bongkā u-chhā àm-chhālo khamlo nechho-lo biyā chhuwā. | $\ldots$ | Wa-pho-po chu-kāi um-po wì-mi-chum-po biha chluka. | ...... | 225. The son of my uncle is married to his sister. |
| Khim-pi omlopā ghorāng zin tuwe. | $\ldots$ | Kim-ghobu wampa ghorapo kathi gota. | ...... | 226. In the house is the saddle of the white horse. |
| Am-dos-to zin loohhah-te . | ...... | Um-po chhumru-bi kathitu | ...... | 227. Put the seddle apon his back. |
| Kho-m chhā uroto tiyū kera. | ...... | Um-po u-chu āug-à dama koua yom-du. | ..... | 228. I have beaten his sor with many stripes. |
| Khosāā bastu kompito chāre-mayo. | ...... | Yākām-a rip-pu pākhā-bi bhi-maisi-mul jolaimi. | ...... | 239. He is grazing cattle or the top of the hill. |
| Mungko sichham dokpu ghorā thenpikā tuchāe. | ...... | Yākām ghorā cbo-bi yàkām su lim-bi mo-jata. | ...... | 230. He is sitting on a horse ander that tree. |
| Am nechhe-pkā mimchhāpkā nechho dungrepe. | ...... | Um-p wā-mi-chum likandu um-po wā-lanchu rippu mota. | ..... | 231. His brother is talle than his sister. |
| Mungkomkā molingis-rong ādali dungdeta. | ...... | Tam-po mal sals-pu soju rek-pu ūnā me gota. | $\ldots$ | 232. The price of that $i$ two rupees and 1 half. |
| O-pā chimā khim-pi tuwe | ..... | Wa-pu yākam pidau kim-bi mo-ta. | ..... | 233. My father lives in tha small house. |
| Ongko rong nī-ko pi-te . | ..... | Tam moju yalkām bi . . | ...... | 234. Give this rapee to him |
| Rong nāko-pkā kholong bāi-te. | $\ldots$ | Monı soju-mul yākām lumbaka pi-chi-ni. | ..... | 235. Take those بrapees fror him. |
| Mungko ngālinota ker-to ribowà thupte. | $\ldots$ | Yäkam duma yom-du ribaà pudà. | ...... | 236. Beat him well and bin him with ropes. |
| Kuwāp-kū lyāwo up-te . | ...... | Inār-laka Lanku lātĩ | $\ldots$ | 237. Draw water from th well. |
| O.laiis-pi lām-duwī-te . | ...'.. | Wa-lal-bi lam-thiyā . . | ...... | 238. Walk before me. |
| $\bar{A} \bar{A}_{\mathrm{s}}$-me a m m -chbā e-dos-pa bān-tong tuwe? | ... | $\bar{A}$-уo-yo. Li pik-pu a-ho-po chu wa? | ...... | 239. Whome boy comes bu hind you? |
| Àna mungko às-pi khe-tr ? | ..... | Mom-a-bo-bi-ka a -kidu ? . | ... | 240. Froar whom did yc bay that? |
| Tel-pā-kā dokãn-pikū . | ...... | Del-bim tik-pu patya-la-lsa | $\ldots$ | 241. From a shoproepor the village. |

## Complex Pronominalized Languages.

## WESTERN SUB-GROUP,

Most Tibeto-Burman languages spoken in Western Nepal and still further to the west are dialects of Tibetan. On and about the ethnographio watershed between Tibetan and Aryan there is dotted a series of small dialects which are of a different nature. They are mainly of a Tibeto-Burman stamp, but besides, they have those characteristic features which have been mentioned in connexion with the pronominalized languages of Nepal.

The dialects in question are found in the North of Almora, in Kanawar and in Kangra, Lahul, and Chamba.

The most characteristic dialect of this group is the so-called Kanã $w^{a} r i \bar{i}$, spoken in Kanawar. We therefind more traces of the influence of a non-Tibeto-Burman substratum than in any other Himalayan dialect. This state of affairs is certainly in part due to the fact that I have been fortunate enough to secure the assistance of the Revd. J. Bruske, who has prepared an admirable list of Standard Words and Phrases for the purposes of this Survey.

Mr. Bruske's list makes it, so far as I can see, almost oertain that the old language, the influence of which can still be traced in the Kanāwniri dialeot, must have belonged to the Mundà family. I sball draw attention to the principal facts of importance.

The Munḍā languages possess a characteristic set of consonants, the so-called semi-consonants. They are formed in the same way as the hard unaspirated consonants, but the enunciation is checked before the air passes out from the point of contact between the organs of speech engaged in the pronunciation of the consonant. These checked sounds bave been represented by k', ch', t', p', respectively. Similar sounds appear to exist in Kanāw'ri, for in Mr. Bruske's list we find the word yunék', sun, where the final $k$ is said to be only half pronounced. In the same way a checked $t^{\prime}$ exists in Manchātī, where I have found the form tot', to be, with the final $t$ ' half pronounced. The Rev. T. Grahame Bailey, who has lately taken up the study of Kanāw'ri, has kindly informed me that such semi-consonants are apt to be replaced by the corresponding soft sound, when their pronunciation is emphasized, just as is the case in the Muṇ̣ā languages.

Higher numbers are counted in twenties as in the Muṇ̣ā languages; thus, nish $n i z z \bar{a} \bar{u}$ sai, two twenties ten, fifty.

The personal pronouns have three numbers, and there are double forms of the dual and plural of the first person, just as is the case in Mundà. Thus $g^{a}, I$; nishī, I and he; káshang, I and thou; ningán, I and they ; kishang, I and you.

The most interesting feature of Kanāw'ri grammar is the verb. The subject can be indicated by adding pronominal suffixes, viz. $g$ for the first and $n$ for the second person. In a similar way a suffix $c h$ is added if the object is of the first or second person. Compare the practice of Munḍā languages.

The details will be found in the ensuing pages. In this place it will be sufficient to mention that the characteristic features just mentioned are not Tibeto-Burmen, while they are in thorough agreement with Muṇ̣à grammatical principles.

Kanāshi is the dialect spoken by a small tribe in the Bias valley. It is apparently closely related to Kanāw ${ }^{1} \mathrm{r} \overline{\mathrm{j}}$, though it makes a less complex impression.

A similar remark holds good with regard to the dialects spoken in Manchat in British Lahul and the adjoining parts of the Chamba State, and on the banks of the river Chandra. They will be dealt with under the heads of Manchātị, Chamba Lāhụ̣ and Ranglōī, respectively. With regard to Chamba Lāhuli, new and good materials have been brought forward by the Revd. T. Grahame Bailey.

The Ranglōī dialeot connects Manchäṭi with Bunán, the dialect spoken on the banks of the river Bhaga. The Revd. H. A. Jaeschke, when mentioning the Bunán dialect in a paper published in the year 1865, stated that it was closely connected with Kanāw ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{i}$. The same holds good at the present day, though the materials forwarded for the purposes of this Survey are not sufficient for a full sketch of the dialect.

Connected dialects are further spoken in the north-west of Almora, where we find four dialects which will be dealt with under the heads of Rangkas, Dārmiyā, Chaudāngsi and Byāngsi, respectively. They are closely related, and more espeoially, the so-called Chaudāngsi and Byanngsi are almost one and the same dialect.

In all of them we find the same tendency to distinguish the person of the subject by means of a pronominal suffix added to the verb. Compare Cbaudāngsī sē-n-s, struckest, where $n$ is the suffix of the second person. The corresponding suffix of the first person is $g$. Compare the suffixes $n g \bar{\alpha}$ and $n \bar{a}$ in the eastern sub-group.

The Almora dialects still present another characteristic feature which may be worth mentioning in this connexion, viz. the frequent ose of reduplication in verbal bases. Compare Chaudāngsi kū-kōr-tā, brought; syung-t̄̄a and syū-syung-tā, made. The latter example shows that the reduplication is not necessary to the form. It apparently only intensifies the meaning. We can therefore perhaps compare the reduplication in Munḍā languages which is used in the same way. Compare Santālī $d a l$, to strike ; $d a$-dal, to strike hard.

Further details will be found under the head of the different dialects.
The close connexion existing between all these forms of speech will be at once apparent from an inspection of the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 532 and ff. The short table which follows registers some striking instances of coincidence:-

|  | Kanãw*rì. | Kanashī. | Manchātị. | Dunán, | Rangkas. | Dârmiyã. | Chandangsĭ. | Byāngsi. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | it | it | idi | tiki | tàkà | tä̀hō | $t i g$ | tig |
| 2 | nish | nish | (jut) | nyis | $n i \operatorname{in}$ | nisū | $n i s$ | $n i s i$ |
| 4 | $p^{i \underline{i}}$ | $p u$ | $p^{\text {® }}$ | $p^{i}$ | $p i$ | $p i$ | $p^{i}$ | $p^{i}$ |
| 7 | stish | $\ldots$ | $n y i j$ | nyizhi | nhist | nisü | nis | nis |
| Ear | (kanang) | rad | $r a t a$ | retsi | rach | racho | rach | rach |
| Far | vark | (dur) | wai | wai | hvānm | vãnam | ขānam | ขānam |
| Field | ri | rhe | rhi | rig | rai | $r e{ }^{\text {en }}$ | $r \bar{i}$ | rai |
| Hurse | rang | (ghora) | rhang | shrang* | rhã | $r{ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {ang }}$ | rāng | ${ }_{\text {rāng }}$ |
| Weter | $t i$ | $t i$ | $t i$ | so.ti | $t i$ | $t i$ | $t i$ | $t i$ |

The last word in the table is ti, water. Aocording to Jaesohke this word also occurs as a loan-word in Tibetan. If it is not originally an Indo-Chinese word it might perhaps be compared with Muṇdā dak', Khmēr dikk, etc., water.

The dialeot spoken by the wild inhabitants of Askot Malla is called Janggali. i.e. jungle-speech. It will be dealt with in connexion with the other Almora dialeots, though it does not belong to the same class. The reason is that the materials forwarded for the purposes of this Survey are so corrupt that it is impossible to say anything for certain about the affiliation of the dialect.

## KANAW ${ }^{\text {A }}$ RT.

Kanāwri is the name of the dialect or dialects spoken in the Sutlej Valley from the junction of that river with the Spiti stream. The dialect is also knownunder the name of Tibarskad. In lower Kanawar it is said to be locally known under the names of Milchang or Milchanang, and Malhesti. ${ }^{1}$

The number of speakers was estimated for the purposes of this Survey at 13,099 . The corresponding figure at the last Census of 1901 was 19,525 , of whom 19,493 were returned from Bashabr.

Two specimens and a list of Standard Words and Phrases in Kanāwari will be printed below. The first specimen is a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son, for which I am indebted to the Revd. Grahame Bailey. I only received it when the Kanà$w^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{ri}$ section had been corrected for printing, and it has not, therefore, been possible to make full use of it for the grammatical sketch. It represents the central dialect of Kanāwri, and, in most particulars, it corroborates the conclusions drawn from the forms occurring in the list. The second specimen is the statement of a witness. It has not been prepared with sufficient knowledge of the language, and is very incorrect. It has been forwarded both in Dēvanāgari and in transliteration. The two texts, however, often differ, and some passages are only contained in one of them. I have, however, not ventured to correct the spelling. Where the texts differ, I have when possible adopted the spelling which agrees with that followed in the list of words. The list itself has been very carefully prepared by the Revd. J. Bruske, of Chini, and, according to the Revd. 'I. Grahame Bailey, it represents the dialect spoken in Central Bashahr. It is so full and complete that it is almost possible to give a sketch of Kanāwari grammar based on it alone. I have incorporated all the forms occurring in the list in the grammatical notes. On the other hand I have only reproduced the principal forms in the printed list on pp. 532 and ff.

Some additional lists have been forwarded from the district. They have not, however, been of much use. They mostly agree with the specimens, and they do not give any idea of the complicated system of Kana $w^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{ri}$ grammar. The fact is worth mentioning because it shows how careful we must be in using the materials forwarded for the purposes of this Survey. It is quite possible and even probable that good materials, such as Mr. Bruske's list, would show that neighbouring dialects such as, e.g., the various dialects of Almora, possess a system of grammatical forms as richly developed as does Kanāw ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{ri}$.

## AUTHORITIES-
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The remarks on Kanāw ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ri}$ grammar which follow are almost exclusively based on Mr. Bruske's list. I have, however, also drawn attention to the principal cases in which the specimens differ.

Pronunciation.-Mr. Bruske's list gives a good idea of the phonetical system of Kanāwari. $\quad O$ and $e$ are both short and long. The short $o$ often interchanges with $a$. The vowel ${ }^{\text {a }}$ in $g^{a}$, I, etc., is described as something like the sound of $e$ in French $j e$. The sound of $\ddot{u}$ in $p \ddot{u}$, four, is said to be something between the Latin $u$ and $o e$.

Long and short vowels are often interchanged, and, in many cases, their length is quite indefinite.

No aspirated soft consonants occur in Mr. Bruske's list of words. The materials forwarded from the district, however, have instances both of $g h$ and of $j h$. Aspirated and unaspirated hard consonants are apparently often interchanged. Thus, khim, house; ki$m-\bar{o}$, in the house, both in the list; chang, son, in the list, chhang in the specimens, and so forth. $\quad T h$ and $t$ in the specimens often correspond to $t$ in the list; thus, thur and tur, run. The corebral sound is, at least in many cases, certainly the correct one. $S h$ and $j$ are interchanged in $\bar{a} s h$ and $\bar{a} j$, goat. Hard and soft consonants sometimes interchange ; thus, to and $d \bar{u}$, is, and so forth.

Compound letters are sometimes simplified; thus, lē, Tibetan lche, tongue; lang, Tibetan (ba-)glang, cow ; khim, Tibetan khyim, house, and so forth. In other cases the compounds are preserved ; thus, sgúi and gúi, Tibetan $d g u$, nine; $k r a ̄$, Tibetan skra, hair. The materials available are not sufficient for laying down definite rules.

The final $k^{\prime}$ in yunék', sun, is said to be only half pronounoed. We have no detailed information about the use of such half-sounded letters in the dialect. It is probable that we have here to do with the so-called abrupt tone of Central and Western Tibetan. Mr. Bailey's specimen shows that this abrupt tone is of frequent occurrence. It has been marked by means of a' after the syllable. The abrupt tone has been compared by Mr . Skrefsrud with the semi-consonants of Munḍā languages, and in the case of Kanā $\mathbb{W}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ri}$ it is not improbable that Munḍā influence has been at work.

We have no information about the existence of other tones in the dialect.
The accent has been marked in the list of words by means of a over the accented syllable. Thus, damminn- $\bar{u}$, to good men. The accent usually remains on the base. There are several exceptions to the rule, but we are not as yet able to disoern the laws regulating the matter.

Article.-There is no article. The numeral $i d$, one, often in the abbreviated form $\bar{i}$, is used as an indefinite article; thus, $i d \quad b a n i \bar{a}$, a shopkeeper ; $\bar{i} m \bar{i}-\bar{u}$, to a man. The initial $\bar{a}$ in $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, mother ; $\bar{a}-t \bar{e}$, elder brother, and so forth, is not an article, but a prefix as in Western Tibetan.

Nouns.-Gender is distinguished in the common way by using different words or by adding words denoting the sex. Thus, dámas, bull ; lang, cow : kyō-ráng, horse ; mánt-rang and gonmā, mare : äsh, he-goat; bakór, she-goat, and so forth.

Number. -There are three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural. The dual is indicated by the addition of the numeral nish, two; thus, nish bof $b \bar{a}$, two fathers. Sometimes also the plural suffix is added ; thus, nish böbän, two fathers.

The plural is not indicated when it appears from the context. The usual plural suffix is on, or, after vowels, $n$; thus, chimed-on, daughters; böbd̄-n, fathers; mín $n$, men. Other sources give $\bar{a}$, oblique $\bar{a} n$, instead ; thus, chimet $\bar{a}$, daughters ; rāng- $\bar{a}$, horses. This shows that the final $n$ cannot be distinctly sounded. After vowels we sometimes find $g \bar{a}$, and sometimes no termination; thus, $\bar{a} p \bar{a}-g \bar{a}$, fathers; $\bar{a} p \bar{a}-g \bar{a} n-\bar{u}$, of fathers; $m \bar{u}$, men ; min- $\bar{u}$, of men, and so forth. Compare $d \sigma \cdot g o n$, they, and Tibetan kun, all.

The existence of a dual in the dialect will be more apparent when we proceed to deal with pronouns. It is not a feature of Tibeto-Burman languages, and it must therefore have been introduced from without. It seems probable that it is due to the influence of an older population which has been absorbed by the Bhöṭiās. In this and in other characteristics, in which it differs from other Tibeto-Burman forms of speech, Kanā $w^{\text {a }} \mathrm{ri}$ agrees with the Mundea languages, and it therefore seems allowable to infer that the old population which has influenced Kanāwari grammar belonged to the Mundea stock.

Case.-The nominative and the accusative are not usually distinguished by means of any suffix. The dative is formed by adding $\bar{u}$ or $u$, and' this form is often also used as an accusative. Thus, bōb $\bar{a}-\bar{u}$, to a father ; $g^{a}-s d^{\frac{1}{o}} u$ cháng- $\bar{u} g o b$ tóng-shids to, I have beaten his son (lic. to his son) much; gâ- $\bar{u} n \bar{u}-u$ pishtíng den shed, put the saddle upon his back.

The subject of transitive verbs is usually put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding the suffx $s$; thus, chang-s (or chhang-es) lan-shits, son-by given, the son has given. The same form is also used as an instrumental ; thus, $b^{a}$ shes, with ropes.

The specimens do not always use the suffix $i \bar{i}$ in order to form a dative, but often add a postposition pang instead; thus, bäwä-pang, to the father.

The suffix of the ablative is dagts; thus, $b \frac{1}{\bar{b} b \bar{a}-d a g t s}$ or $b \bar{o} b \bar{a}-\bar{u}$ dagts, from a father. The real suffix is probably $t s$, instead of which we occasionally find ch; thus, kim-rim$c h$, from the property, in the first specimen.

The suffix of the genitive is $u$, or $\bar{u}$. The list has both forms: the specimens only give $\bar{u}$. Thus, bōbā-u, of a father ; $r^{\prime} \dot{a} n g-\bar{u}$, of the horse.

The suffix of the locative is $\bar{o}$; thus, lim- $\bar{o}$, in the house.
Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are den, on ; nyums and nyúms-kō, behind; oms and oms-kō, before ; tang, with, to; yütung, under, all occurring in the list.

In the specimens some additional postpositions occur such as ding (and dang), to, with; rang and $r \bar{a}$, near, with ; amp $\bar{i}$, before, with, and so forth.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the noun they qualify in the nominative. Thus, dam $m \bar{\imath}$, a good man. Comparison is expressed by adding $s$, i.e. probably the suffix of the ablative, to the compared noun and retaining the adjective unchanged.

Thus, nī-u baía án-u ríngsèes lamas dū, his brother own sister-from tall is, his brother is taller than his sister. Note also tsén-ü rāngk, all-of high, highest.

Numerals.-The numerals are given in the list of words. It will be seen that it, one, agrees with Manchāṭi idi, id, etc. The remaining ones are most olosely connected with the forms in use in Manchāti and the Almora dislects. Compare pü, four; Manchāṭi and Chaudāngsī $p^{\imath}$; stish, Manchāṭī nyij, Chaudāngsì nīs, seven; gúi, Manchătị $k \bar{u}$, Chaudāngsī gví, nine ; sai, Manchāṭī $8 \bar{a}$, Chaudāngsì chī, ten. Note nish mizzā̄̄ $8 a i$, fifty, lit. two twenties ten; ngā nizzā, hundred, lit. five twenties. This method of counting ligher numbers in twenties is peculiar to the Munda languages. It also occurs in Manchāṭi, Chamba Lāhuḷi, the Rangkas dialcet of Almora, etc.

Pronouns.-The personal pronouns in Kanāw"ri have developed a richly varied system of different forms. Not only are there respectful forms and ordinary forms of the second person singular, but the personal pronouns have different forms 'for all three numbers, and in the case of the dual and the plural of the first person there are two different sets of forms, one including and one excluding the party addressed. Both those latter characteristics, the existence of different forms for all three numbers, and the use of double sets of forms in the dual and plural of the first person, are distinctly Munḍä, while they are in entire disagreement with Tibeto-Burman principles.

The principal forms of the personal pronouns will be seen from the table which follows:-

| 1st pers. nom. | Singutar. |  | Duas. |  | Plutal. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinary. | Respectfal. | Exclusive. | Inclusive. | Excluaive. | Inclutive |
|  | $g^{a}$ | $\cdots$ | ${ }^{\text {nis }}$ ¢ $h i$ | káshang | ning ${ }_{\text {án }}$ | kishang |
| instr. | $g^{a} s$ | ... | nishi-s | kashang.s | ningáa-s | kishang-8 |
| gen. | ang | ... | nishi-u | káshang-u | ning $a^{\prime}$ - $u$ | kishang-u |
| 2nd pers, nom. | ka | $k i$ | ... | kishi | ... |  |
| instr. | ka.s | ki-s | ... | kisht-s | ... | kinán-s |
| gen. | $k a-n$ | $k i \cdot n$ | $\cdots$ | kishi- ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ... | $k i n \overline{a r n}-u$ |
| 3 rd pers, nom. | do | ... | dö-sung | $\cdots$ | dógon | ... |
| instr. | do-s | ... | dồ-sung-s | ... | dogon-s | $\ldots$ |
| gen. | do-u | .." | dö'sung-u | ... | dogon-u | ... |

Several slightly differing forms occur in the specimens. They will, however, be easily understood. Postpositions are apparently added to the genitive. Thus, ang-ín, to me; äng den, on me.

A reflexive pronoun is $\dot{a} n-u$, $a \underline{n}-0$, own.
Demonstrative pronouns are $j u$, this; $n i$, that.
Interrogative pronouns are hat, who? tat, what; tē, how great? térā, how much? how many? $t \bar{u}$, why? The specimens have $t h \bar{u}$, what ? $t h \bar{u}$, why ? The specimens also furnish the indefinite pronoun haisi, by anyone.
vol. hil, palt r.

There are no relative pronouns. Relative clauses are apparently formed by using the interrogative pronouns. Thus, thö-döng ang doä' tō do kan-ö, whatever me near-is that thine; kan chhang, häis, that son whom-by. It is evident that the relative words in such phrases are no real relatives but belong to the interrogative pronouns.

Verbs.-The Kanāwari verb possesses a richly developed system of different forms.
Intransitive verbs are comparatively simple. Transitive verbs, on the other hand, can assume several various forms. The base alone, in connexion with tense suffixes and personal terminations, is used when the verb has an ordinary object. Thus, nй•n $\bar{u}$ jigpo tong, him-to well beat, beat him well. If the object, on the other hand, is a persoual pronoun of the first or second person, ch is inserted between the base and the termination. Thus, tong-ch, beat me; bando teā-ch-iny, servant make-me. In the specimens, however, we occasionally find forms sucb as $\bar{a} n g \cdot \bar{u}$ tong-mig, instead of tong. chi-mig, in order to strike me.

The verb ran-mig, to give, is in a similar way only used when the indirect object is of the third person. In other cases a base $k \bar{e}$ is used instead. Thus, kyō, give me; $k \bar{e}-\bar{i} m$ gyāch, to give to me was proper, you ought to give me.

In addition to those forms, transitive verbs have a third base which is used with a reflexive or reciprocal meaning. It is formed by inserting sh between the base and the terminations. Thus, tóng-shi-mig, to beat each other, or, to beat oneself. This third base is constructed like an intransitive verb, i.e., the subject is not put in the case of the agent, but remains in the nominative. Thus, $g^{a}$ tóng-sho$t o g, ~ \mathrm{I} \mathrm{am}$ beating myself; but $g^{a}-s t o n g-c h o ̄ t o g, ~ I ~ b e a t ~ t h e e, ~ o r, ~ y o u . ~$

These different bases are not formed in accordance with Tibeto-Burman grammatical principles. Corresponding forms are, on the other hand, quite common in Munda languages. The verb of the typical Mundā dialects such as Santālī, Muṇ̣ārī, and so on, incorporates the direct and the indirect object in the verbal form by means of pronominal infixes, and it has developed different bases to denote the passive and the middle. Compare Santālī, dal-e-a-e, he strikes him; dal-iñ-a-e, he strikes me; dal-ok'-a-e, he strikes himself, and so forth. It seems probable that we are here again confronted with an instance of the influence exercised on Kanāwhi by an old Muṇda population.

The different bases are inflected in the same way. The person of the subject is not necessarily expressed in the verb when it appears from the context. Thus, $g^{a}-s$ $d^{\delta}-u$ cháng- $\bar{u}$ gob tóngshids to, me-by his son-to much having-struck am, I have beaten his son with many stripes. The dialect is, however, able to distinguish the person of the subject by means of personal suffixes added to the verb. There is no suoh suffix to denote the third person. The suffixes of the first and second persons singular are $g$ and $n$, respectively, and that of the inclusive first person dual and plural $\bar{e}$ or $n m e \bar{e}$. Thus, $g^{a}-s$ tóng-ch-ō to-g, I strike you; ka tóng-sh-ō-to-n, thou strikest thyself; lashang bió tó-nmē, I and thou go.

In addition to such personal terminations there are also some respectful suffixes, $v i z, n y$ for the first and second persons, and sh for the third. Thus, ang oms pai-ny, please walk before me; ung bōbă n̄̈̆ gátots kim-ō tốsh-ō tō-sh, my father that small house-in living is.

A suffix ch is used in the first and second persons dual and plural, and also in the third person of the past. It occurs almost exclusively in the list, and no detailed rules about its use are given.

Verb substantive.-The usual base of the verb substantive is to, corresponding to Tibetan ' $a \cdot d u g-p a$ or $s d o d-p a$. In the past tense we also find a fuller form tōkè. Only a present and a past are formed from this base. Other tenses are supplied from the bases hach, to become; ni, to remain ; tōsh, to sit, to be. Instead of to we also find $d u$ or $d \bar{u}$. A base $i$ occurs in $m \bar{a}-i g$, I am not, in the first specimen.

The following are the full forms of the base to with the personal terminations added :-

| Persert. |  |  |  | Past. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ordianry. | Respectful. | Ordinary. | Respeetful. |
| Sing. | 1. | 10.9 | $\ldots$ | tè-g; tókè-g | $\ldots$ |
|  | 2. | to-n | to-ny | tē-n; tốkè-n | tēny: tôkè-ny |
|  | 3. | tō | to-sh | toch; tốhē | tè-sh; tökeesh |
| Daal | 1. exclus. | to.ch | $\cdots$ | tē-ch ; tốkè-ch | $\ldots$ |
|  | 1. inclus. | tó-nmè | . | tè ; tốkè | $\ldots$ |
|  |  | to-ch | $\ldots$ |  | ... |
|  |  | to | to-sh | to.ch; tốkè | $t \bar{e}-s h ; ~ t o ̈ k e ̄-s h$ |
| Plar. | 1. exclus. | to.ch | to-ny | tē-ch; tốleèch | tē-ny; torkè-ny ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |
|  | 1. inclus. | t 6 -nmē | ... | tē; tốkē | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2. | to-ch | to-ny | tē-ch; töleèch |  |
|  | 3. | tō | $t o \cdot s h$ | to-ch ; tōkē | tèsh; tớkè-sh |

In the past tense there is also a form to-ts, which is used in all persons and numbers. Instead of tosh we find toch in tong-shids toch, I have beaten.

Finite verb.-The terminations of finite verbs are the same as those used in the verb substantive. It should, however, be borne in mind that the personal terminations are not necessary, and they are rarely used in the specimens.

Present time. -The usual present tense is formed by adding the present of the verb substantive to the participle ending in $\bar{o}$. Thus $g^{a}$ bín $\bar{o}$ to- $g$, I am going, I go; $k a-s$ tóng-ch-o to-n, thou art beating me; lodo-du, is saying, and so forth. This form is properly a present definite. A present is also formed by adding the personal terminations immediately to the participle; thus, shiō-g, I die; zäo, they eat.

[^14]Past time. - The suffix of past time is apparently $i$ or $\bar{e}$. The personal terminations are mainly the same as in the present. In the third person, howaver, we find a new suffix $g y$. Thus, $b \bar{i}-g y$, he went. The table which follows gives the full forms of bi-mig, to go, and tong-mig, to strike. The dual agrees with the plural, except with regard to the respectful forms of the two first persons, which are not used in the dual :-

| Singolar. |  |  |  | Pluala |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lat persou. | 2nd persou. | 3rd person. | 1st person. |  | 2ud persou. | 3ril person. |
|  |  |  |  | Exclue. | Inclus. |  |  |
| Ordinary | $b i ̄-\frac{e ́ c}{-g}$ |  | bigy | $b \ddot{\imath}$ ë-ch | $b i ' \cdot \bar{e}$ | bi'-è-chu | bigy |
| Respectful |  | $b i-e \overline{n y}$ | $b i^{\prime}-\bar{e}-3 h$ | $b i^{\prime}-\bar{e}-n y$ | ... | $b i^{\prime}-e^{-}-n y$ | $l \bar{u}^{\prime}-\bar{e}-s h$ |
| Ordinary | tóng-ig | $t \delta n g-i n$ | tóng- ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | tóng-ich | tóngy ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | tóng-ich | tóng- ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |
| Respectful | ... | tóng-iny | tóngish | tóng-iny | ... | töng-iny | tông-ish |
| Ordinary | tong-chig | tóng-chin | tóng-chigy | tông-chi-èch | tóng-chī- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | tóng-chī.êch | tóng-che |
| Respectfal | $\ldots$ | tóng-chiny | tóng-chitēsh | tóng-chi-èny | ... | tóng-chīeèny | tóng-chī-ēsh |

Tóng-shi-g, I struok myself, and so forth, is conjugated like tóng-chi-g, I struck thee.

Other forms occurring in the specimens are lod $\vec{a}$, said; ran $\vec{a}$, gave; d $\bar{a}$-shi-ch, he and I quarrelled with each other; chēn-s suñch lān- $\bar{a}$, all-by thought made, all wondered.

A compound past is formed from a past participle ending in shids; thus, tóng. shids-to, having beaten am, I have beaten; tóng-shids-toch, I had beaten. In the second specimen shid is used instead of shids, and this form usually has the meaning of an ordinary past. Thus, lō-shid, he said; kē-shid, gave to me; tong-shid, he struck, and so forth.

The specimens furnish instances of several other forms of the past.
The participle ending in $\bar{o}$ is often used as a past; thus, lān- $\bar{o}$, did ; $l i-\bar{o}$, , went.
$S$ is added in forms such as $h \bar{a} c h i-s$, became. This $s$ is also the suffix of a participle. See below.

Periphrastic forms are gyau-duē, he was wishng; lano-duë, was making; thasö $d \bar{u}^{\prime}$, he heard, and so forth.

Future. - The future is formed by adding the present tense of the verb substantive to the base. Thus, tong-tog, I shall strike; bi-to-g, I will go, and so forth. If the object of a transitive verb is a pronoun of the first or second person, and if the verb is used with a reflexive or reciprocal moaning, to is replaced by cho or sho, respectively. Thus, ka-s tong-cho-n, thou wilt strike me, or, us; dógon tong-sho, they will beat each other, and so forth. Other bases ending in $c h$ or $s h$ also form their future in the same manner ; thus, hách-og, I shall become; tôsh-og, I shall remain, and so forth.

The future is commonly used as a conjunctive. Forms such as hachids geg $\bar{a}$, I may become; níds-gēā, I may be; $g^{a}-s$ tóng-shids $\quad$ gēā, I may beat, are almost only used in prayers, and then in the third person singular only.

Imperative.--The base alone is used as an imperative. Personal terminations are added in the respectful form, in the dual an't the plural. Thus, $b \bar{u} \bar{u}$, go ; respectful
biny; dual bīch; plural bich, respectful bing. Similarly we find zā, eat; tosh, respectful toshiny, sit, and so forth. Irregular are jarà, respectful jany, come; dèn nyü, plural dénich, stand; thrat, respectful türäny, run;kyō, respectful kēny, plural këoh, give me, or us (but ran, respectful rany, etc., give to him, or them) ; taud, respectful tsuny, dual and plural tsuch, draw ; dab, respectful damny, dual and plural dab-ch, draw (water from the well), and so fortl.

Transitive bases have the same variety of forms as in the case of finite tenses. Thus, ki-s tong-ny, please strike; tong-ch, beat me; kishïs tong-chich, beat me you two, kinán tóngshich, beat ye each other, and so forth.

Suoh forms are used when the imperative refers to something which should be done immediately. If the action is to be performed in future after luaving done something else, the imperative is formed by adding rä, respectful rany; dual rach, plural rach, respectful ranyt to the base. The accent is somewhat irregular. The table which follows has been taken from Mr. Bruske's list and will not be reproduced in the list itself :-

|  | Singolar. |  | Dosil | Plubis. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cominou. | Respectful. |  | Commion. | Respectful. |
| S |  | bi•rány | bîrach | bi-rach | bi-rány |
| eat | ${ }_{2} \bar{\alpha} \cdot \gamma \bar{a}$ | zā-rány | $z \frac{1}{\text { a }}$-rach | $2 \frac{1}{\text { árach }}$ | zā-rany |
| sit | tōshî̀rā | tôshi-ping | tōshî- ${ }^{\text {ach }}$ | tōshírach | tōshi-rány |
| come | $j{ }^{\text {a }}$ arrà | jáarany | $j{ }^{\text {a }}$-iach | رáreach | jat-rany |
| beat | tong-ra | tong-rány | tóng-rach | tóng-rach | tong-rány |
| beat me | tơng-chī $\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{\square}$ | tong-chi-rány | tóng-chi-rach | töng-chī-rach | tong-chi-rány |
| stand | $d e \bar{n} \bar{i}-r \bar{a}$ | dĖni -rány | dēn $\frac{1}{\text { 2 }}$-rach | dēnṫrach | dèni-, ${ }^{\text {ány }}$ |
| die | $s h \frac{1}{2-r \cdot a}$ | shir-any | shi-rách | shìpdich | shirrany |
| give | $r^{a} n \cdot \nu \bar{a}$ | ran rány | ran-rách | ran-rách | ran-rány |
| give me | $k \dot{\theta} \cdot \cdots$ | $k \hat{\text { en-ràny }}$ |  |  | $k{ }^{\text {a }} \cdot \mathrm{rán}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| run | $t u r \cdot \dot{\bar{a}} \cdot r \bar{a}$ | turáárany | türáarach | turà $\frac{1}{2}$ rach | turáárany |
| take | ún-rā |  | un-rách | un-rách | un- $\cdot$ án |
| bind | tsúr-rā | tsu-rány | tsu vack | tsu-rách | tsu-rány |
| draw | d ${ }^{\text {a }}$-r $\times \bar{a}$ | daborany | ḑảb rach | dáab-rach | d dab-rány |
| walk | pai-rī | pai-rány | pai-rách | pairrdeh | pai-rány |

There are no instances in the materials available of a negative imperative.
Verbal nouns.-The usual suffix of the verbal noun is $m$, or im; thus háchim, to become; rōgìm, to feed; bīm, to go. The suffix ig is often added. Thus, tón•mig, to be; tong-shi-m-ig, to beat oneself. Such forms are probably infinitives of purpose.

Participles.-A present participle is formed by adding the suffixes $\bar{o}$ and $s$, and a corresponding past by adding shids; thus, bīō, going; hāchis, being; tóng-chi-s, beating me, or us, or thee, or you ; tofshis, sitting ; tóng•shids, beaten, who has beaten, and so forth.

Conjunctive partioiples appear to be formed by reduplicating the base; thus, tóngtong, beating; $b \bar{i}-b \bar{l}$, having gone, and so forth. In dorareà, having run, the reduplica tion appears to take place in the interior of the word.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. Passivity is sufficiently indicated by the absence of the suffix of the agent after the subject.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $m a$; thus, $m a-n \bar{n}$, it is not, no.

Order of words.—The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. Qualifying words precede the qualified ones.

For further details the student is referred to the specimens which follow, and to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 532 and ff.

## Tibeto-Burman Family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

## KANAW ${ }^{\mathbf{A}}$ RI.

## Specimen 1.

(Rev. T. Grahame Batley, 1907).
Î mīū nish chhang dūe'. Zigits chhang-es anō bawà lodā', 'babā
One man-of two sons were. Little son-by own father-to said, 'father ang hiza (or hissā) keō.' Dos aṇō baṇṭha ranā'. Gaṭo diārōch $m y$ portion give-me.' Him-by own part gave. Few days-from niums zigits ohhang-es aṇō tsuē zoma lanä' workiò bīo’, döng wāmang after little son-by own all together made far went, there evil kāmang aṇō māyā shungā'. Dos tsoē kharts lani' dō mulkiō work-in own property finished. Him-by all spending made that country-in angkālang bī-bi mū-lī maĩts ollō pöpö, dō hadō famine having-gone altogether nothing-is straitened having-arrived, he that mulkiō tōshidu (or tōshizeā) duä' (or dā') biō'; dos anō rīwanō sūra country-of dweller near went; him-by own fields-to pigs rogìm shēdā. Dō sūras rokshimi kholōp an zāmig gyau-due', to-feed sent. He pigs feeding.for husks self to-eat wishing-was,
hāisī. dō ma ranā'. Tserep yāt kadā' dog lodo-dū, 'ang bawā doā' anyone-by that not gave. Little memory brought then saying-is, 'my father near tē mozūrì dū', pētang pöng stang roṭē zāo, $g^{a}$ jöng oṇṭös how-many labourers are, belly fill up-to bread eating, I here hungry shiōg. $G^{n}$ ang bawā döng bītog do-pöng lō-tag, "bawā, Parmēshuras die. I my father there will-go him-to will-say, "father, God's kī pāp lan-lan $g^{a}$ kan chhang hachī lāik mãig. Angu nūkrì your sin having-done $I$ thy son to-be worthy not-am. Me servant tāchiny." Sorshīs anō bowā döng biō'. Do chhang warkiō dūe', place-me.", Having-arisen own father there went. That son far vaas, aṇō bawās tānga', kōtsang tsalo-dū' dāīā (or dōrareā') aṇō chhangō own father-by saw, evil (miserable) thinking-is having-run own son-to kakts tsumā' papū ranā'. Chhangas anō bonu lodo-dū', 'bowā, neck-to held kiss gave. Son-by own father-to saying-is, 'father, Parmēshuras kin pāp lan-lan $g^{\wedge}$ kan chbang hachī lāik mäig.' God's your sin having-done $I$ thy son to-be worthy not-am.'
Bonas nūkrenu lodo dū', 'tsuēnu dam chhugã totoā (or tōtã') phoginy; Father-by servants-to saying-is,' all-than good clothes having-extracted put-on;
gūdau pratsau mundi sheny, bangō shpon sheny, yokshīd āzh kaka hand-on finger-on ring send, feet-on shoes send, fattened goat having-brought shun-mig ningā zātong khusi haohiny (or nītiny); ṭhū, ang ohhang to-kill we shall-eat merry shall-be; why, my son shī-shī toke', hē shünggī (shönggī) hachis (hachas); shō bī-bī toke', having-died was, again became: lost having-gone was, hē porēdā.' Dos khusì lano-due'. again was-obtained.' Him-by happiness making-was.

Dō tēg atē rīmō dūye’. Kimu nērangō büde-rang (or büdyēnen) His big brother field-in voas. House-to near. coming.on bazgī bazetsu skad thasō-dū'. $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$ nukrū kuku do-pöng iō-dū', music sounding-of noise hearing-is. One servant-to having-called him-to asking-is, 'thö hache'?' Nukrös lodo-dū', 'kan dzigits atē bēudā'. Kan bowās 'what became?' Servant-by saying-is, 'thy little brother came. Thy father-by yokshīd äzhu' shubā', dō tenges shubo-dū', āṇo chhang dam porereä'.' fattened goat killed, that for killing-is, own son well having-obtained.' Dō dukhang tang-tang kumo bīm ma-gyau-dū', dō bon He grieved having-looked house-in to-go not-wishing-is, his father, bäerang bībì anu chhangu somzāeo-dū'. Dos lodo-dū', 'g's outside having-gone own son-to causing-to-understand-is. Him-by saying-is, 'me-by
tē boshang kan kāmang lan-lan, kas angu tērangĩ bokharu how-many years thy work having-done, thee-by me-to ever-even she-goat chhang mā kē-kē ang koneā rang khusi lan-tog. Kan young not having-given-to-me $I$ friends with happiness make-shall. Thy chbang tērang bödā', hāis kan mālā pātaranu udācā', kas dō son when came, whom-by thy property harlots-to wasted, thee-by him tenges yokshìd āzh shub-shub.' Bonös lodo-dū', 'chhang, ka ta barābar for fattened goat killed.' Father-by saying-is, 'son, thou then straight-on ang rang ēkē ton; thödöng ang doā' to', do kano. Khusi me with together art; whatever me near is, that thine. Happiness lan-mig khusi hachī-mig dam toke'; ṭhū, kan bāiä shī-shī toke', to-make merry to-becone good was; why, thy brother having-died was, hē shönggī hāchis; shō bī-bī toke', hē porēdā'.' again alive became; lost having-gone was, again was-obtained.'
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# tibeto-Burman family. Tibeto. Himalayan Branch. 

KANĀWARI.

## Specimen II.

(District Kanawar.)
Āng nāmang Mādhō; bawā-ū nāmang Sādhō; zāt kuṇc̣as;
My name Mādhō; father-of name Sādhō; caste kanet; Köstam-pī bashchyā. Mudēī-s āng-den ārkōlang dāwā lān-shid. G ${ }^{\text {a }}$-s
Koti-in inhabitant. Plaintifffy me-on false complaint gave. Meby mudēi-pang mā tong-shid. Nishī anen-u mājang mã dā-shich. plaintiff-to not struck. I-and-he own among not quarrelled-with-each-other. Ãng ì biting-den jagrā tōkē. $G^{a}$ panchō-pang phī-phì näpā My one wall-on quarrel was. I arbitrators-to taking there biting-ū simang shè-mig bi-shid. Ningā[n] dak biting-ū-den pu-shid, wall-of delimitation to-make went. We then wall-at reached,
mudēī-s ningān-ū gāling kērā; āng-ū terang tong-mig ṭlurerā budā. plaintiff-by us-to abuse gave; me-to then to-strike running came. Chēn-s suñch lān-ā. Maṇã̃̃̄-maṇā̃̃ (i.e. an-u an-u) kim-ō bi-shid. Ga's All-by thought made. Own-own house-to went. Me-by lī nā-pāks byang-byang āng kim-ō bi-shid. Hāl ṭhurerā āng also therefrom fearing my house-to went. Haste running my bang-ō ṭhukar lāgēdā. Āng kim-ō bī-bī shum dyār țō-t̄ō-brin foot-to hurt was-applied. My house-to going three days senseless dākchēk. Mudēi-s sunchā-shī, 'āng-den gālīng kēsō nālish
lay. Plaintiff-by thought-having, 'me-on abuse giving-of complaint lăn-tog, āng dāwā rōkyāīm ārkōlang nālish āng.den shē-shid. Āng-ū give-will,' my complaint to-stop false complaint me-ons put. Me-to do gāling kē-shid; do-ū $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{a}}$ lī nālish lān-tok. he abuse gave; that-of $I$ also complaint give-vill.

## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

My name is Mādhō; my father's name Sādhò; my caste Kanet; my village Koti. The plaintiff has lodged a false complaint against me. I did not strike him. He and I have no quarrel with each other. I have a quarrel concerning a wall, and I had gone there with the arbitrators in order to settle the limits. When we came to the wall, the plaintiff abused us and came running to strike me. Everybody wondered at it. All then went home, and I also returned thence, much alarmed. I ran very fast, and my foot was hurt. During three days I lay senseless at home. The plaintift feared that I should lodge a complaint against him for abuse, and filed a false complaint in order to stop it. He abused me, and I am going to accuse him.

## KANĀSHT.

Kanāshī is the dialect spoken in a glen within the Bias valley, containing only the village, called by outsiders Malāna and by the villagers themselves Mālāni. According to Mr. Diack, 'the glen is a very deep and narrow one, extending from the mountain ridge (at that point impassable or nearly so) forming the tri-junction of the Bias, Chenab, and Spiti watersheds down to the valley of the Parbati, a large tributary of the Bias from the east. At the point of junction between the Malana stream and the Parbati the sides of the glen are steeply precipitous and the path zig-zagging from one side to the other is extremely difficult. The only other ways of entering the glen are by very high and somewhat difficult passes between it and the Bias valley on the one hand and the Parbati valley on the other. The village of Malana is thus very isolated, and to this isolation doubtless is due the preservation of the ancient and curious dialect spoken there.'

The number of speakers has been estimated for the purpose of this Survey at 980. The dialect was not separately returned at the last Census of 1901.

## AUTHORITIES-

Habcoort, A. F. P.,-The Himalayar districts of Kcoloo, Lahoul, and Spiti. London 1871. Contains a Malanna vocabulary on pp. 379 and ff.
Fanshafe, H. C.,-Kulu-Language spoken at Malana. Panjab Notes and Queries. Vol. i, Nos. 376, 471,554. Compare Mr. Tribe's notes in Nos. 806, 879, and 958.
Diack, A. H.,-The Kulu Dialect of $H i n d i$ : some notos on its grammatical structure, with specimens of the songs and sayings current amongst the people, and a glossary. Lahore 1896, pp. 99 and f , Contains a Kanashi vocabulary on pp. 102 and ff.
I am indebted to Mr. G. C. L. Howell, Assistant Commissioner of Kulu, for two specimens and a list of standard Words and Phrases in Kanāshī. These materials are far superior to anything that has hitherto been published about the dialect, and the remarks which follow are entirely based on them. Mr. Howell writes that he has not as yet been able to make a thorough study of Kanāshï, and that several points in pronunciation and grammar still remain uncertain.

Name of the language.-Mr. Howell points out that the word Fanäshī is stated to be derived from $\mathbb{F}_{\text {an }}$ āsh, the name of an unknown region.

Pronunciation.-The materials have been noted down in Roman and vernacular obaracters. Among the latter versions there is one written in the Tibetan alphabet, which in many respects seems to be superior to the rest, and which I have therefore mainly followed.

Mr. Howell states that he oannot hear any aspirates in the dialect, but that his clerks say they can. The state of things is probably the same as in Tibetan, where unaspirated mutes are much less aspirated than in English, and the corresponding aspirates more like the English unaspirated sounds. I have therefore introduced aspirates where the Tibetan text gives them.

Cerebral letters have likewise been introduced from the texts in Tibetan character. The same is the case with the palatal $n y$, for which the Romanized text has $n$.

The dialect possesses semi-consonants in words such as tek', great; buratak', comes; $d u j$ ', to him, and so on. The materials available are, however, still insufficient for giving detailed rules about their use, and I have not attempted to note them consistently.

The palatal sounds $\underline{t_{8}}, \underline{t_{8}} h, d z$, and $z h$ all exist. They are, however, often confounded in the texts. Thus the suffix of the dative occurs as $u j, u z h$, and $u z$.
$R, r$ and $l$ are sometimes interchanged; compare chari, forty; sora and söla, sixteen; khalas and kharas, standing, eto.

Tones and accent.-Tones are said to be a prominent feature of the dialect. It has not, however, been possible to lay down rules for their use. The accent is usually thrown as far back as possible.

Articles.-There are no articles, but $i$, the shortest form of the first numeral, is often used as a kind of indefinite article; thus, $i$ marghang-ka-di, with a man.

Nouns.-Gender is distinguished in the common way, by using different words or adding terms denoting the sex; thus, marshang, man; bet! $\bar{i}$, woman : chho, son ; chime, daughter; räng, horse; mīch rāng, mare; kui, dog ; mīch kiutī, bitch.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The latter is not necessarily marked, when it appears from the context; thas, shum rhad, three bulls. There is, however, a separate plural suffix $g a$, which usually takes the fuller form gan before suffixes; thus, nyish $b \bar{a}-g a$, two fathers; $b \bar{u}-g a n-k a$, of fathers; chanditgo marshang-gan-dits, from good men.

Case.-The subject of intransitive verbs is not distinguished by means of any suffix. The final $i$ in $d u-i t o t-k \tilde{o}$, he was; duga-i tot-ke, they were; is probably an emphasizing particle.

The subject of transitive verbs is usually distinguished by means of a suffix $s h$ or 8; thus, $b \bar{a}$-sh tang-mo, father-by saw, the father saw ; jang-s sanemuk', God-by slew, the God slew. The two first personal pronouns do not appear to possess any such case.

The object is often distinguished by adding a $p$; thus, lata-phata-p, property; $s u \bar{r} a-p$ rwang-m, swine-to feed; $b a-p$ lon-mo, father-to said.

The suffix of the dative is $u j$, the final sound of which is stated to be a semiconsonant. The text in Tibetan character usually has $u z h$ instead; thus, chime-uj, to a daughter; chho-uj, to a son; ba-ga-uj, to fathers; chime-goj, to daughters.

The suffix of the ablative occurs as $s, d z$, and $\underline{t_{s}}$; thus, Kultang-s, from Kulu; lorang-ngaz, from the rent; $b a-d i-\underline{t} \underline{t}$, from a father; chanditgo marshang-gan-di-t$\underline{t}_{g}$, from good men. The postposition ditg contains this $\underline{t s}$ added to di, which seems to mean 'with'; compare, bā-di, with, near, the father ; marshang-ka-di, to a man, at a man's. The suffix $s$ is also used to denote the instrument; thus, bushu-s, with ropes.

The genitive is formed by adding $k a$; thus, gramang-ka, of the village; ba-gan-ka, of fathers.

The suffix of the locative and terminative is $a$; thus, pagring-a, in the pagri sho-w-a, into the fields; kathi-gan-a, on the passes.

Other relations are expressed by adding postpositions. Such are pā, on; kash, for the sake of; rang, with; hipich, behind; nandris, before; yen, under, and so forth.

Adjectives.-Adjectives usually precede the noun they qualify; thus, kora nashing, the lower house ; shobila shobila gāsa, good good clothes; but mal-ap sab-ap, all the property. In nyish chanditso marshang, two good men, an o has been added to the adjective before a plural noun. Comparison is expressed in the usual
way by putting the compared noun in the ablative or the locative; thus, $d u-k a$ bau $d u-k a$ ringz(-ka) nitg lamas to, his brother his sister from tall is; sab-ka-nitg akli, all from wise; sab-a tek', all among great. The initial $n i$ in $n i-t \underline{t}, n i-d z$, from, is probably a postposition with the same meaning as $d i$, with; compare $d u$ and $n u$, that.

Numerals. -The first numerals are given in the list of words. It will be seen that many of them are Aryan loanwords. Nyish, two, nyi-za, twenty, have been written nish, niza, respectively, in most specimens. The forms with $n y$ have been taken from the texts written in the Tibetan character. Higher numbers are counted in twenties, though the Aryan method of reckoning in tens is also commonly used by men, while the women stick to the other way. Compare nyiza uj das, twenty and ten, thirty; nyiza uj gyara, thirty-one ; shat or shum biya, three scores, sixty; pu biya, eighty, and so forth.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

| $g u, \mathrm{I}$. | ko, thou. | $d u, n u$, he, she, it. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ang-p, me. |  | $d u-p$, him, her, it. |
| ang-z, to me. | $k \dot{a} j$ or $k \bar{a} d z$, to thee. | $d u-s$, by him. $d u g u j, n u g u j$, for him. |
| $a-k a, \mathrm{my}$. | kan, kan-ka, thy. | $d u$-ka, his, her, its. |
| $n i$, we. | $k i, ~ y o u$. | $d u-g a$, they. |
| ni nyis-mis, we two. |  | $d u$-gash, by them. |
| ning-z, to us. | $k i \overline{d z}$, to you. |  |
| $n i-k a$, our. | kin-ka, your. | $d u-g a n-k a$, there. |

The termination $z$ in ang-z, to me, is identical with the dative suffix $u j$. The base $n u$ of the third person is inflected like $d u$. Note also ang-rang, with me; du-ditg, from him ; $d u-g a n-d i$, with them; anu and anuka, own.

There are apparently no dual forms and no double forms of the plural of the first person, denoting the exclusive and inclusive plural.

Interrogative pronouns are hate, who? hase, by whom? hat-ka, whose? hate ditse, from whom? chhuge, what? hole, how? kwe, why? Indefinite pronouns are formed from the same bases; thus, hati, any one; hasi, by any one; chhigi, anything.

The pronoun of the third person is also used as a demonstrative pronoun. Note also tes waktus, at that time.

Relative pronouns are $y a n g-s$, by whom ; yang, whatever.
-Verbs.-The materials at my disposal are not sufficient for giving a full sketch of Kanāshi conjugation. It is apparently much simpler than in Kanā wari. There are no certain instances of the use of suffixes to denote an object or to add a reflexive meaning. It is probable that the shi in forms such as ashig, became; lam-shig, was found; na-shi-tamung, we sit, is an intransitive or passive suffix, but nothing can be said with certainty.

As in Kanãws'i there are two verbs meaning 'to give,' ran and ke. The former is used when the indirect object is of the third person, the latter when it is of the first.

There is at least a strong tendency to distinguish the person of the subject by adding suffires to the verb; thus, boke-k, I went; boke-n, wentest; bok, he went. The state of affairs seems to be as follows.

In the first person singular a $k$ is added ; thus, ahigu-ta-k, I die. This $k$ is a semiconsonant, and $g$ is occasionally written instead; thus, shan-mo-g, I did. Forms such as to-tang, I should beat; sho-tang, (that I) might make (merry), are perhaps plural, and to-tan, I may beat, is perhaps misheard for to-tang.

In the second person singular a suffix $n$ is usually added; thus, to-n, art ; bo-ke-n, wentest. In $t o t-k e-n-\tilde{o}$, wast, a nasalized vowel has been added.

There is apparently no suffix to denote a subject of the third person; thus, to, is ; royo-to, lives; lon-mo, said. Often, however, a half pronounced $k$ ' is added, as in the case of the first person; thus, bura-tak', he comes.

The characteristic termination of the two first persons plural is apparently $n g$; thus, bong-ta-ng, we go; bo-ke-ng, we went.

Verb Substantive.-The usual base of the verb substantive is to or tot, and it is inflected as follows :-

| Present. |  | Past. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sing. 1. tot-k. | Plur. 1. tong. | Sing. 1. tot-ke-k. | Plur. 1. tot-keng. |
| 2. to-n. <br> 3. to. | 2. tong. <br> 3. tush, tosh. | 2. tot-ke-n-õ. <br> 3. tot-kõ. | 2. tot-keng. <br> 3. totke. |

The list of words gives tot-ke-k, I am, and tot-k, I was, but No. 192, gu toz tod$k e-k$, I was beating, shows that tot-ke-k is the past.

Forms such as tosh are also used as a respectful singular ; compare Kanāwrici.
Finite verb. -The verb substantive seems to play a considerable role in the conjugation of finite verbs, many forms being compounds of a participle and a verb substantive.

There are apparently two tenses, a present-future and a past. Mr. Howell doubts that the present and future terminations are interchangeable, but states that he has not been able to make his Mālānīs understand the difference between the various tenses, and the remarks which follow are therefore given with some reserve.

Present time.-The usual suffix of the present, which is also used as a future, is apparently $t a$, added to the base or to a form ending in $u$, $k u$, or $g u$; thus, bong-ta-k, I go, I shall go; shigu-ta-k, I die; royo-ta-n, dwellest; ran-ta-n, givest; dwa-ta, he comes; bura-tak', he comes; sho-tak, he does ; to-ta-ng, we strike, we shall strike; tugutang, we drink; bung-tang and buko-tang, you go, etc.

Some suffixes such as mung, kung, kun, kon, or $k \tilde{u}$, and kush, are often added to this ta, apparently without adding anything to the meaning; thus, nashi-ta-mung, we sit; to-ta-kung, you beat, they beat; to-ta-kun (or $-k \tilde{u}$ ), you will beat, he will beat; togu-ta-ku , beatest, beats; lam-she-ta-kush, we pitch ; to-ta-kush, they will beat, etc.

Forms such as sho-tash, they make; bong-tash, he comes, should be compared with tosh, is, are. The same is probably also the case with tashang, is.

Periphrastic forms containing the verb substantive are royo-to, he lives; raku-ta-to, he is grazing, and probably also bura-ch-to, he will come.

A $k$ suffix occurs in na-shi-k, sits; hashi-g-eg, is; sukh $n e-k$ ', there is peace; bo-ke, they go; ran-kek', they give, etc. Such forms are commonly used as past tenses.

Past time. -The usual suffixes of past time are apparently $m e$ or $m o$ and $k$, ke, or ge; thus, shan-mo-g, I did; to-me-k, I struck; bura-ke-k, I have come; to-me-ng, we struck; bo-ke-ng, we went; khang-me-n, boughtest; bo-ke-n, wentest; bo-k, went; wat-k, laughed; mil-ek, was found; bura-k, came; richi-mo, he asked; ran-muk', he gave; shan-mug, he did; tsha-mek, he heard; bo-ke, they went; bhur-muk, they left. The suffixes kun or $k \tilde{u}$ and $k u s h$ are used as in the present time. Compare twat-ke-kon, he sent; to-ge-kü, struckest, struck; to-ge-kung, you struck, and perhaps to-me-kun, I had beaten; further to-ge-kush, they struck. Shigon, died, and bigon, was lost, are perhaps participles. Forms such as hashig and hashik, became; achig, arose, have already been mentioned under the head of present time. Compare also chum-shige, kissed, literally perhaps ' kissing became.'

Imperative.-The base alone can be used as an imperative; thus, ran, give; ach, be. The final $n g$. in forms such as pi-chi-ng, put; la-ng, bring, is perhaps a plural suffix. Compare the final $n g$ in verbal forms of the first and second persons plural. There are apparently some imperative suffixes such as $k e, t$, and $u$; compare $\underline{t_{s} h u d-k e, ~}$ bind; bung-t, go ; ra-t, give; lā-u, put; to-mulk, beat. Note also pi-chi-gu-n, make me, which apparently contains a suffix $g u$ denoting an object of the first person, and another suffix $n$ denoting the subject.

Passive voice.-There does not appear to be any passive voice. Compare ang-p to-ge-kush, me they struck, I was struck. Forms such as gu toto bura-tak, I striking go, I shall be struck, are simply attempts at a literal translation of the Aryan idiom.

Verbal nouns and participles.-The usual verbal nouns are formed by adding: the suffix $m$ or miz; thus, yang-m, to live; la-miz, to wear. Forms such as hashi-ta, to be; boda, to spare, are apparently identical with the usual base of the present time.

The reduplicated base is used as a participle; thus, za-za, eating; to-to, beaten. The usual tense bases are probably also used as participles. Compare bok, gone; hashige, having been. Note also to-z, beating; bung-sta bung-sta, going.

Negative voice.-The negative particle is a prefixed ma; thus, ma-ran, did not give; ma-yag, did not wish. The vowel of ma seems to be rather faint, and has a tendency to approach the initial vowel of the verb in sound ; compare me ken-ken, didst not give; mu tutash, does not come.

Order of words.-The order of words is said to be comparatively free. The usual succession, however, is subject, object, verb.

For further details the student is referred to the specimens which follow and to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 532 and ff.
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KANȦSHI.

## Specimen I.

(G. C. L. Howell, Esq., 1907.)

| I marshang-ka-di | nyish chang-sa | tush. | Phākuch | chhog-sh anu | bā-p |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One | man-to | two | sons | are. | Small | son-by | own father-to |

hīpich phākuch chhok-sh anu-ka hisa-p kaṭ shaṇ-mo; dabaz-pheṭa dūr after small son-by own share together made; then far par-deshang bok hed ānu-ka lata-phaṭa-p chhigi-mī-pī-chi-mo. Zhaba foreign-country went and own property anything-not-left. When ni dzaganga anu-ka mãl-ap sab-ap kharate shaṇ-mug, dabode dīt that place-at own property all spent made, then there aṇālang poyak; du gharīb hashig. Du deshang i marshang famine befell; he poor became. That country-ins one man rang yang-m bo-ke-kon. Du marshang-s show-a sūr-ap rwīng-m with live-to went. That man-by field-to swine feed-to twat-ke-kon. Kholang za-zā anu shoṇ plen-mo; nu kbolang-p sūr-as sent. Husks eating ovon belly filled; those husks soine-by bhuṛ̂-muk; hāsi duguj chhige mā rāṇ. Jabe duguj hosh
left; anyone-by him-to anything not gave. When him-to sense burak, du-s lon-mo, 'āka bā-di nonda thinḍa tush; du-gan-di came, him-by said, 'my father-with somany seroants are; them-with zà-miz bhori oḍa tosl, hed boda tosh. Gu wāsh shigu-tak. Gu eat-to much breads are, and to-spare are. I hungry die. I chbabaya achi-tak ānu bā-di bung-tak, du-p lo-tak, "nu āka bā, now arise-will own father-to go-will, him say-will " $O$ my father, gu kan sāmna Bhagwān sāmna kasūr shaṇmog; gu kau-ka nām-ap $I$ of-thee before God before sin did; $I$ thy name ḍul-miz ma rāik; ang-p ṭlinḍ pi-chi-gu-n."' Achig ānu bā-di say-to not worthy; me servant make-me-thou."; Alose own father-neap
bok. Bā-sh durats tang-mo, anu chbo-uj nark-dān-k, du anu-ka went. Father-by far-from saw, own son-for sorrow-came, he own chho-ka-di ṭhor ran-mo bok chum-shige pai-shige. Chhok-sh lon-mo, son-to running gave went kissed hugged. Son-by said, 'nu aka bā, gu kan sāmna Bhagwän-ka nark shan-mog; gu kan-ka ' $O$ my father, $I$ of-thee before God-of evil did; I thy chbo-ka lāik ma-gek.' Bā-sh anu-ka ṭhindạ-p lon-mo, 'shobila son-of wonthy not-am.' Father-by own servants-to said, 'good shobila gāsa kang, nuguj lā-miz rāṇ; nu-ka prāt-pā munḍ̣i lā-u, good clothes bring, him-to to-wear give; his finger-on ring put, godinga zoṛa lā-u; ang-z zā-miz tung-miz kang. Gu khushi de-tak, foot-on shoes put; me-for eat-to drink-to bring. I merry make-shall, aka chho shigon, zaba shug-ashig; bigon zaba mil-ek.' Du-ka taĩs $m y$ son died, now alive-became; lost now found-was.' Him-of sake-for zhā khushi ashig.
much merry became.
Tes waktus du-ka teg chho show-a tot-kon. Zhabe burak kimang That time his big son field-in was. When came house nerang puzhak, tabo bahiro chäge gitang-läge tshā-mek. Du-s i near came, then outside dancing singing heard. Him-by one ṭhinḍu-p āre-mo, hed du-dits richi-mo, 'cbhuge shoṭ-ke?' Du-s servant called, and him-from asked, 'what has-happened?' Him-by duguj lon-mo, 'kan bāu burak; kan bā-sh du-ka taĩs zā-miz him-to said, 'thy brother came; thy father-by him-of sake-for eat-to tung-miz raṇ-mo. Kwe? du razi-khushi-deg honḍes burak.' Duguj drink-to gave. Why? he safe-and-sound back came.' Him-to nark-dān gek, kubōr burang ma-yag. Du-ka bā bahiro dwa-tā, evil-tcmper became, inside to-go not-wanted. His father outside comes, du-s arz shot-tā. Du-s ba-uj lon-mo, 'gu kan-ka nonda him-by entreaty makes. Himbly father-to said, ' $I$ thy so-many barsha nokri shon-mek; gu kan-ka nitg bhada umle ma shoṇ-mek years service did; $I$ thee.of from order contrary not did.
Ko nāmi phākuoh bakar me ken-ken, duguj ānu-ka mitārā-uj Thou ever small goat not gavest-to-me, that-for own friends-for khushi shotang. Jabe nu phākuch chho burak, yang-s anu-ka merry might-make. When that small son came, whom-by own laṭa-phaṭa-p betrriga-uj ran-mo, hed sab-ap wes-mo, ko du-ka taĩs property women-to gave, and all finished, thou him-of sake-for zā-miz tung-miz raṇ-tan.' Du-s lon-mo, 'aka chho, ko ang rang eat-to drink-to givest.' Him-by said, 'my son, thou me with
sada royo-tan; yang to aka māl, du sabe kan-ka. Niog-z always dwellest; whatever is my property, that all thine. U8-to
khusi äshigeg; kan-ka bau shigon, zabaiye shug-ashig; bigon, merry-making became; thy brother dead, now alive-became; lost, zaba lām-shig.'
now found-was.'
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KANĀSHI.

## Specimen II.

(G. C. L. Howell, Esy., 1907.)

Ni-ka grāmang-ka nāma Malāni. Dugas dāragang moejanga.
Our village-of name Malāni. At-the-bottom hills middle-in.
Kultang-s hole bura-tash ?
Kulu-from how come?
Nyish kāthī-ga tush; pahle Roshkoling kāṭhī, duja Chandarkhaṇi.
Two passes are; first Roshkoling pass, second Chandarkhani.
Guna nyishi kāthìgan-a pom to hed nark-nālang. Daba hāti Winter-in both passes-on snow is and dangerous-are. Then anyone mu tutash, hed ning-z sukh-nek'. Jeshtang Āshlang pom khuleo-to; not comes, and us-to peace-is. Jeth Här snow melts; shaṭant-sāhib bura-tak', nu nark to. Ni borang ān assistant-commissioner comes, that evil is. We loads to.carry toreo-to, tambu-p lām-she-ta-kush, homap lham-she-ta-kush; sab lokas necessary-is, tents to-pitch-have, bears to-find-have; all people
kot ashige; jang-sh nark-dān to; nu ta nark wakt to. together become; God-to evil-temper is; that very evil time is.

Ni-ka jang sab-a tek'. Phurangi si musalmana si bakras
Our God all-among great-is. White-men also Musalmans also goats raṇ-tash. Du-ka dzimi du-ka mazāra-ga kultang tosh; du-ka give. Him-of land him-of tenants in-Kulu are; him-of bhanḍārang to; du-s Akbar bādshāh hātīs ran-muk'. Kultang-za dzã treasury is; it-for Akbar king elephant gave. Kulu-people muoh bho-ku-tash.
fear.
Pahle khare nark dukh ashig. I chorasug jang-s sane-muk'; Formerly very evil misery happened. One thief God-by slew;
sirkara-s i tophap sipahi-su-di sherā-muk'; jang-s du-gan-ka Government-by one gun soldiers-with sent; God-by their kachara-ga-p sane-muk'. Jang khare khushi dek' khare wāt-k. mutes slew. God nuch merry became much laughed.

| Kultang-za | khare | uta. | Anu-ka | tokap | betri-kash | lugri-kash |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kulu=men | much | foolish-are. | Their | rupees | women-on | lugri-on |

mukadma－kash kharats shotash．Jabe bärīya korang pang－m bong－tash， cases－on spent make．When baria rent collect－to go， da luṭia－tang；ni jar jar bakras goju－tang．Dàba shabri－ka mulang then we－loot；we day day goat weeeat．Perhaps meat－of cost korang－ngaz kateo－tang；hāse egu－tak＇？Dabadze Kultang－za uta－tosh． rent－from we－cut；whom－by knows？Anyhow Kulu－men fools－are． Ni－ka he riwāj．Sabka－nits akli ni－tong；ni blo－kutang．Aslis ni Us－of different custom．All－from wise we－are；we are－feared．Really we chhigi mo egu－tang；chhigi fikri tashang．
anything not know；what matter is．
Ni－ka sara nenk to．Lugri mu tugu－tang；gling tugu－tang Our custom thus is．Rice－beer not drink－we；larley－beer drink－we， gling lage－tang．Ni－ka nyish pachi－ga tosh．Rigin nashing barley－beer get－drunk－we．Us－of two assemblies are．Upper sitting pachinga du－ka nāma Rigīn－nashing to；duja Köra－nashing ni assembly－in its name Upper－house is；other Lower－house vee lo－tang．Rigīn jang gyāra marshang nashi－ta－mung，kārmishṭas pujyara say．Upper place elevew men we－sit，karmishta priest

ashio－to．
becomes．
Barṭa hāse pi－cheu－tak＇？
Barṭa vohom－by chooses？

| Ni | pi－cheu－tang； | gur－p | ni | pi－cheu－tang； | jeshta－p | pi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| We | choose； | gur． | we | choose； | jeshtas | also |

pi－cheutang．
we－choose．
Karmishṭasu－ka chhuge kām？
Karmishta－of what work？
vol．iII，part I． 3 as


## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

Our village is called Malāna; it is in a deep valley.
How do you get to it from Kulu?
By two passes, the first is called Roshkoling, the other Chandarkhani. Both are covered with snow in the winter, and are dangerous. Then foreigners cannot come in, and we are at peace. In Jeth and Harr the snow melts, the Assistant Commissioner comes ; that is bad. We have to carry luads, pitch tents, find bears; everyone is upset; Jang is angry; an evil time.

Our God is very great; even Englishmen and Muhammadans give him goats. He has lands and tenants in Kulu, and a treasury to which king Akbar gave an elephant. The Kulu people revere him greatly.

Once a dreadful thing happened; the God slew a thief; the Government sent soldiers with a gun; the God slew their mules; the God was much pleased and laughed loudly.

The Kulu people are foolish. They spend their money on women, beer, and cases. When our Bari goes to collect our revenue, we loot them, eating goats daily. Perhaps we cut the price of our meat from their rents, who knows? Anyhow they are fools.

Our customs are different; we are the wisest people in the world, and we are much respected. We do not know anything really, but what matter.

Our customs are as follows. We do not drink rice beer, but get drunk on barley beer. We have two assemblies; the upper assembly we call the Rigin Nashing, the other the Köra Nashing. In the Rigin Nashing sit eleven men, the Karmishta, the Pujyara, the Gur, the Barta, and scven Jeshtas.

The Karmishta is thus elected. The dead Karmishta's son is taken by the Ra Deo to the council platform. Two or three men bring two or three stalks of barley and give them to the Gur, and he puts them in the Karmishta's son's pagri. There is no other ceremony.

The Pujyara is thus elected. He is elected like the Karmishta.
Who chooses the Barta?
We choose him, and also the Gur and the Jeshtas.
What are the duties of the Karmishta?
He conducts service, brings water (from Kanaur), presides at the assembly, collects revenue, conducts cases, and gathers the Jeshtas together.

## MANCHATTT OR PAȚNT.

Manchat or Patan is a portion of British Lahul adjacent to Chamba Labul. It is situated on both sides of the united Chandra-Bhaga. The dialect spoken in that district is known as Manchatti or Paṭin. A similar dialect is spoken in the portion of Lahul within the Chamba State, and it will be dealt with immediately after Manolatiti.

The number of speakers of Manobāṭi in Kangra was estimated for the purposes of this Survey at 2,995. The corresponding figure at the 1901 Census was 2,441.

## AUTHORITY-

Discs, A. H.,-The Kulu Dialect of Hindi. Some Notes on ite grammatical structure, oith Specimens of the Songs and Sayings current amonyst the people and a Glossary. Lahore, 1896. Conlains a Patan vocabulary on pp. 102 and ff.
The Gospel of St. Mark has been translated into Manchātī by the Rev. A. H. Francke.

A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son in the Manchat dialect has been kindly prepared for me by the Rev. A. W. Heyde. A transcript of this version must have come into the hands of the Chamba officials, for another copy of it bas been forwarded from the Chamba State. Mr. Heyde's copy was not accompanied by a translation. The interlinear translation has therefore been taken from the Chamba copy. The same is the case with a passage which had been left out in Mr. Heyde's transcript. It has been added within parentheses. A list of Standard Words and Phrases from Lahul will be found on pp. 532 and ff.

Manchātī is closely related to the other Lahul dialects, and also to Kanāwrī. The grammatical system is not so complicated as in that latter dialect. The same use of pronominal suffixes in order to distinguish the various persous of verbal tenses is, however, common to both.

The remarks on Manchāți grammar which follow are entirely based on the materials mentioned above and on some additional remarks, for which I am indebted to the Rev. A. H. Francke.

Pronunciation.-Short final vowels are often dropped. Thus the pronominal suffixes of the first two persons are $g a$ and $g ; n a$ and $\dot{n}$, respectively.
$O$ and $u$ are often interchanged. Thus, kano and kanu, thy. Similarly we fud gye-u and gyi-u, my.

Aspirated soft consonants only occur in loan-words; thus, ghar-bär, house. The palatal affricatæ are the same as in Tibetan, viz., $\underline{t s}, \underline{t s} h$, and $\underline{d z}$.

Initial double conscnants are not frequent. We tind $d r$ in $d r a \bar{a} l d$, destitute. A $u$ has been inserted between $t$ and $r$ in $t$ - $u-r u i$, Jibetan $d r u g$, six, and so forth. The materials are not, however, sufficient for going further into detail.

Hard and soft consonants are sometimes interchanged. 'Thus, sia, teu; nyi-za, twenty; (do-r-)-tsi, from; (khuang-) dzi, from (the well), and so forth.
$\underline{\text { Dz }}$ apparently often interchanges with other oonsonants. Thus, rhang-dze, horses; nabran-de, mares; khui-re, dogs. Sometimes $\underline{d z}$ seems to be dropped. Thus, bang- $\underline{d z a g}$ or brang-dzag, dwells; but yo-ag, goes; compare ba-zi, by the father; but do-i, by him, and so forth. It is not yet possible to give rules for such changes. Dz is probably often derived from $g y$, and we can therefore compare the different treatment of the
genitive suffix $g y i$ in Tibetan. The form $d z$ is common after $n g$, and $z$, or dropping of the consonant appears to be usual after vowels. The specimens are not, however, oonsistent, and we must leave the question to be solved on the base of further research.

The final consonants in words such as tot', is ; siyatek', he was dead, are only half pronounced.

We have no information about the existence of tones and about the accent.
Articles,-The numeral $i d i, i$, one, is used as an indefinite article, and definiteness is indicated by using demonstrative pronouns and relative clauses.

Nouns.-The prefix $a$ seems to be little used. I have only noted it in the Tibetan word $a-g u$, uncle.

Gender.-The natural gender is distinguished by using different words or by means of qualifying additions. Thus, ritga, he-goat; lā, she-goat: bang-da, bull; goan ${ }^{a}$, cow : rhang, horse; nabran, mare: khui, dog ; mingarara-khui, bitch.

Number.-There are apparently two numbers, the singular and the plural. The plural is not marked when it appears from the context. The usual plural suffix is re after vowels, dze after $n g$, and de after $n$. Thus, ni-r'e, men; rhang-dze, horses; nabran-de, mares. It seems probable that the various consonants of the suffix represent different cases. Compare the remarks on Clamba Lăhuḷ in what follows. The case suffixes apparently coalesce with the plural suffix. The cases of the plural therefore differ from the singular.

Case.-The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by means of any suffix. The dative is, however, sometimes used as an accusative; thus, gye do-u yo-bi mast tarab-tsi teng-ri-ga, I have beaten his son with many stripes.

The subject of transitive verbs is put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding a suffix which occurs as $\underline{d z i, z i} \underline{t s} \underline{t}$, and $i$. The form $\underline{t s} i$ is used in the plural and often also in the singular, $z i$ and $i$ after vowels; and $\underline{d z i}$ once after a vowel. It is probably the regular form after $n g$. Thus, ngye- $\underline{t s i}$, by us; $b a-z i$, by the father; do- $i$, by him. The Chamba transcript of the Parable always uses $i$ after vowels; thus, $y o-i$, by the son. See below.

The same suffix is used to denote the instrument, and also in order to form an ablative. Thus, $t^{a} r a b-\underline{t} s i$, with stripes; $b \bar{a}-u d o-r-\underline{t} \varepsilon i$, father-of there-from (compare Hindi $\left.y a h \tilde{\bar{a}}{ }^{8} \bar{e}\right)$, from the father; khuang-dzi, from the well.

The various forms of this suffix can apparently be explained from the Tibetan gyis.
The suffix of the dative is $b i$; thus, $b a-b i$, to the father. In the plural we find ting instead; thus, nokar-ling, to the servants. Ting apparently corresponds to the singular suffix ring or rī, and is probably a terminative suffix.

The suffix of the genitive is $u$ or $o$, before which a final $n g$ and $r$ are doubled; thus, mi-u, of a man; sarg-o-e, heaven-of and; rhang-ngu, of a horse; nagarrau, of the village. In rang-ngu-tog-ting, on a horse, tog-ting is the postposition. The plural ends in $t u$; thus, $b \bar{a} a \cdot t u$, of fathers.

The suffix of the terminative is $r$; thus, do-r, there; der, here. It is probably contained in or identical with the suffix rang, ring, ri, plucal tang, ting, which is used in the sense of a terminative and locative. Thus, gharbär-rang, in the house; pun$d_{z} a-r i n g$, on the top; rhi-ring, into the fields; yär-tang sate, friends-in together, together with my iriends; nokar-ting, to the servants; gur ${ }^{\circ}$-ting, on the hands.

Mr. Diack mentions a genitive suffix nu and a locative suffix ang. They have probably been inferred from forms such as kan-u, thy; ghar-rang, in the house, and are perhaps due to misunderstanding.

Other relations are indicated by meaus of postpositions. Such are lichang, among (Hindi bïch) ; poyang, under; kachang, near; thale, behiod; thal-zi, on account of ; tui, before, and so forth.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the noun they qualify. Thus, tranangsi, rhang-ngu, of the white horse; i $m \bar{a}-\tilde{z} h i$ yo, a bad boy. Note the final $i$ in many adjectives.

The particle of comparison is be or be-tgi ; compare Bunán basta. Thus, du-beruthe, him-than good, better; d $\bar{o}-u l k \bar{u} k \bar{a} d \bar{o}-u ~_{\text {'ing }}$-be-tgi lhante tot', his brother his sisterthan tall is; ruthe be-tgi ruthe kham, good than good cloth, the best cloth.

Numerals. -The numerals are given in the list of words. In addition to $j u t$, two, we also find nyi in nyi-za, two-tens, twenty; nyi-nyi-zau $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \bar{a}$, two twenties ten, fifty. The latter compound shows that higher numbers are counted in twenties, as is also the case in Kanāw ${ }^{\text {a rīi. }}$

The numerals precede the noun they qualify.
Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

| lst person | Singular. |  | Deat. |  | Plobal. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinary. | Respectful. | Exclusive. | Inclasive. | Exclusive. | Iuclusive. |
|  | gye | ... | rgye-ku | ngyeng.gu | ngye-re | ngye-na-re |
| 2nd person | ka | kye-na | $\ldots$ | kyong-gu | $\ldots$ | lye-na-re |
| 3rd person | $d u$ | $\cdots$ | $d o-k u$ | ... | do.re | .. |

Other forms are gye, by me; gye-bi, to me; gye-u, gyi-u, my; ngye-tgi, by us; $n g y e-t u$, our ; ka-i, ke-i, by thee; kan-ing, to thee; kanu, keno, thy ; kye-tsi, by you; $k y e-t u$, your ; do-i, by him ; do-u, his; do-bi, him ; eno, own; do-tsi, by them; do-tu, their, and so fortl. The form do-ku-tu, of them, contains a suffix which perhaps corresponds to gon in Kanāw ${ }^{\text {ari }}$ do-gon, they. Compare $u$-ku, kisses?

Demonstrative pronouns are $d i$, this; $d u, d o$, that, and probably also $n u$, that. Note also the use of do as a definite article in instances such as gye-u do ba-u do-r, my that father-of there, to my father.

Interrogative pronouns are $a-r i$, who $\mathcal{P} \tilde{a} u$, which ? $a-t u$, whose? chhi, what? $\tilde{a}-u-r$, where $P$ a-pel, when $P$ tai, how many $?$ anyo, how much, how many $?$ and so forth. The interrogative pronouns are made indefinite by adding $l a$ or $l e$; thus, a-tgi-la, by anyone; chhal-le, anything; a-pel-la, ever.

The interrogative pronouns are also used as relatives. Thus, māl-o anyo banta gyebi pipal rãu, property of how-great share me-to comes give, give me the share that falleth to me; du knol-zi ãu sungar-re zoa-to-re; by those husks which the swine ate; di yo ati aü-tgi kanu mäl phukeg•ti, this son came by-whom thy property was wasted;
$\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} u$ bela-ring, what time-in, when. Such constructions are, of course, due to the influence of the neighbouring Aryan vernaculars.

Verbs.-The verb is in all essential points a noun. The subject of transitive verbs is accordingly commonly put in the case of the agent, and there is no separate passive. There are, however, traces of a somewhat different state of affairs, just as is the case in Kanā $w^{\text {a ric }}$ and, to some extent, in the Almora dialects. The various persons are commonly distinguished by adding pronominal suffixes, viz., ga or $g$ for the first, $n a$ or $n$ for the second, and $d$ or nothing for the third person singular. In the plural we find $n i$ or $n$ for the first and second persons, and $d, r e$ or $v$ for the third.
$G a, n a$, and $d$ are the bases of the personal pronouns. Ni, on the other hand, is probably a verb substantive, and $r e$ is perhaps identical with the common plural suffix, or else it is a verb substantive. Compare Tibetan red.

Verb substantive.-The usual bases of the verb substantive are shu, and tot'. The final $t$ of this word is only half pronounced. $N i$, which is used as a suffix of the first and second persons plural, is perhaps also a verb substantive. Compare also ag in a-pag, comes, etc.

The regular inflexion of the verb substantive will be seen from the table which follows:-


Forms such as $t o-d$, is, are also used in the third person plural. In the specimen we find $t o-i$, they were, and so forth.

The base to apparently also has the form ta. Compare the instances under the head of present time below.

Finite verb.-The inflexion of finite verbs is to a great extent effected by adding the verb substantive.

Present time.-The present tense is often formed by adding the personal suffixes of the present tense of the verb substantive to the participles or verbal nouns ending in $b a, p a, \underline{d z} a, a$, etc. Thus, teng-bo-g, I strike; pi-pa-d, he comes; ngye-tgi teng-dza-to-ni, we are striking; roag-tsa to-re, they are grazing; oye teng-dzi thareg-shra to-g, I am going on to strike; gye yoä to-g, I go; kye-tsi teng-dza tani, you strike, and so forth. Note the suffix $a k$, or $a g$, probably a form of the verb substantive, in the third person singular in forms such as yoak', he goes; a-pag, he comes; lang-dzag, he dwells.

Past time.-The usual suffix of past time is $i$. Thus, lha-i-ga, I have done; rari-na, gavest; il-i-ni, you went, and so forth. The list of words gives il-i, went.
$I$ is precedid by $s$ in $k h o g \cdot s \cdot i-r i$, has been found.

Instead of $i$ we often find fuller forms such as ri, zi, ti, di, and di. Thus, teng-ri-ga, I have beaten; sha-ri-na, thou killedest; shring-ri, he has become alive; bhatte kharchek shu-bi le-ti, all expended to-be began, he had spent almost all; phu-keg-ti, squandered; $t_{\boldsymbol{t}} a r-t i$, sent; chen-di, entreated; tha-di, and tha-zi, heard; pi-di, arrived. I am not able to state which form is the original one.

A compound past is also formed by adding the past tonse of the verb substantive to the verbal nouns ending in ma, $a$, etc. The past base of the verb substantive in such cases has the form te. Thus, a-tei-la ma ram-ma-te-r, anyone by not gave-they, nobody gave; teng-nga te-g, I struck; teng-nga-tek', he struck; sīya-te, he had died, and so forth.

Formssuch as lha-to-g, I have done; shea-to, he bas killed; zea-to-re, they ate; anja-d, he has come; shringa-d, he has become alive, are identical with the present.

Compound past tenses are lha-s-ito-d, it is made; yhö-shri-te, heing lost he was, he had been lost.

Future.-The base alone is apparently used as a future; thus, yog, I shall go; $k u o-g$, I shall say. Such forms perhaps contain a suffix o. Another future is formed by adding mo, i.e. the suffix of a participle or verbal noun; thus, teng-mo-g, I shall beat.

Imperative.-The base alone is used as an imperative; thus ila, go, jo, walk. Commonly, however, the suffix $u$ is added; thus, rãa $u$, give; sha- $u$, kill, etc. Sometimes the $u$ is nasalized. Thus, $b^{a} r a \tilde{u}$, sit.

Instead of $u$ we sometimes find $t u$; thus, lep-tu, take; $h u-t u$, take out.
Forms such as hata-ni, bring; ra-ni, put on, are plural. Compare the suffix ni which is used in the second person plural in finite verbs.

Verbal nouns and participles.-Verbal nouns are commonly formed by adding postpositions to the base; thus, shu-bi, to be; $i-b i$, to go ; teng-zi, to strike; roag-tgi, to feed; kuti-mi, to say, and pingdi, to fill.

The suffix $z i$, $\underline{t s} i$ in teng-zi, to strike, roag-tsi, to feed, is probably the suffix of the case of the agent and of the ablative. The same suffix is apparently used to form conjunctive participles. It occurs in several forms such as $d z i, j e$, che, shi, $e$, and $i$. Thus, shu-che, having become; han-je, having brought; ku-che, having said; $y h \tilde{o}-s h r i-t e$, being-lost was, had been lost; pha-e, dividing ; tang-e, having seen; ku-i, said ; lha-i, made. Compare the past tense.

Verbal nouns are freely used in the formation of tenses. The most common form ends in a sutixix which occurs as $\underline{d z a}$, $\underline{s} a, \operatorname{cha}$, sh$\uparrow a$, and $a$. Thus ngye-tsi teng- $\underline{d z a}$ ta-ni, us-by beating is-by-us, we beat; roag-tㅎa to-re, they are grazing; gye teng-dza te-g, me-by striking was-my, I had struck; gye teng-dza to-tog, I am striking; joa to-g, I am going ; teng-nga te-g, striking was-I, I struck, and so forth.

Such forms are also used as conjunctive participles. Thus, teng-dza, having struck ; atsa, having arisen ; roshreg-shra, having got angry, and so forth.

Similar verbal nouns are also formed by adding one of the suffixes ma, mo, and $b a, b o, p a$. Compare Tibetan. Thus, ma ram-ma-te-r, not giving were, they did not give; teng-mo-g, I shall strike ; si-vä-to-g, I am dying; teng-bo-g, I strike; pi-pa-d, he comes, and so forth.

A suffix eg or $e k$ is used to form a kind of participle. Thus, roshreg(-shra), (having got) angry; kharch-ek, finished; thar-eg-shra, remaining; tor-eg-kate, I vol. hilj part i.

## 1

transgressed. It is apparently only used with loan-words. Compare also dorkyeke, running. Note finally shu-tar shu-tar, being ; teng-zi-tar, beating; ku-nyi-tar or kutar, on saying.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. Gye teng-sa yo-g, I sball be struck, literally means ' $I$ striking sball-go' and is an almost literal translation of the Aryan idiom.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed ma. Thus, ma ra-i-na, gavest not. The negative imperative is formed by prefixiug tha; thus, tha lha-u, make not.

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. Numerals, adjectives, and demonstrative pronouns precede the qualified word. The introduction of relative clauses has occasioned some clange in the original Tibeto-Burman collooation of words and sentences.

For further details the student is referred to the specimen which follows and to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 532 and ff. The former will be followed by a short account of the Chamba dialect.
[ No. 41.]

## tibeto-buRMan Family. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

## MANCHAṬI.

(Rev. A. W. Heyde, 1899.)
(Districi Labul.)
Do-rang do-i kuṛ-i. I mi-u juṭ yo to-i. Dn-ku-tu bichang-zi Then him-by said. One man-of two sons were. Them-of among-from bare do-i ba-bi kur-i,'e ba, māl-o aṇ̣ banta gye-bi younger him-by father-to said, 'O father, property-of how-much share me-to pipad, rãu.' Do-i māl do-ku-ting phae rã-i. Do-rang thoṛe dhera-rau comes, give.' Him-by property them-lo dividing gave. Then few days-of thale bare yo-zi bhatte jama lha-i, i waitāre mulk-o siphar behind younger son-by all together made, one distant country-af journey llua-i, u-i do-r eno māl mazhi tsalan-ring phukeg-ti. $\tilde{A}$-u bela-ring made, and there own property bad behaviour-in squandered. What time-in bhatte kharchek shu-bi le-ti, (do deèsherring angà shu-che ili. Do-rang all finished to-be began, (that country-in famine becoming went. Then do drāld shu-che il-i). Do-rang du dēsh-o i raïs-o do-r il-je, he destitute becoming went). Then that country-of one chief-of there going, do-i eno rhi-ring sungar roag-tsi tsar-ti. Do-u manshā to-i, du khol-zi him-by his field-in swine to-feed sent. His mind was, those husks-oith ã-u sungar ${ }^{\circ}$-re zoa-to-re, eno khog pingmog; a-tsi-la do-bi chhal-le ma which swine ate, own belly will-fill; anyone-by him-to anything not ram-ma-te-r. Do-rang hosha-ring anje kuri, 'gye-u ba-u anyo gave. Then senses-in having-come said, 'my father-of how-many kam-lha-zāre̊-ting mhassan roṭi to-t'; gye yo-nyi si-vā-to-g. Gye atsa servants-to much bread is; $I$ hungering die. $I$ having-arisen gye-u do ba-u do-r yo-g u-i do-bi kuo-g, "e ba, gye sarg-o-e my that father-of with will-go and him-to will-say, "O father, I heaven-of-and ka-nu kachang gunä lha-i-ga. En-teg vhatesa kanu yo kuti-mi jog ma to-g. thy before sin did-I. Now again thy son to-say worthy not am. Gye-bi kanu kam-lha-zạ̣ ${ }^{\text {c-tu }}$ bichang idi-rang barabar lha-u."' Do-rang atsa Me thy servants-of among one-with like make."' Then having-arisen enou ba-u do-r ili. A-it ${ }^{\text {a }}$ du waitā-re to-i, du tang-e do-u ba-bi dã his father-of there went. Still he far was, him seeing his father-to pity ati, dorkyeke dou muthu bi gril-ranye mbassan uku rã-j. Yo-zi do-bi came, running himb neck to clasped ' much liss gave. Son-by him-to kựi, 'e bā, gye sarg-o-e kanu tui gunā lha-i-ga. Enteg ghatsa said, ' $O$ father, $I$ heaven-of-and thy before sin have-done. Now again

[^15]$3 \times 2$
kanu yo kuṭi-mi jog ma to-g.' Ba-zi eno nokar-ting kuri, 'ruṭhe betgi thy son to-say worthy not am.' Father-by his servants-to said, 'good from ruṭhe kham hanje hatani do-bi kham ra-ni, guri-ting gu-i-thab good cloth bringing-out lring him-to clothes give, hand-on ring kondza-ring paula, ui tshoï rendza sha-u zao-ni, khushi lhau-ni. foot-on shoe, and fat calf kill eat, merry make. Chha-u-thal-zi ku-i-ni-sai-ta, di gye-u yo siyatek', enteg shringad; What-of-reason-for saying, this my son was-dead, now became-alive; yhõ-shrite, enteg khog-siri.' Do-rang do-tsi khushi lha-i le-ti-re. was-lost, now was-found.' Then them-by merry making began.

Do-u nore yo rhi-ring to-i. Apel ${ }^{\text {e }}$ chum-mu kaohang pi-pi, gure-e His lig son field-ins was. When house-of near came, singing-and garpimi chāla tha-zi. Do-rang i pargar-bi, 'ata,' kuche rug-ti, 'di chhi shud?' dancing sound heard. Then one servant-to, 'come,' saying asked, 'this what is-it?' Do-i do-bi kuṛi, 'kanu noa anjad; kanu ba-zi tshoï rendza Him-by him-to said, 'thy younger-brother has-come; thy father-by fat calf sheato, du raji-baji khoy-si-mi thal-zi.' Du roshreg-shra tong i-bi has-killed, him safe finding sake-for.' He getting-angry inside to-go ma tha-di. Do-rang do-u ba dag-ting anje dou chen-di. Do-i ba-bi not heard. Then his father outside coming him entreated. Him-by father-to landing ku-ṛi, 'khã-u, dhoṇo barsba gye kanu tẹ lha-to-g. A•pel-la kanu answer said, 'lo, those years $I$ thy service done-have. Ever thy hukum ma toreg-kate. Kai apel-la i la-u la-tsa gye-bi ma ra-i-na, bhai, order not transgressed. Thee-by ever one goat-of kid me-to not gavest, that, "kenau yāre-tang sate khushi lha-u." A-pel kanu di yo ati, aũtsi "thy friends-with together merry make." What-time thy this son came, whom-by kanu māl mazhi tsāla ${ }^{2}$-riag phu-keg-ti, ka-i do-u thal-zi tshoï rendza thy property bad behaviour-in squandered, thee-by his sake-for fat calf sha-riena.' Do-i do-bi ku-ṛi, 'e yo, ka hamesha-ring gyeu ka-chang to-to-na. killed-hast.' Him-by him-to said, ' $O$ son, thou always me-of near livest. Gyeu do-r chhi to-t', du kanu shud. Par khushi lha-i khushi shu-bi jũshi Me-of place-at what is, that thine is. But merry making merry to-be proper to-i ; chha-u thal-zi ku-i-ni-saita, di kanu noa siyate, du was; what-of sake-for said-if, this thy younger-brother was-dead, he shring-ri; yhõ-shri-te, enteg khog-si-ri.'
became-alive; wos-lost, now found-is.'

## CHAMBA LĀHUL！T．

The majority of the inhabitants of the Chamba State speak Aryan dialeots．There are，besides，some speakers of Tibetan and of a dialeot which is almost identical with Manchāṭi．The latter is spoken in the north－east of the State．The number of spenkers was estimated at 1,387 during the preliminary operations of this Survey，and it was returned as 1,643 at the last Census of 1901.

## AUTHORITY－

Balley，Rev．T．Gliahane，B．D．，M．R．A．S．，－The Languages of Ohamba State．Calcatta， 1905.
The Rev．T．Grahame Bailey has written a full account of the dialect whioh he pro－ poses to call Chamba Lāhuli．He has kindly allowed me to make use of it for the notes which follow．He has also been good enough to allow me to reprint a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a list of Staudard Words and Phrases．See below on pp． 465 and ff．， 533 and ff．

Chamba Lāhuḷi is almost identical with Manchāṭi．The Chamba copy of the Manchātī version of the parable mentioned above is probably meant to be an illustra－ tion of Chamba Lāhulī．It will therefore be sufficient to make but a few remarks．

Articles．－The numeral $\bar{\imath}$ ，one，is used as an indefinite article；thus， $\bar{i} m i \widetilde{u}_{\text {，}}$ of a man； $\bar{\imath}$ sähūkār $\bar{e}$ ，a money lender．

Nouns．－The inflexion of nouns is in most partioulars the same as in Manohāti．
Gender．－The natural gender is distinguished in the usual way；thus，bā，father ； $y \bar{a}$, mother：$r$ hiz，male goat ；là，female goat：tar－bhīr，male cat；bhir，female cat ：


Number．－There are two numbers，the singular and plural．The plural is not expressed when it appears from the context；thus，häth $\bar{i}$ ，elephant，and elephants．The usual plural suffix is $r$ ；thus，$r h \bar{\imath}$ ，sister；$r \cdot h \bar{\imath}-r$ ，sisters：mäl－y $\bar{o}$ ，daughter；mīl－y $\bar{\jmath} r$ ， daughters．

Case．－The cases of the singular and of the plural differ in the same way as in Manchāṭi．

The subject of intransitive verbs and the object are not distinguished by adding suffises．The subject of transitive verbs，on the other hand，is put in the case of the agent，which is said to be formed by adding $\underline{t s}$ or，after vowels， $\bar{e}$ in the singular and $z$ in the plural ；thus，$r h \tilde{a}-\underline{t} \underline{g}$ ，by a horse ；$b \bar{a} \cdot \bar{e}$, by a father ；mìl－yō$\overline{-} \bar{e}$ ，by a daughter ； $\underline{\text { rhan }} n c z$ ，by the horses ；$m \bar{u} l-y \bar{o}-z$ ，by the daughters；$s \tilde{u} r a \cdot z$ ，by the swine．

The suffix of the dative is $v i$ or $b i$ ；plural $d \bar{\imath}$ ；thus，$r h \bar{\imath}-v i$ aud $r h \bar{h}-b i$ ，to a sister； $r \cdot h \bar{a} n e-d \bar{d}$, to horses；$m \bar{u} l-y \bar{o}-d \bar{i}$ ，to dauglters．$P h \bar{\imath}$ is used instead in $r / h \tilde{a}-p h \bar{i}$ ，to a horse．

The suffix of the genitive is $u$ or $\bar{o}$ ，plural $d u$ ；thus，$r h \tilde{a}-u$ ，of a borse；$h \bar{a}-\bar{c}$ ，of it father ；$m \bar{u} \tilde{u}$ ，of a man（ $m \overline{\bar{u}}$, man）；$m \bar{u} l-y \bar{o}-d u$ ，of daughters．The genitive can also be expressed by putting the governed before the governing noun，without any sutfix；thus，
 is probably developed from the nasal sound in rhä，horse．

The ablative is formed by adding dots，i．e．，dor－ts therefrom，to the base or to the genitive；thus，th⿳亠厶口𧰨－dots，from a horse；bā̄－dots，from a father．Dots is by origin an ablative of the demonstrative pronoun $d u$ ，$d o$ ，and the actual suffix is $\underline{t g}$ as in the case of the agent．

A terminative and locative are formed by adding $r \bar{\imath}$, arī; thus, konz ari, on the foot; nōlcararī, to the servants ; rhī-rī, in the field. A shorter suffix $r$ oocurs in dēer, here; $d u-r$, there, etc. It is perhaps connected with $r \bar{a}$, plural $d a$ in $n u-r \bar{a}$, on that side, d $\bar{i}-r \cdot \bar{a}$, on this side ; $g \bar{e}-r \bar{a} \bar{a} s \bar{a} d \bar{e}$, with me ; rhī$-r \bar{a} s \bar{a} d \bar{e}$, with his sister ; yāra-da $\delta \bar{a} d \bar{e}$, with my friends.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are andrez, in; hare, beside; pōe $\tilde{\bar{a}}$, under ; thale, on account of ; thalē, behind; toth $h \bar{\imath}$, upon; tū̄, before, and so on.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the word they qualify; thus, sāsī rh$\tilde{\bar{a}}$, the white horse. The particle of comparison is $v \bar{e}$; thus, rhz$v \bar{v} \bar{e} m \bar{o} r \bar{e}$, sister than big, bigger than the sister.

Numerals.-The first numerals are given in the list of words. 'Two' is also $n \bar{i}$, compare $s \bar{a}-n \bar{u}$, twelve; $n \bar{i}-v h \bar{a}$, two hundred. Other numerals are sē$-\bar{i} d \bar{u}$, eleven, sha. shrum, thirteen ; sā-p $\bar{i}$, fourteen; sang, fifteen ; sā-tr $\bar{u} \bar{i}$, sixteen; $s \bar{a}-n h \bar{i}$, seventeen; $s \bar{a}-r \bar{e}$, eighteen, sos-ku , nineteen. Bigher numbers are counted in twenties. Ordinals are formed by adding $m \bar{\imath}$; thus, $t \bar{u}-m \bar{\imath}$, first; $j u r-m \bar{q}$, second ; shrum-m $\bar{\imath}$, third.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

|  | I | We | Thor | You | He | They |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. . | $g e{ }^{-}$ | yer or nyer | $k a, k u$ | ker | $d u$ | dor |
| Agent | $g^{\bar{i}}, g^{\bar{e}}$ | yez or nyez | $k \overline{\bar{e}}$ | $k_{i z} z$ | $d \bar{i} i$ | $d_{0} z$ |
| Genitive | $g e \bar{u}, g e \bar{o}$ | $y \boldsymbol{d} d u \quad$ or nyendu. | $k \stackrel{\text { \% }}{\text {, }}$, kēnö | $k e d u$ | $d \bar{o}, d \bar{o}-u$ | $d \bar{o} d u$ |

Note also ēnō, own. The Rev. A. H. Francke informs me that there are also dual forms of the pronouns, and, moreover, both exclusive and inclusive forms of the dual and plural of the first person. Thus, nyegu, I and he; nyenggu, I and thou; leyenggu, you two; doku, they two; yer, nyer, I and they; nyenar(e), I and you; ker(e), kyenar(e), you.

Demoustrative pronouns are $d i$, this; $d u$, that, and $n u$, that (compare nuar, there; $n u-r \bar{a}$, on that side, etc.). Note do désh $\bar{a} \sim r \bar{i}$, in that country, where the demonstrative precedes the qualified noun in the genitive.

Interrogative and indefinite pronouns are $\bar{a} r \bar{\imath}$, who? $a z$, by whom? $\bar{a} d u, \vec{a} d u h$
 many ? chhă, something; chhalla, anything. The interrogative pronouns can also be used as relatives; thus, a $\tilde{u} g h a \bar{a} r \bar{\imath} c h u m h ~ k a c h \bar{a} p \bar{i}$, what time house near came, when he approached the house ; anyō māl gē-bī pipā gē-bi$r a \tilde{u}$, how-much property me-to comes me-to give, give me the property that falls to me.

Verbs.-The conjugation of verbs is the same as in Manchāṭi. The pronominal sulifes added in order to distinguish the person of the subject are $g, k, g a$, or nothing for the first; $n$ and $n a$ for the second; $d$, do, or nothing for the third person singular; $n i$ for the first and second, and $r$ for the third person plural.

Verb sabstantive.-The usual bases of the verb substantive are shu and to or ta. Compare the table which follows:-

| Sing. 1 | Present. |  |  | Past. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | shtu-k | $t o d \bar{\delta}, t_{0}, t_{a}$ | tada | tōig | tarey |
| 2 | shu-n | todon | tadan | toin | taren |
| 3 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}s h u-t^{\prime} \\ s h \bar{u} \\ s h \bar{u}-\bar{i}\end{array}\right.$ | $t o d, t \bar{t}, t \bar{o} \bar{t}$ | tadō | toti | tarè |
| Plar. 1 | slunnni | todoni | tudoni | töini | tareni |
| 2 | shumni | todoni | tadoni | tōini | tareni |
| 3 | shūr | todor | tador | toir | ; tarer |

Forms such as tod tōi are also used in the plural. Forms suoh as todoni, tadoni, we are, are compounds containing the base $t o, t a$, and an auxiliary $d o$ which is probably originally identical.

Finite verb. -The verb substantive plays a oonsiderable rôle in the formation of the various tenses of finite verbs.

Present time.-The present tense is formed by adding $d \bar{o}, d$, followed by the personal suffixes to the base or to a participle ending in $p \bar{a}, b \bar{a}, \bar{a}$, etc. Thus, d $\bar{a}-p \bar{i}-d \bar{o}$, and $d \bar{a}-p \bar{a}-d o \cdot g$, I fall; dä-pä $d o-n$, thou fallest; ra-mä-d, he gives; shuja•d, it is done; $l h \bar{a}-d o-r$, they do. Forms such as $r a-m \bar{a}-d$, he gives, show that the third person singular is formed by adding the personal suffix directly to the participle; compare also $\bar{p} \overline{-}-p \bar{a}$, comes ; $b_{r} \tilde{\bar{a}}$, lives. The latter form is identical with the base.

The participles used in the formation of the present tense can also be described as verbal nouns. A form such as $s h \bar{i}-d o \cdot g$, I take away, can literally be trauslated 'taking-off-is-by-me.'

Past time.-A simple past is formed by adding $\bar{\imath}$ and subjoining the personal suffixes; thus, $k \bar{u}-\bar{\imath}-g$, I said; thā$-\bar{i}-n$, thou heardest; th $\bar{a}-\bar{i}-n i$, we, you heard. The suffix $\bar{\imath}$ often coalesces with a preceding vowel in various ways; thus, $p \bar{i}-g$, I came; r $\bar{e}$, he gave; lhë-r, they did; lhē-na and lhé-n, didst. Similarly we also find $t a \overline{n g}-g$, I sam.

The termination of the third person is $r \bar{i}$, plural ra, after $s$-sounds; thus, khos-$\bar{i}-r \bar{i}$, he was found; khos-i-re, they were found ; roshēshī-rī, he got angry.

A compound past is formed by adding do, da; to, ta to the base and conjugating tbroughout; thus, shu-tō, I became; shu-lon, becamest; shu-tō ; became; shutoni, we, you, became; shutor, they became; zē-da, I ate; zēe-dan, atest; zē-d $d \bar{o}$, ate ; zē-dani, we, you, ate ; zë-dor, they ate.

Instead of $d a$, etc., we sometimes find $j a$, etc.; thus, anja, I came; anjad, he came.
The most common past tense is apparently formed by adding deg (teg), etc., to the base or to a verbal noun ending in $\tilde{a}, p \bar{a}, b \bar{a}, m \bar{a}, z \bar{a}, \underline{t} s \bar{a}$, etc. Thus, $\bar{a}-b \bar{a}-d e \cdot g, ~ I$ came; ra-m $\bar{a}-d \bar{e}$, he gave; $\underline{s} \bar{\alpha} \overline{-} \underline{t_{\delta}} \bar{a}-d e r$, they sent.

Deg is by origin a past tense of the base $d a$, which is used as a verb substantive. It can also be added to conjunctive participles; thus, $p^{\imath}$ - $-\bar{\imath}-d e-g$, having-come-was-I, I came.

Instead of deg , etc., we also find dig, etc., in andig, I came, etc.

An $l$-suffix occurs in forms such as $\bar{i}$-leā, $\bar{i}$-lead, and $\bar{i}-l \bar{i}$, he went; thā-le-g, I heard, and so on. It perhaps belongs to the base.

Future. -The future is formed by adding $o$ to the base or to the verbal noun ending in $\bar{a}$, etc. The suffix of the third person singular is $d \bar{o}$ or $d u$. Thus, $y \bar{o}-g, \mathrm{I}$ shall go; yō-n, wilt go; y $\bar{u}-d u$, will go; d $\vec{a}-p o n i$, we shall fall; ra $\bar{a}-m o r$, they will give. Forms such as $n \bar{e}-n i$, we shall know ; lier, they will leave, etc., are by origin indefinite.

Imperative. -The imperative is formed by adding $\bar{o}, u$; thus, ra $\tilde{u}$, give; lha $\overline{0}$, do ; keō, leave. A suffix dü is used instead in $\bar{d} d \ddot{h} h$, come; hādö, bring.

The base alone is apparently used in $\bar{\imath} l$, go.
The verbal noun ending in $p a$, etc., can also be used as an imperative; thus, däpa, fall.

A plural imperative is formed by adding $n i$ or $a n i$; thus, $\bar{a} d a n i$, come ye; ìlani, go ye. Zauani, let us eat, is a future.

The negative imperative is formed by prefixing tha or by suffixing tha, plural tho ; thus, tha lō, do not do; dau tha, fall not; dāpeni thō, fall not ye. Note contracted forms such as $t h \hat{\bar{a}} d \ddot{\partial} h$, do not come; thêlani, do not ye go.

Verbal nouns and participles.-'lhe base alone is used as a verbal noun; thus, $d r \bar{o} r \cdot \tilde{\bar{e}}$, running gave, he ran ; $k \stackrel{\tilde{e}}{\bar{z}} \overline{\bar{e}}$ tadan, thee-by eating is-by-thee, thou hast to eat.

A common verbal noun is formed by adding $\bar{a}, p \bar{a}, b \bar{a}, m \bar{a}, z \bar{a}, r \bar{a}$, etc. Thus, $y u \bar{a}-d \bar{e}$, going-was, he went; tengg $\bar{a}-d e-g$, striking-was-by -me, I struck; tha d $\bar{\alpha}-p \bar{a} l h a \bar{o}$, not fall. ing make, do not make a habit of falling; $k \tilde{e} m \tilde{e} t \bar{e}-m \bar{a} t h a-l \bar{o}$, thee-by man striking notmake, do not habitually strike anybody; do-z t $\overline{\bar{e}}-z \bar{a} l h a \bar{a}-d e r$, them-by striking madethey, they usually struck.

The same suffix is apparently used to form relative participles and nouns of agency; thus, kami-lh $\bar{a}-z \bar{a}$, work doer, servant; khos $\bar{a}$, found.

If the final $\bar{a}$ is replaced by $\bar{i}$ the meaning is apparently that of an infinite of purpose; thus, $g \bar{i} i-b \bar{i} t \bar{o}-i g$, by-me to-go was-I, I had to go ; kuri-m $\bar{\imath}$, to say; ru $\bar{a}-t_{s} \bar{i}$, in order to feed, etc.

Conjunctive participles end in $\underline{t} \boldsymbol{s} \bar{a}, \bar{e}, j \bar{\imath}, j \bar{e}, r \bar{u}, z i, j, z h$, and so on; thus, ats $\bar{a}$, arising; phāe ranḍ $\bar{\imath}$, dividing give; phugē ketō, wasting left; anjū, having come; dājè, falling; t $\hat{\tilde{a}} r \bar{\imath}$, seeing; $t \bar{e} z i t \bar{o} \bar{u}$, he is sitting' ; hunj, taking out; kezh, leaving, and so on. Compare the suffixes of the case of the agent and of the terminative.

Negative verb.-The negative particle is a prefixed ma; thus, ma ramà-der, they did not give; mada-g, I shall not fall. Ma sometimes ooalesces with a following vowel; thus, mëh.g, I shall not go. It is sometimes also sulfixed; thus, thuāsi ma, did not neglect. The past negative tenses of $\bar{a} b \bar{\imath}$, to come ; $\bar{\imath} b \bar{\imath}$, to go, are formed as follows :-


The negative is, in such forms, a suffix.
For further details the student is referred to the version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son which follows, and to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 533 and ff.
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## tibeto-burman Family. Tibeto. Himalayan Group.

## CHAMBA LĀHOLI.

(State Chamba.)

(Rev. T. Grahame Balley, 1905.)
I mī̃̄ jur jō tōi. Cheje yōi bārang kūi, 'è bā, anyò One man-of two sons were. Small son-by father-to said, ' O father, what māl gē-bī pīpā gē-bī raũ.' Dōī ēnō māl phāē randō. Dōtв property me-to comes me-to give.' Him-by own property dividing gave. That-from thalē thōra dīnē cheje yōi yūẽ chīj jamā lbātē, ōhētār mulkha-rī idē. after few days small son-by all things together made, far country-in went. Dōrē dōī madam kam lbātō, ēnō māl phugè ketō. Du bēlā-ri batē There himby bad work did, own property wasting left. That time-in all kharch shujīdē dō dēshā-rī binā anggā shutē (or, idē). Dōrã du spent becoming-went that country-in great famine became (went). Then he drāldā shujīdē. Dōrã̃ dō dēsbā-rī i sāhūkārē dōrē idē. Dōī ènō straitened became. Then that country-in olle rich-man near went. Him-by own rhī-rī sũ̃rar ruātsī tşātte. Dō mansa tōī, 'du shang sūraz zauādēr field-in swine to-graze sent. His mind was, 'that hush-food swine-by eating-were ēnō khog pīmādeg.' Azla dōbi chlialla ma ramāder. Dōrā̃ own stomach I-might-fill.' By-anyone him-to anything not gave-they. Then dō-bì hōsha āndē, tã kutē, 'giū bāō anyō kamlhāzā-dī haje him-to sense came, then said, 'my father-of how-many work-doers-to much rōṛi tōd; gè dēr ònyi siuāda. Gē khāē atsā geō̃ bāō dōr yōg, wơi bread is; I here hungry die. I standing arising my father-of near will-go, also gì dō-bī kōg, "gī sargō-ē kã̃ gunāh lhēga. Ente dì jūge by-me him-to will-say, "by-me heaven-of-and of-thee sin did-I. Now this worthy mad hyazā k $\bar{a}$ ā yō kūri-mī. Gē-bī kã̃ (kēnō) kam-lhāzã-du sāhī lhaō."' not-am again thy son to-say. Me-to thy (thy-own) work-doers-of like make."' Dōrã atgā ēnō bāō dōr īlī. Ente du ōhētār tōī, du tã̃rī dō bā-bī Then arising own father-of near went. Now he far was, him seeing his father-to dāh ādī; dō drō-rē̃; dōī mūthū guāh rē̃, mast au rē̃. Dōī pity came; he ran; him-by neck-to embrace made, much kiss made. Him-by dōbì kūī, 'gī sargō-ē k $\overline{\bar{a}}$ gunāh lhēga. Ente dì jōge mad lyazā him-to said, 'by-me heaven-of-and of-thee sin did. Now this woorthy ${ }^{\prime}$ not-is again kā yō kūṛi-mi.' Dōu bāē nōkararī kūī, 'ruthē ruthē kham hunj thy son to-say.' His father-by servants-to said, 'good good clothes taking-out vol. iil, part i.
lıādani, kez dō-bī kham rābni; guī guthāb rāhni, konzarī paular bring, by-you him-to clothes put-on; hand-to ring put, feet-on shoes rāhni; mōrē renz shāreni; yez zauani khushī lbauani, du gappā lhāji put; great calf kill; by-us shall-eat merry shall-make, that speech making du giū yō siē tōī, ente byazā shring-rī; tūī hiõ̃sbtẽ, ente khosa that my son dead was, now again alive-went; formerly was-lost, now obtained
ilī.' Dōrā̃ doz khushi lhāter.
went.' Then ly-them merry made.
Dō mōrē yō rhī-rī tōī. Aũ ghārī chūmh kachā pī (or, ādī)
His big son field-in was. What time house near came (arrived) gìdō garpī mī chāl thātē. Dōrã̃ ī chãgara-hī ād-kutē rhugādē, singing dancing-of also noise heard. Then one servant-to called asked, 'chhī shujad p' Dōī dōbī kūī, ' $k \overline{\bar{a}}$ nuā anjad; k $\overline{\bar{a}}$ bāē 'what becoming-is?' Bim-by him-to said, ' thy younger-brother come-is; thy futher-by tshōī renz shaiādō, dō thāle du rājī-bājī khosīrī.' Du rōshē shīirī fat calf killed, of-that for-sake he safe was-obtained.' He angry became tơngg mēliādē. Dō bā dāthī anjī du chhēndī. Dōi bā-bī ūīāb inside not-went. His father out coming him persuaded. Him-by father-to answer
 made, 'so-many years thy service did-I; by-me thy order rejected not. By-thee abēlā ī lā gī-bī ma randēn (or, rā̃shī), "kēnō yārada sādē khushī gappā any-time one goat me-to not gavest, "thy-own friends with happy talk lhau." Abēlā k $\overline{\bar{a}}$ di karū $\bar{a} d i ̄, ~ d o ̄ i ̄ ~ k \overline{\bar{a}} \quad$ māl madam kammārī make." What-time thy this son came, him-by thy property bad works-in kharāb lhājī, k $\overline{\tilde{e}}$ dō thāli renz shaīāden.' Dōī dōbī kūī, 'ē yō, waste making, by-thee him for calf lilledest.' Him-by him-to said, ' $O$ son, ku bamēsh giū kacbā todon; giū tod, dū kā̃ shū. Khushī lhāī, thou always of-me near art; mine is, that thine is. Merry to-make, khushī shūbī jữshī tōī. K $\bar{a}$ à di nuā siē tōī, ente hyazā merry to-become proper was. Thy this younger-brother dead was, now again shring-rī ; tūī hīõshtè, ente khosa ilī.' alive-went; formerly lost-was, now obtained went.'

## RANGLOTT, GŌNDLĀ, OR TINAN.

The dialect spoken on the banks of the river Chandra is known under various names such as Ranglōi, Gōndlā, and Tinan. At a distance of about fifteen miles from the confluence of the rivers Chandra and Bhaga it is superseded by the Tibetan dialeat spoken in Spiti and neighbourhood.

Ranglöi and Bunán, the dialect spoken on the river Bhaga, have usually been returned together under the head of Lāhu!̣i, i.e. the language of Lahul. The number of speakers has been estimated for the purposes of this Survey at 2,987 . The corresponding figures at the last Census of 1901 were as follows :-


We are not, as yet, able to state how many out of this total should be assigned to the Ranglōi dialect.

## AUTHORITY-

Drack, A. H.,-The Kulu Dialect of Findi; Some Notes on its grammatical struoture, with spccimens :of the Songs and Sayings curreut umongst the people, and a Glossary. Lahore 1896. Contains a. Rangloi vocabulary on pp. 102 and ff.

Our information about Ranglōi is very unsatisfactory. No new materials have been forthcoming, and we therefore only possess the small vocabulary printed in Mr. Diack's Kulu grammar. It is impossible to come to a final decision regarding the position of the dialect. It seems, however, to occupy an intermediate position between Bunán and Manchāti, being probably more closely related to the latter than to the former.

So far as we can judge from the scanty materials available Ranglōi and Manchāṭī often closely agree in vocabulary. Compare bang-ṭa, Manchāṭi bang-ḍa, a bullock; yad, Manchāṭi yad, a cloth; gyag, Manchāṭī rag, a day; ram-pa, Manchāṭī ran, Kanāwºrì ran, to give; Sang-lang, Manchātì Swōng-lang, but Bunán Garzha, Lahul; min, Manchāṭī min, name; sing, Manchāt̄i sing, wood. The cases in which Ranglōi agrees with Bunán as against Manchätī do not seem to be numerous. Compare sotí, Bunán soti, Manchait ṭi $t i$, water.

Mancháti has apparently adopted a greater number of Aryan loan-words than Ranglōi. So far as our present iaformation goes, however, the vocabularies of the two dialects are to all appearance closely connected.

A consideration of the few points connected with Ranglōī grammar which have been made known point to a similar conclusion.

According to Mr. Diack's list the case suffixes of both dialects are identioal, viz., genitive $n u$, dative ring (compare Kanāwari rang, near, to), ablative $\boldsymbol{z i}$, locative ang.

Mr. Diack gives the following numerals, nyizhi, two ; shrummu, three; ngar, five; sa, ten ; sas-nyid-chi, seventeen. Manchāṭi differs in the numeral for two, whioh is vol. ill, part i.
jut. With regard to the rest we may compare shumu, three; ngai, five; sa, ten, and nyii, seven.

The personal pronouns apparently also agree. Compare gye, Manchātị gye, I; gyu-dong, to me, compare Manctātị gyeu, my ; nyє-nu, our, compare Manchāṭī ngye, we; $k a$, Manchāṭi $k a$, thou; ka-nu, Manchāt̄ī $k a-n u$, thy ; kye-nu, your, compare Manchāṭi kye-na, you; do, Manchāṭi $d u$, he, and so forth. Forms such as gye ing-gu, my own; gyu-dong, to me, show that the genitive suffix in Ranglōi in reality has the form $u$. Compare the remarks under the head of Manchāti, p. 450. I am indebted to the Rev.
A. H. Francke for the list of pronouns in Tinan which follows :-


The respectful form kyenag, thou, is probably a plural.
Such indications as can be derived from the scanty materials at our disposal therefore point to the uonclusion that Ranglōi is a dialect very closely related to Manchātī.

## BUNAN.

Bunán is the dialect spoken on the banks of the river Bhaga, from its confluence with the Chandra and north-eastwards, for about 15 miles, till it meets with the Tibetan dialect spoken in Spiti and the neighbourhood. Towards the south and west it is bounded by Pahari, and the influence of that language is strongly felt in the lower part of the Bunán territory. The dialect is apparently gradually giving way to Tlibetan, and a man of the lower Bhaga will speak to one of the lower Chandra in Tibetan, and not in Bunán.

On the lower Bhaga the dialect is also known under the name of Gāhri.
We have no separate information about the number of speakers. Bunán has usually beon returned together with Gōndlà or Tinan, the dialect spoken on the Chandra under the name of Lāhuli. The revised estimates for that latter form of speeoh as made for this Survey were 2,987; but these are far too low, as in the last Census of 1901 the corresponding returns were as follows:-


Bunán is not a language possessing a literature. The Gospel of St. Mark has been translated into the dialect by the Rev. A. H. Francke. In former days, when the Rajas of Kulu ruled in Lahul, the dialect was written in the T $T \tilde{a} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{ri}$ character, but now the Tibetan alphabet, which is muoh better suited for the purpose, is used instead.

## AUTHORITIES—

Jaeschre, H. A., - Note on the Pronunciation of the Tibetan Language. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxxiv, Part i, 1865, pp. 91 and ff. Contains a Banán vocabalary.
Diack, A. H.,-The Kulu Dialect of Hindi. Some Notes on its grammatical structure, with specimens of the Songs and Sayings current amongst the people, and a Glossary. Lahore 1896. Contains a Gara, i.e. Bunán vocabulery, on pp. 102 and ff.
Ladakhi Songs edited in co-operation with Rev. S. Ribbach and Dr. E. Shawe by A. B. Francke. Leh 1899-1902. The songs numbered 44 - 48 are in Bunán.

Bunán is a dialect of the same kind as Kanāwari, Lāhulī, and the Almora dialects. A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son in Bunán has been kindly prepared by the Rev. A. W. Heyde, and a list of Standard Words and Phrases has been forwarded from the district. Both have been carefully revised by the Rev. A. H. Francke, and the remarks which follow are mainly based on his notes.

Pronunciation.-The representation of the various sounds in the specimen is very acourate. The materials available are not, however, sufficient for desoribing the phonetical system in detail. I shall, therefore, only make some few remarke.

Concurrent vowels are usually not oontracted. I'hus, nuï, new; noï, much; nortaï, wealth-having, rich; chheï, warm. Sometimes, however, we find that they are combined into one sound; thus, awa-i and awe, of the father; men, i.e. na-in, is not, no.

Bunán does not appear to possess aspirated soft consonants. In addition to the palatals $c h, c h h$ and $j$, we also find the palatal affricatæ $\underline{t g}, \underline{t} \boldsymbol{f} h$, and $\underline{d z}$. Thus, $\underline{i} \underline{e m e d}$, a girl; bu-tgha, a son; dzawo, a friend.

Hard and soft consonants are sometimes interchanged. Thus, butgha-rog, to the son; gyab-dog, on the back; legs-tog, in the village. In many cases a hard consonant corresponds to a soft consonant in 'Iibetan; thụs, pya, Tibetan bya; tsum, Tibetan 'adzin ; prag, Tibetan, brag, etc.

Double consonants are sometimes simplified. Thus, gyab, Tibetan rgyab, back; kar-ma, Tibetan skar-ma, star; nying-jed, Tibetan snying-brje, pity; z $\tilde{a}-i$, 'libetan bzang-ba, good, and so forth.

In other cases double consonants are preserved; thus, pro, anger; stonmo, feast; kyidpo, bappy ; smad, harlot ; grog-po, brook; tradpa, shoe; shrangs, horse; chaks, iron. Occasionally we find a vowel inserted between the concurrent consonants; thus, t"rui or trui, Tibetan drug, six.

It will be seen that libetan words are differently treated. Some of them preserve the pronunciation of classical Tibetan ; thus, kres, hunger; khral, tax; phyugpo, rich. Others agree with modern Tibetan ; thus, t am, classical liram, cabbage; chodpa, classical spyodpa, behaviour:" We must conclude that there are two layers of "such words, some old and others modern.

The genitive suffix gyi occurs as $g y i, g i, k y i, i$; thus han-gyi, thy ; phag-gi, of the pigs; shoangs-kyi, of the horse; awor $i$ of the father. Note also ta-i, his, from tal, he. Gy apparently also interchanges with $k y, c h, z h$, and so forth, in several verbal forms.

We have no information regarding tones and accents in the dialect. A final consonant is sometimes only half pronounced ; thus, elliye-k', we go.

Article.-The numeral tiki, tiü, $t i$, one, is used as an indefinite article. Thus, mugè tezi tiki, famine great a; tsemed tiii, a daughter; mi tiki-rog, or, shortcr mi ti-kog, to a man.

Nouns.-With regard to the formation of nouns we may note the use of the prefix $a$ in nouns denoting relationship. Thus, $a-v a$, father; $a-m a$, mother; a-chho, brother ; $a-g u$, uncle, etc. All these words are, however, perhaps Tibetan loan-words.

A sufix $\underline{t s} i$ is apparently used in words such as lang-tsi, bull ; nyi-tsi, sun.
Gender.-Gender is only apparent in the case of animate beings. It is distinguished by using different words or by adding affixes. Thus, mi, man; las-mi, woman : shrangs, horse ; god-ma, mare ; lang-tsi, bull; hambu, cow : khyu, dog ; mo khyu, bitch: sha-wa, male deer; sha-mo, female deer.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The plural is not distinguished when it appears from the context. According to the list of words the usual plural suffix is $z h i$; thus, awa-zhi, fathers; mi $z \tilde{a}-i-z h i$, good men; hambu-zhi, cows. A plural is also formed by adding $\underline{t s} h \tilde{a}-i$, all ; tsore, all, etc. Thus, shrangs tshâi $i$, horse all, horses; tgemed tgore, daughters; tal-tgore, they. The final re in tgore is perhaps a plural suffix. Tsore-rog can be shortened to tso-rog; thus, yog-po-tso-rog, to the servants ; dzawo-tgo-rog, to the friends.

Case.-The base alone is used to denote the subject of intransitive verbs and the object. The object is, however, sometimes followed by the postposition of the dative. Thus, yondag tikog zhu-zhi, having requested a farmer (lit. to a farmer).

The subject of transitive verbs is usually put in the case of the agent. The usual suffix of that case is $d z i, \underline{t} s i$, and, after vowels, $z i$; thus, $p h e \bar{t} \underline{t} \boldsymbol{e}-\underline{t_{8}} u g-\underline{t} \boldsymbol{t} i$, by the younger son; awa-zi, by the father. In the plural $\underline{t_{g}} h i$ is used; thus, avoa-tghi, by fathers; tge-med-tghi, by daughters.

The pure instrumental is apparently formed as in Tibetan by adding the suftix dang; thus, ra-shi-dang, with ropes.

The dative, locative, and terminative have all been confounded into one case, which is occasionally also used to denote the object of transitive verbs. The suffix of this case is identical with the suffix of the terminative in Tibetan. It has various forms such as rog, dog, tog, kog, and zhog. Rog is only used after vowels. Thus, lutgha-rog, to the son; awa-rog, to the father; yog-po-tero-rog, to the servants. After the final $i$ of adjectives, bowever, $d o g$ is used instead; thus, $\underline{t} \boldsymbol{f} h \tilde{a} i-d o g-c h i$, all-in-from, from among all.

Dog and tog are apparently used promiscuously after consonants. Tog, however, is generally added after $s, r$, and after mute consonants, while $d o g$ is the regular form of the suffix after $l, m, n$, and $n g$. Thus $l \epsilon g \delta-t o g$, in a country; yar-tog, on the back of; rig-tog-chi, from on the field; tal-dog, to him; kyum-dog, in the house; han-dog, to theo; bang-dog, on the feet. There are, nevertheless, several exceptions to this latter rule.

Kog only occurs in connexion with the indefinite article, and the initial $k$ originally belongs to the numeral tiki, one. Thus, mi ti-kog, to a man. The fuller form tiki-rog is also used.

Zhog is only used in the plural, and probably contains the plural suffix zhi. Thus, $a w a-z h o g$, to fathers; tha-zu-zhog, to them.

In roang-gi tib-tegog, on the top of the hill, we apparently have a suffix og used in the same way. The full form is, however, tibtsi-rog.

The suffix of the ablative is chi. Thus, nying-jed-chi, from compassion; khres-teri, through hunger. $C h i$ is often added to other postpositions. Thus, rig-tog-chi, from in the field; tsemed ti-kog-chi, from with a daughter; awa tiki nung-chi, from with a father, and so fortl.

The suffix of the genitive occurs as $g y i, g i, k y i$, and $i$. The form $g y i$ is only used after $n$ and $l$; thus, za-men-gyi, of food; han-gyi, thy. $G i$ is the comnon form after soft consonants. Thus, phag-gi, of the swine; roang-gi, of the hill. Kyi occurs after $s$ in legs-kyi, of the village; shrangs-kyi, of the horse.

After vowels the suffix is simply $i$. Thus, buta-i, of the tree; agu-i, of the uncle; butsha-i, of the son; gyii agu-i bu-tshag tal-gyi shring bag-mag ri-shi-ni, my unole's son his sister wife brought-has, the son of my uncle is married to his sister.

The suffix $i$ also occurs in ta-i, his, which is used in addition to talgyi.
Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are dang, with; de, to; kachang, near; mang, in, to; nang, together with, added to the base; bon-threg, for the sake of; duchi-mang, in the presence of, kho-chi, behind; nung, to, at the place of ; nung-chi, from, and so forth, added to the genitive.

In legs wai tikung, to a far country, the postposition is perhaps ung; compare tha-zu-ng, or thazui-nung, there; thong, within; aung, here.

Adjectives.-Most adjectives end in i; thus chhe-i, warm ; chho-i, fat; nor-ta-i, wenlth-having, ricin ; tezi, great; thhẫi, all ; zâi and deï, good; narei, bad; noü, muoh; woai, far, and so forth. It seems as if such forms were originally genitives. Other adjectives end in po; thus, epo, good; mang-po, many ; son-po, alive; phyug-po, rich. They are perhaps borrowed from Tibetan. The same is the oase with forms such as ches-pa, dear ; gyogs-pa, quick; khas-pa, wise, etc.

No termination is added in forms such as nyeme, nice, well-tasting; tunig, short; yas, right ; wus, moist, and so forth. Note the reduplication in forms suol as gad-gad, rough; sil-sil, smooth.

Adjectives usually follow, but sometimes also precede, the word they qualify. Thus, lutgi chhoï tiki, oalf fat a; len-mi nö̈, workmen many; shii shrangs-kyi, the white horse's.

The particle of comparison is basta; compare Manchāṭī bē. Thus, tha-zu basta $z a \tilde{i}$, him than good; tal-gyi a-chho taï a-che basta kyui ni, his brother his sister from tall is. Compare also phos $\underline{t} \boldsymbol{f} h \tilde{a} i-d o g-c h i z \tilde{a} i$, clothes all-in-from good, the best cloth.

Numerals. -The numerals are given in the list of words. They usually follow, but occasionally also precede, the noun they qualify. Note the final $i$ in most numerals. The Bunán forms most closely correspond to those in use in the Almora dialects. Higher numerals are counted in twenties as in Kanāw ${ }^{\text {ari}}$. Thus, nyis-sai chui, two twenties ten, fifty. In butsha nyis-kying, two sons; nyis-pi awa, two fathers, kying and pi look like generic particles.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-


There are also emphatic forms such as inggi, I. Other forms are gyi-zi, by me; gyi-i, my ; hing-t $\underline{t}_{8} h i$, by us; han- $\underline{t_{8} h i, ~ b y ~ y o u, ~ t a l-z i, ~ b y ~ l i m, ~ e t c . ~}$

Gyi, I, corresponds to Manchātī gye and to $j \bar{z}$ in the Almora dialeots. Hing-zhi, we, should be compared with Byāngsì ing; han, thou, with Chaudāngsi, Byāngsī gan, etc.

Demonstrative pronouns are the, this; $n u-z u$ and thatzu, that. Note also demonstrative adverbs such as hēnag, thus; noag, so ; nung, there; khyag, here; da, now, and so forth.

Interrogative pronouns are $8 u$, who? $k$ ha, what ? gui, where ? $u-k a$, when ? iohig, how much, how many? and so forth. Indefinite pronouns are formed from the interrogatives by dding rē ; thus, su-zi-rē, by anyone; uka-rē, ever; kha-ré, any.

There are no relative pronouns. Relative participles are used instead. The suffix tgug is usually added in order to form such participles. It apparently adds dofiniteness. Thus te-zi-tgug butgha, the elder son; han-gyi butgha ma-gyun-shi-tgeng han-gyi len-mi tiki nang trog-se liku, thee-by son not-worthy-being thy workman one with like make, make me, who am not worthy to be your son, like one of your servants; phé$\underline{t} \underline{e}-\underline{t} \boldsymbol{t} u g-\underline{t g} i$, by the younger one, by him who was the younger one; phos $\underline{t g h a ̃ i}$-dog-chi $z a \tilde{i}$ - $\underline{t g} u g$, clothes all-in-from the-good-one, the best cloth. The relative participle sometimes precedes the qualified word. Thus, gyi-rog khug-sha-gyun-shi-tgug nor-kal, me-to to-be-got-necessary-being property-share, the share of the property whioh I shall get.

The interrogative pronouns are sometimes used as relatives. Thus, nor kha-tai-tsug $\underline{t s} h \tilde{a} i$, all the property he had; kha-dang-kha gyi-rog ni-i-tgug, whatever is mine.

Verbs.-The conjugation of verbs is of the same kind as in Kanáwri. The various persons are, to some extent, distinguished by means of pronominal suffixes. In the first person singular gya, or, after vowels, $g$, is added. Thus, yen-gya, am ; khyed-che- $g$, strike. The latter form consists of a base khyed-cha, the final a having been changed to $e$ under the influence of the suffix $g$. This $g$ is of course an abbreviated form of $g y i, \mathrm{I}$, and the change of $a$ to $e$ is due to the $y i$ which follows the $g$ in the full form. In lig-ki-za, I did, an infix, $k i$, which is identical with $g y i$, is used instead. In a similar way an infix kyu or $k u$ denotes an object of the first person singular in forms such as gyi-rog khyed-kyu-za, me-to struck-me, I was beaten; lig-ku-ni, make for me.

A subject of the second person singular is usually indicated by adding a suffix na; thus, yen-na, art. An infix $n$ is used instead in $n i-n-z a$, wast.

A subject of the third person is not usually indicated by means of any suffix. Sometimes, however, re is added, and this suffix is used in all numbers; thus, lig-cha-re, does; lig-chhag-re, they do.

The plural forms are also used in the dual. The marking of the subject by means of suffixes is not so common as in the singular. A suffix $n i$ is often used in the first and second persons; thus, yen-ni, we are, you are. In many oases the tense suffixes are modified in the dual and plural in such a way that the initial consonant is aspirated and, if it is soft, hardened. Compare lig-za, he did; lig-tsha, they did; lchyed-kya-ta, he will beat; khyed-kya-thad, they will beat; egye-g, I go ; ekhye-k', we go. Consonants such as $d$ and $g$ are sometimes added; thus, khyed-kya-tha-d, they will beat; khyed-chha-g-ni, you beat, and so on. I have not, however, been able to detect any rule for their use.
'I'he personal suffixes are often dropped altogether; thus, elen, I went; ni-za, I was.
Verb substantive.-The usual bases of the verb substantive are yen and $n i$; thus, yen-gya, am ; ni-za, was. Other bases are kya and go ; thus, kya-men, to be; kya-zhi, having been; goai-tgha, we were; goán-t́sha, they were.

Finite verb.-On account of the frequent use of pronominal suffixes the conjugation of an ordinary verb makes a rather complex impression.

Present time.-Several suffixes are used to form a present. A common one is cha,
plural chha. Compare the table which follows and which registers the usual forms of the present tense of the verb lig-chum, to make:-

|  | Singular. | Dual. | Plural. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lat person exclusive | gyi-zi lig-che-g | hing-tishi lig-chhe-g | hing-zhi-ţshi lig-chheg |
| lst person inclasive | ..... | orang-tghi lig-chheg | erang-zhi-tghi lig-clheg |
| 2nd person | han-zi lig-cha-na | han-tshi lig-chhag-ni | han-shi-tsthi lig-chhag-ni |
| 3rd person | tal-zi lig-cha-re | tal-tshi lig-chhag (-re) | tal-zhi-tshi lig.chhag (-re) |

Cha is probably the suttix of a participle or verbal noun. The same is the case with $y a$ in eaye-g, 1 go; e-ya-na, goest; kya in lehyed-kya mi-za, beating was, and so on. Compound forms are zhod-chi ni, having-sat is, he lives, and so on.

In forms such as yen, is, are ; yer-gya, am, the base alone is used as a present.
Past time.-The most common suffix of the ordinary past is $z a$, dual and plural $\underline{t g h a}$; thus, lig-ki-za, I did; lig-za-na, didst; lig-za, did; dual and plural l. lii-tgha; 2. lig-tgha-ni; 3. lig-tsha.

A perfect is formed by adding men; thus, khyed-men-gya, I struck, I have struck; lig-men-na, hast done; lig-men, has done, have done. Such forms correspond to the Tibetan perfect ending in pa-yin. Another perfeot is formed by adding ta, plural tad; thus, lig-ta-na, hast done; lig-tad-ni, you had done. This perfect is only used in the second and third persons. It corresponds to the Ladakhi perfect in tog.

A suffix len occurs in e-len, went; eleni, wentest; gal-len-gya, I transgressed, and so forth.

Note finally the suffix $a g$ in zug-chho-ag, they began, and forms such as goai-tsha, we were; yoan-tsha, you, they, were.

Future. - The suffix of the future is kya-ta or ka-ta, i.e. ta added to a participle ending in kya or $k a$; thus, khyed-kya-ta, I shall strike; lig-ka-ta-na, thou wilt do. Ta becomes tha in the plural; thus, lig-ka-the!, we shill do; lig-ka-thad-ni, you will do; lig-ka-thad, they will do.

Imperative.-The base alone is used as an imperative; thus, $z a$, eat; ra, come. In the plural $n i$ is added; thus, lig-ni, make ye. An imperative suffix ra occurs in forms such as zho-ra, sit; khye-ra, beat; pho-ヶa, put on. An indirect or direct object of the first person is often indicated by adding lcu, after vowels $u$, and a suffix $a$ in the same way often refers the action to an object of the second or third persons; thus, lig-ku, make me, make for me; lig-ku-ni, make ye me; $d a-u$, give me; li-a, make him; da-a, give him. The suffix $a$ is also used in neuter verbs; thus, ela, go; grela, run.

The particle of prohibition is tha; thus, tha li-a, make not; tha grel-a, run not.
Verbal nouns and participles.-The bunán verb, like that of other TibetoBurman languages, is properly a verbal noun. The base itself is freely used as a noun and case suffixes are added. It is a consequence of the nominal character of the verb that it is difficult to distinguish between what we might call participles and verbal nouns.

The sulix $j i$, which is often pronounced $z h i$, is very commonly used to form a conjunctive participle. Compare $z h u-j i$, asking; el-ji, having gone; sini-cha khom- $j i$, to
die finished-baving, having died, etc. If there is more than one subject $j i$ is ohanged to chhi ; thus, thad-chhi, making merry. In neuter verbs $j i$ is usually replaced by chi or shi, plural chhi; thus, howang-shi, having taken place ; shan-shi, baving arisen ; tab-shi, returning, etc.

The postposition de is used to form a kind of infinitive of purpose; thus, el-de ma phod-za, go not could, be could not go; bing-de gyun-ted-chi, to-fill necessary-thinking, wishing to fill; sem thad de da-za, mind to-be-merry giving, making merry.

The postposition ree is added to bases ending in a vowel. Thus, za-rè khom-ji eating having-finished, having deroured.

The postposition nang, with, forms a kind of conditional mood. Thue, ring-nang, saying-with, if you say.

A common suffix which is used to form verbal nouns and participles occurs in various forms such as kya,ka,kha, cha, sha. It is probable that we have here to do with more than one suffix. We may perhaps compare the base kya, to become, and Purik chas.

Such forms are properly verbal nouns. We must, however, sometimes translate them as verbal nouns, and sometimes as participles. 'Thus, gyi-rog khyed-kyani-za, me-to beating was, I was beaten; ma gor-ka, no-tarrying, without tarrying; roag-ka-re, grazing-is, he is grazing ; hin-zhie-kiha goaik', our going is, we go ; chol-cha, to appoint ; shi-cha khom-ji, dying finished-having, having died; han-zi khyed-cha-re, thee-by strik-ing-is, thou strikest ; khug-sha gy un-shi-tgug, getting necessary-being, which should be got.

A very common verbal noun is formed by adding the sulfix chum, i.e. cha and a suffix $m$ which is identical with the $m$-suffix of the Almora dialects. Thus, ka-chum to turn ; kre-chum, to bite; tig-chum, to cover; khug-chum-bon-threg, finding-on-account-of, because lie has been found.

Forms such as $t i b-m e n$, struck, contain the same suffix $m$ and probably the verb substantive. Men is a very common sulfix of verbal nouns. Thus, za-men, food; dod-men, to meet; gyags-men, to listen; toa-men, to cut grass; phya-men, to speak and se forth.

A common verbal noun, which apparently has the meaning of a past, is formed by adding a suffix $s$. It is sometimes added to the base, and sometimes also to the suffixes mentioned in the foregoing. 'Thus, ra-s-tang, having-come-on; do-s-tang, beingfound on; lo-chi-s-tang, on having said.

Tsoas in tsoas-thir-za, divided-given-was, perhaps contains the same suffix. Compare, however, astog, time; llhyed-kya-astog, when beating; leb-cha-astog, when arriving.

A present participle active is formed by adding chi-pa, plural chi-pa-ji, and a past participle passive by adding shi-tsug, plural shi-tsug-shi; thus, lig-chi-pa, doing; lig-shi-tsug, done.

Passive voice. - There is no passive voice. ' I am strack' must be translated ' somebody struck me.'

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed $m a$ and before imperatives, tha. Thus, ma $d a-z a$, he did not give; tha $d a$, give not.

Order of words.-The order of words is subject, objeot, verb. Adjectives and numerals usually follow, and genitives procede the noun they qualify.

For further details the student is referred to the specimen which follows and to the list of Standard Words and Phrases on pp. 533 and ff.
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## Tibeto-BURMAN FAMILY. Tibeto-Himalayan Group.

BUNAN.

(District Lahol.)
(Rev. A. W. Heyde, 1899.)
$M i \quad t i-k o g$ bu-tsha nyis-kying tan-ji, phētsē-tsug-tsi awa-rog, 'gyi-i
Man one-to son two being, the-younger-by father-to, 'my awa, gyi-rog khug-sha-gyun-shi-tsug nor-kal gyi-rog da-u,' noag father, me-to to-be-found-proper-being property-share me-to give, so
lod-ji, a-wa-zi nor tshoas-thir-za. Nung-chi noï ma gor-ka having-said, father-by property divided-gave. Then much not tarrying phē-tsē-tsug•tsi nor tshãi du-ji legs wa-i ti-kung el-za. the-younger-hy property all gathering country far one-to went. Tshãi chlıud-zos-tog thir-tad. Nor kha-ta-gi-tsug tshãi za-ré All water-into gave. Property whatever all to-eat khom-ji tha-zu legs-tog mu-gē tezi tiki ra-ji khre-za. Yon-dag finishing that country-in famine great one coming hungered. Farmer tikog zhu-ji, tha-zu-zi phag roag-tsi chol-ja rig-tog thir-za. one-to requesting, him-by swine herdsman appointing field-into sent. Nung phag-gi za-men kha nii-tsug-dang in-zii dan bing-de gyun ted-chi There swine-of food what being-with himself-of belly to-fill must thinking su-zi-1'e ma da-za. Nung-chi tal dran-pa so-ji liēnag mi-za, 'gyi-i awa-rog anyone not gave. Then he memory refreshing thus thought, 'my father-to len-mi no-i goag. 'Tha-zu-zhog za-men-gyi long-chod mok'i-ni, gyi-work-men many are. Them-to food-of plenty much-is, I-on-the-
ning khyag khres-tsi shi-cheg. Da shan-shi awa-i du-chi-mang other-hand here hunger-by die. Now arising father-of presence-in el-ji, "gyi-i awa, nam-nang hau-gyi du-chi-mang dig-pa having-gone, "my father, heaven-and your presence-in sin
lig.ji han-gyi bu-tsha ma-gyun-shi-tsug han-gyi len-mi tiki having-done you-by son not-toorthy-being thy work-man one nang tsog-se liku," noag zhus-ka-ta,' mi-ji, shau-shi awa-i du-chiwith like make," thus will-request,' thinking, arising father-of pres. mang el-za. Awa-zi rē wa-i-chi ra-i thang-ji nying-jed-chi ence-in went. Father-by also far-fiom coming seen-having compassion-feeling bu-tsba ka-chang grel-chi khoang-gul-tog khril-shi tal-dog a-u da-za. son near running neck-on clasping him-to kiss gave.

Nung-chi bu-tsha-zi tal-dog,'gyi-i awa, gyi-zi nam-nang han-gyi du-chiThen son-by hin-to, 'my father, me-by herven-and thy presencemang dig-pa lig-ki-za. Tan-chi khoreg han-gyi bu-teha ma-gyon-shi,' ins sin have-done. To-day-from after your son not-worthy-am,' noag zhu-za. Awa-zi yog-po-tso-rog, 'da phos tshãi-dog-ohi thus requested. Father-by servants-to, now cloth all-in-/rom zãi-tsug kbyag rid-chhi tal-dog pho-ra; lag-tog la-sab, bang-dog good-one here brought-having him-on put; hand-on ring, feet-on trad-pa rē tsua. Nung-chi lu-tsi ohho-i ti-ki pur-ji za-ni, sem shoes also put. Then calf fat one killing eat, mind thad-chhi jod-ni. I'ha-zu kha-i bon-threg ring-nang, gyi-i the bu-tsha being-merry sit. That what-of for said-if, my this son shi-cha khom-ji, son-po kya-za; hyod-ji, tab-shi khug-chum-bon-threg,' dying finished-having, alive became; lost, again found-being-on-accoint-of,' noag lo-chis-tang tal-tso-re thad-chhi ston-mo lig-cha zug-chhoag. thus sayiny-on they-all merrily feast making began.

Nu-zu as-tog te-zi-tsug bu-tsha rig-tog-chi tab-shi kyum kachang That time-at elder-the son field-in-from returning house near leb-cha-astog rol-mo nang khori-pa-i kad yen-chis-tang yor-po tikog arriving-when music and dancing-of sound hearing-in servant one-to akstag-ji, 'the kha yen?' shru-za. Yog-po-zi, 'han-gyi bed calling, 'this what is ?' asked. Servant-by, 'your younger-brother ra-s-tang awa-zi tha-zu bu-tsha kham de-i-dog tab-shi do-s-tang coming-on father-by that son health good-in back finding-on lu-tsi chho-i ti-ki pur-za,' noag lo-chi yen-ji pro tsag-shi thong calf fat one killed,' thus saying hearing anger entering inside el-de ma phod-za. Awa phi-lag ra-ji tshig jam-mi-rog, 'thong-mang ra,' going not- could. Father outside coming words mild-with, 'within come,' noag lod-za. Bu-tsha-zi, 'awa, lo thēleg ti-ki as-tog gyi-zi so said. Son-by, 'father, year so-many a time-in me-by han-gyi yog-po li-ki-ji han-gyi as-tog-chi u-ka-re ma gal-len-gya, your servant making your mouth-in-from ever not transgressed, han-zi gyi-i dza-wo-tso-re-dang thad-chi skyid-po lig-chum-bon-threg la-la-tsi thee-by my friends-with merry happy making-sake-for kid tiki rē ma dan-za-na,' noag lod-za. 'Da han-gyi phētsē bu-tgha one even not gavest,' thus said. 'Now thy younger son tha-zu smad-tshong-ma-tso-re nang nor chhud-zos-tog thir-ji tabthat harlots with property water-into having-thrown haoing-
shi tal-dog lu-tsi chho-i dan-za-na,' noag lod-za. Nung-chi awa-zi returned him-to calf fat gavest,' so said. Then father-hy
lod-za, 'gyi-i bu-tgha, han ukaré gyi nang nyam-po zho-s-dang, kha-dang-kha said, 'my son, thou always me with togcther living-in, whatever
gyi-rog ni-i-tsug, tha-zu ban-dog rē ni. Da han-gyi bed shi-cha me-t, being, that thee-to also is. Now thy younger-brother dying
khom-ji, son-za; hyod-cha khom-ji, khug-shi-tsug-tog thad-ohi having-finished, lived; lost-being having-finished, found-being-in merry skyid-po lig-cha ggun,' noag lod-za.
happy making proper,' thus said.

## RANGKAS OR SAUKIYA KHUN.

Rangkas or Saukiya is stated to be a denomination of the people who carry on trade with Tibet. Their khun or dialect has been reported to be spoken in one village of Malla Johar, and four villages of Malla Danpur. The number of speakers has been estimated for the purposes of this Survey at 614.

Malla Johar, i.e., Upper Johar, is the north-western corner of Almora. It is bounded on the north and west by Garhwal and on the east by Tibet and Parganah Darma. Malla Danpur is situated to the west and south-west of Johar. The home of the Rangkas dialect is accordingly to the west of Dārmiyà.

I cannot find any corroboration of the statement that the Rangkas or Saukiya are the people who carry on trade with Tibet, and I am not sure that it is correct. The Bhōtiās of the neighbouring districts all carry on trade with that country. The Bhōṭiās of Johar, however, have the privilege of choosing their own markets, while the rest are confined to some particular mart in Tibet. Now Rakas is the name of one of the villages of Johar, and the Bhōtiās of that district are known as Sokpas. Those names hare perhaps something to do with the denomination of the dialect. At all events, we can safely assume that Rangkas is the form of specch used by Bhōṭias all over Upper Johar and Upper Danpur.

Two specimens and a list of Standard Words and Phrases have been forwarded from the district. Babu Gobind Prasad, B.A., who has despatched them, expresses grave doubts about their correctness. He has not, therefore, ventured to accompany them by a translation. It is, however, possible to derive a general idea of the nature of the dialect from them, and I have therefore added an interlinear translation, though the meaning is not quite certain in all places. In the materials I have corrected all obvious mistakes such as, e.g., lehami khä instead of lchamir $\bar{b} \bar{a}$. On the whole, however, I have left them as I have received them.

Pronunciation.-The phonetical system is broadly the same as in the neighbouring dialects of Almora. The spelling of the specimens is, however, extremely inconsistent. Thus, $s$ and $s, \bar{e}$ and $y \bar{a}$, and so forth are used promiscuously.

Long and short vowels are often interchanged ; thus, $j i$ and $j i$, I. Similarly, the various vowels are often interchanged. Compare $j i, j \bar{e}, j a i-g \bar{o}, \mathrm{my}$; $l i-s, l \bar{e}-8$, and lai-s, said; $m \bar{\imath}-s$ and $m a i \cdot s$, by a man; $s \overline{0}, s \bar{u}, s \bar{e}$, and $s$, the suffix of the case of the agent; $h v a \bar{a}$ as and $k a$-hōsas, was lost; rī, rí, ri, and $r$, the suffix of the terminative; nyà and $n \bar{e}$, the suffix of a conjunctive participle, and so forth. It is impossible to decide in each case whether such uncertainty in the writing corresponds to a similar uncertainty in the pronunciation.

The meaning of the sign which I have transliterated by the Anunāsika is not certain. In words such as $r \boldsymbol{r} \tilde{a}$, horse ; chubã, to, and others, it is perhaps written instead of $n g$. In other cases it seems to denote a nasal pronunciation of the vowel ; thus, $h \tilde{u} \underline{u}$, camel; si-chãn, dying.

Hard and soft consonants are apparently very freely intercbanged; thus, $g$ and $k$, the sulfix of the genitive; rä-ch and $r \bar{a}-j$, came; $d h u k$ and $t u k$, all ; bhung-nyà and $p i n n$, tall.
$R$ is interchanged with $r$; thus, gulpair and gulpair, ever ; dagar and dagar, with. $S$ sometimes interohanges with $c h$; thus in the suffix of past time.
Note also the frequent aspiration in words such as mha, not; hvänam, far; hvè, that; $r h \bar{a}$, bring ; $r h \bar{u}$, ask : $r h \tilde{a}$, horse, and so forth.

We have no information regarding accentuation or tones.
Article.-T $\bar{a}$, a shorter form of the numeral $t \bar{\alpha}-k \bar{a}$, one, and indefinite pronouns such as khami, khami-ri, and khami-r, some; gub, some, and gär, some, are used as indefinite articles; thus, t $\bar{a}$ naukar, a servant; khame $b \bar{a}$, a father; khamir mí, a man ; $g u b r h \tilde{a}$, a horse; gār sã-khu, in a village.

Nouns.-I'There are no instances in the materials available of any suffixes or prefixes used in order to distinguish gender. Different words are used for that purpose. Thus, lã, bull ; bēn, cow: khvi, dog ; chhvär, bitch. Forms such as rhã bachhai, mare, lit. horse-woman, show that Rangkas in this respect agrees with other neighbouring forms of speech.

Number.-The plural is not distinguished by means of any suffix when it can be inferred from the context. When required, a suffix chan, chã or ch $\bar{a}$ appears to denote the plural ; thus, $\quad \vec{e}-$-chan, the bides; khvi-chã, dogs; bēechā-k, of the skins. Compare Därmiyà. In khamiri ni-ch $\tilde{\bar{a}}-k$ (i.e., mī-ch $\tilde{a}-k$ ) niśí sēri, one man-of two sons, the same suffix has apparently been used in order to form an honorific singular. A kind of plural can also be effected by adding words such as titi, mhan, many, and so forth; thus, $b \bar{a}$ $t i t i$, fathers ; mhan $b \bar{a}$, fathers.

Case.-The various cases are apparently often interchanged. Thus both the genitive and the dative are used as accusatives, the genitive also occurs with the function of a dative, and so forth. The regular case system is apparently as follows.

The nominative and the accusative, i.e., the cases of the subjeot of intransitive verbs and of the object, are not distinguished by means of any suffix, although the genitive and the dative sometimes occur in the function of the object. Thus, jal-gö chhē-tas, his share(-of) he divided ; u-chabã $u-g l \bar{o}-r$ laios, him(-to) lis bosom-to clasped.

The subject of transitive verbs is usually put in the case of the agent, whioh is formed by adding the suffix $s \bar{o}, s \bar{u}, s \bar{\imath}$, or $s$; thus, $b \bar{a}-s \bar{l} l \bar{e}-s$, father-by said, the father said; nyāpan-su $l \bar{\imath} \cdot s$, small-by said, the younger said.

The same form is also used as an instrumental ; thus, chābuk-sō, with stripes.
Instead of $s$ we occasionally find forms such as sich or syach. Thus, sud mi-sich bē $k h o ̄-s$, simplo man-by skin took; khü-mi-syach, by the thieves.

The usual suffix of the dative is chabã or chubã, also written $j \cdot b \tilde{a} n g$ and even $j \cdot c h u b \tilde{a}$; thus, $b \bar{a}-c h u b \tilde{a}$, to the father ; $b \tilde{a}-j-c h u b \tilde{a}$, to the father ; $u-j-b a ̃ n g$, to him. In $u-g k h a m i r i-s$ $k h a r \bar{\imath} m a d \bar{a}-\delta$, , his anyone-by anything not gave, no onc gave him anything, the genitive has apparently been used instead of the dative, or else there is a dative suffix $g, k$.

An ablative is formed by adding the suffix pattī or baṭī. Thus, hvānam patt $\bar{\imath}$, from a distance ; khamī chami batī from a daughter.

The suffix of the genitive takes the forms $g \bar{o}, g u, g$, and $k$; thus, $b \bar{a}-g \bar{o}$, of the father; $m \bar{\imath}-g$, of a man.

So far as we can judge from the specimens, the terminative is commonly used as a locative. It is formed by adding the suffix rō, rī, ri, or r $r$; thus, $l a-r o \bar{o}$. on the hand; $a m-r \bar{i}$, and am-ar, on the way; $p h u-r i$, in the cave. Note $p h u-\bar{a} r$, in the cave.

Other locative suffixes are $s u, s \bar{u}$, or similar forms, and $k h \tilde{u}$; thus, khung-su, at the bottom; $s \tilde{a}-k h \tilde{u}$, in the village.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are badlä, instead of ; blitar, insile ; gän-syu, before ; gund-pat! $\bar{i}$, between; hyang-su, behind ; hvē, from; $k h \tilde{u}-s y u$ and $k h v \tilde{a}-s y \tilde{u}$, under; lēkhā and lēkh, for the sake of ; rakshä, with; sāman, before; yart, yaran, on, and so forth. Postpositions are sometimes added to the base and sometimes to the genitive.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the qualified noun in the nominative. In myäpan-sō sēr̄ $d h u k-c h h a n d \bar{u}-n y \bar{a}$, the small-by son all gathering, the younger son having gathered all, the case suffix has been added to the adjeotive nyäpan and not, as we would expect, to the noun.

The particle of comparison is hvē ; thus, a pi-khan u rhangśyā hvē mhan mhan sini, his brother his sister from more tall is ; dukh hvē jhyän, all from good, best. Compare Chamba Lāhulị vè.

Numerals.- The first numerals are found in the list of words. They precede the noun they qualify. Ninsä chi, fifty, literally means 'two twenties ten.' Nanas, hundred, should perhaps be na-nsa, five twenties.

Pronouns.-The usual forms of the personal pronouns are as follows:-

|  | I | We | Thou | You | He | They |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | $j i, j e \overline{,} j i n$ | nung | $g a$ | gani | $h \nu \bar{e}, u$ | usī, hvē-chan |
| Agent | $j i$-s | nung-s | $g a-s \bar{o}, g a-s \bar{u}$, ga-s, gassaz, gussu | gani-so | u-s $\bar{u}, u s \bar{i}$, $\mathfrak{\imath}-s$, hvëdasu | usi-s, hvē -chan-s |
| Genit. | $\begin{gathered} j i-g, j a i-g \overline{,}, \\ j \bar{e}, j y \bar{u} \end{gathered}$ | nung-g | $g \bar{o}-g, g \bar{u}-g, g \bar{o}$ | gani-g | $\begin{gathered} u-g, \bar{o}-g, \bar{\delta}, \\ h v e \bar{d} \bar{o}-g \end{gathered}$ |  chā-g |

Besides, several other forms occur, such as jēevan, by me; hvē-yart-patt $\bar{e}$, by him, lit. that-on-from, and so forth. Most of them are due to misunderstanding in the translation or to misreading of the original draft. Others are simply slightly different forms of those registered in the above table, and tbey will be easily understood when met with.

The Aryan loan-word aprō, apnō-gō, apnō-k, own, is used as a reflexive pronoun.
The pronoun $u, \bar{o}$, he, is sometimes used in order to repeat a preceding noun before postpositions or governing words. Thus, $\bar{o}-g \bar{o}-b \bar{a}-g$ day $\bar{a}$ rabech, his his-father-of pity came; hvēda-s garīb mī u-chabã rhu -sas, him-by poor man bim-to asked, he asked the poor man; i garīb mī $\bar{o}-d \bar{a}-s$, this poor man his-envy-by, from envy of this poor man. Compare the corresponding use of pronominal prefixes in several Nepal dialects, in KukiChin, and so on.

Demonstrative pronouns are $i, i d \bar{o}, i d$, and $\bar{e}$, this; $h v e \bar{e}, h v e \bar{e} d a, u$, that.
The interrogative pronouns are formed from the bases $k h a$, and $g u$. Thus kha-m $\bar{u}$, who? gu-dai-bat $\bar{i}$, from whom? kha, what? gu-lã, how much? how many? gumta-ganē, how-having-done? how? and so forth. By adding $r \bar{z}$ or $r$ the interrogative bases are made indefinite. Thus, khamirrī and khamir, a certain; gvā-r, a certain; kha-riz, khar, anything, some.

Relative pronouns are $j e \bar{e}, j a i, j a i d, j a i d a s ́, j a i d \bar{o}$, who ; jai-han-tā, whatever. They
 vol. iif, part i.
ate ; sud mī jaidō-g tä nyāpan chyam, a foolish man whose one small house, who possessed a small house; $j \bar{e}-g j e \bar{e} \sin , g \bar{o} l h \bar{e}$, mine what is, thine is.

Verbs.-The list of Standard Words and Phrases contains a series of verbal forms which do not ocour in the specimens. On the whole, however, the conjugation in Rangkas is apparently less complicated than in the neighbouring dialects of Darma, Chaudangs and Byangs.

There is the same tendency to distinguish the person of the subject in the verb, especially in the oase of the second person. The past tense is sometimes formed by means of reduplication of the base, as is also the case in Chaudangsi and Byāngsi.

Verb substantive.-The verb substantive is formed from the bases $l h e ̈, n i$, and si. The following forms occur:-

|  | Present. | Past. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sing. 1. | sisi | $s \bar{\imath} s$ |
| 2. | sisisin; lhēn | si-nau-s |
| 3. | $\sin , \operatorname{sini} ; \quad n \dot{B} ; \quad l h \bar{e}$ | sis, sich, sya-ch, si-chas; $k a-l h i g$, lhing-chu |
| Plar. 1. | na-si-su | sis |
| 2. | sisin | ṡi-nai-śs |
| 3. | sini; lhyã, i.e. lhe | sich, lhē |

Other forms are sis, shall be; lhé-m ching-ni, to be is proper; ka-lhain, (in order that) we might be (merry), and so forth.

The bases of the verb substantive are freely used in the formation of the various tenses of finite verbs.

Present time.-The specimens contain very few forms of the present. In the first person singular we find the compound form sichãn sis, I am dying; and in the second person rhai-san, thou livest, occurs. Both contain the verb substantive, rhai-sa-n also the sutfix $n$ of the seond person. It will be seen that there is here no suffix denoting the first person.

The third person singular is formed in various ways. The base alone is sometimes used; thus, ding, he falls. In other cases the suffixes $n i$ and $t$ or $d$ are added. Thus, rai-ni, he comes; $h v e \overline{-} t$, he is grazing; $k h v \bar{a}-d$, he digs.

The list of words contains several additional forms; thus, sāt $\bar{u}, ~ I ~ s t r i k e ; ~ s a \bar{a} \cdot t i-l \bar{a}$, I. am striking; di-ś, I go; sai-t-na-lă, thou strikest; di$\cdot n$, he goes; sai-ta-lä, we strike; $d i-s-\tilde{u} n y$, we go ; sai-ti-nalā, you strike; di-sin, you go ; sait-ki , they strike; di-n, they go.

Past time.-The common suffix of past time is $s$ or $s u$; thus, $l \bar{\imath}-s$, he said ; $g \bar{a}-s u$, he made. In the first person an $i$ apparently precedes the $s$, and in the second person $n$, $n u u, n a i$, or $n u$ is inserted. Thus, gai-s, I did; tāl-i-s, I transgressed; tang-n-su, gottest; kur-nall-ś, or kur-nai-ś, broughtest; dà-nu-ś, gavest.

Instead of $s$ we often find $c h$; thus, $r \bar{a}-c h$, and also $r \bar{a}-j$, he came; dēech, he went.

Compound sullixes are sas, chas, and tas; thus, rhē-sas, asked ; thō-chas, demanded ; gā-tus, made. 'There are no instances of such forms in the first and second persons.

The $s$-sulfixes are sometimes used in connexion with a prefix $k a$; thus, ka-lunch, got angry ; ka-hō-sas, he was lost; ka-rhō-chas, he was alarmed.

The base is sometimes reduplicated in the past, and suffixes such as $d i, t i$, and $n$, all probably various forms of the copula, are added. Thus, $g a-g \bar{c}-d i$, he has done; $g a-g \bar{a}-t i$, thou gavest ; di-di$-n$, he went.

Compound forms are rhai-n-sich, lived; $j \bar{a}-n-s i c h$, were eating, and so forth.
Note, finally, isolated forms such as lhangyän, squandered; thum-syā, gathered; manai-në, entreated; tä-bēn, tā-pach, and thai-pach, went, and so forth. Several additional forms will be found in the list of words.

Future.-'The present is apparently also used as a future ; thus, di-ś, I shall go; $l \bar{e}-t i$, I shall say. According to the list of words the most common future suffix seems to contain a $t$.

Imperative.-The base alone is often used as an imperative; thus, kur, take; dā, give; chuksan-ga, cloth make, put on. Common imperative suffixes are nē, and $\bar{t} \bar{e}$, the latter also occurring as tai and dai. Thus, d $\bar{a}-n \bar{e}$, give ; d $\bar{a}-t \bar{e}$, give; gā-tai, make; gvi-dai, bind.

The list of words contains several additional forms. No instances of their use are, however, given.
'The materials available do not contain any example of a negative imperative.
Verbal nouns.-The base alone is used as a verbal noun. Thus, hō, to feed; tung, to drink. 'Ihe usual suffix is $m$ or $m \bar{o}$; thus, sai-m chyung-ni, to strike is proper; di-m $\bar{o}-k$ man ma $g \bar{a}-s$, going-of mind not made, he did not want to go; $p \bar{a}-m i-k$, measuring.for, in order to measure.

A suffix $t$ apparently occurs in pyaugat, to fill. Lē-san, worl, is properly a past participle of $l \bar{e}$, to say.

Participles.-Participles which are used as adjectives are formed by adding $n$, past san, to the base; thus, $j \bar{a}-n$ sich, eating were; $\begin{aligned} & \bar{i} c h \tilde{a}-n ~ s i s, ~ l y i n g ~ I ~ a m ~ ; ~ t a ̄-s a n ~ b o ̄ j h, ~\end{aligned}$ the left load, the load which had been left ; pyī-san, filled, full.

The suffix $s, s \bar{e}, c h, c h a i, c h u$, or chē forms conjunctive participles. Thus, yan-s, hearing ; rā-ch, coming; thuk-sē, returning; dī-chai, going; lehisai-chu, despairing ; $h r \hat{i}-c h \bar{e}$, arising. Such forms are occasionally also used as adjectives; thus, khu-räch mäl, theft-come property, stolen property.

Other conjunctive participles are formed by adding the suffixes $n \bar{e},(n y \bar{a})$ and $t \bar{e}$; thus, vī-n $\bar{e}$, calling; rhā-né, bringing; d $\bar{u}-n y \bar{a}$, gathering; h $\overline{0} \cdot t \bar{\imath}$, leaving. In pī-k kurs, taking up carried, a suffix $k$ has apparently been added. Compare pō-k sichas, having died was, had died.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefised ma, or, sometimes, mu. Thus, ma dā-s, did not give; ma tālis, I did not transgress; lèm-um mu-ni, to say (worthy) not am.

Order of words. -Tine order of words is the same as in connected forms of speech, subject, object, verb. Adjectives and numerais precede the qualified word.

For further details the student is referred to the specimens which follow.
vol. hif, parti.
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RANGKAS OR SAUKIYĀ KHUN.

Specimen I.
(District Almora.)

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { LHÃGTİ } & \text { SERİ-GŌ } & \text { RAMKO. } \\
\text { PRODIGAL } & S O N-O F & \text { STORY. }
\end{array}
$$

Khamiri nī (i.e. mī)-chā̄̄k nisī sērī. Gā̃̄r kbā khā ramkō, nyāpan-su Certain man-of two sons. And what what story, young-by apnō bā-j-chubã lī-s, 'hē bā, gō jāydād-patṭī jai-gõ jal jī his father-to said, 'O father, thy property-from my share me dā, jai ji chyung-ganī jē jal ji dā.' Gār hvai-yart-patṭi give, what mine proper-is my share me give.' And that-on-from usī-gundā-paṭ̣i apnō jal-gō chhē-tas. Gār mhan jyā mha lē, them-between-from his share divided. And many days not weere, nyăpan-sō sēri dhuk-chhan duk-chhan dū-nyā hvānam dēs tābēn younger-by son all all gathering far country went gār hvē-r madān rhaich apnō-gō māl-ṭāl lhangyān. Gār and there wrong living own property squandered. And jab hvē ṭuk-chhan-dhuk lhã-tas, hvē dēs pūn kāl parēch, when he all had-spent, that country big famine fell, gãr u tang ka-lhij. Gār ū hvē dēs khamir jhyain and he destitute became. And he that country some good mi rakshā dī-chai nhai-ch, gār u-sū u-chubã apan rai-r suar man with going stayed, and him-by him his field-to swine hō pã-s. Gār hvidaśu kosus jaidaś sungar jānsich hīsē apnō to-graze sent. And those husks-with which swine ate gladly own dan pyangat vā unsich, gār u-g khamiri-s kharī ma dās. lelly to-fill he (?) wished, and him any-one-by anything not gave. Gār jab hvē apnō dēś-chubã chyāng-rā-ch hvēr-patṭi lē-s, 'jē And when he his senses-to returned there-from said, 'my

| $\begin{gathered} \text { bā-k } \\ \text { father-of } \end{gathered}$ | naukar <br> servants |  | $\bar{o}$ <br> their | dan |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { jā-m } \\ & \text { food } \end{aligned}$ | mhan j <br> more | jã̃nsich, eat, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sichãn | sis. | Ji | hrī̀-chē | apnō | bā-chubã | diś | gār |  |  |
| hunger | dying | $a m$. | $I$ | rising | Ow | father-to | will-go | and |  |  |
|  |  |  | bā, | me |  | God's | $\bar{\square}$ |  |  |  |
| will-say, |  |  | father, | $m e-b y$ |  | God's | will (?) |  |  |  |

gõ săman pāp gaiś. Gār jè phir gō sērì lēm-um mu-ni. of-thee before sin did. And I again thy son to-say not-am. Jó (i.e. jī) apnō tà naukar gā-tai."' Gár apnō-k bā-g chubã Me own one servant make.', And own father-of near hrichē dēch. Gạ̣̃̃ (i.e. gã̃r) hvō hvānam sis, u ba-s hvānam-paṭị urising went. And he far was, his father-by far-from ōn-s, gār ī-g ō-bā-g dayà rā-ch, gār hvēr-patṭi sē-nyē u-chabã sawo, and his his-father-of pity came, and there-from running him $\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{g}$ lō-r lai-s gār chuk dās. Gār sērī-su u-chabã his bosom-to clasped and kiss gave. And son-by him-to lē-s, 'hē bā, ji-s Bhagvān machchyā yam said, ' $O$ father, me-by God's will (?) aocording-to (?) mu-ni gār gō-g unnanē (i.e. sāmnē ?) pāp gē-s. Gār jē gō-g not-is and thy before sirb did. And I thy sēri limum ma-ni.' Gār bā-sō apnō-gō naukar lē-s, son to-say not-am.' And father-by own servants said, 'dukh-hvē jhyān chuksam tinai-nē rhā gār i rhā-nē chuksan-gā. 'all-froon good robe taking-out bring and this bringing put-on. Gār ō lā-rō lag-ohhyab gār likē-r paul ohugsan-gā. Gār And his hand-on ring and feet-on shoes put. And jī jā-m chyung-ni. Ka-lai, i jyū sēri pūk-sichas, găr phir my eating proper-is. Why, this my son dead-was, and again tanch; livè ka-hvāsas, thyāk tanch.' Ājai hvai ka-hēsas hisam is-alive; he was-lost, again is-found.' Then they feasting merry ka-lēs.
made.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

And his elder son field-in was. And then he coming hã svã rä-j ninam chȳ̄ng-rā-j, u-su tōgā-bãjā-tus gār and home coming near returned, him-by music and nāchē̄ch sabd sunais. Gār u-s tā naukar vi-nē dancing-of sound heard. And lim-by one servant called-having rhū-sas, 'idō-g thyā hīē kha-sin $\rho$ ' Gār u-sū u-chabang asked, 'this-of meaning (?) that what-is?' And hims-by him-to lēs, 'gō pi-khan rā-ch. Gō bā-ssō jhyain ga-gā-dī; kha-lai, said, 'thy brother came. Thy father-by good has-made; why, u-s ū jhyain tang-s.' Gär u kalunch gã̀ u-s bhitar him-by him well found.' And he got-angry and him-by inside di-mō-ls man ma gās. I-lēkhā ō bã-sō bhai rā-ch gār going-cf mind not made. This-for his father-by out came and $u$ manai-nē. Gār u-s ap-nō bā-chbã lai-s, 'jēvan (i.e.ji-s) him entreated. And him-by own father-to said 'me-by
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## RANGKAS OR SAUKIYA KHAN.

## Specimen II.

(District Almora.)

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { TA } & \text { KATHA } & \text { SINI. } \\
\text { ONE } & T A L E & I S .
\end{array}
$$

Jaidaśu khich mi-g lēkh king khvāda hvē ding.
Whom-by other man-of sake-for pit digs he is-caught (?).
Grạr sang-khû tā mhan sud mī, jai-dō-g tā nyãpan chyam gãr
Certain village-in a very simple man, whose one small house and kharī lāsung-māl rhain-sich. $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ rakshā-sich ī-dagar ris gān-syach some female-male-goats lived. His neighbours-by him-roith envy making ōg sudak-sich-man-sya ughāt tak-nē hvē sang-khu-patṭi lis milinat his simplicity-by opportunity seeking him village-in-from toeexpel attempt gān-sich.
made.
Hvē tā jȳ̄ jab ō-g lāsung-malā jbyārā-r dōng-n-sich, usi-sō Then one day when his female-male-goats jungle-in grazing-were, them-by u. tā bēr kik-tas gār imtā ga-nē dug sai-s. Hvē garības them one precipice-in throw and so doing abl killed. That poor sud mi-sich livè rai-malā bè khōs gār bè-chan gōmtà ganō simple man-by those cows-goats skin took-off and skins somehow doing rangatī-rã kuŕs. Am-ar u nam kabēch, gār hvè-nā gudà phu-rī selling-for took. Way-on him night befell, and there some cave-in ka-rhaich. Pyal-muñch hyangsu gā̃r khu-mi khu-rāch māl hvānam-paṭị stopped. Midnight after some thief stolen property far-from khu-nē chyāng-tas gār hvēdas phuär dee gās. Hvē pluu bhitar usī-gō bringing arrived and them-by cave-at abode made. That cave inside their usī-g khaṛbarāt pan-nē hvē mi mban kabyūch gār u-s hvē bē-chantheir nuise hearing that man much feared and himby those skins-khũ-syũ jai u-s rbă-s aphī chyās-m maiki gās. Phu bhitar under which him-by brought himself to-hide attempt (?) made. Cave inside bē khaṛaraṭ yan-s khu-mī ka-rhō-chas gār khũ-mi-syaoh dhuk mul skin noise hearing thieves were-alarmed and thieves-by al; silver

| jai | michan | hvēran | sīndēch | kasēch. | Dublō mī-s hrō mul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| which | men | there | leaving | ran. | Simple man-by thut silver |

apan rasyā tās gār apnō sũar tāpach. I mul jai u-s him with took and own village-to went. This silver which him-by tang-s u-s pā-mi-k u-s parōs khamir-chubã tā sigā thö-chas. got him-by to-measure him-by neighbour some-to a measure asked. Hvē parōs mi-chas idō-gō bhēd gī-mō bāst ki hvēdas lia That neighbouring man-by this-of discernment making for that lim-by what rhai-s, siga khung-su. lĩs vaktas. Hve dubal mai-s mul brought, measure bottom-at tar applied. That simple man-by silver. pā-nē sigā jai dō-śich bvē dā-ś gār u khung-su lis-as having-measured measure which borrowed that gave and its bottom-at tar-by khar mul kadōch. Idaś ō-g parōsī-gō mī-chan lōbh chyang-rāch, some silver stuck. This-by bis neighbourhood-of men avarice came, hvēdaśs garīb mī u-chabã rlıū-sas, 'ga-su i-lẫ gār mul gumtā-ganē them-by poor man that-to asked, 'thee-by so-much some silver how-doing gu-dai tāngansu ?' D-s lē-s, 'apnõ-g lāsung-mal bē rang-nē.' whence gottest $\boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}$ ' Him-by said, 'self-of female-goats-male-goats skins having sold.' I garīb mī ō-dās gār mul lōbh-sē ō-g parōs-as apnō-g This poor man his-envy-by and silver avarice-by his neighbour-by own duk rai-mal sais gār ō-g bē-k lang-k kurś. Gã̃ kha lē, all cows-goats killed and their skins-of to-sell took. And what happened, kì u-s is saudas sirpha mani mul tang-s.
that him-by this-by bargain-by only little silver got.
I ramkō-s ris rā-ch, u-s garīb mī-g chim mẽ puktas This matter-by anger coming him-by poor man-of house(-to) fire set gār idō-gō phā-kũ gā-tas. Garīb mī rai phā thum-sya gã̃r tā and this-of ashes made. Poor man all (?) ashes collected and one thailī-r tāsu, gār u-gō rang kurs thaipach. Am-rī u•s apnō thaili bag-in put, and it to-sell taking went. Way-on him-by own bag am-gu pbēr-sū sītas gã tā tidbārũ-chubã jai ninam sich tī way-of corner-at left and a spring-to which near was water tung dēch. I-jyā-rō tā khich mī hī bōjh hō-tī-tās tī to-drink went. This-time-at one other man flour load leaving water tung dēch. Thuk-sē u-s lhēsas apan bhārī sī-nē phā-g to-drink went. Returning him-by mistaking own load leaving ashes-of hōjh kurśs gā̃r apnō-gō syang dēch. Hve garīb mī lēk thok-sas gã̃r load took and own home voent. That poor man also returned and hvēr tā-san bōjh jai kbīch mī-s sī-nē dē-ch u-s pik kurs. there put load which other man-by leaving went him-by taking carried. Hrē bhārī-r kharī khasīn i-bhītar van-nē u-s u ṭil-s, That load-on some strange-signs this-inside seen him-by it opening, hī prū-sau tang-s. Hā $\overline{\tilde{a}}$ u-s u būjh apnō suar kur'́, jainbã': flour filled found. Then him-by that load his home-to took, so-that


## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

He who digs a pit for another, he himself falls into it.
In a certain village there lived a simple man who possessed a small house and some cattle. His neighbours envied him and tried to take advantage of his simplicity and expel him from the village.

One day when his herd of goats was grazing in the jungle, they threw them over a precipice and thus killed them all. The poor simple man took the skins of the cattle and carried them off to sell somehow or other. Night befell him on the way and he took shelter in a cave. After midnight some thieves brought some stolen property from a distance and took up their quarters before the cave. Hearing the noise made by them, as he lay within the cave, the man was much alarmed and tried to hide under the skins he had brought. Hearing the noise of the skins in the cave, the thieves were alarmed, and ran off leaving all the silver they had brought. The simple man took possession of the silver and went home. He asked one of his neighbours for a measure in order to measure the silver he had brought. The neighbour, who wanted to know what he had brought, put some tar on the bottom of the measure. After having measured the silver, the simple man returned the measure, and some silver was sticking in the tar. His neighbour became greedy and assed how he had got so muoh money. He said, 'by selling the skins of my flock.' Filled with envy and from avarice his neighbour then killed all his own cattle and took the skins off to sell them, but he only got very little in return for them.

He therefore got angry and set fire to the poor man's house. The poor man collected the ashes in a bag and went off to sell it. On the way he left his bag at a corner and went to drink water at a well in the neighbourhood. In the meantime another man left a load of flour and went to drink water. On returning he by mistake, left his own load there and went off with the ashes. When the poor man returned he took the load which the other man had left. He saw some strange marks on it, opened it, and found it to be full of flour. He went home, and again asked for the measure in order to know how much flour he had got. When his neighbour understood that he had received flour in return for the ashes of his house, he set fire to his own house. He could not, however, sell the ashes, and went home in despair and repented much of what he had done.

## DARMIYA.

The Patti of Darma forms part of the Pargana of Darma in Almora. It is bounded on the north by Tibet; on the west by the chain containing the Panchaohuli group and the Chhipula peak; on the south by a line drawn from the latter peak due east to the Kali River, and on the east by the ohain culminating in Yirgnajung separating it from the Byangs Valley and Patti Chaudangs. Darma is sub-divided into the Malla and Talla, i.e. upper and lower pattis.

The inhabitants are Bhōțiäs, and their number was estimated for this Survey at 1,761.

A version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son and a translation of well-known popular tale have been forwarded from the district, together with a list of Standard Words and Phrases. The materials are not satisfactory, but they form the only basis of the remarks on Dārmiyà which follow.

Dārmiyā is closely related to the dialects spoken in the neighbouring districts of Byangs and Chaudangs. It has been much influenced by Aryan forms of speech in vocabulary and grammar, not however to the same extent as Chaudāngsi.

Pronunciation.-The phonetic system is richly developed. The vowels $a, i$, and $u$ are both short and long. $E$ and $o$ are always marked as long. 'Ihe marking of the other long vowels is not, however, consistent.

Final vowels are often interchanged or dropped. Thus the genitive suffix occurs in the forms $g \bar{u}, g \bar{o}, g a i$, and $g$; the suffix of the case of the agent is $s \bar{u}, s a i$, and $s$; the verbal noun ends in $m \bar{o}, m \bar{u}$ and $m$, and so forth.

Vowels are also often dropped in unaccented syllables. Compare luk-chō and $k a-l k-c h o$, became ; $k a-p-t \bar{a} n g-s \bar{u}$, was found again ( $p \bar{\imath}$ ); $k a-p-d \bar{a}-s \bar{u}$, gave back, returned; $r \bar{a}-l n-c h \bar{u}$ and $r \bar{a}-l a n-c h \bar{u}$, coming, and so forth.

It has already been mentioned that $\bar{o}$ and $\bar{u}$ often interchange; thus, tad $\bar{o}$ and tad $\bar{u}$, that; the locative suffix ro or r $\bar{u}$, and so forth. $A i$ is interchangeable with $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{o}$ in the suffixes of the genitive and in the case of the agent. $A i$ also interchanges with $\bar{e}$ in the base sui, sē, strike.

I am not sure how the sound which has been transliterated $n g$ is pronounced. It seems probable that $n g$ sometimes denotes the nasal pronunciation of the preceding vowel and sometimes the guttural nasal.

With regard to consonants there are gutturals, palatals, cerebrals, dentals and labials, of aspirated soft consonants only $d h, d h$ and $b h$ occur.

There are two $s$-sounds, a dental $s$ and a palatal $s h$, a dental $r$ and a cerebral $r$, but apparently no $z$ or $z h$.

Hard and soft consonants are often interchanged; thus, $k a-l \bar{a}-s \bar{u}$ and $g \bar{a}-s \bar{u}$, made; $k h a i-c h \bar{u}$ and khai-j $\bar{u}$, other; it $\bar{u}$ and $i d \bar{u}$, that; ph $\bar{u}$ and $b \bar{u}$, father. It sems probable that we have here really aspirated soft consonants which are often also pronounced in such a way as to be hardly distinguishable from the corresponding hard sounds.

Aspirated and unaspirated letters are sumetimes interchanged; thus, apī and aphi, own; luī-chū and lhik-chī, became.

Dropping of consonants seems to occur in forms such as $j y \bar{u}$, instead of $j i-g \bar{u}, \mathrm{my}$; jangal $-\bar{u}$, instead of jangal $\cdot r \bar{u}$, in the jungle, and so forth.

Final consonants of Classical Tibetan are often dropped. Thus, lā, Tibetan lag, hand; phū, Tibetan phug, cave; gy $\bar{u}$, Tibetan rgyug-pa, run, and so forth. In other cases a vowel is added ; thus, tāk- $\bar{u}$, Tibetan gchig, one ; nis- $\bar{u}$, Tibetan gnyis, two ; tuk-u, Tibetan drug, six, and so forth.

The initial compound consonants of Classical Tibetan are commonly simplified; thus, rgyu-ba becomes gyū, run; khyi becomes $k h \bar{i}, \operatorname{dog} ; g t o n g-b a$ becomes $d \bar{a}$, gives; $b z h i$ becomes $p \bar{i}$, four, and so forth.

We have no information about the use of tones in the dialect.
Articles.-There are no articles. Indefinite pronouns and the numeral tākō, tā, one, are used as an indefinite article, and demonstrative pronouns are sometimes used as a kind of definite article. Thus, kham $\bar{\imath} b \bar{a}, g a b \bar{u} b \bar{a}$, a certain father, a father; tāk $\bar{o}$ $c h a-m \bar{e}$, a daughter ; $t \bar{a} j y \bar{a}$, a day; $i d \bar{u} p h \bar{u}-g \bar{u} d \bar{a} r-m y \bar{a}$, at the door of the cave; $\bar{u} i d \bar{u}$ dāng-g $\bar{u}$ pis $\bar{a}-r \bar{u} t \underset{a}{a} n g ~ r \bar{o}-l a n-t \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$, he the hill-of top-on cattle grazing-is.

Nouns.-Gender.-The natural gender is distinguished by using different words, or by adding affixes denoting the gender. 'Ihus, $b \bar{a}$, father; mina $\bar{a}$, mother: lang, bull; baină, cow: ma-lă, he-goat; lā-sāng, she-goat: räng, horse; mö-rāng, mare: phō-phū, male deer; $m \bar{o}-p h \bar{u}$, female deer, and so forth.

Number.-The plural is not marked when it appears from the context. The usual plural suffix is chan; thus, rāng-chan, horses; cha-méechan, daughters. The list of words also contains forms such as $b \bar{a}$ tittī and dulō $b \bar{a}$, fathers, lit. many fathers.

Case.-If we can trust the materials, the various cases are freely interchanged. Compare $i d \bar{u} s \bar{u}$ v $\bar{o}-s \bar{u}$ chim-r $\overline{-}-s \bar{u}$ lōbh pi-r $\bar{\alpha}-s \bar{u}$, then his neighbour-to avarice came, where the suffix $s \bar{u}$, which properly belongs to the case of the agent, is used to form a genitive and a dative.

The base without the addition of any suffix is commonly used to denote the subject of intransitive verbs, and the direct object; thus, $g \bar{u}-g \bar{u} n \bar{u}-n \bar{u} p i-r \bar{a}-n \bar{i}-n \bar{u}$, thy brother has returned; api dan kvè $n$, his belly filling. The dative, and occasionally also the case of the agent, are sometimes used to denote the direct object; thus, $j i-s \bar{u} u-g$ sir $\bar{u}-j \bar{o}$ kam $\bar{\imath}-s \bar{u}$, me-by his son-to struck, I have beaten his son; id $\bar{u} b a i-s \bar{u}$ rāng•mó, those skins-by to-sell, in order to sell those skins. In usi-kh $\bar{u}$ kiktas $\bar{u}$, them threw, they threw them down, the suffix $k h \bar{u}$ is added in order to denote the direct object.

The subject of transitive verbs is usually put in the case of the agent by adding the suffix $s \bar{u}, s$, which also denotes the instrument. Thus, sirī-s $\bar{u} l h \bar{e}-s \bar{u}$, the son said; $j y a ̈ n g-s$, with ropes.

The suffix of the dative is apparently $j \bar{o}, j \bar{u}$, also written $c h \bar{o}, c h \bar{u}$; thus, d $\bar{a} n g-m \bar{i}$ ch $\bar{u}$, to the servants; $b \bar{a}-c h \bar{o}$, to the father; sahar- $j \bar{u}$, to a city. This suffix is used in the same wide sense as Classical Tibetan la; thus, ga-sū tadō kha-mī-jō tūnī-s $\bar{u}$, thee-by that whom-with boughtest, from whom did you buy that? The case of the agent is occasionally used as a dative; thus, chim-ri-s $\bar{u}$, to the neighbour.

The suffix of the ablative is ch $\bar{u}$, usually preceded by khar, on; thus, $\boldsymbol{v o}-j \bar{o}-c h \bar{u}$, from with him; bā-khar-ch $\bar{u}$, from a father. Another suffix of the ablative is chyăng;
thus, bir chyäng jain, all from good, best. Instead of chī we occasionally also find $s \bar{u}$, i.e. apparently the suffix of the case of the agent; thus, vānam-s $\bar{u}$, from a distance.

The suffix of the genitive is $g \bar{o}_{1} g \bar{u}, g a i, g$, also written $k \bar{o}, k \bar{u}$, etc. Thus, $b \bar{a}$-chan$g \overline{0}$, of fathers; cha-mé-g $\bar{u}$, of a daughter ; m $\bar{a}-l \bar{a}-l a-c h u-g a \dot{i} b a i$, the skins of the sheep and goats ; siri$-k \bar{u}$, of a son, and so forth. The case of the agent, the dative and the ablative are occasionally used instead; thus, $i d \bar{u}$ su$d h \bar{o} m \bar{u}-s \bar{u} d \bar{a} h-s \bar{u}$, out of envy of that simple man; apkī chhānā-ju$\quad p h \bar{a}-g \bar{u} \quad p h i l a n$, instead of the ashes of his hut; $k h v \overline{-}-t h a i-c h \bar{u} m \bar{a} l$, theft-from property, stolen property. Sometimes also the genitive is indicated by simply putting the governed before the governing noun, without adding any suffix; thus, phū bhitarī bai kharbar, cave within skins noise, the rustling of the skins in the cave.

The suffix of the terminative, which is commonly used as a locative, is rō or $r \bar{u}$; thus, dēesh-r $\bar{u}$, to a country ; rau-r $\bar{u}$, in the jungle. Other suffixes of the locative are $n i n h i$, and $m y \bar{a}$; thus, māl-tāl-ninhi, in the property ; dàr-myáa, at the gate.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are nimà, near; $t \bar{u}, t \bar{e}$, with ; raksy $\bar{a}$, together with ; $k h \bar{u}$, in ; $l \bar{e}$, into; char $\bar{\imath}$, from, added to the base; d $\bar{a} n g s \bar{u}$, for the sake of ; ramar $\bar{u}$, under ; pisar $\bar{u}$, on the top of ; tīt $\bar{u}, l k a n$ - $\bar{u} \overline{\bar{u}}$, before; $y \bar{u} n g k o ̈ n-t \bar{u}$, belind ; philan, instead of ; bërū, under, usually added to the genitive; and so fortb.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the noun they qualify; thus, kha-mi jain mī, a good man. The particle of comparison is chyang or chyāng-rī, compare Ladakhi sang; thus, bir chyāng jainā, all from good, best; us̄̄ pē $v \bar{o}$ rangsyā chyāng-rī yambā $\zeta \tilde{u} n i s \bar{n} n \bar{\iota}$, his brother his sister than more tall is.

Numerals.-The numerals are given in the list of words. They precede the word they qualify. There is no indication in the materials of the use of generic particles.

Pronouns.-The following are the regular personal pronouns:-

|  | I | We | Thon | You | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{He}, \text { she, } \\ \text { it } \end{gathered}$ | They |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. . | $j \bar{i}$ | in | $g a i$ | gai-ni | $\bar{u}, v \bar{o}$ | usi |
| Agent. | $j \bar{i}-\bar{u}, j i-s$ | in-sai, in-s | $g a-s \bar{u}, \mathrm{ga} \cdot \mathrm{s}$ | $\operatorname{gani}-8(\bar{u})$ | $u$-sū | $u s i=s \bar{u}$ |
| Genit. | ${ }_{j \bar{z}} \cdot g \bar{u}, j i-g$ | in-gō | $g \bar{o}-g \bar{u}$ | $g a n \bar{i}-g \bar{u}$ | $u \cdot g \bar{o}$ | $\boldsymbol{u s i}-y \bar{u}$ |

Other forms are $j y \bar{u}, \mathrm{my}$; ning-r $\bar{u}$, we (sic) ; g $\bar{o}-g u n \bar{a}$, thine ; $j \bar{u}$, he (sic); ing-y $\bar{u}$, his (sic), and so forth. The list of words also contains forms such as $j i$-snā, by me; ganī-sutā, by you; u-khanā, in it, and so forth.
 tadō, tad̄ , that; and so forth.

Interrogative pronouns are lcha-mī, what man? who? kha, what? uläng, how muoh, how many?

Interrogative pronouns are sometimes also used as relatives; thus, ulāng näl $j \bar{z}$ chhyū-m nhini,$j \bar{i} d \bar{a}$, how much property I to-get am, me give, give me the share of the property which I shall get. Gabū is probably originally an interrogative pronoun. It is often used as a relative; thus, gabū-s $\bar{u}$ $\bar{\imath}$ dāng $\cdot s \bar{u}$ kung khva $\bar{i}-t \bar{u}$,
 gabū.gò tākō chhānā nī-chū, a man whose a hut was, a man who possessed a hat; idū $b a i-g \bar{u} b \bar{e} r \bar{u}$ gabū-chu$u$-sai rai-ch $\bar{u}$, under those skins whioh he had brought.

Such clauses are formed acoording to Aryan grammatical principles. The Aryan relativo $j \bar{o}$ is also often met with; thus, $j \bar{\imath} ~ j o \bar{o} n \bar{i} n \bar{\imath}$, bir $g \bar{o}-g u n \bar{\alpha}$ lhē, mine what is, all thine is.

In other cases demonstrative pronouns are used as relatives; thus, nad $\bar{u}$ mälu $\bar{u}$ idōs $\bar{u}$ tang-siu id $\bar{u} p \bar{a}-m \bar{u}$, this property him-by brought that to-measure, in order to measure the property he had brought; id $\bar{u}$ bhäri id $\bar{u} \bar{s} \bar{u}$ khaij $\bar{u}$ m $\bar{i}-s \bar{u} \quad a \bar{i}-l a n ~ d \bar{i}-c h \bar{u}$, that load there other man leaving went, the load which the other man had left.

Other instances of relative olauses are $g \bar{u}-g \bar{u} n a i ~ s i r i ̄, h o ̄ d \bar{u} g \bar{u}$ màltālū kaphūkai$s \bar{u}$, thy this son, he (i.e. who) thy property wasted; usī-g $\bar{u}$ chimrī gu$u s \bar{u} \bar{u} d \bar{a} h ~ g \bar{a}-n o ̄ n i-$ ch $\bar{u}$, his neighbours who his envy making were.

It will be seen that there is no fixed way in which relative clauses are expressed. Aryan principles are gradually being introduced. They have not, however, as yet vindicated themselves as really belonging to the language.

In addition to the relative pronouns we may also mention conjunctions such as $g a b \bar{u} b a k h t$, when ; $j a b$, when ; $k i$, that, and so forth.

Indefinite pronouns are khamà a certain; gabū, a certain; kha-mī-rī, anyone; $k h a i-r \bar{i}$, anything; khai-ch $\bar{u}$ and khai-j $\bar{u}$, other, and so forth.

Verbs. - Dārmiyā conjugation is based on the same principles as those found in other connected forms of speech. The various tenses are not formed from different bases as in olassical Tibetan, but by means of suffixes. There is a distinot tendency to distinguish the person of the subject in the form of the verb, at least so far as the second person singular is concerned. The suffix of that person is $n$; thus, sai-t-an, strikest.

Verb substantive.-The usual bases of the verb substantive are $l h \bar{e}, n \bar{i}, s \bar{i}$, and $t$. In the present tense we find $l h \bar{e}$ for all persons and numbers, and also forms suol as $n \bar{i}-n \bar{\imath}$, is ; (ching) $n \bar{\imath}$ and (ching) $\theta \bar{\imath}$, is (proper); nhin $\bar{\imath}$, am ; nì $-s \bar{\imath} \cdot n \bar{n}$, is, are; kha mang$s \bar{e}-n$, what are you called? luk-ch $\bar{u}$, am, is; ma lhik-ch $\bar{u}$, am not ; rō-lan tät $\bar{u}$, grazing is, and so forth.

The corresponding past tense is $n \bar{i}-8 \bar{\imath} s$, was; $n \bar{i}-s i n-s \bar{u}$, wast, we were, you were; $n \bar{i}-\mathrm{san}-\mathrm{s} \bar{u}$, he was; $n \bar{i}-c h \bar{u}$, be was, they were.

Finite verbs.-The verb substantive is freely used in the formation of the various tenses of finite verbs.

Present time.-The present tense is formed by adding $s \bar{i}, n \bar{i}$, or other forms of the verb substantive to the base. In the first person singular we find forms such as sai-ti, strike; di$-s \bar{\imath}$, go. In the second person singular we find syong-si-n, thou livest; in the third person singular $r \bar{a}-n \bar{\imath}$, comes; khvai-t $\bar{a}$, digs; and in the third person. plural gaytā, they maike. The list of Standard Words and Phrases further contains forms suoh as sai-tan, thou strikest, we strike; sai-t $\bar{a}$, you strike, they strike; di$-s i-n a-l \bar{a}$, thou goest; disvan, we go; disi-nì-là, you go; dì-tī, they go.

Compound forms are syöngksi-nī, he lives; rōlan tātā, he is grazing, and so on.
Past time.-The usual suffix of the past tense occurs in various forms such as sō,
 came.

In the first person an element $y \bar{e}, y \alpha, y$, or $\bar{i}$ is apparently inserted before the tense suffix; thus, gamcha-yē-sū, I have walked ; sē-y $\tilde{a}-8$, I struck, we struck; di-y $\tilde{a}-8$, we went; $g \bar{a}-y-s \bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$ did ; $k a m-\bar{i}-s \bar{u}, \mathrm{I}$ have beaten; sai-lan ta$-y a-\theta \bar{u}$, striking I went, I was striking; $g \bar{a}-l n-\bar{a} t \bar{a}-y-s \bar{u}$, I was doing, and so forth. The same element is sometimes also suffixed in the third person ; thus, ma dèeya-sī, did not go.

In the second person an $n$, often followed by a vowel, is inserted; thus, sé•n-8, struokest; $t \bar{u}-n \bar{u}-s \bar{u}$ and $t \bar{o}-n a-s \bar{u}$, boughtest; tàng $\cdot n \bar{u}-s \bar{u}$, foundest. In the plural we find $d \bar{e} \cdot n \bar{\imath}-s \bar{o}$, you went ; $s \bar{e}-s$, you struck.

The suffix of past time is sometimes added to the participle ending in lan; thus, $k$ harī rupay $\bar{a}$ dab-lan-chū, some rupees were sticking. Such forms are properly conjunctive participles.

Instead of $c h \bar{u}$ we once find $j \bar{u}$; thus, $d \bar{i}-j \bar{u}$, he went.
The suffix of the past is sometimes preceded by other suffixes such as $s \bar{i}, t a$, $t i, t e \bar{e}$, but I am not in a position to state how those additions modify the meaning. Thus, syōng-si-ch $\bar{u}$, he lived; chhbi$-t i \cdot s \bar{u}$, he divided; park $\bar{i}-t \bar{e}-s \bar{u}$, he wasted; sai-ta$s \bar{u}$, they killed; pug-ta-s $\bar{u}$, he set. Forms such as $s \bar{i}-d \bar{i}-s \bar{u}$, left; $g \bar{a}-d \bar{i}-s \bar{u}$, did, are probably compounds and literally mean ' leave-went,' 'do-went' respectively.

Two prefixes occur in the formation of the past, viz., $k a$ and pa; thus, ka-lkch $\bar{u}$, became ; $k a-j y a r-c h \bar{u}$, feared ; $k a-p h \bar{u} k a i-s \bar{u}$, wasted ; pa-thōk-si$-c h \bar{u}$, returned, etc. Pa probably also occurs in parkēsū, wasted. It seems to take the form pi in pi$k v o ̈ r-s \bar{u}$, he carried off ; pi-lhvē-thai-chu, he was lost. The prefix $p i, p$, often seems to mean 'back,' 'again;' thus, $p i-r \bar{a}-n \bar{i}-n \bar{\imath}$, he has come back; ka-p-t $\bar{a} n g-s \bar{u}$, is found back; $k a-p-d \bar{a}-s u$, he gave back.

Other forms such as $s a \bar{z}-t \bar{u}$, I had beaten ; $d \bar{\imath}-s \bar{\imath}$, I went ; pakl-t $\bar{a}$, applied; gäy$t \bar{a}$, made; yan-hi-tă, heard ; rū$-h i-t \bar{a}$, asked, probably belong to the present.

Compound forms are gāy-lhē, have done; tāng-nī-s $\bar{u}$, he found; ching-n nī-ch $\bar{u}$, he wished ; $j \bar{a}-n \bar{u} n \bar{i}-c h \bar{u}$, they were eating, and so forth.

Future.-The present is sometimes used as a future; thus, di$-s \bar{\imath}, ~ I ~ w i l l ~ g o ; ~$ sai-ti, I may beat. Usually, however, a suffix yäng or $y \tilde{a}$ is added, and various forms of the verb substantive are suffixed; tius, lhē-yāng-sī, I shall be ; lyāng-tī, I will say; sē-y $\tilde{a}-t \bar{a}$, he will strike, and so forth.

Imperative.-The simple base without any suffix is used as an imperative; thus, d $\bar{a}$, give; de, go. Suffixes such as $n \bar{l}, y \bar{a}, t y \bar{a}$, are often added; thus, d $\bar{a}-$ $n \bar{l}$, give ; t $\bar{a}-n \bar{u}$, put; cha- $y \bar{a}$, put; g $\bar{\alpha}-t y \bar{a}$, make. There are no instances in the materials available of a negative imperative.

Verbal nouns.-The base alone is used as an infinitive or verbal noun; thus, rāng, to sell; tung, to drink. The common suffix of the verbal noun is $m \bar{o}, m \bar{u}$, or $m$; thus, $j \bar{a}-m \bar{o}$, to eat; $p \bar{a}-m \bar{u} d \bar{a} n g s \bar{u}$, in order to measure; $g \bar{a}-m$ d $\bar{a} n g-s \bar{u}$, in order to make. Other verbal nouns are formed by adding $n$, nan, lan, lin, etc.; thus $k v \bar{e}-n$ and $k v e \bar{e}-i a n$, filling; rāng $n a n-c h \bar{u}$, from selling, by selling; $\bar{u}-g \bar{u}$ s $\bar{u} d h o ̄$. lhé-lin-ch $\bar{u}$, his simple-being-from, on account of his simplicity.

Participles.-The suffixes $n(n \bar{u})$ and $\operatorname{lan}$ are also used in order to form various participles. Compare classical Tibetan $l a$ and $n a$. Thus, ching-n nī-chü, he was wrishing; $j \bar{a} \cdot n \bar{u} n \bar{u}-c h \bar{u}$, they were eating ; $g \bar{a}-n \bar{o} n \bar{n}-c h \bar{u}$, they were making; syōng-s-in $n \bar{i}-c h \bar{u}$, he was sitting, he lived; dī-lan, going; khö-lan, taking out. Instead of lan we occasionally find lang; thus, thö-lang, asking; rai-lāng, bringing.

Another participle, which apparently has the meaning of a conjunctive participle, is formed by adding thai ; thus, ri-thai, rising. Such forms are probably all verbal nouns, and they are very commonly put in the ablative, with the meaning of a conjunctive partioiple. Thus, lup-ch $\bar{u}$, becoming after, having passed; ráa-lcr-ch $\bar{u}$, having come; gä.lin-chō, by making; thōk-thai-chū, on returning.

Other forms of the conjunotive participle are pak-si, having left; yan-si-ch $\bar{u}$, having heard ; chhbū-pē-l, dividing; and risū gä-la-b, anger making, on getting angry. The two latter forms are rather doubtful.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. Passivity is sufficiently indicated by the absence of the suffix of the agent after the subject.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed ma. Thus, madā-sū, did not give ; ma dā-n-s $\bar{u}$, didst not give ; ma $g \ddot{a}-y a-s \bar{u}$, I did not do (translated ' I did not transgress' in the specimen). There are no instances of the use of an interrogative particle in the materials available.

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. The qualifying word precedes the qualified one. By the introduction of relative clauses from Aryan forms of speech the order of 'words has, however, to some extent been disturbed.

For further details the student is referred to the specimens whioh follow.
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## Specimen 1.

(Patti Darma, Almora.)

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { URAITȦ SIRİ-KŨ RI. } \\
\text { PRODIGAL } & \text { SON-OF STORY. }
\end{array}
$$

Gabū mīkū nisī sirī nī•chū. Gāngrū-hāng usī-sū min Some man-of two sons were. And them-of small sirī-sū ing-g bā-chō lbē-sū, 'ai bā, māl-tāl ninhi ulāng son-by his father-to said, ' $O$ father, property in how-much māl jī ohbyū-m nhini chhbī-pēl jī dā.' Id-ō-sū u-sū property $I$ to-get am ${ }^{--}$dividing me give.' And him-by usī•gundā ing-gū māl chhbī-ti-sū. Gāngrū dal jyā ma them-between his property divided. And many days not lup-chu min sirī-sū vō bir māl-matā raksyā gā-lin-chū vānam being small son-by his all property together doing far dēs-rū tā-chō, gāngrŭ tarē yān-tai-ḍīlin•chū āphī-kū māl-matā country-to went, and there riolously his property bi parkītē-sū. Gāngrū-hāng usū kharch gā-lin-chō parkē-sū, all wasted. And him-by expenditure making spent, itū jagā-rō dal akāl lukchō, idō-sū ù tang kalk-chū. that place-in big famine came, and he needy began-to-be Hãng ū itū dēs-rū tākō jain mī•tē raksā dīlan And he that country-in one good man-with together going syōng-sī-chū, insū u-sū ū apī rē-rū sīphā rō phung-sū. lived, and him-by him his field-in swine toograze sent. Gāngrù ū it kō-chī gāngrū gērā-mēṛā jō siphā jā-nu And he those barks and berries which swine eating nï•chū khushï-sū apī dan kvē-n ching-n nī-chū; gāngrū u-sū were gladly his belly to-fill wishing was; and him-to kha-mi-si-ri kbai-rī ma dā-sū. Gūngrū idasū apī-chī rā-ln-chū anyone-by anything not gave. And then his-senses coming u-sū lbē•sū, 'jyū bā-kō dāng-mī vō dan kvē-lan yambā him-by said, 'my father's servants their. belly filling more tāng-nū nī-chū, gāng-rū jī phī-lan hīchī-sī. Jī rī-thai jyū gptting soere, and $I$ hungering die. I rising my
bã nìmā dī-6i gãngrū u-jō lyāńg•tī, "ai bā, jī-sū father near go and him-to will-say, "O father, me-by paimésar-kū marjī-ku ulṭō gā-ya-sū, gāngrū gō-lkan-tī pāp gā-ya-sū. God-of will-of against did, and of-thee-before sin did. Gāngrū jī phirī gõ sirī lhē-mō mū ma luk-chū. Jī-su jī-gū (sio) Find I again thy son to.say woorthy not am. Me thy tā-kō dāng-mi tai-kai-rā-nu gātyā.", Gāngrū vō bā nimā ri-thai one servant like-coming make."' And his father near rising dī-chū. Gamki vō rānam nī-chū, u-sū bā-sū vānam-sū tāng-sū, gāngrī went. But he far was, his father-by far-from saw, and vō bā-sū syō-kāng-chū, gāngrū u•sū gyū-lan, u-sū phā-lan-rai-sū his father-by pitied, and him-by running, him embraced gāngrū hū gā-lan kur-sū. Gāngrū sirī-sú u-jō lhē-sū, 'ai bā, and kiss making took. And son-by him-to said,' 'O father, jī-sū paimēsar-kū marjī-gū ulṭō gāngrū gō-lkain-tī pāp gāy-lhī, bāng me-by God-of will-of against and thy-sight-in sin done-is, and jī gō sirī lhē-mū ma lhik-chū.' Gam-luk-chē-ri bā-sū apī I thy son to-say not worthy-became.' But father-by his ḍāng-mī-chū lhē-sū, 'bir clyyāng jainū gē thai-lan rai-lyā, gāngrū idū servants-to said, 'all from good robe taking-out bring, and that rai-lin-chū chū-nī, gāngrū vō lā-rū lag-ohbēp gāngrū līk-rū paulā bringing put-on, and his hand-on ring and feet-on shoes cbū-nī. Gāngrū ing-gū jā-mō tung-mõ bāng ā̃and gātȳ̄. Gamī-kī put. And our eating drinking and merriment make. Because jī-gū sirī pung-chū, gāngrū phirī chōk-ṭāngchū; ù pī-hvē-thai-ohū, phirī $m y$ son died, and again alive-became; he lost-was, again ka-p-tāng-sū.' Idōsū phirī ū jain gā-sū.
back-found-was.' And again they merry made.
Ilang vā-sū ū pūn sirī rē-rū nī-chū. Gāngrū idōsū vō rā-ohū, So-much time-at his big son field-in was. And then he coming, gāng-rū sōng-rū nīnam vōn-chū, idōsū u-sū ṭhing-lan chlıā-lan gāngrū and villageto near arriving, then him-by singing playing and ṭhing•mū yan-hī-tā. Gāngrū ū-sū tākō dāng-mī hvīlan-chū rū-hj-tā, dancing heard. And him-by one servant calling asked, 'naduk kha dāngsī luk-chu?' Găngrū u-sū u-jō lhē-sū, 'gũ-gũ nū-nū 'this-of what meaning is?' And him-by him-to said, 'thy brother pī-rā nī-nī, gāngrū gū bā-sū jātī dā-sū, kha-dāng-sū, ki u-sū come is, and thy father-by feast gave, why, that him-by u-jō jain-lhō-chū-lhō-pyā tāng-sū.' Idōsū u-sū rīs gā-sū idōsū jī him safe-and-sound found.' And him-by anger made and I bhitarū ma dē-yu-sū. Id dāngsū ū bā bāngrū rā-chū idōsū ū inside not went. This for his father outside come and him vol. iIf, part i.
manē-lan-patē-lan gā-sū. Idōsǜ ū bā-sū javāb dā-lan lhē-sū ki, entreating made. And he father-to answer giving said that, * vōvã, jī alā̃ in-g khar-ohū ga-jō lanbē gā-ln-ātāy-sū, idōsū jī-sū 'lo, I so-many years from thy service doing-was, and me-by kba-jyā-rī gō amān ma gāyasū; idōsū ga-sū kha-jyā-rī jī-jō tā any-day thy order not did (sic) ; and thee-by any-day me-to one min lachū-lē ma dā-n-sū ki jī halū-sāth raksyā ānand gā-tī. small kid-even not gavest that $I$ friends with merry might-make. Gamluk-ohē-rī gū•gù nai sirī hōdū chamē raksjā gū māl-tālū But thy this son who girls with thy property ka-phūkai-sū, gabū bakht ū rā-sū, idū bakht ga-sū ū•dāng-sū squandered, what time he came, that time thee-by his-sake-for jātī ka-dā-n-sū.' Idōsū bā-sū u-jō lhē-sū, 'sirī, gai jī raksā feast madest.' And father-by him-to said, 'son, thou me with barābar syōngsin, idōsū jī jō nīnī, bar gō-gunã lhē. Andū ching-ni always livedest, and mine what is, all thine is. This proper nī•ohū ki ning-rū ānand gā-m par-nī idōsū khushi gã-mō par-nī. was that we merry make should and happy make should. Khadāng-sū, nadū gō pē jō pung-sū, phirī chōk-tāng-chō; idōsū Why, this thy brother who was-dead, again alive-became; and pī-lhvè-thai-chū, phirī ka-p-ṭāng-sū.' lost-vas, again found-back-was.'

## tibeto-burman family. Tibeto. Himalayan Group.

DARMIYA.

## Specimen II.

(Patti Darma, almora.)

| Gabī-sù mī | dāng-sū | kung | khvai-tā | āphī | ukhnā | dī-ni. | Tākō |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whom-by man | for | pit | digs | himself | in-it | falls. | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | rì.

story.
Gabū sang-khū tākō dalō sūdhō mī, gabū-gō tākō chhānā
Certain village-in one very simple man, whose one hut gāngrū khai-rī ma-lā-la-chū nī-chū, syōng-sin-n̄̄i-chū. Usī-gū chimrī, and some sheep-goats were, lived. His neighbour,
 who him envy making were, his simplicity-by opportunity coming $u-g u ̄$ sang-khū kharchū thai-mū lanch gāy-tā. Idōsō tā jyā jab his village-in from expelling endeavour made. And one day when u-gō malā-la-chū rau-rū rau kur-sū, idū usī-khū tā-kō bē-sū his sheep-goats jungle-in grazing took, they them one precipice-from pa-chhyāng kiktasū; idumanā gā-lan-chū bir sai-tu-sū.
down threw; thus doing all killed.
Usũ garīb sūdhō mī-sū idū ma-lā-la-chu-gai bai khō-lan rai-chū
That poor simple man-by those sheep-goats-of skins taking brought gāngrū idū bai gabū sahar-jū rāng kur-sū. Am-rū u-sū namsyā and those skins certain city-to to-sell took. Wry-on him night chibri-chū, gāngrū ū tākō janggalū idū phū-rū bāsā luk-chū.
befell, and he one jungle-in that cave-in shelter-taking became. Pēl-man-chhū dī-lan kha-mī-gū khvī-mī khvī-thai-chū māl rai-lāng

Midnight going some thief theft.of property bringing rā-chū, gāngrū idū mī-sū idū phū-gū dār-myā dāngsū gā-sū. Idū came, and that man-by that cave-of door-on lodgings made. That phū-gū bhitarū u-gū khaṛbaṛā yan-sī-chū idū mī dalō ka-jyar-chū, cave-of inside his noise hearing that man much feared, gāngrū idūsū idū bai-gū bē-rū, gabū-chū u-sai rai-chū, apī-gū and him-by those skins-of under, which him-by brought, his chyā-sim-gū dbandā gā-sī. Phū bhitarū bai khaṛbar lai-lin-chū khushīnū hiding-of effort made. Cave inside skins noise hearing thief vol. ili, part i.
ka-jyar-chū gāngrū jyar-lan-chū bir rupayā jō udū raksā rai-chū was-startled and startled all rupees which him with lrought idū-khanā paksī tā-bu-chū. Sādhō mī-sū tadū rupayā apī pāsū-rū there leaving fled. Simple man-by those rupees his possession-in kakā-sū, gãngrū apī sōng-rū tāyp-ohū. did, and his village-to went-back.

Nadū mālū idō-sū tāng-sū idū pā-mū dāng-sū u-sū chīmrīThis property him-by got that measuring for him.by neighbours. sũ kha-mī-lè.cha-ri tā klāng thō-lang kur-sũ. Ũ cliemri-sū from certain-from a wooden-measure asking took. That neighbour-by idū bhūṭi gā-m dāng-sū, idū-sū kiha rai-sū, lihāng.gū that-of 'knowledge making, for, him-by what brought, measure-of rūm-rū līsī pakl-tā. Idū sūdhō mī sū rupayā pā-lan khāng bottom-at tar applied. That simple man-by rupees measuring measure ǩa-p-dā-sū, hẵng idō-sū idū-gā rūm-rū līsū-sū kharī rupayā dabh-lan-chū. returned, and then its bottom-at tar-by some rupees stuck. Idū-sū vō-sū chimrī-sū lōbh pi-rā-sū. U-sū sūdhò mī-sū That-from his neighbour-to avarice came. Him-by simple man-from rū-l̄̃̄ $\quad$ pā-sū $k i$, 'ga-sū alẵng rupayā gam gā-lan gāngrū khai asking asked that, 'thee-by so-many rupees what doing and what
 rate-at gottest.' Him-by, said that own sheep-goats-of skins rang-nan-chū. Idū sūdhō mī-sū dạăh-sū gāngrū rupayã lōbh-sū selling. That simple man-of envyfrom and rupees avarice.from u-sū chimrī-sū aph̀ū bir ma-lā-la-chū pung-sai-tu-sū, gāngrū idū his neighbour-by own all sheep-goats killed, and those bai-sũ rāng-mō kōr-sū, hã̃ng-idō-sū gã̃chhlai, khai-dāng-sū, usū idū skins to-sell took, but in-vain, why, him-by that pan-sū siraph alī-pā rupayā tāng-sū. Idū-dāng-sū rīsū gā̄-lab (sic) bargain-from only few rupees got. Therefore anger making
'u-sū südhē mī-gū chhānā-khū mē pugtasū gāngrū idū-gũ phā gāaclīsū. him-by simple man-of hut-in fire set and that-of ashes made. Südhō mī-sū phā jamā gā-sū gāngrū tākō thailī-rū tā-sū, Simple man-by ashes together made and one bag-in put, gāngrū idū rāng dī-jū. Am-tham u-sū jī.gū thailī am-gū and it to-sell went. Way-on him-by his bag vay-of tham-rū si-dī-sū, gāngrū tākō dhārū-rū, jū alīpā vānam-rū, tī on left, and one spring-at, which litlle distance-at, water
tung dī-chū. Idū bakht tākō khaiohū mī laii-gū bhāri idu-khū to-drink went. That time one other man flour-of load there si-lan dī-chū tī tung dī-chū. Thōk-thai-chū u-sū lhai-thai-chū leaving went water todrink went. Returning him-by mistaking


## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

Whoever digs a pit for another, he himself falls into it. A tale.
In a certain village there lived a very simple min who possessed a hut and some sheep and goats. His neighbours who envied him, took opportunity of his simplicity, and tried to drive him out of the village. One day when his sheep and goats were grazing in the jungle, they threw them dowa a precipice and killed them all.

The simple poor man trok the hides of the sheep and goats, and went to a oity to soll them. On his way night befell him, and he took refuge in a cave in the jungle. After midnight a thief came, bringing some stolen property, and sat down at the entrance of the cave. Hearing the noise, the man within the cave became muoh alarmed and tried to hide himself in the lides, which he had brought. On hearing the rustling of the hides in the cave, the thief was startled and ran away, leaving all the money he had brought behind him. The simple man took the money in his hand and went home.

In order to measure the money he had brought, he went to one of his neighbours and asked for a wooden measure. In order to ascertain what he had brought his neighbour applied tar to the bottom of the measure. When the simpleton had moasured his money, he brought the measure back, and some rupees stuok in the tar at the bottom. The neighbour then became greedy and asked the simple man how and where he had git
so many rupees. He said that he had got them by selling the hides of his sheep and goats. Filled with envy and greed his neighbour then killed all his own sheep and goats, and took the hides away to sell them, but in vain, for he only got a few rupees in exchange for them.

He then got angry and set fire to the simple man's hut, and reduced it to ashes. The simpleton put the ashes together in a bag, and went'off to sell them. On the way be left his bag somewhere and went a little off to a spring, in order to drink water.

In the meantime another man, who carried a load of flour, left his load there and went to drink water. On returning he made a mistake, left his own load there, and went off with the load of ashes. When the simpleton came back, he took the load which the other man had left, and went off. Seeing some strange marks on the load, he opened it and found it to be full of flour. He then brought the load to his house, and in order to see how much flour there was, he again asked for his neighbour's measure. When the neighbour had ascertained that the simpleton had got flour in exohange for the ashes of his house, he set fire to his own hut, but was not able to sell the askes. He then became afficted and went home, and much regretted what he har done.

## CHAUDANGST.

Chaudangsi is the dialeot spoken in Patti Chaudangs in Almora. Chaudangs is situated between the Kali and Dhauli Rivers, from their confluence northwards. It is about twelve miles in length, and about eight miles in breadth, containing about 100 squ:re miles of mountaiuous country between Khela and Nirpaniyodhura. The inhabitants are Bhottiās, and they occupy about eleven small villages.

The revised estimate of the number of speakers is $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 5}$.
The Chaudangsi dialect has not been dealt with by any authority. The remarks which follow are based on the materials forwarded for the purposes of this Survey, oiz., a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son, a version of a well-known popular tale, and a list of Standard Words and Phrases. They have all been prepared by Babu Gobind Prasad, B.A. None of them are originals, but they have all been translated into the dialect. It is not therofore certain that they in all particulars 'faithfully represent the real state of affairs. The ensuing romarks are, however, exclusively based on them, and they are therefore given with some reserve.

Chaudangsi has been inlluenced from various sourees, and is in some respects a mixed form of speech. Aryan vernaculars have contributed to the vocabulary, and also, to some extent, modified the grammar. There are also indications whioh point to an old influence exercised by other forms of speech.

Pronunciation. -The vowels $a, i$, and $u$ may be long or short. $E$ and $o$ are apparently always long. Long and short vowels sometimes interchange in the same word; thus atī and ati, that; $j \bar{z}-g$ and $j i \cdot g, m y ; m \bar{x} n g$ and $m a n g$, a plural suffix, and so forth. The specimens are not sufficiently accurate to enable us to lay down defitite rules about such points.

Final vowels are often dropped; thus, ati, ati, and at, that. This is very commonly the case in suffixes. Thus the suffixes of the case of the agent and the genitive are usually $s, g$, respectively. Sometimes, however, fuller forms ending in $s \bar{e}$, sai, and $g a i$, respectively, are also used; thus, $j \bar{i}-s$ and $j \bar{i}-s a i$, by me ; $a p \bar{i}-g$ and $a p \bar{i}-g a i$, Lis. Similarly, the suffix of the most common verbal noun is $m$, but sometimes also ma; thus, $j \bar{a}-m$, to eat; dī$-m$, to go; ra-r $\bar{a}-m-c h \bar{u}$, on becoming ; sy $\bar{u}-s y u n g-m a \cdot c h \bar{u}$, having collected,

On the other hand, an $a$ is sometimes inserted between concurrent consonants in order to make the pronunciation easier. Thus, tung-a-m, to drink; am-a-g, of the road, and so forth.

Different vowels are often interchangeable; thus, $l \boldsymbol{h} \bar{\imath}-s$, and $l h \bar{e}-s$ said ; $\bar{o}$ and $\bar{u}$, he; rangsy $\bar{a}$ and ringsy $\bar{a}$, sister; ing-k $\bar{o}-t \bar{\imath}$ and $y u n g-k \bar{o}-t \bar{\imath}$, belind; d $\quad$ hāng-m $\bar{i}-d h u n g-m \bar{i}-$ $m a ̈ n g$, servants, and so forth. Compare the various re-duplicated forms of verbs.

Final consonants are often dropped. Thus, lā, Tibetan lag, hard; phī, Tibetan phug, cave, and so forth. Compare, however, tig, Tibetan gchig, one; tuk, Tibetan $d r u g$, six, and so forth. Note also $n \bar{i}$, Tibetan gnas, to be ; lhï-s, Tibetan bzlas, said, etc.

The numerous initial compound consonants of classical Tibetan are usually simplified. Thus, $c h h \bar{u}$, Tibetan $b g o$, share; $p \bar{i}$, Tibetan $b z h i$, four; $c h \bar{i}$, Tibetan bchu, ten; jyad. Tibetan brgyad, eight; lak-chhyap, Tibetau lag-gdub, ring; tig, Tibetan gchij, one; nis, Tibetan gnyis, two; ngaii, Tibetan lnga, five; mul, Tibetan dngul, silver; gui, Tibetan $d g u$, nine; phi, Tibetan spyıg, expel ; lhä, Tibetan zla, moon; lhi-s, Tibetan
bzlas, said; chim, Tibetan khyim, house; dhung, Tibetan rdung, beat; ra-ch, Tibetan rna-ba, ear, and so forth. Note the substitution of a cerebral for compounds contain. ing an $r$ in $t u k$, Tibetan $d r u g$, six; $d \bar{a}$, Tibetan phrag, envy.

In a few cases a prefixed consonant is, howover, retained, and a vowel is inserted in order to facilitate the pronunciation. Thus, pi-di-s, gone; pi-rād, come; pa-jyāng-$d$-al̄ , was dead.

Note finally the existence of soft aspirated consonants; thus, dhung, beat; ḍhāng-mī, slave.

There is no indication of the existence of tones in the materials available.
Articles.-There is no definite article. The pronoun $u d \bar{\imath}, u d \bar{i} n$, a certain, and the numeral tig, one, are used as an indefinite article; thus, $u d \bar{\imath} b \bar{a}, t i g b \bar{a}$, a father; $u d \bar{\imath}-n$ niz̄- $g$, of a man.

Nouns.-Gender is distinguished in the usual way by means of separate words or by adding words denoting 'male,' 'female, ' respectively. Thus, mī, man ; mi-n $\bar{a} s i r \bar{i}$, woman : s $\bar{c} n d$, boy ; cha-mē, girl : r $\bar{a} n g$, horse ; mō-rāng, mare : $m \bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, he goat ; $m \bar{a}-s a ̈ n g$, she goat: $m \bar{a} u-k h v \bar{u}, \operatorname{dog} ; c h h \bar{a} \bar{u} n \bar{a} u-k h v \bar{u}$, bitch, and so forth.

Number.-There are two numbers, the singular and the plural. The plural is not marked when it appears from the context. The usual plural suffix is mang or mang; thus, $m \bar{z}-m \bar{a} n g$, men; ling-māng, bulls; $m \bar{a}-s \bar{a} n g-l \bar{a}-s \bar{a} n g-m a n g$, sheep and goats. Note the reduplication of the noun in dhang-mī-dhung-mi-mang, servants. The list of words further contains forms such as $b \bar{\alpha} t i t i$, fathers ; mat $b \bar{a}$, many fathers, fathers.

Case.-If we can trust the specimens, the various cases are frequently confounded.
The nominative, i.e. the case of the subject of intransitive verbs, does not take any suffix. Thus, na-g na-nū pirād-an̄, thy jounger brother has returned.

The same form is often also used to denote the object of transitive verbs; thus, $j i-s v o \bar{o}$ sir $\bar{u}$ mat chy $\bar{a} k-d \bar{a} g a s$, I have beaten his son with many stripes. Often, however, the dative, or even the genitive, is used instead; thus, $u-s$ s $\bar{u} d h \bar{o} m \bar{u}-j \bar{a} r \bar{u}-r \bar{u}-t \bar{u}$, him-by simple man-to asked; at $\bar{\imath} b a i-m a \bar{a} g-g$ u-s rang-m ku$-k \bar{u} r-t \bar{a}$, those skins-of him-by selling carried, he carried those skins off to sell them ; at $\bar{\imath} b \hbar \bar{u} r \bar{i}-g k \bar{u}-k \bar{o}-r-t \bar{u}$, he brought that load. I am not, however, sure that the use of the genitive suffix $g$ in such cases is correct.

The subject of transitive verbs is usually put in the case of the agent, which is formed by adding the suffix $s$ to the base; thus, $b \bar{a}-s l h \bar{i}-s$, the father said. Instead of $s$, we sometimes find fuller forms such as $s \bar{\imath}$, se, sai ; thus, $k h a t-m i-s \bar{\imath}-r \bar{\imath} u-j \bar{a} k h a i-r \bar{\imath} m a d \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$ anyone-by lim-to anything not gave; udīn chōr-sē chōrī-g māl rai-g ra-rā $n \bar{n}$, a thief-by theft-of property bringing came; $u$-sai sē-s, him-by struck, he struck. Sometimes also the suffix of the agent is dropped; thus, $\bar{u}$ th $\bar{i}-t h a r-t \bar{a}$, he sent; sai-ph $\bar{a} j \bar{a}-d-n \bar{i}-s$, the swine were eating.

The suffix $s$ is also used to denote the instrument; thus, cla $\bar{a}-s$, by envy; leharbar-s, by the noise.

The suffix of the dative is $j \bar{a}$; thus, us ap $\bar{z}-g$ l $\bar{a} \cdot j \bar{a} j a v a \bar{b} b \bar{a}-g$ lh $\bar{\imath}-s$, him-hy his father-to answer giving said. Jā apparently corresponds to classical Tibetan la, Ladakbi a. It has already been remarked that it is also used to form the accusative. Moreover, it denotes the various relations indicated by the locative and terminative cases of classical Tibetan; thus, chim-j $\bar{a}$, to the house; ri$-j \bar{a}$, in the fields, etc.

The suffix of the ablative is $c h i$, usually preceded by kung, in, or khar, on. Thus, dhäng-mī-dhung-mī-mang-kung-chī, from among the servants; biyar-khar-chī, from a precipice; sōng-kung-khar-chī, from the village.

Instead of chì we sometimes find chyāng; thus, parmēsarai-g marjī chyäng jamtam, God's will from against; lai chyang bud, all from good, best.

The suffix $c h \bar{i}$ is apparently also used to form a genitive and a locative. Thus, ati räj $\bar{u}$-chī udīn bud $m \bar{i}-j \bar{a}$, to a good man of that village ; sīr-chī, in the jungle.

The usual suffix of the genitive is $g$, instead of which we once find $g a i$; thus, $m i-g$, of a man; api-g and api-gai, his own. It has already been remarked that the suffix $g$ is occasionally added in the accusative. The genitive is sometimes expressed by simply putting the governed before the governing noun, without any suffix; thus, nä $b \bar{a} c h i m$ $j \bar{a}$, in thy father's house ; näch-syung-d kalāt, danoe-making (-of) noise.

It has already been remarked that the sufix $c h \bar{\imath}$ sometimes also bas the meaning of a genitive.

There are only some few traoes of the terminative; thus, hé-r, on; kha-r, on; $t \bar{i}$-chen- $l \bar{u}$, in a corner; ya-r, in; jitu and $j \bar{i} t \bar{u}$, before; laire , before, and so forth. Usually, however, the dative is used instead.

The dative is also used as a locative. Instances have already been quoted under the head of dative. The usual locative suffix is kung; thus, phí-kung, in the cave. The real suffix is probably ung; compare at-ung, there; compare $j \bar{z} t u$ and $j \bar{\imath} t \tilde{u}$, before. A suffix $y e \bar{e}$ can be added ; thus, am-loung-yē, on the road ; at-ung-y $\bar{e}$, there.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Some are added to the base ; thus, gund $\bar{a}$, between; hēr, on ; mitat $\bar{u}$, under ; yar, in ; tē, with; tè-bhā, ti$-b h a$, together with. Others are preceded by the governed noun in the genitive ; thus, bē-lī-chi and bétü-s, for the sake of (also added to the base); bhitarul̃, within; däng-s, for ; jitu, near ; larē, before ; nünam, near ; yung-k $\bar{o}-t \bar{\imath}$, behind. Bhā, together, and $t i$, on, are added to the dative.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the noun they qualify in the nominative; thus, sid rāng, the white horse ; mīd sin $\bar{\imath}$ the younger son. Forms such as raksid, worthy ; angsid, tall, are formally partioiples.

The particle of comparison is chyang or chyāg-ri ; thus, lai chyang bud, all from good, best; $u-g p^{\bar{\imath}} v \bar{o}$ rangsy $\bar{a}$ chy $\bar{a} g-r \bar{\imath} b h u n g-t a i a n \bar{i}$, his brother is taller than his sister. Instead of chy $\bar{a} g-r^{\bar{i}} \quad b h i n g t a i$ we should probably read chyang-ribhungtai. Compare Ladakhī sang.

Numerals.-The numerals are given in the list of words. They precede the word they qualify; thus, nis siri, two sons.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

|  | I | We | Thou | You | He, she, it | Thoy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | ${ }^{j} \bar{i}$ | in | san | gani | $\bar{u}, v \bar{o}$ | usi |
| Agent | ji-s, ji-sai | in-s, in sai | ga-s, ga-sai | ganīs, -sai, -sê | u-s, u-sai | นBT-8, -8ai |
| Genitive | ji-g | in-g | na-g, n̄̄ | $g a n t=g$ | $u-g$ | usiog |

Other forms are $j \bar{i}$-dāng-su, jī-dāng-sē, jī-dāng-chi, for me; in-jā-khar-chi, from us; $n \bar{\alpha}-d \bar{a} n g-s$, for thee; $v \bar{o}$ bēlch $\bar{\imath}$, for his sake; usi-gund $\bar{a}$, between them, and so forth.

Demonstrative pronouns are $h i-d \bar{\imath}$, this ; ati,$a t$, that; at-ung, into it; at $\bar{u}$ khar-chi, from them.

The Aryan loan-word $a p \bar{i}$, self, is used as a reflexive pronoun; thus $a p \bar{i}-\bar{u} p$, he himself; $a p i \bar{i} g$ and api-gai, own.

Interrogative pronouns are $k h a-m \bar{i}$, what man? who? kha, what? ulāng, ulā, ulāng-an, huw much, how many? hanā syung-ag, how doing? how ? kha-lē-kit, kha-chär-ki, why? that, because. Indefinite pronouns are formed from the interrogatives by adding $r \bar{i}$; thus, kha-mi-si-rì, anyone-by; khai-rī, anything. Note also udi,$u d \bar{i} n$, a certain; $y \bar{a} n g$, another; du$m \bar{u}$, some.

Relative pronouns are $j \bar{o}, j \bar{e}$, who, which; jai-g, whose; jo$-k h a-r \bar{i}$, whatever. The relatives are Aryan loan-words, and relative clauses are usunlly formed according to Aryan grammar. Thus, tig mī, jai-g tig chhandè nīnnī, hāng rī-n $\bar{\imath}-n \bar{\imath}$, one man, whose one hut was, also lived; rupiyā jō u-s u-tī-bhā rai-sid-nīs. atungye $h v \bar{e}-g ~ d \bar{i}-d \bar{i}-n \bar{i}$, the rupees whioh him-by him-with brought-had there leaving went, he went away leaving the money he had brought; jō $j i-g a n \bar{i}$, at $\bar{\imath} n a-g a n \bar{i}$, what mine is, that thine is.

In jō na-g mül-tāl chyū-chylu-ma-ch $\bar{u}$, who thy property squandered-having, the conjunctive participle is used after the relative pronoun. In such cases we can detect traces of a more ancient state of affairs, when relative clauses were expressed by means of participles.

In this connexion we may also note that Chaudangsi has borrowed some Aryan conjunctions such as $j a b$, when; $k i$, that. Adverbial clauses are, therefore, often expressed as in Aryan forms of speech, instead of by means of participles.

Verbs.-The CLaudangsi verb is, broadly speaking, formed according to the same principles as those prevailing in other connected forms of speech. There are, however, at least two points in which the dialect has developed on different lines. In the first place we find that the language makes frequent use of reduplication in the formation of verbal tenses such as $k \bar{u} \overline{-k} \bar{o} r-t \bar{a}$, brought. The reduplication usually occurs in the past tense of verbs, and it will therefore be dealt with later on. Forms such as syung-tă and sy $\bar{u}-$ syung-t $\bar{a}$ made, seem to show that the redupliantion simply, intensifies the meaning of the verb, and it should therefore perhaps be compared with the reduplication in Muṇdā languages.

The other characteristic feature of Chaudangsī grammar is the distinct tendency to distinguish the various persons of verbal tenses, at least in the singular. Thus, sai-t $\bar{u}$, I strike; sait-an, thou strikest; sai-t $\bar{u}$, he strikes. A similar tendency is also found in other connected forms of speech. The formation of the second person singular in Chaudāngsī is interesting. An $n$ is added to the base in the present tense; thus, lhé $n$, art; saitan, strikest. This $n$ is followed by other suffixes, especially in the past; thus $d \bar{e}-n-\bar{a}$, goest ; sē-n-s, struckest; $d i-n-a s$, wentest; tō-n-as, broughtest; synng-n-as, didst. This use of the pronominal suffix $n$ before the tense suffix corresponds to the practice in compound tenses in the Muṇda languages. Compare Muṇ̣āri si-tan-ing-tae-ken-a, ploughing-I-was, I was ploughing. It is therefore possible that the distinction of person in verbal forms is not only due to the influence exercised by Aryan vernaculars but also to the existence of a pre-Aryan element in the population.

Verb substantive.-The bases of the verb substantive are $l h \bar{i}$ or $l h e \bar{e}$ and $n \bar{i}$ or $n \bar{e}$. The latter base is often preceded by an $a$ in the present. It is perhaps the last remnant of an old prefix; compare classical Tibetan gnas-pa, to live, to stay. I cannot ascertain any rule for the use of this $a$, for we find forms such as chin-ni and chin-ani, it is proper, used promiscuously. The usual forms of the present tense are as follows :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sing. 1. lhē, anī-yē } \\
& \text { 2. } l h \bar{e}-n \\
& \text { Plur. 1. lhē-nē } \\
& \text { 3. lhè, an̄̄, nī, anēn (sic). } \\
& \text { 2. lhē }-n \bar{\imath} \\
& \text { 3. lhē-nē, lhī-nī, ana-nē. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The plural forms are apparently compounds. The difference between the second person plural on one hand, and the first and third persons on the other, is probably artificial. Compare the form $h \bar{i}-n \bar{n}$, they are.

- Another base at, or perhaps $t$, occurs in gan kha min at, thy what name is? The same base is probably contained in ruksid ma tãyē, I am not worthy. Tãyē should perhaps be written tangye and is probably a future. Compare the remarks under the head of participles, below. Compare also $i d$ or $d$ in rai-s-id, brought; $j \bar{a}-d-n \overline{i s}$, ate, and so forth.

The base $l h \bar{\imath}$ apparently also occurs in the form al $\bar{\imath}$. Compare $t \bar{a} n g-d-a l \bar{\imath}$, is alive ; $p a-j y a ̈ n g-d-a l \bar{l}$, was dead, and so forth.

The past tense is formed as follows :-
Sing. 1. nīyē-s
Plur. 1. ni-nhē-s
2. niya-n-s, $n \bar{\imath}-n \bar{i}-n-s$
2. $n i-n h e ̄-s$
3. $n \bar{u} s, n \bar{i}-n \bar{i}-n \bar{u}, n \bar{\imath}-n \bar{\imath}-n \bar{e}$.
3. $n \bar{i}-n h \bar{e}-s, n \bar{i}-n \bar{i}-n \bar{i}, n i n-n \bar{i}, n \bar{i}-n \bar{i}-n a i$.

In one place a form $k a-l h \bar{\imath}$, was, also occurs. The initial $k$ perbaps represents the old prefix $g$.

It will be seen that $l$ and $n$ are freely interchanged in the various forms of the verb substantive. The consonants are perhaps, as in so many other cases, simply phonetical doublets.

Other forms of the verb substantive are ma $n \bar{i}-y \bar{e}$, I am not; $n \bar{i}-g$, living, being; lhyāng, I shall be; nìāng, will be, is; nïyang-nī, will be, are; lhyäg-ē, may be; lhē-nī, to be, and so forth.

Finite verbs.-The various bases of the verb substantive are freely used in the formation of the tenses of other verbs. The number of forms is very great, and it is not always possible to analyse them with certainty. The following is a synopsis of the principal forms occurring in the materials available.

Present time.-A common present tense is formed by adding $n \bar{i}$ to the base; thus, chhy $\bar{u}-n \bar{i}$, I get ; $d \bar{i}-n \bar{u}$, he goes; rā-n , he comes; $d \bar{i}-n \bar{i}$, we go, they go; $d \bar{i}-n \bar{i}$, you go. The interchange between $d$ and $d$ in the base $d \bar{l}$, go, is probably due to the existence of an $r$ in the original base; compare classical Tibetan 'a-gro-ba and ' $a$-dong-ba, to go.

No corresponding form occurs in the second person singular. In dëen $\bar{a}$, thou goest, a suffix $\bar{a}$ is added to the personal suffix $n$. This $\bar{a}$ is perhaps a form of the copula.

In di$-y \bar{e}, \mathrm{I}$ go, the suffix $\bar{e}$ or $y \bar{e}$ is perhaps also an old copula. It is apparently only used in the first person singular. Compare añ̈-yë, I am ; ma tã-yë, I am not. Forms suoh as $b u j \bar{a}-y \bar{e}-s$, he entreated, apparently show that it cannot be restricted to that form.

Another present is formed by adding $t$, probably the suffix of a present participle, to the base. This $t$ is then followed by $\bar{u}$ in the first person singular; an in the second
person singular; $\bar{a}$ in the third person singular, and $a n \bar{e}$ in the plural. Thus, sai-t $\bar{u}$, I strike; sai-t-an, thou strikest; khvé-t-ă, he digs; syung-t-anē, they do. Other, compound, forms of the present are syung-tātu , I am dying; si-chig anīyē, I am dying; $j \bar{u}-g$ anēn, he is grazing ; syõk-sid-ani , he is sitting, and so forth.

Past time.-The present tense is often used with the meaning of a past; thus, rā-n $\bar{\imath}$, he came; ma tar-n $\bar{i}$, he could not; syung-tane, they did; ta $\overline{-}-t n \bar{e}$, they began; mat-chvaung-byū-nī, be was much alarmed. Forms such as kab-lī-nī, overtook; lhī-ni$t \bar{a}$, said, are probably of the same kind.

The common suffix of past tenses is $s$ or as; thus, dē-ya-s, I went; tan-s, he saw; syung-s, he made; bujayē-s, he entreated. Instead of $s$, we sometimes find $s \bar{o}$ or $c h$; thus, syung-sō, he did; tan-ch, he was found.

In the second person singular $s$ is preceded by the pronominal suffix $n$; thus, sē-n-s, struckest; tō-n-as or $t \bar{o}-n i \cdot s$, boughtest; $d \bar{a}-n \cdot a s$, gavest; syung-n-as, madest.

Forms such as $s \bar{e}-g-a s$, I struck; sai-g-as, I have struck; $d \bar{a}-g-a s$, I have given; $t \bar{a} l \bar{e}-g-s$, I transgressed, are only used in the first person singular. The $g$ which is inserted before the tense suffix is probably a pronominal suffix of the first person. Compare Kanāw ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{i}$ i and connected dialects.

Various suffixes can be added to the form ending in $s$, such as id (compare classical Tibetan yod), tā, ni, and so forth. Thus, rai-s-id, had brought; tan-s-t- $\bar{a}$, he found; $b \bar{a} b-s \bar{e}-n \bar{i}$, he stopped. Such forms are all compounds. The same is the case with forms such as $s \bar{e}-n \bar{e}-s$, we struok, they struck; $s \bar{e}-n \bar{\imath}-s$, you struck. Other compounds are formed by adding the verb substantive to the participle ending in $a$ or $i d$; thus $j \bar{a}-d-n \bar{i}-s$, eating were; $t a \tilde{\imath}-s-i d-n \bar{i}-s$, he had found ; tai-s-id-ali , found, he has been found; $t \bar{a} n g-d l c a-l h \bar{i}$, alive became, and so fortb.

A past participle, which is used to form a compound past tense, is derived from the base by adding a prefix $p a, p i$, or $p u$. Thus, $p i-d \bar{i}-n \bar{\imath}$, he went; pa-jyāng-d $a-l \bar{l}$, dead is, he has died; pa-jhyäng-ach, he has died; piorā-d anī, he has come back, and properly also $p u$-nyar-t and pu-nyart alī, he was lost.

A prefix lea occurs in forms such as $k a-s y u n g-t \bar{a}, \operatorname{did} ; d h a ̈ s i z k-l \bar{\imath}-c h u$, he has become married; tāng-d ka-lhī, he became alive. In kab-lī-ni, overtook, kab is used instead.

The past tense is very often formed by adding suffixes such as $t \bar{a}$, etc., $n \bar{i}$, and $t a t \bar{u}$, etc., to a reduplicated base. Final consonants are not repeated in the reduplication. The vowels are usually the same as in the base. $E$ and $a i$, however, are reduplicated by means of $\bar{i}$; $\bar{o}$ by means of $\bar{u}$; and $y a$ is repeated in the form $i$. If the original vowel of the base is short, it is often lengthened in the reduplication. Thus, syū-syung$t \bar{u}$, I have done; $k \bar{u}-k \bar{o} r-t \bar{u}$, he carried off ; i-yang-t $\bar{a}$, he heard; si-sai-t $\bar{a}$, he killed; $l \bar{u}-l u p-t a-t \bar{a}$, he applied; sy $\bar{u}-s y u n g-t a n e \bar{e}$, they did; dī-d $\bar{i}-n \bar{i}$, he went; ra-r $\bar{a}-n \bar{\imath}$, he came; tī-tē-nī, he wished. Note pu-pi-ta-t $\bar{a}$, applied; pu-pvī-t $\bar{a}$, took: phü-phar-t $\bar{a}$, opened, where the $u$ in the repeated syllable seems to be due to the influence of the following $p$.

The reduplicated base is sometimes followed by the past suffix si or chi, to which $n \bar{i}$ is added; thus, $i p \bar{a}-d a-d a n g \cdot s i-n \bar{i}$, they were startled; $h \bar{i}-h \bar{i}-c h i-n \bar{i}$, he asked; leatp-chi-ni, they struck.

Note finally forms such as chhu$-c h h u-t \bar{i}-t \bar{a}$, divided; ph $\bar{u}-p h u-k \bar{a} y-t \bar{a}$, wasted. Tit in the former is probably the same as ta, and kay in the latter seems to belong to the base.

Fature.-The suffix of the future is apparently ang; thus, lhy-äng, I shall be; dang-yē, I shall go; lhī-yang, I shall say ; in-sai sè-yang-née, we shall beat.

This form is also used to denote what may, or will probably, take place; thus, ulang un ku-kat niyäng, how many years will he have lived? how old is he?

The nature of the final consonant of the future suffix is not quite oertain. Forms such as $s \bar{e}-y a-n$, thou wilt strike; ma tã-yé, I shall not be, point to the conclusion that the vowel of the suffix is simply nasalized. Forms such as lhyāg- $\bar{e}$, I may be, on the other hand, seem to show that the suffix contains a $g$, if the $g$ of this form is not a pronominal suffix of the first person singular.

Forms such as syung-lhē, might make; syung-në, should make, are originally oompound forms of the present.

Imperative.-The base alone is used as an imperative; thus, lhé, be; dé, give; $t a y$, put. Forms such as $j \bar{a}-g-n \bar{e}$, eat, are apparently compounds, 'eating be,' compare dée-g-anē, go, lit. going be. The same is perhaps the case with forms such as tai-ni, put; chuk-ta-ni, put on, and so forth. The final $n \bar{i}$ of such forms can, however, also be a plural suffix. Compare Manchātị, etc.

The most common imperative suffixes are apparently $y, y a$, or $y \bar{a}$; thus, syung- $y$, do; havē-y, draw; dhung-aya, beat; gvī-ya, bind; rai-iya, bring; dā-yä, give. Conpare also dēe $y \bar{e}$, go ; j $\bar{a}-g-y \bar{e}$, eat.

A suffix san or chyan is added in kōr-san, take; ōng-chyan, see,
Forms such as $j a \bar{a} m$, eat; chham, walk, are originally infinitives.
There are no instances in the specimens of a negative imperative.
Verbal nouns.-The base alone is occasionally used as a verbai noun; thus, tung, to drink. The genitive of this form is commonly used as an adverbial and conjunctive participle. See below.

The usual verbal noun ends in $m$; thus, sai- $m$, to strike; pim- $m$, to fill; syung-m $b \bar{e}-l \bar{\imath}-c h \bar{\imath}$, in order to do; $j \bar{a}-m y a m b \bar{a}$, eating exceeding, more than they can eat; räng-am, to sell; by $\bar{u}-m-s$, fearing-with, from fear, and so forth.

The suffix $n \bar{a}$, in lhē-m-n $\bar{a}$ rulisid, worthy to be called, is probably a dative or locative suffix.

Other tense bases can also be used as verbal nouns; thus, rang-s-id-s, by selling.
Participles.-Verbal participles are formed by adding the sufix $d$ (id) or $t$; thus, $j \bar{a}-d$ ( $n \bar{\imath} s$ ), eating (was); pi-r $\bar{a}-d$ ( $a n \bar{i} \bar{\imath}$, come is; ch $\bar{\imath}-b i n-d$, full; ruli-s-id, worthy; ang-s-id, high ; punyar-t, lost, and so forth.
A. very common adverbial and conjunctive participle is formed by adding the suffix $g$, i.e., by putting the base in the genitive. Thus, di$-g$, going; kharch syung-g, expenditure making. Thōk-sig, in thōk-sig rā-g, coming back, is apparently the genitive of the past base. Forms such as ru-chig, rising ; si-chig, dying, apparently also coutain the suffix $c h, s$, which is used in the formation of the past tense.

A conjunctive participle is formed by adding $c h \bar{u}$ to the verbal noun in $m$; thus, syū-syung-ma-chu , larirg done; hū-hu-im-chu , having called; ri-rē-chim-chu, rising; $r a-r \bar{a}-m-c h \bar{u}$, becoming.

Isolated forms are tan-nì, seeing ; syung-am, making, and so forth.

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. Forms such as jiyō dungs, I am struck, probably mean 'me struck.' The passive forms occurring in the list of Standard Words and Phrases are not, however, so clear that they can be analysed with certainty.

Causals.-The materials available are not sufficient to show how causals are formed. We may perhaps compare sai, kill ; si, die : rai, bring; rā, come, and so forth.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed ma; thus, ma lhi-ni, did not pass; ma dā-t $\bar{a}$, did not give; ma $t \bar{a} l \bar{e}-g-s$, I did not transgress; ma dāa-n-as, didst not give.

There are no irstances of an interrogative particle in the specimens.
Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. Qualifying additions precede the qualified word. The indirect object sometimes precedes and sometimes follows the direct one. Under the influence of Aryan vernaculars, relative sentences and cther subordinate clauses are commonly expressed by using relative pronouns and conjunctions.
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## Specimen I.

(Patti Ohaddange, almora.)

| PHUKA | SIRI-G | RII. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P R Q 1 D I G A L$ | $S O N-O F$ | $S T O R Y$. |

Udin mī-g nis sirì nī-nì-nī. Hāng atũ-khar-chī mid-s apī-g Certain man-of two sons were. And them-from young-by own bā-jā lhī-s, 'hē bā, mālmatā̧kung-obī jē chhū jī chlyỹ̄-nī, jī dā.' father-to said,' $O$ father, property-in-from which share $I$ get, me give.' Hāng usī-s usī gundā u-g jō māl-tāl ohhū-chhu-titā. Hãng mat jyā And him-by them between his which property divided. And many days ma lhī-ni ki mìd sirī-s lai māl-tāl bhā syū-syung-ma-chū vānam not were that young son-by all property together made-having far rājū pi-dī-nī, hāng achhai luchā-kām kung nī-g apīg lai mālmatā country went, and there riotous-deeds in living own all property phū-phukāy-tā. Hāng jab u jō māl nī-s lai kharch syung-g squandered. And when his what property was all expenditure making chyū-chyu-ma-ohū, atī rājū-kung mat akālō lī-lī-nī, hāng ū tang lī-lī-nī. wasted, that country-in big famine arose, and he needy became. Hāng ū ati rājū-chī udīn bud mī-jā bhā dī-g nīnī-nī, hāng And he that country-of certain good man-to together going stayed, and $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ ātī-jā apī-g khētī-kung saiphā rō-m bēlī-chì thī-thir-tā. Hāng ū he him his field-in swine grazing for sent. And he atī kō gã̃ sō-s jō saiphā jā-d nī-s lrhusī-s apī-g dan those bark and berries-with tohich swine eating were gladly own belly pim-m tī-tē-nī, hāng kha-mi-sī-rī u-jā klai-rì ma dātā. Hāng jab fill-to wished, and anyone-by him-to any thing not gave. And when ū apījjā phām rā-ni u-s lhī-s ki, 'ji-g bī̀g bhūrī-dām dhāng-mīhe self-to sense came him-by said that, 'my father-of hired servants-ḍhung-mī-mang-kung-khar-chi ulāngan jā-m yambà kuṭū tan-d-n̄̄-in-from how-many eating more bread gettingVang -nē, hāng jī khī-g si-chi-g anī-yē. Jì rī-rēchim-chū ji•g bī̀g are, and $I$ hungering dying am. 1 risen-having my father-of ijitũ dang-yē hāng u-jā lhī-yang, "hē bā, jī-s parmēsaraìg' marjī near will-go and him-to will-say, "O father, me-by God-of will
cbyāng jam-tam hāng na-g jitī pāp syū•syung-tā. Gā̃ jī phiri na-g frome against and of-thee before sin did. And I again thy sirī lhē-m-nā ruks-id ma tā̃yē; ji kāng apī̀g bhūrī-dīm dhāngson to-be-called worthy not am; me also own hired servants-mī-dhung-mī-mang-kung-chī tig jikã syungy.", Hāng vō rachi.g apìg in-of one like make."' And he rising his
bā-g jītu dī-s. Parantu jab ū mat vānam nīs ki u-g bā-s father-of near went. But when he very far was that his father-by ù tan-s hāng u-jā khẵt rā-nī, hāng u-s jhỵang-g di.g him saw and him-to sompassion came, and him-by running goĭng ū galē-kung kū-kōr-tā hāng hū da-dā-tā. Hāng sirī-s u-jã him neck-on carried and kiss gave. And son-by him-to lhī-nī-tā, 'bā, jī-s parmēsarai-g marjī ohȳ̄̄ng jam-tam gã̃ na.g said, 'father' me-by God-of will from against and thy najar-kung pāp syū-syung-tū, hāng ji phirī na-g sirī lhē-m-nā ruks-id sight-in sin and $I$ more thy son to-be-called worthy ma niyë.' Parantu bā-s api-g dhāng-mī-ḍhung-mi-mang-jā lbī-s ki, not am.' But father-by own servants-1o said that, 'lai chyang bud chuksin khang-ğ raiiya hāng atī ù chūnī; gã̃r 'all from good robe taking-out bring and thal him put-on; and u-g lā-kung lak-chhyap $\tilde{\bar{a} r}$ likī-kung paulã chuktaní. In-s his hand-on ring and feet-on shoes put-on. Us-ly jām-tung-m-sa hāng khusī syung-m chilī. Khalē-ki hidī ji-g eating-drinking-by and merry making proper-is. Because this my sirī pajyāng-d-alī, hāng phirī ṭāng-d-alī; ū punyart-alī, phirī tais-id-alī.' son dead-was, and again alive-is; he lost-was, again found-is.' Tab ū suku dā-g tātnē. Then they merry making began.

At lāng-vās u-g pūd sirī rī-jā nīs. Hāng jab ù rā-s
That time-to his elder son field-on was. And when he came hāng chim-g nīnam van-lang-tā tō u-s rājō-bājō hāng nāchand house-of near reached then him-by singing-playing and dance-syung-d kalāt is ī-tā. Hāng u-s ḍhāng-mī-ḍhung-mī-mang-kung.chī tig maling noise heard. And him-by servants-in-from one mì lū-huim-chū rū-rū-tā ki, 'hīdī.g kha matlab anī?' man called-having asked that, 'this-of what meaning is ?' Hāng u-s u-jā lhē-s kì 'na-g nanū pirād anī, hāng And him-by him-to said that, 'thy brother returned is, and na-g bā-s sakanu syung-s, kha-chār-ki u-s ū bud gãr thy father-by feast made, because him-by him good and chhyālō tan-s.' Hāng ū rūrū rā-s hāng ù chi-kung dī-m ma safe found.' And he anger came and he house-in to-go no
tachch. Hidī-bêlī-s u-g bā chbyang-pang rā-s hāng ū bujāyês. voanted. This-for his father out-side came and hin enlreated. Hāng u.s apī-g bā-jā javāb dā-g lhī-s ki, 'ōng-chyan, ji And him-by own father-to answer giving said that, 'lo, I ulāng-n un kbarohī na-g sēvā syung-tātū; hāng jīs ulāng-pā-rī so-many years from thy service did; and me-by ever na-g bachan ma țālēg-s. Hāng ga-s jī-jā ulāng-pā-ri tig thy word not transgressed. And thee-by me-to ever one lāsang-g mīd lach kang ma dānas ki jī apī-g sāthū-mang-tī-bhā goat-of small kid even not gavest that $I$ my-own companions-of-with ais-khus syung-lhē. Parantu na-g hidi sirī jō pātarī-mang-tī-bhā merriment should-make. But thy this son who prostitutes-of-with na-g māl-tāl chyū-chyu-ma-ohū hannē rā-s at-nē ga-s jā-m tung-am thy property squandered as came then thee-by eating drinking syung-nas.' Hāng bā-s u-jā lhī-s, 'sirī, gan barābar jī-tī-blī madest.' And father-by him-to said, 'son, thou always of-me-with nīnins; hāng jō ji-g anī, atī lai na-g anī Hidi rājabi livedest; and what mine is, that all thine is. This proper nīs ki in-s suku syung-am gã̃r khusi syung-nē, kha-chār-ki was that us-by happy to-make and merry should-make, because hidī na-g nanū jō pa-jhyāng-acl, phirī ṭang-d-kalhī; bāng punyar-t, this thy brother: who dead-was, again alive-is; and lost-was, phiri tan-ch.' again found-is.'
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Jō gāng mī-g dāng•s kun klivē-tā, apī̄āp atung gan-nī, tig Who another man-of sake-for pit digs, himself into falls, a rii. story.

Udin sōng-kung tig barō sūdhō mī, jai-g tig chthandī gã̃r Certain village-in a verly simple man, whose one hut and dūmā mā-sāng lā-sāng nīnnī, hāng nī-nī-nē. U-g ās-pās-chi mī, some sheep goats were, also lived. His neighbourhood-of men, jō u-tī-bhā dā syung-tanē, u-gr lhāmī-s bakhat tang-ag ū who him-with envy made, his simplicity-by opportunity getting him sōng-kung-khar-chī phīm dlandā syū-syung-tanē. Hāng tis jyā, village-in-from to-expel endeavour made. And one day, jab u•g mā•sādg lā-sāng sīr-chī jā-g nī-nī-nai, usī-s usī-g tig when his sheep goats jungle-in eating were, them-by them one
biyar khar-chī yú kakan-tinai hāng hinā syung-g lai-g sī-sē-tanē. precipice from down threw and thus doing all killed.

Atī bichārā sūdhō mī-s atī māsāng lāsāng-mang-g bai khū-khō-tā That poor simple man-by those sheep goats-of skins took-out hāng ati bai-mang udin sabar-kung rāng-m kū-kōr-tā. Am-kung-jē u-jā and those skins certain city-in to-sell carried. Way-in him-to minch kah-lī-nī, hāng ū tig janggal-kung udīn phū-kung būl-sē-nī. night befell and he one jungle-in certain cave-in stopped. Bbar-minch-ag yung-kō-ti udin chōr-sē chōrī•g mā rai-g ra-rā-nī, Midnight-of after certain thief-by theft-of property bringing came, hāng atī•s ati phū•g murang•pāyē dērā syū-syung-tā. Atī phū-g and himby that cave-on door-on lodging made. That cave-of blitarū usī-g klaṛbar yang-ag atī mī mat chvaung-byū-nī, hāng inside them-of noise hearing that man much alarmed-became, and

| u-s | ati | bai-mans-g | bhitarū, | jō | ${ }^{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{s}}$ | rai-s-id, | apī-s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hineby | those | skins-ıf | inside, | which | him-by | brought-had, | him-by | hicling-of |
| lang attempt |  | -syung-tà. did. | Plıū-g <br> Cave-of |  |  | laai-māng-g skins-of | khaṛbar-s noise-by | chōr <br> thief |

 rupiyā lā-kung syū-syung-tā hāng chim-jā di-dī-ni. rupees hand-in made and house-to went.

Atī māl, jō u-s taing-s-id nī•s, ati pā-m-g bēli-ch That money, which himby brought had, that measuring-of sake-for $\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{s}$ apī-g parausī-mang-j udī-jā-chin tig khāng hi-hi-chi-ni. him-by his neighbours-in someone-from one wooden-measure asked. Atī parausī-s hidi bāt-g chlıēd syung-m bēli-chi ki, u-s That neighbour-by this matter-of knowledge making for that, him-by klıa rai-s, khäng-g būt-kung līsū lū-lup-tatā. Atī sūdhō-sārī mī-s what brought, measure-of bottom-on tar applied. That simple man-by rupiyà pā.g khāng vāpas dadā-tā, parantu ati būṭ-kung lisū-kung rupees measuring-of measwre back gave, but its bottom-in tar-in dūmā rupiyā kaṭchi-ni.
some rupees stuck.
Hidī-s u-g parausījjā lōbh lī-lī-nī. $\quad \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{s}$ sūdhō mī-jā rū-rū-tā
This-by his neighbour-to avarice came. Him-by simple man-to asked ki, 'ga-s hilang rupiyä hanä syung-g ulō-khar-chi tan-s?' U-s that, 'theeby so-many Rupees how doing wherefrom broughtest ?' Him-by lhīs ki apī-g mā-sāng lā-sāng-g bai-g rang•s-id-s. Atī sūduō mīg said that own sheep goats-of skin-of selling-by. That simple man-of
dã-s hāng rupiyā-g lōbh-s u-g paṛausīs apī-gai lai mā-sāng envy-by and Rupees.of greed-by his neighbour-by own all sheep lā-sāng sī-sai-tā hāng ati bai-māng-g u-s rang-m kū-kōr-tā, parañtu goats killed and those skins him-by to-sell carried, but khālī, kha-rhār-ki u-s hidi pan-s dūmā rupiyā ta-tan-tā. in-vain, because him-by this bargain-by few rupees got.

Hidi bāt•kung rūsū ra-răm-chū atī-s sūdhō mī-g chhandī-kung This matter-in anger coming-after him-by simple man-of hut-in mẽ̃ pupita-tā, hāng atī-s phā ka-syung-tātā. Südhō mī-s phā-g fire put, and him-by ashes made. Simple man-by ashes-of jamā syung-tā hāng tig thailī-kung ta-tā-tā hāng atī rāng-kōr-m-g together made and one bag-in put and it selling-caryying-of bēlī-cbī di-dī-ni. Am-kung-jē u-s apī-g thaili am.g lī-chentū ta-tā-tã, sake-for went. Way-on him-by own bag road-of corner-in put, Lāng tig dhārū-kung, jō dūmā vānarn nī-s, tī tung dī-dī-nī. and one spring-in, which little far was, wator to-drink went.

Atī bīch tig dūsarò mī-s, jō hī-g blārì atung-yē tā-g
That time one other man-by, who flour-of load there lsaving


## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

Whoever digs a pit for another, he himself falls into it.-A tale.
In a certain village there lived' a very simple man who possessed a hut and some sheep and goats. His neighbours, who envied him, took opportunity of his simplicity, and tried to drive him out of the village. One day when his sheep and goats were grazing in the jungle, they threw them down a precipice and killed them all.

The simple poor man took the hides of the sheep and goats, and went to a city to sell them. On his way night befell him, and he took refuge in a cave in the jungle. After midnight a thief came, bringing some stolen property, and sat down at the entrance of the cave. Hearing the noise, the man within the cave became much alarmed and tried to hide himself in the hides, which he had brought. On hearing the rustling of the hides in the care, the thief was startled and ran away, leaving all the money he had brought behind him. The simple man took the money in his hand and went home.

In order to measure the money he had brought, he went to one of his neighbours and asked for a wooden measure. In order to ascertain what he had brought, his neighbour
applied tar to the bottom of the measure. When the simpleton had measured his money, he brought the measure back, and some rupees stuck in the tar at the bottom. The neighbour then became greedy and asked the simple man how and where he had got so many rupees. He said that he had got them by selling the hides of his sheep and goats. Filled with envy and greed his neighbour then killed all his own sheep and goats, and took the hides away to sell them, but in vain, for he only got a few rupees in exchange for them.

He then got angry and set fire to the simple man's hut, and reduced it to ashes. The simpleton put the ashes together in a bag, and went off to sell them. On the way he left his bag somewhere and went a little off to a spring, in order to drink water.

In the meantime another man, who carried a load of flour, left his load there and went to drink water. On returning he made a mistake, left his own load there, and went off with the load of ashes. When the simpleton came baok, he took the load which the other man had left, and went off. Seeing some strange marks on the load, he opened it and found it to be full of flour. He then brought the load to his house, and in order to see how much flour there was, he again asked for his neighbour's measure. When the neighbour had ascertained that the simpleton had got flour in exohange for the ashes of his house, he set fire to his own hut, but was not able to soll the ashes. He then became afllicted and went home, and much regretted what he had doue.

## BYĀNGST.

This is the dialect sroken in Patti Byangs, in the north-eastern corner of Almora. The Patti of Byangs is bounded on the north by Tibet, on the east by Tibet and the Kali River, on the south by the Kali River, and on the west by the lateral chain culminating in Yirgnajung and Patṭi Chaudangs. The inhabitants are Bhōṭās, who occupy seven villages. The revised estimate of the number of speakers is J,585.

T'wo specimens and a list of Standard Words and Phrases have been prepared by Babu Gobind Prasad, B.A. They are the only foundation of the notes on Byāngsi grammar which follow.

Byāngsī in most characteristics agrees so closely with Chaudāngsī, that it seems probabie that both represent the same dialect. The materials at my disposal are not, however, so trustworthy as to allow us to settle the question with absolute certainty.

Pronunciation.-The phonetical system is, broadly speaking, the same as in Chaudangsī. I shall only mention some few features where the two dialeots apparently differ.
' Merry' is graisi, gvēsi, gvausi, or gōsi. The word is probably borrowed from the Aryan thushì. There are no other instances of a similar interchange of vowels.

The prefixes $k a$ and $p a$ also have the forms $k a u, k a b$, and $p a b$, respectively. Thus $k a-l \bar{i}-n \bar{\imath}$ and $k a b-l \bar{\imath}-n \bar{\imath}$, became; kau-n $\bar{\imath}-n \bar{\imath}$, was; $p a-j y \bar{a} n g-n \bar{\imath}$, had died ; pab-jyāng-t $\bar{a}$, kilied.
$K$ and $g$ are interchangeable in the suffix $l(a i)$ or $g(a i)$; thus, hva-k, hva-kai, leaving; dà-gai, giving.

In a similar way, ch is sometimes interchanged with $j$; thus, $j \bar{\imath}$ pa-chyāng-yē-sō and $j \bar{\imath} p a-j y \bar{a} n g-y \bar{e}-s o \overline{0}, \mathrm{I}$ am killed.

Such interchange between hard and soft consonants seems to show that the soft consonants are pronounced with a strong aspiration. Aspirated soft consonants are not, however, marked in writing.

Parallel forms such as $h v \bar{e}, h a$, and $h \bar{o}$, leave; $g \bar{a} n g, g \tilde{\bar{a}} r$, and $g \bar{a} r$, other, and so forth, are due to an inaccurate marking of the sounds. They show how cautious we must be in drawing conclusions from the spelling of the specimens.

Articles.-The numeral tiy, one, and the pronouns $u n \bar{a}, k h a m \bar{i}$, and $g \bar{a} r$, a certain, are used as an indefinite article; thus, tig $m \bar{\imath}$, a man ; kham $\bar{\imath} b \bar{a}$, a father; un $\bar{a} m \bar{u}-g a i$, of a man ; g $\overline{\tilde{a}}$ r sang- $k h \bar{u}$, in a village. An $n$ is often added to kham $\bar{\imath}$ and $u n \bar{a}$ in the list of words; thus, khamīn cha-më-s, by a daughter. Instead of tig we occasionally find $t \bar{\imath}$; thus, $t \bar{i}-j y \bar{a}$, a certain day.

Nouns.-Gender is distinguished by using different words or by adding words. denoting 'male,' 'female,' respectively. Thus, lē, bull; rai, cow: rāng, horse; mō. rāng, mare: ni khī, dog; chhai-ni-khī and mō-ni-khī, bitch.

Number.-The usual plural suffix is māng as in Chaudāngsi ; thus, chamē-māng, daughters. The list of words also gives forms such as $b \bar{a} t i t t \bar{i}$, and mat $b \bar{a}$, fathers.

Case.-The case suffires are the same as in Chaudāngsi. Thus, $b \bar{a}-s$, by the father; míd-s $\bar{e}$, by the younger; ri $\bar{\imath} \bar{u}-s \bar{e}$, from envy ; $b \bar{a}-j \bar{a}$, to the father; pañch $\bar{a}-j \bar{a}-$ $k h a r-c h \bar{i}$, from with a shopkeeper; $b \bar{a} \cdot g$, of a father; siri$-g a i$, of the son; chim-j $\bar{a}$, in
the house; ti-tu, near, towards; ya-r, on ; la-rai, before; kha-chä-rai, why $P$ and so forth.

The case suffixes are sometimes dropped, and sometimes also interchanged. Thus, $j i-g k a \bar{a} k u$ sirī, my uncle's son ; phā-gai jamä syungsō, ashes-of together made, gathered the ashes; gër $\bar{a}-o l i \bar{i}$, with the berries; api$-c h \bar{\imath}$, to himself; ap $\bar{i}-g a i$ ohā-sim-sai jyim, self-of liiding-by attempt, an attempt to hide himself, and so forth.

Some of the most usual postpositions are $k h \bar{u}$ and $k h \bar{u}$, in ; $t \bar{i}$, with; $t \bar{i}-j o \bar{r} \bar{u}$ and $t \bar{i}-r a l k t$, together with; bie, with; gund $\bar{a}$, between (also added to the genitive) ; jā-t $\bar{i}$, on ; khar-chī, from ; yar, on, which are usually added to the bese. Others are combined with the genitive of the governed word. Such are bhitarū, inside; däng-sai, däng$c h \bar{z}$ for the suke of (also added to the base; ; $i l h \bar{u}$ and $y \bar{e} k h \tilde{u}$, under (also added to the base) ; lhai, instead of; larē, before; nërō, near; nigam, behind; nintam, after, and so on. Jam-tam, against, is added to the instrumental. Thus, paimésar-gai máyā-sai jamtam, God's will against.

Adjectives.-Adjectives precede the noun they qualify in the nominative. Thus, bud mi māng, good men ; unā-n yad sirī, a bad boy.

Forms such as tha-id, high; rukhsit, like, etc., contain the suffix id which is also used to form participles. Another common suffix in adjectives is th or tha; thus, va-th, far; chin-th, proper; syäng-tha, old. Compare pa-jyāng-tha, struck.

The particle of comparison is chy $\bar{a} n g$, chyāng-rī, or chyā$-r \bar{\imath}$; thus, u-chyāng dōm $\bar{a}$ bud, him-from a-little good, better; u-g p̄$v \bar{\imath}$ rangsyā-chyāng-rī bung-tha-in, his brother his sister-from tall-is ; lai-chy $\bar{a} n g-\cdots \bar{i} b u d$ and lai-chy $\bar{a}-r \bar{z} b u d$, all from good, best.

Numerals.-The numerals will be fuund in the list of words. They are mainly the same as in Chaudangsī. They precede the word they qualify; thus, nisi siri, two sons.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns:-

|  | I | We | Thou | You | He | They |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nom. | ${ }^{j \bar{z}} \cdot \quad \cdot \quad$. | in, ing | gan | gani | vaii, $\bar{u}$ | usi |
| Ageit |  | in-s | ga-s, ga-sai | ganì-s | u-s ; u-sai | usits |
| Genitive | $j i z g, j i-g a i$ | ing-g | na-g, na.gai, nī\| | gani-g | u-g | usit-g |

Other forms occurring in the materials are, jiye $\bar{e}$ and $j i l a i$, we; gayë, you; ing-yci , his; $v \bar{o}$, his; $a p \bar{\imath}$ and $a p \bar{\imath}-g a i, o w n$, and co forth. Jiyē, we, and $g a y \bar{e}$, you, apparently contain the demonstrative pronoun $y \bar{e}$, this; ji lai, we, literally means ' I all.'

Demonstrative pronouns are ai, aid $\overline{\bar{c}}, y \bar{e}, n \bar{e}$, this; ati, vaii, dai, that. Than in than-jyā, to-day, is probably also a demonstrative pronoun.

Interrogative pronouns are khamā, who? unā, who? khai, what? ulāng, how much? han, how? and probably also häng, who? They are often used as indefinite pronouns; thus, kham $\bar{i}$, some; un $\bar{a}$, a certain. In that case, however, $r \bar{i}$ is viten added. Thus, khami-si $-r \bar{i}$, by anybody; khai-ri, anything; liha-rí, some.

Rolative pronouns have been borrowed from Aryan forms of speenh. Thus, rupay $\overline{\bar{a}}$ jō rct-rai-tā, the rupees which he had brought; jō jal jū chhyã-ken vaii $\bar{i} d \dot{a}$, which share I-shall-get, that me give. The relative sentonce in such oases
sometimes precedes and sometimes follows the word or sontence it qualifies. The Aryan relatives have not, however, become naturalized in the dialect, and we often find relative clauses expressed by means of interrogative pronouns or by juxtaposition. Thus, hāng king khva-tā $\bar{a} p \bar{i}-\bar{a} p \bar{u}$ at $\overline{-}-k h \bar{u}$ gang-gan, who pit digs, himself therein falls; u-gai dab-jā-tī hāng u-t̄̄ ralet rīsū sy"ng-g tā-sō, his neighbours who envied him ;
 together with harlots wasted your property.

Note also conjunctions such as jab, when; hāng-häng, when-then; ki. that; $h \bar{a} n g$, that, and so on.

Verbs.-Byāngsī conjugation in most particulars agrees with Chaudāngsī. The reduplication is less frequent, but still common enough to be considered a olaracteristic feature of the dialect; thus, r $\bar{u}-r \cdot \bar{u}-t \bar{a}$, asked; di$-d \bar{i}-n \bar{\imath}$, went; si-syung-t $\bar{a}$, did.

There is apparently a similar tendency as in Chaudāngsi to distinguish the second person by adding an $n$; thus, lhī-nō, art, you are; da-nan-s $\bar{o}$, gavest. Similar forms are, howerer, also used in other persons; thus, ma-da-nan, he did not gire; tōk-tā-tanun, he is grazing; sa-n-sō, we struck, and so forth. It seems as if the tendency to distinguish the person of the subject in the verb is less pronounced than in Chaudāngsī.

Verb substantive. -The verb substantive is formed from various bases such as $l \bar{\imath}$ or $l h \bar{u}, n \bar{i}, i n$; $d a i$, and perhaps also $t \bar{a}, i d$, an, and $y \bar{e}$. Thus, $l h \bar{i}-y \bar{e}, \mathrm{I}$ am, we are; lhè $-n \bar{o}$, thou art; $l \bar{\imath}, l h \bar{l}, i n$, is; $n \bar{\imath}-y \bar{e}-s \bar{o}, \mathrm{I}$ was; $n \bar{i}-n \bar{\imath}-s \bar{o}$, you were; n $n \bar{i}-s \bar{o}$ and $n \bar{i}-n \bar{i}-n \bar{\imath}$, they were, and so forth. The base tā seems to mean 'to remain.' It occurs in forms such as syung-g tō-so, doing were; sa-kai tā-mō, beating, lit. beating to be. The latter form corresponds to Hindi $m \bar{a} r^{a} t \bar{e}$ ra $h^{a} n \bar{a}$ from which it has been translated. $I d$ occurs in participles such as syöngk-s-id in, sitting is, and an seems to be contained in forms such as di$\cdot g-a n$, he goes, lit. going he is. It is probably only another form of $i n$, or else it is abbreviated from an̄ . Yē can perhaps be inferred from forms such as $l h \bar{i}-y \bar{e}$, I am; di-y $\bar{e}$, I go, and so forth. It seems to be used in the first person singular only.

Finite verb.-The various bases of the verb substantive are freely used in the formation of the tenses of finite verbs.

Present time. -The usual suffix of the present tense is $t \bar{a}$, first person $t \bar{u}, t \bar{o}$, second person tan. Thus, sa-t $\bar{u}$, I strike; sa-tan, thou strikest; khva-tā, he digs. The suffix tan of the second person probably contains a pronominal suffix. It can, however, also be composed of $t a$ and $i n$. Compare tin-tan-an, they get, where a suffix an, probably abbreviated from ani, another form of the copula, has been added. Compare in-an, they are; ma-da-nan, he did not give.

The suffix an or ano is apparently added to the participle ending in $g$ or $k$ in the common present forms ending in gan or kan; thus, chhyī-kan, I shall get; dī-ganō, thou goest; ráagan, he comes.

The suffix $y \bar{e}$ is apparently only used in the first person; thus, lhi$-y \bar{e}$, I am; $h i-c h i \cdot y e ̈, I ~ d i e$.

Compound forms are $s a-\bar{k} t \bar{a}-t \bar{o}$, striking am; di-g nyé, we are going, we go; di-g ni-l̄, , you go; $t \bar{o} k t \bar{a}-\mathrm{tanan}$, he is grazing; vasat in, he is living; syōnghsid in, he is sitting; chōk-t $\bar{a} n g-n \bar{\imath}$, again-alive-i3, and probably also di-g-pat, they go.

Past time.-The present tense is often used with the meaning a past; thus, $l u k-t \bar{a}$, be said; ma da-nan, he did not give; jä-gan, they are; chōk-phang-ganā, flod back; ma tar-nī, could not, and probably also forms such as syōng-tinan, they made ; laktap-tī-tā, he applied, and so forth.

The common suffix of the past is $s$ or $s \bar{o}, s \bar{u}, s a u$; thus, $n \bar{i} \cdot s \bar{o}$, was; $r \bar{a}-s \bar{o}$, came; $t \bar{a} l-s \bar{u}$, I transgressed; ting-sau, he got. Instead of a we sometimes find $c h ;$ thus, tōnba-chō, he arrived.

In the second person we find forms such as $s a-n-s$, struckest; di-n-s $\bar{o}$, wentest; $d a-n a n-s \overline{0}$, gavest. Compare however syungn-sō, I did; sansō, we struok; san-shō, they struck, where the suffix $s, s \bar{o}$, etc., has apparently likewise been added to the suffix $n$, an. Such forms are accordingly compounds. Compare di-në-sö, we went; di-n̄̈${ }_{8} \overline{0}$, you went, and so forth.

The suffix $s, s \bar{o}$, etc., is sometimes also added to the suffix $y \bar{e}$ or to a suffix $g, k$; thus, di-y $\bar{e}-s \bar{o}$, I have walked; di$-y a-s \overline{0}$, they went; gvausi-yē-s $\bar{o}$, they made merry;
 person. Compare Chaudāngsi.

The $s$-suffix is sometimes also added to $s \bar{i}$ or $t \bar{\imath}$; thus, yäng-sī-s $\bar{o}$, heard; $y a-k v a k-l \bar{l}-s \bar{s}$, devoured.

Past tenses are further formed from the reduplicated base, or from the base preceded by one of the prefixes $p a, p a b, p i$, and $k a, k a b, k a u$, or $k \bar{o}$, usually by adding one of the suffixes $t \bar{a}, n \bar{i}$, and s $\bar{o}$. Thus, $p a-p \bar{a}$, measured, having measured; $p i-d \bar{i}$, went; (tāng-d) $k-l \bar{i}$, (alive) was; kab-tin, was found; ka-tyan (-tinan), (has been) found; si-syūng-tā, did; da-dā-tā, gave; ra-rai-tā, brought; tí-tan-tā, and tan-tan-tā, got; pa-hvē-tā, left; pab-jyāng-tā, killed; pi-kōr-tā, carried; ka-dā-tā, gave ; $k a b-l u l c-t \bar{a}$, said; $d \bar{\imath}-d \bar{i}-n \bar{\imath}$, went; pa-jyāng-n $\bar{\imath}$, was dead; pi-di$-n \bar{i}$, went; ka-jyar-n $\bar{\imath}$, was alarmed; $k a b-s \bar{e}-n \bar{\imath}$, stopped; $k a u-n \bar{i}-n \bar{n}$, and $k \bar{c}-n \bar{\imath}-n \bar{\imath}$, lived; $n \bar{i}-n \bar{i}-s \bar{o}$, was ; $p a-j y a ̈ n g-c h \bar{o}$, died ; pi-rā-sau, came; kab-dai-sō, gavest. Note also pa-thök-sī-n̄, returned, where $n \bar{\imath}$ is preceded by the same $s \bar{\imath}$ as we have already found in use before $s \bar{o}$. It is probably the suffix of a conjunctive participle, compare thog-si , returuing.

Compound forms are syung-g t $\bar{a}-s \bar{o}$, doing were ; rō-kai t $\bar{a}-s \bar{o}$, grazing were; sa-k$t \bar{a}$-tō-niyēs, I was beating; ra-s-id-in, had brought; yāng-s-ig-an, wished, and so forth.

Isolated forms are hūng, kissed; pu-chh $\bar{u}-t \bar{\imath}$, divided ; by $\bar{o} l i \bar{i}-n \bar{e} g \bar{\eta}$, the marriage bas taken place.

Future.-The present is commonly used as a future. Thus, sa-to, is shall strike; diyè, I shall go ; lhè-yai, I shall be; sa-tan $\bar{\imath}$, you will strike. The list of words also gives forms such as sai-nō, thou wilt strike; sai-lō, he will strike, they will strike; $s a i-n \vec{e}$, we will strike. The suffix $n \bar{o}, l \bar{o},{ }^{\prime} n \bar{e}$ probably oontaius the verb substantive $\bar{\imath}$ or $n \bar{\imath}$. The form $l u k-v \bar{o}$, I shall say, contains a suffix $\bar{o}$ or $v \bar{o}$.

Imperative.-The base alone is used as an imperative; thus, $j \bar{a}$, eat; d $\bar{d}, \mathrm{go}$; $d \bar{a}$, give. Common imperative suffixes are $n \bar{i}, t \bar{\imath}$, and $y \bar{o}$; thus, tā-ui , put; rai-n $\bar{i}$, bring; dā̄t̄̄, give; kōr-yō, take; gī-yō, bind; hvangi-yō, draw. 'The list of words contains several other forms such as $d \bar{\imath}-g \cdot a y \bar{e}, d \bar{i}-y \bar{e}, d \bar{i}-g u-l \bar{a}$, go, and so forth.

There are no instances in the materials available of a negative imperative.
Verbal nor ns.-The common suffix used in forming verbal nouns is $m \bar{u}$, or $m$; thus: $j \bar{\alpha}-m \bar{o}$, to eat; $p \bar{a}-m \bar{o}-g a i$, of the measuring; $l \overline{0}=m$, to say.
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The base alone is often used as a verbal noun, especially in connexion with postposition; thus, räng, to sell; tung-khu , drinking-in, in order to drink; di$-d \bar{i}-m a-c h i$, from the going, having gone; thok-s-ig rā-läng, back coming-on, on returning; pa-läng-rē, on knowing. Such forms are commonly used as oonjunctive or adverbial participles. An infinitive of purpose can be formed by adding dung or rang; thus, $d \bar{i}-d u n g$, in order to go ; pa-tnan-rāng, in order to know; syung-am-rang, in order to make.

Participles.-Relative and verbal participles are formed by adding the suffix id or $d$ to the base or to the suffix $s$ of the past. Thus, $\ell \bar{a} n g-d k-l \bar{i}$, alive was; $k \hbar u-s-i d$, stolen ; rukh-s-it, like; ra-s-id-in, had brought.

Conjunctive participles are formed by adding $s \bar{\imath}$; thus, thōg-si, returning. The reduplioated base is used in the same way ; thus, $p a-p \bar{a}$, having measured. Similarly we also find $p a-h \bar{o}-t \bar{a}$, having left.

Most commonly, however, conjunctive participles are formed by adding the suffix of the genitive to the verbal noun which is identical with the base; thus, yang-gai, hearing; hōng-kai, having taken out; ra-k, bringing. The form ending in $s \bar{i}$ is used as, a verbal noun of the past, and the suffix of the genitive is added; thus, yang-si-g-an, having wished was, wished. Compare the remarks under the head of the present time above.

Other conjunctive participles are formed by adding the suffix of the ablative chi or $c h \bar{u}$ to the reduplicated verbal noun ending in $m$; thus, $n \bar{\imath}-n \bar{\imath}-m i-c h \bar{\imath}$, having been; $d \bar{i}-d \bar{i}-m a-c h \bar{i}$, having gone; ni-nyar-ma-chu$, ~ h a v i n g ~ b e e n ~ l o s t, ~ a n d ~ s o ~ f o r t h . ~$

Passive voice.-There is no passive voice. Passivity is indicated by the absence of the case of the agent in the subject. Thus, $j i \quad p a-c h y a \overline{n g}-t h a n \bar{e}-y \bar{e}-s \bar{o}$, I struck was, and so forth.

Causative.-'There are no certain instances to show how causatives are formed. We may perhaps compare rai, bring, with ra $\bar{a}$, come.

Negative particle.-The negative particle is a prefixed ma; thus, ma dai, I am not; ma da-nan, did not give; ma rā-sō, did not come.

Order of words.-The order of words is the same as in Chaudāngsī.

For further details the student is referred to the specimens which follow. The first is a version of the Parable of the Prodigal Son, and the second is the translation of a popular tale. A list of Stazdard Words and Pbrases will be found on pp. 535 and ff.
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 byãngsi,
## Specimen I.

(Patti Byangs, Almora.).
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { PBOKAVAT } & \text { SIRT-GAI } & \text { RYENYE. } \\ \text { PRODIGAL } & \text { SON.OF } & \text { STORY. }\end{array}$

mīd sēnd alī-balā jamā jōrū-thum-gai vath rājū pi-dī-sō, hāng young son property all collecting far country went, and atē yadlan-kh~̃̄ nī-nī-mi-chī apī daisai āl-māl ka-uب̣āta. Hāng jab there profigacy-in living his all property squandered. And when u-sai daii-sai alībalā kharch kab-syūng-tā, ati rājū-khū̃ baṛō akāl him-by all things spent made, that country-in heavy famine. kab-lī-nī, tāng ati tang kab-lī-nī. Hāng ati ati rājū-gai unā arose, and he destitute became. And he that country-of certain bud mī-gai rakt dī-dī-ma-chī kau-nī-nī, hāng ati-sē u-sai āpī khētī good man-of with going lived, and him-ly hin his field kbũ saiphā rau-kōr-mū tannalāyatā. Hāng atè ati kvaksin gã̃ gērā-chī in swine to-feed sent. And there those barks and berries-from
jai saiphā jāgan, gōsigai apī dan pīm-m yāngsigan, hāng kha-mī-si-rī vō which swine ate, gladly his belly to-fill wished, and anyone-by him khai-rī ma danan. Hāng jab atī apī-chī pi-rā-sau u-s luk-tā, anything not gave. And when he self-from came him-by said, -ji-g bā-gai bhārō-dāsid dāng-mī-khū̃̄-khar-chī ulã̄ng jā-m obyāng-rí 'my father-of hired servants-in-from how-many eating than $\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\text { yangbā kōtab } & \text { tin-tanan, bã̃ng } & \text { jī } & \text { rau-sē } & \text { biohiyē. } & \text { Jī rachī-gai } & \text { apī } \\ \text { more } & \text { breal } & \text { get, } & \text { and } & I & \text { hunger-by } & \text { die. } & I & \text { arising }\end{array}$ acon: vol. ili, part i.
bā-gai tītū diyē hā̄ng u-jā lukvō, "ai bā jī-sē paimēsar-gai father-of near go and him-to will-say, "O father, me-jy God-of māyā-sai jamtam gā̃r nā ngō-kh̃̄̄ pāp syūngan-sau. H苛ng jī niutam will-from against and thy face-in sin have-done. And I again nā sirī lōm-lukai lāyak mā dai. Jī apī bhāṛō-dāsid dāng-mi-khũu. thy son to-be-called worthy not am. Me own hired servants-in-kbar-chī tig-tī-kī rukhsit syung-ganī.", H from one-with equal make."' And he arising own father-of titu pi-dī. Airē jab ati mat vath kau-nī-nī, gai-usī(i.e., usī-gai) bā-sē near went. But when he very far was, his father-by vō kab-tin-tā, hã̃nğ usī-jā khantyā rā-sō, hã̃ng vō jyang-k dī-dī-ma-chi him saw, and him-to pity came, and he running gone-having vō bānā-tan-tān-tā hãng hūng. Hã̃̃ng sirī-sai u-jā kab-luk-tā, 'abā, him embraced and kissed. And son-by him-to said, 'father jī-sai paimēsar-gai māyā-sai jamtam hã̃ng nā michclhh-khũ̃ pāp syūngan-sō; me-by God-of will-from against and thy sight-in sin have-done; hẵng jī nintam nā-gai sirī lōm-luk lāyak mā dai.' Airē bā-sai and I again thy son to-say worthy not am.' But father-by apī-gai dāng-mī-jā lō-sō, 'lai chyā-rī bud khasē-pusē hōng-kai own servants-to said, 'all than good robe taking-out rai-nī, hā̃ng vì aidī chū-nī. Bā̃ng ū lā-khū lak-chhyap bring, and him that put-on. And his hand-on ring gã̃ likbī-khū babch chū-nī. Ing-g jāmō gã̃ gvaisi-gai syang-m and feet-on shoe put. Our eating and merry making chingkhan. Kha-chārai, nē jī sirī pab-jyāng-chō, hā̃ng nintam is-meet. Why, this my son died, and again țāng-d ka-lī, pī-nyar-nī, nintam kab-tin.' Vō-yar ù grau-siyē-sō. alive became, was-lost, again. found.' That-on they merry-made. Vō-yar-khar-chī vō pōd sirī rai-jā kō-nī-nī. Gã̃ liãng That-on-from his big son field-in was. And then rā-sō, hāng chim-gai nêrō ṭōnba-chō hā̃ng u-sē rājō-bājō gã̃r came, then house-of near reached and him-by singing-playing and tham-m-gai karkar yang-sīsō. Hãng ati-sē dāng-mī-khū̃̄-khar-chī tig dancing-of noise heard. And him-by servants-in-from one kab-gāl-tā rū-rū-tā, 'yē-māng-gai khai kathā na-nī-nī?' Hā̃̄g called asked, 'these-of what matter is?' And u-sē u-jā lū-luk-tā, 'nā nūnū pī-rā-nī, hā̃ng ganī bā-sē him-by him-to said, 'thy younger-brother come-is, and thy father-by
 feast gave.' And he anger went, and he house-in dī-dung ma rā-sū. Aidī-dāng-sē vō bā pangphan rā-sā lāang to-go not came. Therefore his father out. came and
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## BYANGSI.

## Specimen II.

(Patti Bxanga, Almora.).

## A POPULAR ,TALE.

Hāng gāng-mi dāng•sai king khvatā āpi-āpū atī-khū gang-gan.
Who other-man for pit digs himself that-in falls.
Tig rēnyē.
4 story.
Gạ̄̃ sang-khū tig mat lāmī mī, u-gai tig chhandi gãr Certain village-in a very simple man, him-of one hut and dūmā mālā nī-nī-nī, nī-sō. U-gai dabjā-tī hã̃ng u-tī rakt some catlle were, lived. Him-of neighbour who him-with together rīsū syung-g tā-sō, u-gai bud-syangsid-sē bagat ting-gai u-sai envy making was, his simplicity-by opportunity finding him sang-khū-khar-chi hōm-rang-g jim syōng-tinan. Hã̃ng tī-jyā, hã̃ng village-in-from expelling-of attempt made. And one-day, and $\begin{array}{cccccccc}\text { u-gai } & \text { mālā-māng } & \text { jārā-khū } & \text { rō-kai } & \text { tā-sō, } & \text { u-sai } & \text { usī-gai } & \text { tig } \\ \text { his } & \text { goats } & \text { jungle-in } & \text { grazing } & \text { were, } & \text { him-by } & \text { them } & a\end{array}$ bhiyar-khar-ohī ī-khū char-kang-tinan, hā̃g ainā syūng-gai dai-sirī precipice-from down threw, and so doing all pab-chyāng-tinan.
killed.
Ati lāmi mī-sē ati mālā-gai bai khō-sō liñ̃ng ai bai-māng That simple man-by those goats-of skins took off and these skins sahar lhhũ rang-mō pīkōr-tā. Am-kh̃̃̄ vō manch ka-lī-nī, hã̃ng ati tig city in to-sell carried. Way-on his night became, and he a jārā-khī khamī phū-kh $\overline{\bar{u}}$ kab-sē-nī. Bhar-manch-gai nintam unā jungle-in certain cave-in stopped. Midright-of after some khūd-mī khūsid āl-mãl ra-k pi-rā-nī, hã̃ng usī-sē atī phū-kh $\tilde{\bar{u}}$. thief stolen property bringing came, and him.by that cave-in mürmpā-khū dángsū si-syung-tā. Ati phū-gai bhitarū atī-gai kharbaṛā entrance-at lodging made. That care-of inside him-of noise yang-gai at mi mat ka-jyar-nj, h $\quad$ ã̃g $u$-sai ati bai-māng-gai hearing that man much alarmed-was, and him-by those skius-of
i-khū, jō $\quad \begin{array}{cc}\text { j-sai } & \text { rasid-in, } \\ \text { jopi-gai } & \text { chyāsi-m-sai jyim }\end{array}$ under, which him-by brought-had, self-of hiding-of attempt made. Phū-gai bhitarū bai-māng-gai kharbarã yang-gai khūd-mi ka-jyar-nī, hã̃g - aave-of inside skins-of noise hearing thief alarmed-was, and jyar-mō-dāng-sē dais rupaȳ̃a jō apī bī ra-rai-tā, atēyai fearing-on-account-of all rupees which him with had-brought, there hva-k chō-g-phāng-gani. Lāmī mī-sē ai rupayã apī lā-khū syang-sō leaving ran-away. Simple man-by these rupees his hand-in made hāng chim-jā pi-dī-nī.
and houseto went.
Ai māl jō u-sai tin-sō usai pā-mȭ-gai dāng-sē u-sai
This property which him-by got it measuring-of sake-for him-by dab-jā-chī-māng khamī-jā tig khāng thōk-sō. Ati dab-jā-chī-māng-gai neighbours(-of) some-with a wooden-measure asked. Those neighbours-by ai kathā-gai dāng-sē patanan-rang hā̃̄g u-sai khai rai-sō, this matter-of sake-for knowing-for that him-by what brought, khāng.gai ikhū-khū galcharē ka-sī-tā. Atī lāmi mī-sē rupayā measure-of bottom-at tar applied. That simple man-by rupees $\begin{array}{ccclccc}\text { pa-pā } & \text { khāng } & \text { ka-dā-tā, hã̃ng } & \text { atī-gai } & \text { ikhū-khū } & \text { gal-charē-sai } \\ \text { measured-having } & \text { measure } & \text { returned, } & \text { and } & \text { that-of } & \text { bottom-at } & \text { tar-by }\end{array}$ kha-rī rupayã lãdab pī-dī-ni. Ai-dāng-sē usī-gai dab-jā-chī-māng some rupees sticking went. This-for his neighbours lōbh pī-rā-nī. U-sai lāmi mī-jā rū-rū-tā, 'gassai ai-lāng rupayā greed came. Him-by simple man-to asked, 'thee-by so-many rupees ham hã̃ng u-lō-khar-chī tin-sō?' U-sē lhō-sō hẵng, 'ji-g mālā-māng-gai how and where-from gottest ?' Him-by said that, 'my goats-of bai-gai rangsid-gai.' Ai lāmī mī-gai rīsū-sé gã̃r rupayā-gai lōbh-sē skins.of selling-by.' This simple man-of enry-by and rupees-of greed-by u-gai ḍab-jā-chī-māng apī-gai jamā mālā-māng pab-jyāng-tā hā̃̄g atī b:ii-māng his neighbours oocn all goats killed and those skins lai ati rāng pī-kōr-tā, hāng sāchō; kha-chār, u-sai ai pan-sā hā̃̄g all those to-sell carried, and in-vain, why, him-by this bargain-by then chithai rupaỹā tī-tan-tā. Ai kathā-sai rūsū rā-gai u-sai lāmi mī-gai few rupees got. This malter-by anger coming him-by simple man-of chhandī-khū mé lak-tap-tī-tā, hẵng atī-gai phā ka-syūng.tī-tā.
hut-in fire applied, and that-of ashes made.
Lāmī mī-sē phā-gai jamā syung-sō hã̃ng tig thaili-khũ tā-sō, hā̃ng Simple man-by ashes together made and one bag-in put, and u-eni rang-mō-khū pī-dī-sō. Ām-khū atī-sai apī thaili saṛ-gai tam-yar it selling-in went. Way-in him-by own bag road-of corner-on pā-hvē-tā hã̃g tig dhārū-gai, jō dūmā tamō nī-sō, tī tung-khū pī-dī-nī. left and one spring-of, which little far was, water drinking-in went.
. Ai-gundā tig gã̃r mī lai-hāng āṭũ-gai bhārī at-khū̃ pā-hō-tā ti This-between one other man also flour-of load there leaving voater tung-kh $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ dī-di-nī. Thōk-si-g rā-lã̄ng u-sai lō-si-gai āpi bhārī hva-kai drinking-in went. Returning coming-on him-by mistaking own load leaving phā-gai bhāri āng-sō hã̃ng āpi pī-dī-sō. Lāmi mi lagai pa-thōk-sī-nī hã̃ng ashes-of load took-up and self went. Simple man also returned and u-sai ati blhārī jai gạ̄ mī hva-k dī-sō āng-k kōr-sō. Ati him-by that load which other man leaving went taking-up carried. That bhārī-khū dōmā ēkvarō sai ti-lāng ati-sai usai phang-sō āṭō-sai load-on some strange marks seeing-on him-by that opened flour-by chēbind kau-nī-nī. Hā̃ng u-sai ati bhārī lai āpī chim-jā pī-kōr-tā. full was. Then him-by that load all his house-to carried. Hã̃g u-sai ati ātō-gai chyarm chhakā syùng-m-rang nintam api. Then him-by that flour-of weight knowledge making-for again his ḍab-jā-chī-māng-gai kbã̃ng thō-sō. Usī-gai ḍab-jā-chī-mã̃ng ainā pa-lāng-rē neighbours-of measure asked. His neighbours thus knowing-on. lāmī mī-sē āpi chhandī-gai phā-gai khai āṭō ting-sau hã̃ng usī-rī simple man-by own hut-of ashes-of instead flour got then they āpi chhandī-khū mē lakțap-tā, airē atī u-gai phä-gai rang ma tarnī; own hut-in fire applied, but that its ashes sell not could; hẫng àlā-chi-ma-chū chim-jā thōgsi pī-rā-n̄̄, hā̃ng jō and hopeless-becoming house-to back came, and which
ali-balā u-sai syang-sō usī-gai dã̃ng-sai matai pachhta-lichchō.
things him-by did them-of sake-for much repented.

## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

Whosoever digs a pit for another, he himself falls into it. A story.
In a certain village there lived a simpleton who possessed a hut and some cattle. His neighbour envied him, and sought an opportunity of expelling him through his simplicity out of the village.

One day his goats were grazing in the jungle, and his neighbour drove them over a precipice and thus killed them. The poor man took the skins of the goats and went to town to sell them. Night befell him on the way, and he put up in a cave in the jungle. After midnight a thief came with some stolen property and took up his quarters at the entrance of the cave. When the man within the cave heard the noise, he was much alarmed and tried to bide himself under the skins he had brought. Hearing the rustling of the skins within the cave the thief was alarmed, and fled leaving all the money he had brought with him. 'The poor man took possession of the money and went home.

He now asked some of his neighbours for a wooden méasure, in order to measure the property he had got. In order to know what he had brought, the neighbours put tar at the bottom of the wooden measure. Having measured the money, the poor man retarned the measure, and some coins stuck in the tar at the bottom. Therefore bis neighbours
became greedy and asked him how and where he had got the inoney. He said that he had got it by selling the skins of his goats. From onvy and greediness his neighbours then killed their own goats, and took the skins off to sell them. But in vain, for they only got some few rupees in exchange for them. They then got angry and set fire to the poor man's hut and reduced it to ashes. The poor man gathered the ashes in a bag and went off to sell them. On the way he left his bag on the road-side and went off to drink water at a well, a little way off. In the meantime another man left a load of flour there and went to drink water. On returning he by mistake missed his own load and went off with the load of ashes. When the simpleton returned, he took the load which the other man had left. He saw some strange marks on it, and on opening it, he found that it was full of flour. He then brought the load home, and again asked for his neighbours' measure in order to ascertain the weight of the flour. When his neighbours understood that he had got flour in return for the ashes of his hut, they set fire to their own huts, but could not sell the ashes. They then returned home and much regretted what they had done.

## JANGGALT.

Janggali literally means jungle-language. It has been reported as the dialect spoken by the wild Banmanasb, i.e., wood-men, who inhabit the forests of Chhipula in Askot Malla. The number of speakers was estimated for this Survey at 200.

It has been found impossible to prepare specimens of the dialect of these wild people. A list of Standard Words and Phrases has, however, been forwarded from the district, and it will be reproduced on pp. 535 and ff. It' is too corrapt to allow us to classify the dialect with certainty. On the other hand, it clearly shows that the Janggali of Askot is a Tibeto-Burman form of speech.

It is impossible to give a sketoh of Janggalī declension and conjugation. In the declension of nouns there are several Aryan forms, and the dialect is on the whole of a mixed character. The pronouns $n \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$; nãg, thou, must probably be compared with Mãgarī nga, I; nang, thou, etc. It is possible that the dialect is more closely connected with the Tibeto-Burman dialects of Nepal, than with those spoken in Almora. Considering the corrupt state of our materials, 1 have, however, thought it safest to print the Janggali list after the other Almora lists, and to leave open the question of the closer relationship of the dialect.

This muoh it seems allowed to state that it has few, if any, characteristics in common with the other Almora dialects.

LIST OF STANDARD WORDS AND PHRASES IN THE WESTERN SUB-GROUP OF PRONOMINALIZED HIMALAYAN LANGUJAGES.


GROUP OF PRONOMINALIZED HIMALAYAN LANGUAGES.


LIST OF STANDARD WORDS A Whentrences In THE WESTERN

gROUP OF PRONOMINALIZED HIMALAYAN LANGUAGES.









| Chaudingal (ALnora). | Byàngor ( Almora $^{\text {a }}$. |  | Pegrish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bãd - . | Jamin | M ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ | 53. Wife. |
| Bālan-sãnd . . . | Sêna. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Paigarb . . . . | 54. Child |
| Siri . . . | Sini | Ifvo-ka payo . . | 55. Son. |
| Cha-mè . . . | Chame - | Hió taro . . . | 56. Danghter. |
| Dhāng-mi | Dāng-mi . . | Hvè gulàmó hinâni . | 57. Slave. |
| Jimdar - | Jimdár . | Khêti-lotituà . . | 58. Caltivator. |
| Anvàl . . . | Aṇràl | Gaīlyā . . . | 59. Shepherd. |
| Parmêsarai . . | Parmaigar | Bhagrān . . | 60. God. |
| $\operatorname{Sin}$ ®̄ . . . | Sinā . . . | Pitarại . . . | 61. Devil. |
| Nt | Ni . . . . | Diâkhã . . . . | 62. Son. |
| Lhā | Lha | Pipar kosì . . . | 63. Moon. |
| Lhā-kar . . . | Karmā . . . . | TãTà . . . . | 64. Star. |
| Ma,l ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . | Mè | Mai . . . . | 65. Fire. |
| Ti . . . | Tì . . . | Ti . . . | 86. Water. |
| Chim . . . . | Chim . . | N (sic) . . - | ¢7. House. |
| Rāng | Rāng . | Ghorya . . . | 6e. Horse. |
| Sirai . . . | Rai | Ḍĩgo . . . | 69. Cow. |
| Nan-khvi . . | Nikhi | Kai | 70. Dog. |
| Bilà | Bila . . . | Birãlì . . . | 71. Cat. |
| Napyà . . . | Nipai . . . | Bvà | 72. Cock. |
| Ngangbō. . . | Ngāngbā | Aulyã . . . . | 73. Duck. |
| Bongch . . . | Bongchai . | Gadahā . - | 74. Ass. |
| Üt | Ūt | Ūṭĩ . . . | 75. Camel: |
| Chipaoh . . . | Chipach . . | Bbā | 76. Bird. |
| $D_{\text {à }}$; dē-ganē ; dê-ganc̄-lā ; deyē. | Dī ; digayê ; dīgalū ; diyè | Maigrāi . . . | 77. Go. |
| Jã ; jāgnē j jāgnalā ; jâgya . | Jū ; jãgayō ; jāgulā . | Jāir . . . . | 78. Eat. |
| Syõkasim; syõ̃asin; <br>  | Syonghsin; Byougkeiyō: syongkeiglā. | Svai | 79. Sit. |
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| Chambe Lâhulị． | Buagn（Lahul）． | Junggsill（Ammore）． | English． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | Awa trorei，awa－jii | Bäb bubbar | 107．Of fathers． |
| ．．．．．． | Awa－zhog | 日āb babbea，bāp babban pità． | 108．To fathers． |
| ．．．． | Awa－zhog－chi ． | Bȧb babbē | 109．From fathers． |
| Mil－yo ． | Tsemed ti－ki | Ehuṭíyã garau | 110．A daughter． |
| Mil－yo ．．． | Tsomed ti－kii ． | Su garau－hi ． | 111．Of a daughter． |
| Mil－jo－vi；mil－go－bi， | Tremed tikog | ；garo sag gara pita | 112．Po a daughter． |
| Mil－jo dots | Teomed tikog－ohi | ju garō̃ ． | 113．From a danghter． |
| Jar mil－yo－ | Tsemed nyis－kying | Ji garau | 114．Two daughtera． |
| Mil－yor ． | Tsemed tsore，tsemed－shi | iarau＇ | 115．Daughtera． |
| Mil－yd－du | Tsemed－shii | خ̇ããă－kā | 116．Of duughters． |
| Mil－yd－di ． | Tsemed－shog ．． | ẏàrã－kē－piṫ̇ ．．． | 117．To daughters． |
| Mil－yo－du dota | Temed－shog－chi | larã－chihī | 118．From daughtera． |
| Ruṭh mĩ | Mi zãi ti－ki | ［vēi niko mansà | 119．A good man． |
| ．．．．．． | Mi zãi ti－lıi－i ． | ũg niko manaẽ | 120．Of a good man |
| ．．．．．． | Mi zãi ti－kog． | îung nikai mansã | 121．To a geod man． |
| ＊．．．． | Mi zùi ti－log－chi | ，ung nike manse | 122．From a good man． |
| ．．．．．． | Mi zãi nyis－pi ．．． | li niko manta | 123．Two good men． |
| ．．．．．． | Mi zãi＿ji ．．．． | ［ǐleè manañ＂． | 124．Good men． |
| － | Mi zãi－jii ．．． | likè maneo | 125．Of good men． |
| ．．． | Mi zãi•zhog ． | likē mancar | 126．To．good men． |
| $\ldots$ | Mi zãi zhog－chi | 「iko mansā ． | 127．From grod men． |
| Rath mèzmi | Tsemed zai ti－ki | ［ơi nikō miti ．． | 128．A．good woman． |
| Madam karā ．． | －Butgha marei ti－ki | ：ơi hār payā ．．． | 129．A bad boy． |
| ．．．．．． | Tsemed zài－zhi | iiso mitā ．． | 130．Good tromeu． |
| Madam milyo ．． | Tsemed marei ti－ki | ayrà gara－． | 131．A．had girl． |
| Raṭh ．．． | Zăi | iko ．．． | 132．Good． |
| －Vêtuṭh ．．． | Thaza basta zaii | nik niko ．． | 183．Better． |


| Euglinh. | Kanãw*ri (Bashabr). | Rangkas (Almora). | Dàrmiy ( Almora). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107. Of fathers | Bōbấn-a | Bā titiolk ; bā-cheñ-g | Bä-chen-g 0 |
| 108. To fathers | Bobăıйй | Bã tigi (sic) . . | Bā-chan-dabaru |
| 109. From fathera | Böbắn (-ū) dagta . | Bì titil baṭị . | Bä-chan kharchũ |
| 110. A daughter | Chímed | Ehamir chami (chame) | Khami cha-mé |
| 111. Of a daughter | Chímed-u . . | Khamir chami-k | Khami cha-mê-g , |
| 112. To a deughter | Chímed-¢̣. | Tà chami-chubă | Khamí cha-mè-g danngsũ |
| 113. From a daughter | Chímed (-ix) dagta . | Khamir chami baṭi . | Khami cha-mè kharchū |
| 114. Two daughters | Nish chímed; nish ohimed ón. | Nisi chami-k (sic) . | Nisi cha-mè-chan |
| 115. Daughters | Chimed-ón. . | Mhas chami ; chami-chan-s | Cha-mè-chan . |
| 116. Of daughters | Chimed-ón-u . . | . Chami-chā-k . | Cha-mè-chan-ag |
| 117. To dsughters | Chimed-ón-ū̀ . | .Chami-chā-lēkh | Cha-mē-chan-nibūng |
| 118. From daughters | Chimed-ón(-ū) dagta | Chami-chã̀-1 (sic) | Cha-mè-chan khar-chū |
| 119. A good man | Dam mi . . . | Jhyūn mix . . . | Khami jain mi |
| 120. Of a good man - | Dam mí-u . . | Khamir jhy.ān mī-g | Khamisia mīg |
| 121. To a good man | Dam mí-ū | Khamir jhyān mi-chāg; tā jhyān mi-g lêkh. | Khamí jain mi-g diug |
| 122. From a good man | Dam mín(-ū) dagts | .Khamir jhyân mi-chār | Khami jain mi kharchn |
| 123. Two good men | Nish dam mi(-n) | Niśi jhyãn mi . | Nisi jain mi |
| 124. Good men | Dam min | Mhan jhyān mi ; jhyān mi. chan-s. | Jain mi-chan |
| 125. Of good men | Dara mín-п | Jhyān mi-jā-g | Jain mi-chan-ag |
| 126. To good men | Dam mín-ū . * | Jhyân mī-chan • | Jain mī-chan-gū nibāng |
| 127. From good men . | Dam min $(-\bar{⿺})$ dagta . | Jhyān mi-chā-r | Jain mī-chan kharchū |
| 128. A good woman . | Dam teésmì | Khamir jbyăc bachbai | Gabü.jain buchyāk cha-me |
| 129. A bad boy | Mar chang | Khamir yān nyāpan | Gabū yăn siri |
| 130. Good women | Dam treemín | Jhyain bachhai-chãn | Jain buchyāk chamê-chan . |
| 131. A bad girl | Mar tsōteâds | Yān chami | Yãn cha-mé $\quad$. |
| 132. Good | Darn | Jhyaim; jbjain | Jain |
| 133. Better | Jigpo | Mhan $\mathrm{j}^{\text {jhyān } ; ~ g a ̃ a r ~ j h y a i n ~}$ | U-chyāng jảuin: .la-chyāng jain ; āchho jain. |


| Cbaudăngai (Almora). | Bjânguì (Alwora). | Janggall (Almort). | Egglisut. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bă-mãng $\cdot \underline{g}$ | Bà -mañg-g | Bäb babbar | 107. Of fathers. |
| Bā-māng-jã . . | Bā-mañg-jù | Bãb bubban, bâp babban pita. | 108. To fathers. |
| Bā-jā-khar-ohi . . | Bā-mãng-sai | Bäb babbê | 103. From fathere. |
| Odi che-me. . | Onãn ${ }^{\text {chama }}$ | Khutịyā garau | 110. A daughter. |
| Odi cha-mè-g . | Khanfin chamẽ-mag; tig chamē-g. | Sn garau-hi | 111. Gf a daughter. |
| Ddī cha-mẽ-mã̃g, cha-mê-jã | Tig chamé-jã . | Su garo; an gara pita | 112. Po a daughter. |
| Udi cha-mè-jã-khar-chī | Tig chamè-sai . | Su garō̃ . | 113. Prom a daghter. |
| Nisì cha-mè | Nisi chamė . . | Ni garau . | 114. Two daughtere. |
| Cha-mē-māag | Chamé-mãag | Garau | 115. Daughters. |
| Cha-mé-mãng-g - | Chamè-màng ${ }^{\text {cg }}$ | Gãrã-kā | 116. Of durghters. |
| Cha-mémāng-jü . | Chamè-mãng -jà . | Gãrã-lıã-pitā . | 117. To daughters. |
| Cha-mer-mã ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - s | Chamẽ-mũng-sai | Garã-chihi | 118. From daughters. |
| Udi bad mi- | Unăn bud mi . | Hvēì rikó manaia | 119. A good man. |
| Udi bad mìg - | Unăn bud mi-g | Sũg niko mansa . | 120. Of a good man. |
| Udì bud mī-jì . . | Unān bud miojā . | Sũg niksai manage | 121. Too a geod man. |
| Udi bud miojā-khar-chi | Unān bad miosai | Sũg nikē mandes . | 122. From a good man. |
| Nis butd mi | Nisi bad mi | Ni niko maniea | 123. Two good men. |
| Bud-mi-māry | Bud mi-mārgg . | Nikē manaă | 124. Good men. |
| Bud | Bud mi-māng-g | Nikē manso | 125. Of good men. |
| Bud mf-mārg.jā • . | Bud mi-māng-jȧ . | Nikē mansar | 126. To.good men. |
| Bud mfi-māng-s . | Bud mi-mẽrg-sai . | Niko mansã | 127. From good men. |
| Udi bud minā (ng)siri | Unā̆ bud mi-nāng-siri | Hoì nikō mitã . | 128. A.good woman. |
| Udì yād sênd . . | Unān jad sirì . . | Hoì hār payã . . | 129. A bad boy. |
| Bud mināng-siri-māng | Bud mi•nāng-siri-măng | Niikơ mitas | 130. Good womeu. |
| Yâd cha-mè | Yad chamé | Hayrā garau . . | 131. A bad girl. |
| Bud | Bud | Niko | 182. Good. |
| Achchúsleud; asal bud | Ur-chyàng dơmã bud; ai. clỵaugeri bud; achchho bni | Jhik niko | 139. Better. - |











| Englisb. | Hadáworí (Buababr). | Kandati. | Manchặl (Lathal). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 188. We beat (Past Tenee). | Ningan-s tóng-ich; kisheng-s tóng-yè. | N1 to-meng . | Ngye-tsi teng-nga ton |
| 189. You beat (Paet Tenee) | Kinân-s tóng-ich | Ki to-ge-krag | Kye-tgi teng-nga-ten |
| 190. They beat (Past Tense) | Dógon-s tóng-ā | Dugash toge-kugh | Do-tsit teng-nga ter |
| 191. I am beating | $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{e}}$-s tóng-d-to-g | Ga to-gu-tak | Gye teng- ${ }^{\text {dza-to }}$-tog |
| 192. I was beating | $\mathrm{G}^{\text {a }}$-s tóng- $\delta$-tê-g | Go toz tod-kek | Gye teng-dza-to-i-ga |
| 193. I had beaten | $\mathrm{G}^{\text {a }}$-s tóng-shids tooh | Gu to-me-kun | Gye teng- ${ }^{\text {dza }}$ te-g |
| 194. I may beat | $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{a}}$-s tóng-8hids-gē̊ | Gn tostan | Chhainjeu gye tong-mo-ga |
| 195. I shall beat | $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{s}$ tông-tog ; $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{a}}$ - B tóng-cho-g; $g^{\mathbf{a}}$ tóng-shog. | Gu to:tak | Gye-teng-mo-g . |
| 196. Thon wilt beat | Ke-s tọng-tọ-n | Ko to-ta-kun | Ka-i teng-mo-na |
| 197. He will beat | Do-s tóng-to | Dustorta-kp . | Do-i teng-mo-to |
| 198. We shall beat | Ningần-s tong-toch; kíshang- a tóng-ta. | Ni to-tang | Ngye-tai teng-mo-ni |
| 199. You will beat | Kinán-s tóng.tooh . | Ki to-ta-kun . . . | Kye-tsi teng-mo-ni |
| 200. They will beat | Dogon-s tóng-to | Dagash tota-kush | Do-tgi teng-mo-re |
| 201. I should beat | ...... | Gu to-tang . | Gye teng-dzi jũe |
| 202. 1 amb beaten | ...... | Gu to-to bong-tak, or ang•p togu-ta-kush. | Gyebi teng-si tot' . |
| 203. I was beaten | ..... | Ang-p to-ge-kush. | Gyebi teng-ai toi |
| 204. I shall be beaten | ..... | Gu toto bura-tak | Gye teng-sa yo-g |
| 205. I go | $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{bi}$-̧̧ to-g | Gu bung-tak | Gye yoã to-g |
| 06. Thou goest | Ka bi-o to-n | Ko bungt | Kā yoū to.na |
| 07. He goes | Do bí- o to | Du bokuta | Du yoak'. |
| 08. We go | Ningà́n bíto toch; kishang bī̀o tónmē. | Ni bong-tang, or, bu-kotaug. | Ngye-re yoã.to-ni |
| 99. You go | Kinấn bî́oo toch | Ki bong-tang; or, bukotang. | Kye-re yoà to-ni |
| 10. They go | Wógon bito to. . | Daga boke | Do-re yoū to-re |
| 11. I went | $\mathrm{G}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{bi}-\frac{\text { ê-g -g }}{}$. . . | Gn Lo-kek | Gye il-i-ga, ildeg |
| 12. Thou wentest | Ka | Ko bo-ken | Kā il-i-na, ilde-na |
| 13. He went | Do ligy, bie-e.-sl | Da bok | Du il-i, ildels' . |
| 14. We weat | Jingā́y lí-ẹ-ch; kishang bí-è. | Ni bo-keng | Ngye-re il-dani, ili-ni |

[^16]


| Cbaudgagai (Almora). | Byängai (Aluora). | Janggall (Almora). | Baglob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-sal aci-nol-s . | In-8 sando | Vain batã | 188. We beat (Past Tence). |
| Gani-sai sê-ni-b | Gani-s sani-so . | Vó hatã . | 189. You beat (Past Teneo). |
| Wsi-sai sė-nē-s | Usi-s sancho | Hattā | 190. They boat ( Past Tenso), |
| Ji-s sai-g-tū-tu | Ji-s se-ks tè-to. | Nā hatai bi | 191. I am beating. |
| Jj-s sai-g-tãg-as | Ji-s am-k tā-tơ niyēa . | Halā syã̃go | 192. I wes beating. |
| Ji-s ani-g-zs | Ji-s sa-k-s ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Nà bānêvãtha | 193. I had beaten. |
| J̧i-s sai-tãng, sai-tu . | Ji-s sa-chītō . | Na chalain | 194. I may beat. |
| Ji-s bai-yāng . - | Ji-s ea-to | Na batâchan | 195. I shall beat. |
| Ga-s sō-gan . . | Ge-s eaino | Kāt hataiga | 196. Thou wilt beat. |
| Dsai sē-jang . . | J-s mailo | Hattālē | 197. He will beat. |
| In-sai aō-yang-nē . | In-s sainee . - | Nā hettaigã | 198. We shall beat. |
| Gani-s вè-yang-nī | Gani-s sa-tani . | Gatã hattai | 199. You will beat. |
| Uai-b bê-yang . . | Ati-s aai-lo | Di hattai | 200. They will best. |
| Ji-s sai-m chì-na-ni . | Jî sa-m chi-khayē . | Na hatai | 201. I should beat. |
| Jiyo dung-8 ; ji pachyāng-yē | Ji pa-chyāng-yēbo ; pa-jyāngyēs. | Ta ( i.e., na) lằã̃ng . | 202. I am beaten. |
| Jiyd dung-sir niyès ; jī pachyāng-nē. | Ji pa-chyāng-tha niyēeo | Na hatã ${ }_{\text {N }} \mathrm{g}$ | 203. I was beaten. |
| Jiyo dung-syã̃gayè . | Jì pa-chyāng-nan . | Nā siggãy . | 204. J. ahall be beaten. |
| Jì diyē . . | Jì diyè . . . | Gārī gorrà | 205. I go. |
| Gan dēnā | Gan di-gant . . | Nã jai . . . | 206. Thou goest. |
| Ơ di-ni . | U di-gan • • . | Rai jai . . | 207. Hegoee. |
|  | In di-ganye | Aryū gà . . | 208. We go. |
| Ganī đ̣i-nī | Ganī dī-gnī-l̄̆ . . | Nai ghatai, nuari ūng-gà | 209. You go. |
| Usī dìné . . | Ati dig-pat . . | Ghatai . . . | 210. They go. |
| Jì deyas . . | Jì diyè . . . | Gārī jai . . . | 211. I went. |
| Gan dinas | Gran din-so | Nà ohai-kā | 212. Thoa wentest. |
| U dê-s | U diso. | Rai $k \nabla \bar{a}$ | 213. He went. |
| In ${ }_{\text {dionês }}$ | In di-nō-so . . | Nī gà . . . | 214. We went. |


| Engliah. | KanĖm*ri (Bashabr). | Kanäbhì. | Mancháp (Lahul). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 215. You went . | Kinấn bí-ēch | Ki bo-keng | il |
| 216. They went | Dógon bigy, bí-ē-sh . | Daga buke | Do-re ili-re, ildore |
| 217. Go | Byã | Bangt | I1-a |
| 218. Going | Bí-ó | Bungata bangeta | You you |
| 219. Gone | Bfi-bi | Bok | Il-jo |
| 220. What is jour nawe? | Ka-n nāmang tat | Kanka chhuge nam? | Kana min chhi ? |
| 221. How old is this horse? | Ju ráng-ī tê bóshang | No rang-ka toda bres to ? | Di rhang tāipa shut' ? |
| 222. How far is it from here to Kashmir ? | Ja-ágts Kashmíras tang térà vark dū? | Nioh Kashmir toda dur to? | Kashmir der-tsi anyo oil tot'? |
| 223. How many sons are there in your father's house? | Ki-n bobā̃-п klm-o térā dekrāte cháng-on du ? | Kan bä-ba kim-a tai (or toda) chhanga tush? | Kana bū-u ghar-rang taïmi jo tore? |
| 224. I have walked a long way to-day. | Tốro .ga gob vork yú-yan to-g. | Gu tid daraz audez burakek. | Gye tog san-jig oï joriga |
| 225. The son of my uncle is married to his sister. | Gatót bobā́-u chang-s gatót bơbầu rings tang jánē tang lánshits. | Āka bükanna-ka chho-ka biang da-ka ringz-rang shot-ke. | Gyia agua yo do-n rbingrang bea lasi tot'. |
| 226. In the house is the saddle of the white horse. | Tög ráng-ū gà kím-ò dū . | Kim-a chhog gnore-ka kathi to. | Tshangsi rhang-ngu chiga du ghar-rang tot'. |
| 227. Put the saddle upon his back. | Gāā-u nī̄-u. pishtíng den shed. | Du-ka pishțing-nga kathi pīching. | Do-u thākhā-ring chhiga kye-a. |
| 228. I have beaten his a with many stripes. | Go-s dṓ-u cháng-ū gob tóng-shids-to. | Gu kan-ka chho-aj masti bent lämek (or làge). | Gye do-a yo-bi mast taráb-tsi teng-ri-ga. |
| 229. He is grazing cattl the top of the hil | Do ráng-त्य bal den lang-on zen roàgo to. | Du kathing-vga langa rakuta-to. | Doi go-n pundza-ring goamere roag-tsak'. |
| 230. He is sitting on a under that tree. | Do nū bốtang-ã yūtúng rang den tốshis dū. | Du ranga nu biṭingan yen nāshik. | Du buṭlau poyang i rhang. dzau-ṭog بing tot'. |
| 231. His brother is taller than his sister. | Nû́-u baiū an-u ríngsēe lấmas dū. | Du-ka bau du-ka ringz-ka nits lamas to. | D $\delta$-n kākā d $\delta$-ur rhing be-tgi lame tot'. |
| 232. The price of that is two rapees and a half. | Nū-u mólang nish rūpías pü paúlī dà. | Da-ka malang rail tok to | t'. |
| 233. My father lives in that small house. | Ang bōbā́ nū gátots kím-б tósh-ō t $\overline{0}-\mathrm{sh}$. | Ang bā nu phäkuch kima royo-to. | Gyiu bā du bare ghar-rang bang-dzak'. |
| 234. Give this rap | Jū rūpíà nû̀nū ran. | Ma torap daga | g |
| 235. Take those rupees from him. | Nō rūpíàn-ū nū-dagts un | Duga tokap du-dits ūt | Do tangga-re do-u do-r-tai lep-ta. |
| 236. Beat him well and bind him with ropes. | Nứ-í gob sítiā, bashes tsúrā. | Dup masti to-u (tomuk) hed bushus tghudke. | Du-bi ruthe teng-nge rashiràng tghu-da. |
| 237. Draw water from the well. | Kúang-ots ti dab | Kuata ti dua-tang (or datut) | Khuang-dzi ti hata . . |
| 238. Walk before me | Ang oms pai | Aka uandris por | Gyea tu-i jo |
| 239. Whose boy comea behind you? | Hát-u chang ki-n nyoms búd-o to ? | Hat-ka chho kan-ka hīpich buro-to? | Kanu thal-e s.tu kāṭa apak' ? |
| 240. From whom did you buv that? | Het-ágts kr-s nû́-ū eogkin ? | Dap ko hāte ditse khangmen? | Kaï da ata dor-r-tai tsom-de-na? |
| 241. From a shopkeeper of the village. | Dēsháng-o id bania dagts . | Grāmanga haṭidaro dits | Nagar-rau hatwāpi-n dor-tai |



| English. | Kanèmri (Bashahr). | Rangkns (Almora). | Darniyã (Almora). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 215. You went . | Kinấn bl-®®ch | Gan dinis . . . G | Ganì dẻnieso |
| 216. They went | Dógon bìgy, bíeô-sh | U dēj • . . . U | Usī diso |
| 217. Go | Byã | Dì | D®̉ . . . |
| 218. Going | Bi-o | Dēlmhầ ; dadê ; dinēg | Dē-lan |
| 219. Gone | Bí-bi | Täbēn | Tāybachū |
| 220. What is your name? | Ka-n $n$ ämang $t^{\text {a }}$ t ? | Góg lua mlyã sini ? | Gai tha mang-sẽn ? |
| 221. How old is this horse? | Ju ráng-ã tẻ bóshang ? | I rhã gulã śyangn sini ? I rhă umar gula lbyã ? | Nai räng ulāng syāng-nī ? nado rāng ulăng in kotã ? |
| 222. How far is it from here to Kashmir? | Ju-ágts Kashmíras tang térà vark dū? | Illa-pati Kàsmir-k mulk gulı livànm şini? | Do kharchū Kasmirū ulàng vāni? |
| 223. How many sons are there in your father's house? | Ki-n bsbā̃-n Kfim-8 térā dekrāts cháng-on du? | Gơ bā-g sung-r gulă seêri sini? | Gơ bã ohim-rũ ulàng siri nisini ? |
| 224. I have walked a long way to-day. | Tóro. $\mathrm{g}^{\text {a }}$ gob vork yú-yan to-g. | Jyé thyā mhan hvinm gamchis. | Ji thiyã̃ dalo vànam gamchayèsū. |
| 225. The son of my uncle is married to his sister. | Gatót bobă-u chang-s gatót bobā̄-u rings tang jánē tang lánshits. | Ji kākā-g sêrī-g u rhadgsēgo dagar baryād lhingcha. | Ji-g kakā-gã sirí vo rangayà tī băgo gă-s; jī-gu kakā-gū siri-gũ bāgu-chā u-gu |
| 226. In the house is the saddle of the white horse. | Tog ráng-ū gà kím-o dū . | U chyam-ar sin rlà jīn sini | rangyya jolika-chū. <br> Idū chim-rū idū giñ rāog-gũ taigā nī-sí-ni |
| 227. Put the saddle upon his back. |  shed. | Hvē jīn hvēdō-g lung-ar tā-tē. | Taigā ī̀lang-rū tā-nī ; u-jo taigã chayã. |
| 228. I bave beaten his son with many stripes. | $\mathrm{G}^{\text {a-s }}$ dố-u cháng-ū gob tóng-shids-to. | Ji-s vī-go sērī chābak-so mhan ksiś. | Ji-sū u-g sirī-jo dalo chyāksamâs kamí-sū. |
| 229. He is grazing cattle on the top of the hill. | Do ráng-ia bal den lang-on zen roāgo to. | Vi hvédǎ ṭuk-fart rai-malā hvēt. | Ū idñ dāng-gã pisã-rū ṭâng ro-lan-tā-tā. |
| 230. He is sitting on a horse under that tree. | Do nū bốtang-ã fūtúng rang den tốshis dū. | U tā sing-go khvã-syã tā riui-varau kāyūchēn. | $\bar{U}$ tadũ sing $g$ ramarū tūke râng-rū-ṭị sy 0 ngksin nisini |
| 231. His brother is taller than his sister. | Nû̃̄-u baíā an-n ríngaēs lấmas dū. | U pi-khan u rhangśya hvē mhan nhan śini. | Usì pē vó rangesā chyāng. rī yambā bung nisinì. |
| 232. The price of that is two rapees and a half. | Nû́n mólang nish rüpías pü paúlí dū. | U-g vāf nisí pūr nāyyal mul śini. | Ư mólū nãsã paicā nísini |
| 233. My father lives in that small house. | Ang, bobā́ nū gátots kím-o tṓsh-o tō-sh. | Jè bā hvē nyāpan chyam-ar rhai-ni. | Ji-g bā tado min chim-ra syongksiní. |
| 234. Give this rupee to him | Jū rūpfía nún-ū ran. | É mul u dā nē (or dā-tē) . | Nadó rapayã ${ }^{\frac{N}{\text { vod }} \text {-jo dā-ni }}$ |
| 235. Take those rapees from him. | Nū rūpliàn-ū nū-dagta un |  | Tad rupayã̃ vō-jo-chā kur-n̄̈ |
| 236. Reat him well and bind him with ropes. | $\underset{\substack{\text { tún.ū } \\ \text { tū̃. }}}{\text { gob sítiā, bashes }}$ |  | U jain kama-nī hūng jyāng• |
| 237. Draw water from the well. | Kứang-ota ti dạ | gridai. <br> Hvē lū-paṭi ti thā . | Idū bāvēs tī thai-nì . |
| 238. Walk before me | Ang ome pai | Ai ${ }_{\text {a }}$ Ji gān-şyūgan chãn | Jī̀g tūtū dè . |
| 239. Whose boy comes behind you? | Hát-n chang ki-n nyams búd-o to ? | H <br> Gani-g hyà̀-sa tha-mi-k śeri rai-ni? | i Go yũngken-ti khami siri rā-ni p |
| .240. From whom did you buv that? | Hat-ágts ka-s nû-ū rogkin? | ${ }^{1}$ Ga-so i gudai-bați mil-kur- | Ga-sŭ tadō khamī-jo tūnísū |
| 441. From a shopkeeper of the village. | Neesháng-o id banlá dagts | G1 anas-s (or mol-kur-nai-ś)? Hyè sang-rhũ tā dugāadār <br>  | (tonasĩ) ? <br> Idñ savg-khn-cha lāko pañchã-jo. |


| Clabudēngsi (Aluora). | ByEugsi (Almoru). | Jaggail (Almorn). | Englinh. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gani di-nis • | Ganī di-mī-sō | Nai ghatai | 215. You went. |
| Uai dionēg | U dè-sau | Va kā-lò | 216. They went |
| Dé | Dì | Gatai | 217. Go. |
| Dēgēniom; dè-di-man-chū | Di-gai, di-g-yē, dìg | Gatà | 218. Going. |
| Pi-di-s | Pī-dì-so | Gatā | 219. Gone. |
| Gan kha min-at? | Nā min kha min ta-lē ? | Nãng nēm dbăm kuni ? | 220. What is your nama? |
| Hidī rùng ulāng syägat lhē (ulāng un kuľat-ni-jāng?) | Ai rāng ulāng syāng-tha-in; ai rang in nàag lhí? | Rai ghớã gai chôkē buḍhā ? | 221. How old is this horse $P$ |
| Hidā-khar-chī Kasmīr ulāng vánam aní? | Anê-l-harchí Kāsmir ulàng vānam-in? | Dhikarā Kāsmir malk jhik lăkīa ? | 222. How far is it from here to Kashmir P |
| Nā bū chim-jã ulā sirī anbué? | Nà bà chimojū ulāng sirīming inan? | Ghai pays koni ? | 223. How many song are there in your father's |
| Tì thigūng mat vānam gamchës. | Than jyà ji mat vānam dǐēso. | Nā daina jhîk lăkā raikvâ . | 224. I have walked a long way to-day. |
| Ji-g kālk siri vo rangsyātêb bhà ḍhãsi kalicha; ji-g kāku siri-g byoū vō ringeyā-tê lhich. | Ji-g kūku sirí vô rangeyà tī jбгठ by $\delta$-lhī-nē-gí; ji-g kākū-g siri-g byo $u-g$ rangsyà tī lhí-cho. | Nā payã bhaūvà nãgā bhainyă săgà bihà khaiyā. | $2 \because 5$. The son of my ancle is marivied to his sister. |
| Ati chim-jā ati sid räng-g tnikā ani. | Atī chim-jā atī sit rāng-g tégà in. | Ai-n-mat dhanlyà ghofyo būkhar. | 226. In the house is the saddle of the white horse. |
| Vo lug hêr taiká tey (taini) | Ati riang long.g-yar têgā tinni. | Suī-hi bākhar pattị thã | 227. Put the saddle upon bis back. |
| Ji-5 vo sirí mat chyāk-dāgas | Ji-s vo siri-jā mat chyãksamā dā-kas. | Nã sui-hi bhaūvã jhilk sikrā saino. | 228. I have beaten his son with many stripes. |
| Achchhē ati vēg chang hēr ṭāng jā-g anēn. | Vo ati dăngorg pisā-yar țãngrai ṭok-tā-tanan. | Hvai lahi alko dharã dingā hacharo úṭī lā-hi. | 229. He is grazing cattle on the top of the hill. |
| Atī atī sing mitatū tig rāng-jā ṭi syō̃l-sid anī. | Vè atī sing fē-kbū tig rāng-jū-ṭi syongksid-in. | Hvē lahi sigē hvēn-kē-ni ghorà raphan. | 230. He is sitting on a horse ander that tree. |
| U-g pī vo rangsyã chyag-rī bhangtai anī. | J.g pī vo rangsyā chyãngrî bung thain. | Suì bhañ pā-ni•kã bhainya bhaúva. | 231. His brother is taller than his sister. |
| Atī molù ngai muhar ani | U-g maula ngai muhara in | Sui pangā maharā | 232. The price of that is two rupees and a half. |
| Jī-g bā ati mid chim-jā anio . | Ji-g bā ati mīd chim-jā vasat-in. | Uthulā nau (sio) | 233. My father lives in that small hoase. |
| Hidī rupayã vō dātē; hidī rupayā vo-jā dãyà. |  | Rupayā vai | 434. Give this rapee to him. |
| Ati rapay $\tilde{a ̃}^{\text {àmãng }} \quad$ vo-jãkharchi kor-san. | Atī rapayã-māng vo-jā korJס. | Rupajā pitai | 235. Take those rupees from him. |
| Vo bud-syūng dhungaya hāng phī-s gviya. | Atī bud syūng-g saii gāngr phī-māag-s giyō. | Hatan chai jyorã hōi dai | 236. Beat him well and bind him with ropes. |
| Ati kuvāngku kharchì ti havêy. | Atī bāgī-khu-kharchi tī hvangiyo. | Ti lyà-là | 237. Draw water from the well. |
| Ji-g larè chham | Ji-g larē chham . | Nă git tājai • | 238. Walk before me. |
| Nà yung-ko-ti khami siri rāni ? | Nāg nigam khamī-g sirī rà-gan ? | Ni hã-lȧ hinyaro ? | 239. Whose boy comes behind you $?$ |
| Ga-z witi kha-mī-jā tóaas (tonis) ? | Ga-s atī khamíjū toniso ? | Molē pitā ? | 240. From whom did you buy that |
| Ati eang-khu-chi tig dukāndārī-jā. | Ati cang-khti-chi tig pañchã-jī-kherchi. | Gan-kī mãlipui dūkā-jar | 241. From a shopkeeper of the village. |

## NORTH ASSAM GROUP.

The mountainous region between the Assam Valley and Tibet, from Bhutan in the west to the Brahmaputra in the east, is inhabited by a series of tribes which all speak Tibeto-Burman languages: Beginning from the west, they are the Akas, the Daflas, the Abor-Miris, and the Mishmis. The last mentioned tribe comprises several sub. tribes, such as the Chulikātā, the Digāru, and the Mijü. The dialects spoken by all these tribes will in this Survey be brought together into one group, the North Assam group.

Most speakers of the dialects of this group live outside the settled territories of British India, and the numbers returned at the censuses of 1891 and 1901 were accordingly unimportant. The table which follows registers the details-


We have no trustworthy information about the number of speakers outside British India.

## AUTHORITY-

Konow, Sten,一Note on the Languages spoken between the Assam Valley and Tibet. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1902, pp. 127 and ff.
The North Assam group is not a well-defined philological group with salient grammatical features distinguishing it from other Tibeto-Burman forms of speech.

The Abor-Miris and the Daflas speak dialects which are so closely related that they can justly be considered as one and the same form of speech. In vocabulary it often strikingly agrees with one or the other forms of Mishmi, as will be seen from the short table which follows-



Such instances might easily be multiplied. They are strengthened by a certain correspondence in some grammatioal features. Thus the Dafa plural sullix ede can be compared with Chulikūta $d \bar{u}$; the personal pronoun of the second person is the same; the plural suffix long in Digäru pronouns agrees with $l u$ in Miri and Daflā. Daflā and Miri agree with Digäru in using a negative suffix, while Mijū, like Aka, prefixes the negative to the verb, and so on.

In many important points, however, Mishmi differs from Abor-Miri, and the points of correspondence just referred to are not of an importance sufficient to prove a close connexion between the two forms of speech.

The difference between Aka and the other dialects of the group is still greater. Under the influence of strange and radical phonetical laws Aka has assumed a peculiar appearance, and it is often difficult to compare its rocabulary with that of other TibetoBurman forms of speech. The short table which follows registers some of the most striking cases of coincidence. Thus, Aka $\bar{a} \bar{u}$, Daflā $\bar{a}-b o$, father; Aka $\bar{a}-n \bar{u}$, Daflā àn, mother; Aka $\bar{a} n g \bar{a}-s \bar{a}$ and $s \bar{a}$, Meithei angang and ma-ch $\bar{a}$ child; Aka nyu, Kuki-Chin nai and nau, younger brother or sister; Aka lū, Tibetan blo, Lushēi lung, mind; Aka $e-n y \bar{\imath}$, Daflā a-nȳ̄ , eye; Aka nùsì, Tibetan sna, Newārī nhäsa, nose; Aka khie, Tibetan mgo, Burmese khaung, head; Aka (khe-)chu, Chaudāngsì chham, hair (of the head) ; Aka $m \bar{u}$, Tibetan $m e$, fire; Aka khu, Dūmi, Kūlung, etc., $k u$, Tibetan chhu, water ; Aka $j u$, Singphō jan, sun; Aka chhī, Tibetan nyi, fish; Aka bho and vo, Tibetan phag, Lushēi vok, pig; Aka ke, Spitti ghö, oloth; Aka tsäu, sa, Tibetan za, eat; Aka thü, Tibetan 'athung-ba, Daflā tū, drink; Aka ji, Tibetan slyin, Dafla ji, give; Aka lāu, Meithei läu, take; Aka je, Rangkas sè, run ; Aka ze, se, Tibetan shi, die, and so on.
aka also differs from the other dialects of the group in many details of grammar. On the whole, it can be said that the North Assam group is not a merely philological, but also rather a geographical group.

I now proceed to make some remarks about the position of these dialects and their relation to other Tibeto-Burman languages. Our knowledge of them, and especially of aka and Mishmi, is however unsatisfactory, and the remarks which follow are given with every reserve.

The North Assam dialects can roughly be described as Tibeto-Hurman forms of speech intermediary between Tibetan and the dialects spoken in Assam and Further India.

The old prefixes are still to a great extent independent syllables and have not been fused into one sound with the ensuing base.

We are not satisfactorily informed about the tone system. Miri and Mishmi are said to possess tones. We do not know if the same is the case in Aka or Dafā. The use of an elaborate system of tones in at least some of these dialects is a point of agreement with Central Tibetan, Central Nāgā, and Kachin. The preservation of the old prefixes the North Assam group shares with most Tibeto-Burman dialects of Assam and Further India, and also with many Himalayan dialects.

Where are no traces of the rich pronominalization prevailing in one group of Bimalayan dialects. The North Assam dialects agree with most typical Indo-Chinese vOL. III, PART I.
languages in the principles requlating the conjugation of verbs. The verlb is virtualiy a noun, and it does not differ for person and number.

There are, however, some minor points in which the North Assam dialects agree with the Himalayan forms of speech.

The numeral lishī, two, in Aha, seems to agree with Byāngsì nisī, Kanāw ${ }^{\text {® }}$ rī nish, Sunwar nishi, etc., as to the termination. The suffix chu of the past tense in Aka is perhaps ennnceted with chō and chī in Dārmiyā. The sulfix $n a$ of the relative participle in Aka and Abor-Miri-Daftī can be compared with $n \bar{a}$ in Yākhī. Similarly the adjective suffix $z \bar{n}$, s $\bar{\pi}$, or se" in Aka can be compared with the suffix chii in Mãgarí and cho in Chouras'ya. The accusative suffix em, am in Abor-Miri-Dafli, bears a striking resemblance to the $m$ which is added to the articles re and mo in Róng in order to form an accusatice. The use of generic prefixes with numerals in Daffa and Miri cun be compared with the use of such suffixes in Nēwari and other Himalayan dialects. It is, however, more closely connected with the use of generic prefixes in the Bodo languages, some Nāgā dialects such as Mikir and Empē̄, and the Kuki-Chin group.

In this connexion we may also note that all North Assam dialects, with perhaps the exception of Mijū, use the same verb substantive in the formation of a periphrastio present. The various forms of this verb all oorrespond to Tibetan 'adug-pa, which is used in the same way. Compare further the suffix $t u$ of the present in Yákhā, Limbu, Byāngsī, etc.

The reflexive suffix $s h \bar{u}, s \bar{u}$ in Abor-Miri-Daflā should be compared with $s$ in Bāhing, and perhaps also with che in Mikir.

The formation of causals is only known in Daflà and Miri, where the verb 'to do,' $m a$ and $m \overline{0}$, respectively, is sufined to the principal verb. Compare the causal suffixes mu in Rai, mät in Róng and other dialects. The causal in Aka is probably formed in the same way as in Tibetan.

The causal suttix ma, mó can also be compared with the prefixed ma, man, etc., in the Old Kuki dialects.

The genitive is formed by prefixing the governed to the governing word. Aka often reprats the former by means of a pronominal prefix before the latter. The same is, to some extent, the case in Himalayan dialects, and it is the prevailing principle in the Kuki-Chin group. The genitive suffixes $k a$ in Daflā and Miri, chi, etc., in Aka correspond to forms such as Tlibetan kyi, Meithei gi, Bunān gyi, gi, Kanāshī kē, and so on.

A prefix which occurs in various forms such as $a, e, i, o$, and $u$, is apparently used in all dialects, with perhaps the exception of Mījū. It is not like the Burmese prefix $a$, used to form nouns of action from verbs, but is very common before nouns and adjectives, apparently without adding anything to the meaning. A similar prefix is common in many Himalayan dialects, and in the Näga and the Kuki-Chin languages. It is probably by origin a demonstrative or personal pronoun. In Aka it is identical in form with the pronoun of the third person.

Dafā, Miri, and Mishmi make use of a prefix $k a$ before adjectives. In this respect they agree with the dialects of the Bodo, Nägà, and $K$ achin groups. In this connexion we may also note that Daflā and Miri agree with Kachin in repeating the last syllable of names of animals before the suffixes of gender.

The suffix of the comparative in Daclā and Miri is $y \bar{a}$, which corresponds to $y \bar{o}$ and $z \bar{o}$ in many Kuki-Chin dialects.

Several other postpositions and suffixes can be traced in other conneoted dialects. Thus the plural suffix $d e$ in Aka, kiding in Miri, edē in Dafā, $d \bar{u}$ in Chulikatā, etc., can be compared with Tibetan $d a g$, Manchāṭi de. The suffixes na, la, etc., of the conjunctive participle in Aka, Daflā, and Miri, should be compared with Tibetan na, nas, la, las, and similar forms in many connected languages. The locative suffix $l a ̈$ in Dafla and Miri corresponds to Tibetan la. The Miri future suffix ye corresponds to Byingsì yë, and so on. It is not however of any interest to register such details, so long as our know. ledge of the North Assam dialects is not more satisfactory. They would, at the utmost, give a very imperfect picture of the actual state of affairs. I therefore confine myself to some remarks on the numerals and the personal pronouns.

The first five numerals are :-

|  | Aks | Dafta | Miri | Chalikata | Digâru | Mijoù |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One | $\bar{a}$ | aklin | $\bar{a}-k \hat{a}, \bar{a}-t \bar{e} r$ | $e-h i b e \bar{e}$ | é-khing | $k 0 \cdot m \Delta$ |
| Two | kshi | anyi | $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot n y \bar{i}$ |  | $k \bar{i} \cdot y \dot{i} / \mathrm{g}$ | $k_{i \bar{l} \cdot n i n g}$ |
| Three | $t 2 \hat{1}$ | a.om | $\bar{a}-\bar{u} \eta$ | $k \bar{a}-s h$ | $k \bar{a}-s \bar{a} n g$ | $k \bar{a}-\bar{s} \bar{a} m$ |
| Four | $p{ }^{\text {f }} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{t} l$ | $a-p l(i)$ | $\bar{a}-p \bar{\imath}$ | $k \bar{a} \cdot p p i$ | liã.prei | kam-brin |
| Five | pom | $\bar{a}-n g(\bar{o})$ | $\bar{a}-n g \hat{u}$ | $m \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$ | $m \bar{a}-n g \bar{a}$ | ka-liitr |

One.-The forms in Daflā, Chulikātā, and Digāru are practically identical. Aka a
 Mījū ko•mō perhaps corresponds to Chouras'ya kolo, Bāhing kon!, etc. The final mō must be compared with $m \bar{a}$ in Meithei $a-m \bar{a}$, Kachin ai-m $\bar{a}$, etc.
$T w o .-A k a k s h \bar{i}$ is probably derived from knyis, compare Aka chhi, Tibetan $n y i$ fish. The final shī should be compared with the termination in Byangsi uisī, etc. The prefix $k$ is identical with Mishmi $k \bar{a}$ and corresponds to Tibetan $g$ in guyis, two. Daflā and Miri use a prefix $\bar{a}$ like many Central and Eastern Nāgā dialects.

Three.-Mishmi, and probably also Aka, have a prefix ka corresponding to $g$ in Tibetan gsum, three. Daftā and Miri prefix $\bar{a}$. Compare two.

Four.-All dialects apparently contain a numeral li or ri with a suffix pa or $p$, corresponding to $b$ in Tibetan $b z h i$, four ; $b$ and $b i$ in the Bodo languages; $b a$ and $p a$ in many Nāgā dialects, and $p a$ in Kuki-Chin. To this $p$ Mishmi prefises liā or kam. The form $l i$ or $r i$ also occurs in many Himalayan dialects and in the Assam-Burmese languages, while T'ibetan zhi differs.

Five.-Mījū ka-līin seems to correspond to Tibetan lnga with ka prefised. Aka pom is probably derived from pa-nga. Compare Rāi bhok-pu, five. The prefix pa has already been mentioned with 'four.' $M \bar{\alpha}$ in Chulikatā and Digāru mā-nga, five, corresponds to the prefix $m a$ in the numeral 'five' in Kachin, Meithei, Lhōtã, Miklai, Thukumi, and most Nágà Bodo dialects.

The higher numerals twenty, thirty, etc., are formed by prefixing 'two,' ' three,' and so on, to the numeral 'ten' in Aka and Mishmi, while Dafla and Miri suffix the multiplier after the pattern 'tens-two,' 'tens-three,' etc. Tibetan, Kachin, Burmese, Mikir and other dialects agree with Aka and Mishmi, while the Kuki-Chin and most Nägà languages form their higher numerals in the same way as Dafā and Miri.
vol. iil, paliti.

I now turn to the personal pronouns.
I.-Aka, Daflā, Miri and Chulikatā have forms which are identical with or derived from Tibetan and Burmese nga. The Digāru pronoun $h \tilde{a}, \mathrm{I}$, is probably derived from the same form. Compare Meithei $a i$ and Khoirano hai. It is probable that the forms beginning with $h$ are due to an aspiration of the initial $n g$ corresponding to the aspirated pronunciation of soft consonants in Eastern Tibet. A strong aspiration might well supersede the rest of the consonant in the pronunciation. A similar interchange between $n g$ and $h$ occurs in dialects of Klami. Mijū $k \bar{\iota}$ corresponds to ge in Manchāṭi and to $k e i$ in the Kuki-Chin languages. $N i$, we, in Aka corresponds to Bhràmu ni, Kanāshī $i n i$, etc.

Thou.-Daflā, Miri, and Mishmi bave the forms näa and nyâ, corresponding to Angāmi no and similar forms in numerous Himalayan and Assarn-Burmese dialects. Aka $b \bar{a}$ is perhaps connected with $h \bar{a}$ in Sir George Campbell's Hati Garya. Aka $j \ddot{0}$, on the other hand, is probably identical with Tibetan khyod, which is locally pronounced ch̀hö.

The preceding remarks will have shown that there is considerable difference between the various North Assam dialects. The position which they all and individually each of them occupy with reference to other Tibeto-Burman languages is also complex and cannot be brought under one simple formula. There are numerous points of agreement now with one, now with another group of dialects. The home of the North Assam tribes may be considered as a kind of backwater. 'The eddies of the various waves of Tibeto-Burman immigration have swept over it and left their stamp on the dialects. On the whole, however, the North Assam forms of speech can be described as links which connect the Tibetan and Himalayan dialects with the languages of the Bodo, Nāgà, Kuki-Chin and Kachin groups.

## AKA OR HRUSSO.

The Akas occupy the hills to the north of the Assam valley, between Bhutan in the west and the Iafla hills in the east. The Buruli river forms the boundary between them and the last named country. We do not know how far they extend towards the north.

The tribe is called Aka or Angka by its neighbours. They call themselves Hrusso and I'enae. They are divided into two clans which the Assamese call Hazarikhowa, eaters of a thousand (hearths), and Kapās-chōr, cotton thieves. Among themselves they distinguish about ten minor clans.

The whole tribe is said to number about 230 families. Twenty speakers of Aka were returned from Darrang during the preliminary operations of the Linguistic Survey. At the last Cerisus of 1901 the same number was returned from Darrang. Six speakers were enumerated in other districts, so that the Assam total was 26.
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Anderson, J. D.,-A short Vocabulary of the Aka Language. Oompiled in 1893. Shillong 1896.
I am indebted to the Rev. Russel Payne for a list of standard words and phrases and a translation of the parable of the Prodigal Son in Aka. The text of the parable has been forwarded in an incomplete form, because the Aka chief through whose assistance the translation was being prepared disappeared before the completion of the work. It was, therefore, impossible to accompany the text with an interlinear translation, and the text itself is also far from being satisfactory. It has, however, proved impossible to procure new specimens, and I have, therefore, tried to translate the text as best I could. Both text and translation are given with the utmost reserve. I have not ventured to correct the text from the scanty materials at my disposal, and I have made very little use of it for the grammatical sketch. On the other hand, I did not feel myself justifed in leaving it out altogether. The study of Aka is attended with so great difficulties that it is of importance to record all materials which are available for the elucidation of this dialect.

The remarks on Aka grammar which follow are based on the list of words, and on an analysis of the lists published by Messrs. Hesselmeyer and Anderson; see Authorities, above.

Pronunciation.-The best rendering of the various sounds of the Aka dialeat seems to be that given by the Rev. C. H. Hesselmeyer. The spelling in the other texts is very inoonsistent.
$E$ and $i$ are constantly interchanged ; thus, kse and kshi, two; pferi and firi, four; $e$ and $i$, he; me and $m i$, fire. $E u$ is apparently written for $i$ in $b a-t h e u$, thy, in the parable.
$A$, the sound of $a$ in ' all,' is usually written $a$ and $o$ in the specimens; thus, nga, $n a, n a h$, and ngna, for nâ, I; ela for elâ, under; seiya and seiyo, his, etc. The sound $\hat{a}$ is probably also meant in rukhri, rawkhri, and reukh, to watch, to tend.
$\ddot{O}$ is written $a$ and $e u$; thus, $j a h$ for $j \ddot{j}$, you; stheu for sthö, nine.
$\ddot{U}$ has been rendered in different ways. Mr. Anderson probably means $\ddot{u}$ with his $\grave{u}$ which he describes as a guttural $u$. He often writes $i u$ and $u i$ instead. The Rev. C. H. Hesselmeyer usually writes $i$. In other places we find this sound rendered as $e$, $e u, i$, and $u$; thus, nenna, nina, and nüna, man; zu, tziu, and 'tse, three; nishi, nù-zù, and nüsii, nose ; upse, upseu, psi, psiū, and pshii, high ; sheu, shi, and shiù, to strike ; gi, $g i u, g u i$, and $g i i$, to strike.

Short final vowels are apparently sometimes dropped; thus, khes-na, goats, from khesi, a goat; $i s-n e$, he will strike, from shii, to strike, etc. When a final $i$ or $\ddot{u}$ is dropped the preceding consonant is apparently palatalized, and this modified pronunciation seems to be indicated by prefixing an $i$; thus, $a$-in for $a-n i$, a mother; na ish- $d a$ for na shüda, they strike, etc.

Concurrent vowels are sometimes contracted; thus, sau, also written seu and sou, from $s a-u$, child male, son; bou, from $b a-u$, thy father. In other places the hiatus remsins, or euphonic letters such as $y$ and $w$ are inserted; thus, $\bar{a} u-a h$ and $\bar{a} u-w-\bar{a}$, $O$ father ; $i-y-a u$, his father, etc.

An $h$ is often added at the end of a syllable ending in a vowel; thus, $\bar{a} s \bar{a} h$, a cat; nah and nä, I. Messrs. Hesselmeyer and Anderson do not use $h$ in this way, and it is probable that it is not pronounced.

The writing of aspirated letters is inconsistent. Thus, we find chhe and che, to say; $k h a k-l e h$ and khakh-leh, again; kheri and keri, young; bha, ba, and vo, an interrogative particle.

The aspirates $k h$ and $p h$ in many words interchange with $k h, h$, and $p f, f$, respectively. Thus, mu-khu and muhu, male; khu, khu, and $h u$, watcr; phum and $p f u m u$, five; $p h u-g r \bar{a}$ and $f u-g r \bar{a}$, horse, etc. $\quad P h$ is apparently always pronounced as $f$ or $p f$, while $k h$ sometimes is the aspirated $k$ and sometimes the spirant $\underline{k h}$, like the $c h$ in German 'ich' or 'ach.' This latter prononciation must be supposed wherover kh interchanges with $h$, and I have, therefore, in such cases written $\underline{k h}$.
$C h, c h h, t s, s, t$, and $t h$ are apparently all interchangeable. Thus, cha, chha, tsa, and $s a$, to eat; enicha and enisa, near; ke-chiï and ke-ti, hair ; na-chhi, na-thi, and na-ti, my , etc. 'To speak' is thèen in Mr. Anderson's list, and che or chhe in the parable. The tha in bho-na thaddu ettheu-e-khu, pigs eaten (?) husks, is probably identical with $c h a, t_{8} a, s a$, to cat.
$J$ is interchangeable with $d z$; thus, $j i$ and $d z i$, give. The occasional writings $d s$ and $\boldsymbol{t z}$ probably denote the pronunciation $d z$; thus, $j u$ and $d s u$, sun; $z u$ and $t z i u$, three. The latter word is given as 'tse by Mr. Hesselmeyer. And we also find interchange between hard and soft consonants in other cases; thus, silizi and 'ksi, eight; nza, 'nsu, and $n t z \grave{u}$, mouth; sza, 'sse, and ssiu, iron. The hard sound is, in all these instances, given by Mr. Hesselmeyer. In the parable we find sipzi, sibji, and subji, to make merry, and in the list of words printed below ve-tchu and jya, give, and so forth, Such
instances point to the aspirated pronunciation of soft initials which is ourient in Eastern Tibet where $g, d, b, j$, and $d z$ are hartily distinguishable from the corresponding hard sounds. This tendency is still more developed in the Assam-Burmese languages where most soft initials have become hardened. Aka has apparently in most cases preservod the origival soft initials, but the instances quoted above show that the development from soft to hard sounds has also begun in that dialect.
$S h$ and $s$ are sometimes interchanged; thus, $n i-s h i$ and $n i i-s i i$, nose; $k s h i$ and $k s e$, two. Sz in sza, iron, probably denotes an umphatic s. Messrs. Hesselmeyer and Anderson give 'sse and ssù, respectively.

A $k$ before sibilants has apparently a tendeney to be dropped; thus, kshi and shü, gold. We may, therefore, infer that a pretix $k$ has been lost in the numeral $z u$, 'tse (Hesselmeyer), or tzit (Auderson), three. Compare Tibetan gsum.
$B$ and $v$ are sometimes interchauged; thus, in the imperativo prefix be or ve, and in the interrogative particle $b a$ or vo. This points to a bi-labial rather than a labiodental pronunciation of $v$.
$M$ and $n$ interchange in phumia and phun-ge, behind, mi-lizeu and nkizeu, bad. The change seems to be euphonic.
$N g, g n$, and $n$ are sometimes interchanged ; thus, $n g a, n a$, and $n a \hat{a}, \mathrm{I}$; gne-thau, and ne-thau, country; ngya, gne, and nie, house.

Several other instances of interchange may be collected from the texts. It is, however, impossible to classify them, and we do not know enough of the dialect to go into further details.

We have no information as to whether Aka possesses tones like Dafla and other neighbouring dialects.

Prefixes.-An otiose prefix $a, e$, or $u$, is frequently used in nouns and adjectives. Thus, $\bar{a} u$, father ; $\bar{a}-l u$, brother ; e-ni, eye ; $e-s a ̃$, flesh ; $e-n i-s a$, near; $e$-mie, old ; $u-p s h u ̈ b$ and $e-p s h \ddot{i}$, high. It is probably identical with the possessive pronoun of the third person; compare e-phun-ge, behind; ba-phum-iya, behind you; e-bra-ge, before; na-bra, before me. Compare Tibetan $a$ in $a-n a$, mother; $a-j o$, elder brother.

The prefix na in ma-phun, wife ; na-sau, son, etc., is perhaps the possessive pronoun of the first person.

Several other prefixes seem to occur. I have not, however, succeeded in analysing them.

There are no Articles. The numeral $\bar{a}$, one, is used as an indetinite article; thus, $n \ddot{u}-n a \bar{a}$, a man. $A \cdot b \bar{a}$ is sometimes used in the same way; thus, $p h u-g r \bar{a} a-b \bar{u}$, a horse. The prefix $e$ and the demonstrative pronouns may also be translated by means of the English articles. Thus, e-mi-mi, a woman; sitchù hâ-nā khisi $\bar{a}-n y e ~ s \bar{a}-m$-do-d $\bar{a}$, tiger they goat many eat, tigers eat goats ; si-tchì hâ-e nâ shī-nye, tiger that I shoot-will, I will shoot a tiger ; hâ nü-nāa $d z \ddot{u}-d \bar{a}-d \bar{a}$, that man dying is, the man is dying. It will be seen from these instances that a demonstrative pronoun is often used where we would prefer the indefinite article. The reason is that the Akas, like other uncivilised tribes, have a much more concrete and vivid conception of the outer world than we.

Nouns.-Gender is only apparent in the case of animate beings. Different words are frequently used in order to distinguish the gender of human beings. Thus, $\bar{a} u$, father; $\bar{a}$-ni, mother: $\bar{a}-l u$, elder brother; $\bar{x}-m a$, elder sister: mu-这hu, male being;
$m i-m i$, woman. $\quad U$ and $m i$ are used as suffixes in order to distinguish the gender; thus, $s a u$, son ; s $\bar{a}-m i$ or $s \bar{a} m$, daughter. $U$ is probably identical with the word for 'father.' Sir George Campbell gives a-boa, father, and $u$ is probably derived from bo or pho; compare Tibetan pha. Pho is used as a male suffix in the parable in liheri sa-pho, young child-male, younger son. $M i$ is probably identical with $\quad i \boldsymbol{i}$, mother. Compare the Tibetan female article ma, and $m i$ in Burmese $\underline{t h a-m i, ~ d a u g h t e r . ~}$

The words $m u$-kh $u$, male, and $m i-m i$, female, are used in a similar way; thus, $m u$ ch $u s \bar{a}$, male child ; mi-mi $s \bar{a}$, female child.

The names of animals are often proceded by a prefix $f u$; thus, $f u$-lu-khu, cow; $f u-m u ̀$, buffalo: $f u-g r \bar{a}$, horse. This prefix must be compared with prefixes such as $s a$, $t a, m a$, etc., in other Tibeto-Burman languages before names of animals, and has nothing to do with the distinction of gender. Thus, $f u-g r \tilde{a}{ }^{1}$ is 'animal-horse.'

The usual suffixes for distinguishing the gender of animals are $b \bar{u}$, male, and $n \bar{i}$, female, to which $e m, \vec{a} m$, or $u m$ is often prefixed; thus, $\bar{c}-s \hat{h} \bar{a} e m-b \bar{u}$, cat male; $\bar{a}-s h \bar{a}$ $e m-n \bar{u}$, cat female : sï-lö um-bī, a $\operatorname{dog}$; sï-lö ami-ni, a bitch. Other suffixes are urba, $h u g a ́, g l o$, and rau, male, and jachu, female. Thus, fu-lu-khu urba or $\hat{a} m-b \bar{u}$, an ox; $f u-l u-k h u ~ j a c h u$, a cow: vâ hugá, a boar; vâ nī, a sow : khisi glo or lhisi um-bū, a hegoat; dam-rau, a cook, etc.

Number.-Number is, when necessary, denoted by means of numerals, or by adding some word conveying the idea of multitude, such as de, all ( $?$ ); $\bar{a}-n y e$, and annia, many; thus, $\bar{a} u \bar{a}-n y e$, fathers; fu-grā anniya, horses; bo-de lo-kho-de, goods, and so forth. I cannot analyse the plural suffixes in mi-mi ji-ju u, woman all (?) good, good women; $n a-r e ~ \bar{u} n u ̈-n \bar{a}$, to good men; sleh (i.e., sï-lö) ne-phe, dogs. The last mentioned suffix ne-phe is perhaps a demonstrative pronoun. Plurality is often indicated by adding plural pronouns. Thus, sitchù hâ-nā, tiger those, tigers; nü-nā fö-n $\bar{a}$, man those, men; $n \ddot{u}-n \bar{a} \bar{u} n \bar{a}-c h i$, man good them-of, of good men; lihes-n $\bar{a}$, goat they, goats; sām ni, daughter them-to, to daughters, and so on. Ja $\bar{a} u$, fathers, seems to mean your father(s).

Case.-The subject and the direct and indirect object are not, as a rule, marked by the addition of any suffix. An $i$ or $e$ is, however, often added. Thus, se-e hänya, that what, what is that $?$ si-tchì hâ-e nâ shē-nye, tiger that I shoot will; sapse-za ne-na-v-i ba-lain, servant man (he) called; eioi (i.e., $e-y$-u-i) chhuin, his-father-to (he) said; $s \bar{a} m-e h$, to a daughter, and so on. $N \bar{a}-i$, them to, is contracted to $n a i$ or $n i$; thus, $n \bar{a}$ nai khu me ji-m-lie, I them water some gave; sapse-khiri ni che-ne, servant them-to said, he said to the servants. Compare the corresponding suffix $a$ in Dafla and Miri.

The genitive is often expressed by simply putting the governed before the governing noun; thus, $f u-g \imath \bar{a}$ gro dsimic (Hesselmeyer), horse white saddle, the saddle of the whito horsc. The governed noun is, however, usually repeated by means of a pronoun. Thus, bau e-ni-ya mu-thu-s $\bar{x}$ ke-nia da, thy-father his-house-in male-child how-many are? how many sons are there in your father's house? suin e-lă, tree it's-bottom, under the tree; fu-gra grou saio zin, horse white its saddle, the saddle of the white horse.

A genitive suffix chhi, chi, thi, or $t i$ occurs in forms such as $n g a-c h h i, m y$; $\quad \pi-t i$, of a father, and so on.

The vocative may be marked by adding $\bar{a}$; thus, $\bar{a} u-w-\bar{a}, \mathrm{O}$ father.

[^17]Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are gù, ge, and ga, i.e., gui, in ; se, in; din and goyo, from; e-la, under; bra and vra, before; phum-ia, behind ; lure-du-ge, inside in; lure-du-goio, inside from ; $a$ or $i a$, in, with, and so on.

Adjectives.-Adjectives are often followed by a suffix which is written $z \bar{a}, s \bar{a}$, and seu; thus, $e-m \bar{i}-z \bar{a}$, thin ; $\bar{a}-k h \bar{a}-z \bar{a}$ and $\bar{a}-k h \bar{a}-8 \bar{a}$, alone, a single; khe-ri-seu, young. It is probably a verbal suffix; compare the suffix sö, chha, or chho of the past tense, and the corresponding use of the suffix $t \bar{a}$ in Lushēi and connected languages. The suffix $s \bar{a}$ seems to occur in the parable in sei gne-theu a-brew noko essami äkhäsā duse laledebi. Mr. Anderson gives nukuâ, rich, and 1 have, therefore, combined no-ko-essä as an adjective qualifying $m i$, a man. Ahihā $\bar{a}$ corresponds to Mr. Anderson's $\bar{a}-k h \bar{a}-z \bar{a}$, alone, and is used as an indefinite article. I translate the sentence 'that country in (?) rich man a that-with joined, he went and joined a rich man in that country.'

Adjectives usually follow, but occasionally also precede the noun they qualify; thus, $n \ddot{u}-n \bar{\alpha} \bar{u}$, a good man; $\bar{u} n \ddot{u}-n \bar{a}$, good men. A suffix $n a$ is sometimes added; thus, $e-m \bar{i}-s \bar{a}-n a$ gnya, small-being house, the small house ; khe-ri-seu-na sau, young-being son, the younger son. Such forms must be considered as relative participles. Adjectives are freely combined with rerbal sufixes; compare verbs.

The suffix of the comparative is fâ, also written phä, phou, pheye, and phau. Thus, e-nuï-mii i-ama pshii-phâ-dè, his brother is taller than his sister; min hâwi mukhu pshii-phâ, woman that man tall more, man is taller than woman; ke dedue seioh ge $u$-phou, clothes all them in good-more, the best cloth. Goyo is used as a particle of comparison in hâ nâ goyo bogó pheye umdod $\bar{a}$, this soil than that more good-is.

Numerals.-The numerals are given in the list of words. They follow the noun they qualify. They are not combined with generic particles.
$\bar{A}$, one, corresponds to $\bar{a}$ in Miri, $a-m \bar{a}$ in Meithci, $a i$ in Singphō, etc. $A-k h \bar{a}-s \bar{a}$, alone, seems to be a fuller form of the numeral; compare Dafáakkin-gá, Digāru é-khing, Chulikatā $e$ - $k h \bar{e}$. An instance has already been given of the use of $\bar{a}-\bar{k} h \bar{a}-s \bar{a}$ as an indefinite article. The final $s \bar{a}$ is probably the same suffix as has been mentioned under the head of adjectives.
$K s h i$, two, corresponds to Tibetan gnyis ; compare Aka chhi, Tibetan nyi, fish. Sir George Campbell gives gu-ni. Compare also Sunwàr nishi and similar forms in other Himalayan languages.
$Z_{u}$, three, is written tzï by Mr. Anderson and 'tse by Mr. Hesselmeyer. Zú probably represents the pronunciation $d z i u$. It probably contains a pretix $k$ corresponding to $g$ in Tibetan $g s u m$, three. Compare the forms $k s h i$ and shiu, gold.

Firi, four, corresponds to Digāru kāprei, Bârá brè, and similar forms in other Bodo languages. Compare the form fali, $l i$ in Lepcha, Kuki-Chin, and Kachin ; $l e$ in Burmese; $b l i$ in Mãgarī, and so on.

Phum or pfumu is probably derived from pa-nga and contains the usual numeral nga. Sir George Campbell gives bu-ngu. Similar forms occur in all other Tibeto-Burman languages; thus Rengmā Nāgã $p f i \ddot{u}$ and $p u \bar{u} n g$, five.

The higher numerals are formed by prefixing the multiplier to the numeral ' ten.' Thus, dzì-rì (Anderson), thirty; phumu-ru, fifty. Bi-sha, twenty, is borrowed. It also occurs in Dimāsā.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns,-
$b a$, thou.
jö or ze, you.
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$e$ or $i$, he, she, it. $n \bar{a}$, they.
$N a \operatorname{is~also~written~nga,~and~the~initial~was~originally~} n g$; compare Tibetan and Eurmese nga, ngà. Phu, I, in No. 162 is probably a pronoun with the meaning 'self.' It is also combined with other personal pronouns; thus, ngi-phu, we, jah-phu, thou, ' We' is $n i$ or $n g i$; compare Bhrāmu $n g \bar{a}, \mathrm{I}$; $n \bar{\imath}$, we,
$B \bar{a}$, thou, also occurs in the meaning 'you.' A similar form $b a-m i$, thou, is found in the Lyng-ngam dialect of Khassi. Another pronoun of the second person occurs in do-goio, of thee. Jö, jö-e or $z e$, you, is also written jah. Jah-phu occurs with the meaning 'thou.'

The personal pronoun of the third person is originally a demonstrative pronoun, and other demonstratives such as $f \ddot{0}$ and $h a ̈$ may be used in the same way. In the plural we find $n g \bar{a}$ and $n \bar{a}$, which may be added to other demonstratives; thus, fö-n $\bar{a}$, $h u ́-n \bar{a}$, they, and probably also b'gou-nä (Hesselmeyer), they; compare ná àu bugia $e-m i$-sa-na ngya ga re-da, my father that small house in lives; hâ ná goyo bogó pheye $u$-m-do-d $\vec{a}$, that soil from this more good-is, this soil is better than that. B'gou, bu-gia, or bo-gō thus seems to be a demonstrative pronoun pointing to something in sight. Rasa, their, only ocours in the list. A pronoun $d u$, he, she, it, seems to oocur in forms such as $d u$-ge, him-to ; $d u$-se, him-with.

The personal pronouns are combined with the usual case suffixes; thus, ngä-ge, in, of me; ba-chhi, of thee; jö-goio, from you, of you, etc. From sai, that, he, we find seiya and saio, his.

Demonstrative pronouns are sai, this, that; bo-gō, that (near) ; pfö, fö, be, that; $h \bar{a}$, that; khai, that. In the plural $n \bar{a}$ is added ; thus, $h \hat{a} \cdot n \bar{a}$, those.

There are no relative pronouns. Relative participles are formed by adding the suffix $n a$; thus, kheri-seu-na sau, younger-being son, the son who was youngest.

Demonstrative pronouns are often used as a kind of correlative; thus, ke dedue seioh ge u-phou sei lai-neh seh, cloth all those of good-more that taking put on, bring the best cloth and put it on him.

Interrogative pronouns are juah, $z u$, or $z e$, i.e., probably $z \ddot{u}$ or $z \ddot{0}$, who ? han, ha, or haniah, what? han-do, why ? ki-nia or khi-nia, how much ? how many?

Verbs.-Verbs do not change for gender, number, and person.
The usual verb substantive is $d u, d \hat{a}$, or $d \bar{a}$. Compare the corresponding forms in Miri and connected languages. This verb is frequently used as an auxiliary verb, as is also the case in Dafla, Miri, and Mishmi.

Present time.-The root alone is often used without any suffix. Thus, chhi khu-gù riut, fish water-in live, fishes live in the water.
$A, e$, and $i$, all probably different spellings of a verb substantive, are often added; thus, $i d u-a$ (Hesselmeyer), he is; ba gu-eh, thou strikest; khìsil sherie tsä-i (Anderson), goat grass eats, the goat eats grass. We is sometimes used instead of $e$; thus, nâ niil lìkshiu grä-dā-we, my house's roof rotten-is; masì nā rì̀-jo-we, birds they fy. I cannot decide whether the $w$ is euphonic or whether we is the fuller form. Compare Burmese $\bar{i}$, Kachin $a i$; Kuki-Chin $\bar{u}, e$, and $a i$; Nāgà $e$ and $w e$, and so on.
$N i$ or $n e$, probably another verb substantive, is often used in the same way; thus, $n a h g i-n e h$, i.e. $n \vec{a}$ gï-ne, I strike; nah guin, i.e. $n \vec{a} g \ddot{u}-n(i)$, I am striking. $\vec{d}$ or $e$ is sometimes added to this ni; thus, ba ni-ni han che-nia, thy name what call? what is thy name: ná khä-nie, I go.

A suffix $b \bar{i}, b e$, or $b u e h$, i.e., probably $b \ddot{u}$, is often used in the present tense. Thas, $b a k h a ̈-b u e h$, thou goest; nä gü-m-bī (Hesselmeyer), I strike; nah kha-ne-be, I go. This suffix is probably identical with Tibetan $p a, b a$. The $m$ in $g i \ddot{i}-m-b \dot{b}$ is perhaps an assertive or participial suffix.

Chho or chha ocours in forms such as $n i d u$-chho, we are ; na du-chha-y-a, they are. The $m \bar{a}$ in $b a d u$-cha-m $\bar{a}$, thou art, should be compared with Rong ma, and similar suffixes in connected dialects. Compare the $m$ in gii-m-bi, above.

The verb substantive $d u$, $d \bar{a}$ or $d \bar{a}$ is often used as an auxiliary. Thus, $i$ phùm nä-dă, his wife is-ill ; re-d $\bar{a}$, he is sitting; e gi-da-eh, he strikes; rawkhri-da-ya, he is tending; chha-due thu-deu annia-du, to-eat to-drink much-is, there is plenty of food. $M$ is often prefixed; thus, bā si-liù hâ-toe nâ si-liù há-voe $\bar{u}-p h a ́-n s-d \bar{a}$, thy dog that my dog that good-more-is, thy dog is better than mine.

The corresponding form of the verb substantive is $d \hat{a}-d \hat{a}$, etc., and this form is also used in order to form a periphrastic present. Thus, si-chì hâ-nā khùд̀̀̀ $\bar{a}-n y e ~ s \bar{a}-m$ $d \hat{a}-d \hat{a}$, tiger they goat many eat, tigers eat goats; hâ nennā $z i \bar{u}-\bar{a} n-d \bar{a}-d \bar{a}$, that man to-die-preparing-is, the man is dying.
$D e$ in $n g \bar{a} h k h a-d e-b e$, they go, is probably identioal with $d \vec{a}$, etc.
Past time. -There is no marked difference between the present and past times. The root alone is also used to denote the past; thus, e nâ shiü, i.e., shï, him I struck; $e n a \hat{a} m \bar{a} s h u ̈$, him I not struck.
$N i$ or $n e$ occurs in forms such as che-ne, he said ; nâ di-n, i went ; ná gàing, I struck, and so on.
$B i$ is very often used in the past tense; thus, $n \hat{a} n \bar{a}-i \underline{k} u$ me $j i-m$-bie, I themto water some gave; la-le-de-bi, he joined; ni kha-m-bi, we went; kha-khu-da-bi, he went.

The suffix $m$ in $j i-m-b i-e$, gave, is also used in connexion with other suffixes; thus, $i d u$-me-re-de, he was; la-khe-ri-me-re-de, he gathered; kha-ri-melare-ze, he divided. $M e$ and $m e h$ in these forms is probably identical with $m$. We find this suffix used alone in forms such as ma-kha-meh, he did not enter; hä-deo di-m-vö, why came-interrogativeparticle, why have you come? The $m \bar{a}$ in forms such as $b a$ di-máa, thou wentest; jah khammā, you went, is perhaps the sume sufix.

The $c h u$ in nah du-chu, they were, is probably connected with the suffix ch $\bar{o}, c h \bar{u}$ in Därmiyā, etc. In phu-e du-chun, I was, it has been combined with n(i). Jalb-phu du* $c h h a-m \bar{a}$, thou wast, should be compared with $d u$-cha-mä, art. The form nah gui-chhua, I was striking, I had struck, seems to show that the suffix is not chu but cho or chii. Mr. Hesselmeyer gives sö and se; thus, nä du-sö, I was; näa klab-se, I went. Compare Dārmiyà sō.

The verb substantive is used in forms such as isda, i.e. ishiï-dă, he struck ; jah $i s h-d a$, you struck ; ngah $k h a-d e-b i$, they went. Gri-dain, he has married, probably contains the verb dau or deu, to make.

A suffix lai occurs in forms such as nennā phie-nā di$-l a i$, men those came, the men have come; sei-khe-lain, he smelt, he kissed; ba-lain, he asked (?) ; lah bangin dau-dalain, thou feast madest.

The suffix of the Future is nie or nye, also written $n y \vec{a}$. Thus, nit gii-nie, I shall strike; enâ shi-nyä, him I strike-will. Ne is often used instead, and this form is probably identical with the corresponding form for the present and past times. Thus, ngah
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sne, i.e. nä shü-ne, they will strike. Bi may be added; thus, liha-ne-bi, I will go; sip$z e u-s i p-z i-n e-b i$, we will be merry and glad, let us make merry.

Another suffix of the future is ve; thus, chhaveh, I will say; ba sheue, i.e. ba shü-ve, thou wilt strike. Compare present.

The root alone is also used as an Imperative. Thus, khu-niù di, quickly come; shù me jiù, firewood some bring; la, take. A suffix e, vee, or lueh is often added; thus, $z \bar{a} r \bar{a}$ d $\bar{a}-w e$, rice cook; age-lao-y-e, take; cha-bueh, eat.

In gi-gueh, strike, the root is apparently reduplicated.
A suffix chhe occurs in la-chhe, take.
A prefix $b i, b e, b \ddot{u}$, or ve occurs in several forms; thus, $b i-d i-b \bar{i}$, go; be-shiu, strike; $b i i-d z u ̈-b \bar{u}$, die ; ve-tchu, give. It will be seen that it is sometimes combined with a suffix $b \bar{i}$. In nâ be-shī-shù-bù, me strike, this suffix has taken the form bì, the preceding shì probably corresponding to the reflective particle $s h \bar{u}$ in Daffa and Miri.

A suffix in occurs in plural forms such as leh-in and da-in, put ye.
The root alone is also used as a Verbal noun. Thus, hâ phurdie lä-le-niù siunī siū $d i$-we, that axe taking tree to-cut go; ì sùrù lā-le-niiu masì biū di-dye, he gun taking bird to-shoot went.

A suffix deu or due, probably derived from the verb deu or $d a u$, to do, is used in the parable in forms such as sa-deu, to eat; rukri-deu, to tend ; bjiva-deu, dancing (?) ; thu-mah-da-deu, to feast. It is probably identical with $d u$ in $t h a d d u$, food.

A suffix bu occurs in forms such as sibji-de-bu, to make merry; che-bue, to call. $\boldsymbol{G} i-p h i-n e h$, to strike, seems to contain another suffix $p h i$ of the future tense. The same form is also translated 'I may beat' in the list. Mr. Anderson has na didjiiu d $\bar{a}-p h \bar{\imath}$ $z u ̀-b \bar{u}-n i u ̈, ~ I ~ w o r k ~ t o-d o ~ u n d e r s t a n d . ~$

Participles.-The relative participle has been mentioned with relative pronouns. Viddeu, a cultivator, and kishi-rakkhru, a goat-tender, are nouns of agency, and seem to contain a suffix $u$. Another suffix $b a$ occurs in dokhān-ba, a shopkeeper; compare the Tibetan article $p a, b a$.

Adverbial participles are apparently formed by adding di-neh; thus, $u$-di-neh, well; $k h o-l o-d i-n e h$, as a servant. The real suffix is probably neh, nyâ, or nyù; compare khu$n y a \hat{a}$ or $k h u-n y \ddot{u}$ quickly. In the Parable we find khu-tho-neh, quickly, containing a suffix tho which is probably identical with the suffix $d i$ in $u$-di-neh, well. Compare deu or dau, to do.

The suffix niü is also used in order to form a conjunctive participle. It has been written in various ways, as nyù, niya, neh, etc. Thus, nä bazār goyì di-nyì âlgì lälien, I bazaar from going rice brought; hâ giù hä-e dokhān-ba goyì phì-niù lālien, that cloth that shopkeeper from buying brought, I bought that cloth from a shopkeeper; zuiya phu-niya la-vah, whom-from buying took, from whom did you buy it? dinneh, having come out; zi-niah, having died.
$L e$ is often prefixed to niü ; thus, lā-le-niù, having taken, with; gi-le-neh, having struck; kha-thi-le-neh, having gone; khu-zu-linge, having arisen.

Leh is sometimes used in the same way ; thus, ma-hou-ze-chi-leh, having been lost ; khakh-leh, having gone, again.
$N y u ̈$ and $l e$ are probably identical with the Tibetan suffixes nas and lus.
Other participles are che-da-re, having said; kha-me-bi, gone; khâ-khu-mc, having seen; di-phi-li, having gone, etc.

Many Compound verbs occur, but I have not been able to analyse them. Chi or $t h i$ seems to intensify the meaning ; thus, kha-thi-le-neh, having gone; ma-hou-ze-chi-leh, having been lost. It is perhaps, however, only a suffix of the past.
$\underline{K h} u$ is added in many verbs ; thus, kha-khu, to go ; tho-khu, to see ; ma-cha-khu, did not eat, and so forth. It does not seem to add anything to the meaning.

Mr. Anderson gives shiü, i.e. shü, to kill, which is a causal of dzü, to die. It corresponds to Tibetan causals after the type intransitive initial $g$, causative $k h$.

There is no Passive voice. Nah gi-dah, i.e. nâ gü-dè, I am struck, literally means my striking-is; nah singeh bua, I shall be struck, scems to be miswritten for nâ shü-ne--iia, me (he) will-strike. I do not understand suy $\bar{a}$ in nah gidah suyā, I was struck. It probably means ' then'; compare lhe $-y \bar{a}$, when?

The Negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$ or $m$; thus, e $n a \hat{a} m a ̈-s h \ddot{u}$, him I not struck; $m a ̈-d z i$, gavest-not; mikzeu, bad. $N$ is substituted for $m$ in nkzeu, bad; compare Pronunciation.

The Interrogative particles are $v o, v a, b a$, or $b h a$, and $m \bar{a}$; thus, $b \bar{a} l \bar{u} h a ̈ n ~ v i e-~$ $d \bar{a}-v o$, thy mind what thinking-is ? zuiya phu-niya la-vah, whom-from being took, from whom did you buy it $\mathfrak{P}$ phu-grā adiat ki-nia-ba, horse old how-much, how old is this horse ? lhai ha-da-rin-bha, that what-is $? ~ l \bar{u} j \bar{u}$ s $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, breakfast ate, have you eaten breakfast? The interrogative particle is often dropped when the sentence contains an interrogative pronoun. Thus, ba ni-ni han che-ni-a, thy name what say, what is your name?

The usual order of words is subject, object, verb.

TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY.
AKA.
(The Reiv. Russel Payne, 1900.)
North Assam Group.

Ni-nā ā sei-ya mu-khu sa kshe. Khe-ri-seu-na sau seinh Man one him-to male childs two. Younger-being son his
eioi chhuin, 'āu-wā, ba bo-lo-kho no-je (i.e. nâ-chhi) bo-dau sei father-to said, 'father-O, thy goods-of my share that no zä.' Taleneh bo-de-lo-kho-de khari-meh-re-ze namkhor-se. Khe-ri-sa-pho me give.' Then goods divided them-to. Younger-son seih bo-lo-kho $i$ la-khe-ri-me-re-de, utka la-khe-ri-le-neh e-ra-geh ne-theu that goods he gathered, all(?) collected-having far country ä-ge kha-thi-le-neh ta-le-neh seiyah nenna mikzen na bo-se-nāh bā one-to gone-having then there men bad them joining(?) property (?) sai khazin. Ta-le-neh seiyah utka khats-me-re-deh sei ne-thu sei that squandered. Then there all(?) squandered that country that ma-theu-ma-bho di-ne. Sei sa-deu thu-den ma-theu-ma-bho di-ne. Sei in-want became. He eat-to drink-to wanting became. That gne-theu abrew noko-essa-mi ā-khā-sã du-se la-le-de-bi. Sei bho country in rich-man one him-with joined. His pigs rukri-deu-se khak-din. Sei bho-na thaddu e-ttheu-e-khu sei sa-ngeh
tend-to go-made. He pigs food(?) husks that eat-would ta-ha-li-na ma-sak-del. Seiah itchhe daukhein, seioh i-tchin, 'seioh ou but not-ate-even. Then thought made, then he-said, 'there father's(?) titu ania chha-due thu-deu annia-du, nah i ma-cha-khu. Ya servants(?) many eat-to drink-to much-is, I here hunger. Now nah ãu etthi e-ni-ge kha-ne-bi gna dinna auu-i chha-veh, $I$ father him-of near go-will $I(?)$ going(?) futher-to say-will, "āu-ah, nah aioh osra i-vra sei nah nkzeu da-da; nah "father- $O$, $I$ father heaven(?) before there $I$ evil did; $I$ ba-seu ngah che-bue aiah-nah deu ma-da-phi; noi ba gneu thy-son me call-to now-as more(?) not-worthy; me thy house kho-lo-di-ne la."' Se-nah che-da-re liu-zu-linge iy-au itchh-ge klahin. servant-as keep."' Thus saying arising(?) his-father him-of-to went. E-ra-geh re-da sei ey-eu i kho-khuin, kho-khu-li-neh nilvo-di-ne, Far was then his-father him saw, loved, nilvo-ve-le-neh lu-khro-neh khu-tho-neh jeh-zeu sei enro iyah loving pitying quickly ran his neck that-ons sei-khe-lain. Sei e-sou i chhain, 'āu-āh, ba-theu e-ni-siai nah smelt (kissed). T'hat his-son him said, 'father-O, thy eye-irs I
läla dahing, iyah ba-seu ngeh chem-jeh ba ne-thi nogo ma-da-ni.' sin did, and thy-son me to-call thou not-proper-is.' Kina-di-neh aiyeu sapse-khiri-ni che-ne, 'ke de-due seioh-ge But his-father servants-to said, 'cloths all them-among
u-phou sei lai-neh seb, ekji-ze sei gitlleh lehin, eksi good-more that bringing put-on, his-finger that-on ring pul, his-foot ge-deh sitha da-in; iyah chlja-le-neh thu-le-neh sip-zeu-sip-zi-ne-bi; on-also shoes put; now eating drinking merry-le-let-us; āngāsā seih ze-le•nel, seiyah khak-leh ohhe-neh; sei ma-hou-ze-ohi-leh, child this died-having, now again alive-is; he lost-being, chhe-da-bi.' Syalı nah sibji-de-bu da-da-bi.
found-again.' Then they to-make-merry began.
Sei e-sou mo-kau-sei pathari geioh kba-khu-da-bi. Sei i Then his-son elder-that fields from went. Then he khaueh gneh e-theu kha-khu-da-bi seioh i sedu bjiva-deu came house towards went then he music(?) dancing di-khu-lei-neh, seioh i sapse-za-ne-na-vi balain, 'klai ha hearing, then he poor-man (aservant) called, 'this what da-rin-bha?' Seioh i du-ge chbe, 'seioh ba seu-na-keu sai is-being-done?' Then he him-to said, 'there thy brother he kla-khu-da-bi, bou i radz-ni zara geuah.' Seioh i lu-chhvi-neh has-come, thy-father he rejoicing rice gave.' Then he angry-being ni-geh ma-kha-meh; sei kho-le-neh eiycu dinneh kakati-daueh house-in not-entered; that seeing his-father coming to-enter ngeh-sleain. Seioh aiyao-ih ohi-chuin, 'kho-soueb, nah anioah erra entreated(?). Then his-father-to said, 'look, I many years ma-kha-khu-ru reukhin ba nui chera daueh ngeh-sleain, ba anioalh not-departing(?) observed thou me work do entreated(?), thy many sidabu-seh bah ukhun ma-zu ma-dai-neh. Tam-deh ba nui years(?) thy command disobey not-did. Nevertheless thou me khisi-sah ā-deh ma-dzi nah ja nai nah subji-kbiai-ngh dākha. goat-young one-even not-gavest to-make-merry.
Iyah eseu seih kha-khu-neh nu-deh dau-khu-lenge seioh aineah khau, Now the-son this going done-having. then near came, bah bangin seseioh-i dau-da-lain.' Seioh i itchhin, 'sau, kseu-deu thou feast (?) him-for(?) madest.' And he said, 'son, always ba nau-thiai ren, na-sam anioah du khai ba-tchi-khoa; iah thon me-with art, my-property as-much is that thine; now $\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { ngi-phu } & \text { thu-mah-da-deu } & \text { ve-dal. } & \text { Han-deu? ba-seu } & \text { zi-nial, } \\ \text { we } & \text { to-feast } & \text { proper-is. } & \text { Why? } & \text { thy-brother } & \text { died-having, }\end{array}$ khakh-leh chbe-m-lii ; ba la-chhu-m-bi.' again alive-is; thou foundest-again.'

## ABOR-MIRI, AND DAFLA.

Abor, Miri, and Daflä are Assamese names for a tribe which inhabits the mountains between the Assam Valley and Tibet. Many ol them, especially of the Miris, are now settled within British territory, in Lakhimpur, Sibsagar, and Darrang.

The tribe has a strong Mongolian type, especially the Abors, who lave only in late time begun to settle within British territory.

The Abors occupy the mountains to the north of Sadiya about the Dihang and Dibang rivers. They are apparently a numerous tribe. Mr. Needham remarks that we know of some 20,000 , and that we are aware that there are very many more to the north again of those we know of. In British territory there were only some 170 Abors in the Lakhimpur district reported during the preliminary operations of this survey. The corresponding figure at the last Census of 1901 was 357.

The Abors are subdivided into numerous clans or minor tribes. They are at present blockaded by the English, and we have no communication with them.

The Abor dialect is almost identical with Miri. The Pāsi and Minyōngs, two other numerous tribes inbabiting the hills on the right bank of the Dihang, also speak the same language. No separate specimens have been given. A list of standard words and phrases in Abor, so far as this dialect differs from Miri, has been kindly prepared by Mr. J. F. Needham and has been printed after the Miri list.

The Miris occupy the hills to the west of the Abors and extend to about $94^{\circ}$ north latitude. They have also been settled in the Assam Valley for a long time. They were pushed down by the Abors, and these Miris are generally believed to have been slaves to that tribe. In the Assam Valley they were conquered by the Ahoms.

According to Mr. Needham, the Miris who reside on the banks of the Brahmaputra, Dihang, and Dibang rivers, in the neighbourhood of Sadiya, call themselves Mishing, and are of the Shaiyāng, Oiyān, Chūtīya, Dāmbūk, and Shūmwāng clans, each of which is divided into numerous sub-divisions. Mī-shing means 'a Shing man,' and is identical with $n y \bar{\imath}-s i n g$ which name the Daflā use to denote themselves.

The Assamese Miris are now found in Darrang, Nowgong, and, above all, in Sibsagar and Lakhimpur. Their numbers are returned as follows :-


In Darrang they are found in the eastern part of the district, in villages on the Bhareli river, and to the east of it. In Sibsagar Miri is spoken in the north-west corner,
opposite Lakhimpur. In Lakhimpur we find the tribe in the north-east corner and on the north bank of the Brahmaputra.

I am indebted to Mr. J. F. Needham for two specimens and a list of standard words and phrases in the dialect spoken by the Shaiyang clan. With regard to the other olans no specimens have been available. So far as we know, however, all Miris practioally speak the same language.

The Daflās occupy the hills to the west of the Miris. Sir William Robinson, in his notes on the Dafläs, states that they extend from $92^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ to about $94^{\circ}$ north latitude. They have, in later times, also settled in British territory, in Darrang and Lakhimpur. We find them in the eastern part of the Darrang district, in villages on the Ehareli river, and to the east of it, and in the west of Lakhimpur, on the Darrang border, north of the Bralimaputra.

The numbers of Daflās within British territory at the Census of 1891 were as follows:-


The corresponding total at the last Census of 1901 was 805 , of whom 403 were enumerated in Darrang and 395 in Lakhimpur.

The Daflās of Lakbimpur call themselves Nyī-sing, i.e., 'Sing-men.' Mr. Robinson states that the Daflās call themselves Bāngni. The dialect described by him is, according to Mr. Hamilton, probably that spoken at Helem or Behali, in the Darrang district.

The Daflās are subdivided into numerous clans, and several dialects seem to exist. The western form of speech is apparently widely different from that used in the east, but our information is limited to a few words given by Mr. Hamilton as an appendix to his grammar.

The two specimens of Dafā printed below have been taken from Mr. Hamilton's grammar. The list of words is due to Mr. H. N. Colquhoun, I.C.S., but has been altered so as to agree with the forms given by Mr. Hamilton.

## AUTHORITIES-

Brown, Rev. N.,-Oomparison of Indo-Ohinese Languages. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. vi, 1837 , pp. 1023 and ff. Contains a note on Abor on p. 1026; vocabrlaries Alka, i.e., Dafiè, and Ābor on p. 1032.
Dalton, Lieot. J. T. E.,-On the Meris ard Abors of Assamn. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xiv, Part i, 1845, pp. 426 and fi.
Robinson, Willam,-Notes on the Languages spoken by the various tribes inhabiting the valloy of Assam and its mountain confines. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xviii, Part i, 1849, pp. 183 and ff. Contains a Miri grammar on pp. 224 and ff ; a Miri and Abor vocabalary on pp. 230 and ff. The Abor vocabalary is by the Rev. N. Brown.
Hodason, B. H.,-On the Aborigines of the Eastern Frontier. Journal of the Asiatio Society of Bengal, Vol. xviii, Part ii, 1849, pp. 967 and ff. Reprinted in Misoellaneous Essays relating to Indian Subjects. Vol. ii, London, 1880, pp. 19 and ff. Contains Abor and Miri vocabolaries by the Rev. N. Brown.
Robinson, $W_{m,-N o t e s ~ o n ~ t h e ~ D o p h l a ́ s ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ p e c u l i a r i t i e s ~ o f ~ t h a i r ~ L a n g u a g e . ~ J o u r n a l ~ o f ~ t h e ~}^{\text {L }}$ Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xx, 1852, pp. 126 and ff.
Beameg, J.,-Outlines of Indian Philology with a map shewing the distribution of Indian Languages. Calcutta, 1867. Appendix A contains the numerals in Abor, Miri, and Dofla.
Honter, Sir W. W.,-A Oomparative Dictionary of the Langagas of India and High deia. London, 1868. Contains an Abor-Miri vocabalary.

VOL. III, PAKI I.

Dalton, Edward Tuite,-Descriptive Ethnology of Bengal. Calcatta, 1872. Account of the Abor Gronp on pp. 21 and ff. ; the Miris on pp. 28 and ff. ; the Dophlas on pp. 35 and ff. Vocabaleriea, Abor (after Brown), Miri (after Robingon), and Doplala (after Robinson) on pp. 73 and ff. The last mentioned vocabulary is essentially the same as the first vocabulary of Angka or Hrasso, reprinted in the same place after Robinson's Assam (1841), p. 339. It is Datā, and not Aka.
Campbell, Sir Georae,-Spacimans of Languagas of India including those of the Aboriginal Tribes of Bengal, the Oentral Provinces, and the Eastern Frontier. Calcatta, 1874. Dafla, Miri, Abor, etc., on Pp. 238 and ff. Another Miri list on pp. 221 and ff.
Staok, E.,-Report on the Census of Assam for 1881. Calcatta, 1883. Account of Miris, Daphláa, and Abors on Pp. 86 and ff. by E. S.
Nefdeam, J. F.,-Outline Grammar of the Shai'yáng Miri Language as spokan by the Miris of that Clan residing in the neighbourhood of Sadiya. With illustrative sentences, phrase-book and vocabulary. Shillong, 1886.
Gait, E. A.,-Censua of India, 1891. Assam. Vol. I.-Report. Shillong, 1892. Note on Abor, Miri, and Daffé on pp. 183 and f. ; note on the tribes on pp. 221 and $f$.
Hamilton, R. C.,-An Outline Grammar of the Dafla Language as spoken by the Tribos immediately south of the Apa Tanang Country. Shillong, 1900.
The dialects spoken by the Miris and the Daflas are so closely connected that they may be considered as one and the same language. I have therefore made a combined sketch of the grammatical features of both, dealing with them in parallel columns where the difference between them is so great that their description cannot be combined without incurring the risk of obscurity. The materials which I have used are as follows :-

The sketch of Miri grammar is based on Mr. Needham's grammar of Shaiyāng Miri. With regard to Dafā, I have analysed the forms occurring in Mr. Hamilton's hand-book so far as I have been able to do so, and based my sketch on this analysis. I have drawn attention to the instances where the Dafla dialect described by Mr. Robinson differs. Mr. Hamilton's Daflā is the dialect spoken beyond British territory to the north of North Lakhimpur town. The dialect described by Mr. Robinson is stated to be spoken in Darrang. For details the student is referred to the grammars just quoted.

Pronunciation.-Miri and Daflà abound in vowels. Thus we find $a, e, i, o$, and $u$, short and long, and besides these $\hat{a}$, the sound of $a$ in English 'all,' and $\ddot{u}$, the sound of $\ddot{\ddot{ }}$ in German ' Mühe.' Miri also seems to possess the vowel $\ddot{\partial}$, the sound of $\ddot{\partial}$ in German 'schön,' in the word which Mr. Needham spells inqua, what? The sound of qua in inqua, he says, is almost like that of qui in English 'quirk.' I have therefore written in-kwö.

There is apparently often an interchange between long and short vowels. Thus, we find Miri $m a-t a$ and $m \bar{a}-t \bar{a}$, search; k $\bar{e}-m \bar{o}$, and $k e-m \bar{o}$, dark, etc. Mr. Hamilton remarks that the interchange between long and short vowels largely depends on the cadence of the sentence.

The pronunciation of vowels is apparently sometimes, especially in unaccented syllables, rather indistinct, and there are several instances of interchange between different vowels.

Miri:-
$A$ and $e$ are interchangeable in unaccented syllables. Thus we find the locative suffix written $m a$ and $m e$, and the suffix of the ablative is lok-ka and lok-ke.

## Daflā:一

$A$ and $e$ are sometimes interchanged. Thus, sa-ta te-na, elephant female; nyemm, woman, probably from $n y \bar{i}$, a human being; $d \hat{a}-d n a$ and $d \hat{a}-d n e$, is, etc.
$A$ and $\ddot{u}$ both occur in $\bar{a}-n a$ and $\bar{a}-n \ddot{u}$, mother.
$A$ seems to be interchangeable with $\bar{u}$ and $\vec{a}$ in the verb substantive, which occurs in the forms $d \bar{a} k, d \bar{a} n g, d \bar{u} n g, d \bar{u}$, and $d \hat{a}$.

The vowels $\vec{a}$ and $\bar{o}$ are often interchanged; thus, $\bar{a}-k a \hat{a}$, and $\bar{a}-k \bar{o}$, a, one ; gâg-lä, calling ; gök-tō, called, etc.
$O$ is interchangeable with $a u$ in $\bar{\delta}-m a$, daughter, from $a u$, child. $\overparen{O}$ is substituted for $\bar{o}$ before $a i$ in the suffix $t \bar{u}-a i$; thus, $k \bar{a}-t \bar{o}$, and $k \bar{a}-t \bar{u}-a i$, saw.

The diphthong $u i$ is sometimes pronounced $u \bar{\imath}$ and also $i \bar{i}$; thus, mui, mu $\bar{\imath}$, and $m \ddot{u}$, to wish. It is sometimes replaced by $\bar{u}$, thus, $b u i$, he; bu$-l \bar{u}$, they; mum-buir and muimbuir, a young woman. Ui seems to be substituted for a final $\ddot{u}$ when a vowel follows; thus, $g \bar{i}-p i \ddot{u}$, he will go; $g \bar{i}-p u i-\bar{a}$, will he go?

Many of theso changes are apparently the result of a kind of sandhi. There are also some traces of a kind of 'harmonic sequence.' Thus, the particle kü which often occurs after the future suffix $p \ddot{u}$ is probably identical with the affirmative particle $k \bar{u}$. Instances are $b \bar{u}-l \bar{u}$ nōm $p \bar{a}-p \ddot{u}-k \ddot{u}$, they you strike-will ; bet- $p \ddot{u}-k \ddot{u}$, it will break. Compare bui gī-kīng-kī, he has departed.

A short vowel in an unacoented syllable is sometimes dropped; thus, $k \bar{a}-p \bar{z}-k \bar{a}-n$ $n g a ̈-l \bar{u}-k a \hat{e}-k u \bar{u} m-l \hat{a}$, what-is our house-in? bui kā-piï $\bar{\imath}$-tō-n, he how did? how did he do it? In these instances the interrogative particle $n a$ has been abbreviated to $n$. The accent rests on the penultimate, or, if the interrogative particle be reckoned as a syllable, on the antepenultimate. Compare the accent in n $\hat{a}-k a \bar{a}-m i l k ~ d a ~ k \bar{a}-$ $p \bar{i}-k \bar{a} \cdot$-na, your eyes they what-is? what is the matter with your eyes? $n \vec{a} k \bar{a}-p \bar{p}-$ $l \bar{a} i-t \bar{o}$ '-na, you why did? why did you do it ? [n the last two instances the accent
$A, \bar{u}$, and $\ddot{u}$ all occur in the verb $\bar{u}$, to go; thus, $\bar{a}$-tla, coming; $\bar{u}-n n a$, went ; $\ddot{u}$-lyäm, on coming.
$A$ and $o$ are often interchanged; thus $h a ̂ b$ and ho-b, for; hâklea and hokka, from, etc.
$U i$ and $o$ are sometimes interchanged; thus, bor, younger brother; buir-ma, younger sister.

In many cases there seems to be a kind of assimilation between the vowels of neighbouring syllables. Thus, le-kin, time-one; $l \bar{\imath}-n y i$, times-two; $l \bar{u}-\bar{u} m$, timesthree, etc. The $\ddot{\ddot{u}}$ in $\ddot{\ddot{u} l y \bar{a} m \text {, going, from }}$ $\vec{u}-d b a$, to $\mathrm{g} \cap$, is perhaps due to such an assimilation. It may, however, be due to a contraction of $\bar{u}$ and $i$, an $i$ being usually prefixed to $l y$.

Short final vowels are often dropped. Thus the final $a$ in the male suffix $b a$ and the female suffix $n a$. Compare $\bar{i}-k i \bar{i} k i-b$, dog male; $\bar{i}-k \bar{\imath} k \bar{i}-n$, a bitch; but sa-ta ta$b a$, a he elephant; sa-ta ten-na, a she elephant. Other instances of dropping of the final vowel are mü-g and mü-ga, his; ngấl and ngá-lu, we, etc. Even long vowels are often dropped; thus, $k \bar{u}$ and $k$, again; ezzī and ezz, cloth, eto.
rests on the syllable immediately preceding $n a$, and $a$ is not dropped.

The $e$ of the accusative suffix em is often dropped when added to a pronoun ending in a vowel. Thus, bui-m, him; $b \bar{u}-l \bar{u}-m$, them; sim, this; dem, that, eto. The form dem is probably formed from a theme $d \bar{e}$ which oocurs in $d \bar{e}-p \bar{i}-i \bar{a}$, therefore, etc., and not directly from da, that.

In other cases the hiatus remains; thus, $\bar{a}-b \bar{u}-e m$, the father; mī-ma-em, a woman, etc. In le-m-ūm-ká, three times, a euphonic $n_{b}$ is inserted between the two vowels.

Final consonants are sometimes silent; thus, ōid, high; ōi-y $\bar{a}$, higher; gád and $g a ̂$, disinclined ; sīt and sī, die; māk-bō, brother-in-law; $m \bar{a}-m \bar{o}, \quad$ sister-in-law. Final $n g$ has apparently a rather faint sound and is often dropped ; thus, dä-lūng and $d \hat{a}-l \bar{u}$, village; $\bar{a} n g$ and $\bar{a}$, come, etc. It is apparently freely added after a final long vowel; thus, jūt āng, shoe, from Hindī jūtē ; rūng and $r \cdot \bar{u}$, very; pāng$n e$, female slave, but $p a \bar{a} k-b \bar{o}$, male slave; $d \bar{u}-t \bar{a} k$, a year, but tāng-ny $\bar{z}-k \hat{a}$, two years, etc. In the two last instances pang-ne and täng.nyi-kâ, ng is perhaps directly derived from $k$ before the following $n$, and not merely added after the dropping of $k$.

Hard and soft consonants are sometimes interchanged; thus, $g \dot{a} g-m \bar{o}-t o-k \bar{a}$, let him catch, from $g \bar{a} k$, to catch ; gag-lā, calling, from $g a \hat{a} k$, to call; dāg-ai, was, from $d \bar{a} k$, to be; $\vec{a} b$-d $\hat{a}$-dem, slooting, from $\bar{a} p$, to shoot; po-rōk rōk-páa, fowl male, a male fowl, but shä-ben ben-bä, a he-goat. In such cases the interchange between hard and soft consonants is due to a kind of assimilation to the surrounding sounds.
$L$ and $n$ are sometimes interchanged; thus, em-nā, saying ; làng-liùm-là, gathering. The sutfixes $l \bar{a}$ and $n \bar{a}$ in these instanoes apparently correspond to libetan las, nas, respectively.

The $a$ of the acousative sulfix $a m$ is usually dropped when added to pronouns ending in a vowel. Thus, häm, that; $n g a ̈ m$, me, etc. Compare bor-am, the younger brother ; nyi-am, the man, etc.

The consonants seem to be distinctly sounded. In comparing Mr. Robinson's Daflā with that described by Mr. Hamilton, it will, however, be seen that a final consonant has sometimes been dropped. Thus, Gamilton $\bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, Robinson $l \bar{a} k$, hand; Hamilton a-nyi, Robinson $n y \bar{u} k$, eye; Hamilton $\bar{a}$, Robinson $\bar{a} n g$, go, eto.

The consonant $h$ in Mr. Hamilton's grammar is apparently often very indistinctly sounded. Thus, the suffix of the locative is given as $h \hat{a}$, but is probably $\boldsymbol{a}$; compare $a u-w$ - $\boldsymbol{a}$, top-on. The suffix of the nominative is usually $a$, but is also often written $h a$; the numeral 'three' occurs as hom-gá and om-gâ, etc.

Hard and soft consonants are sometimes interchanged; thus, $\bar{\imath}-k \bar{\imath} k \bar{\imath}-l$, $\operatorname{dog}$ male; porâ ro-p, fowl male; jem-pl and chem$p l$, forty, etc.
$N$ and $m$ are occasionally interchanged; thus, chen-dna, he knows; chem-mā, he does not know. There seems to be, in such cases, a kind of assimilation. Daflá $n$ often corresponds to Miri $n$; thus, Daflā $n y \bar{\imath}$, Miri $\bar{a}-m \bar{z}$, man, etc.
$L$ and $n$ are occasionally interchanged; thus, $m \bar{u}-l \bar{a}$, thinking; $\bar{u}-k-n a$, coming back. Compare however the Thibetan suffixes las and nas.
$B$ is substituted for $v$ in $b \bar{e}-l \bar{a} b-d \bar{u} n g$, it is slippery, from $b \vec{e}-l \bar{a} v$, slippery ; tāt-beg, hear-can, from veg, can, etc. The preceding or following sound is in both cases a surd consonant.
$L, m$, and $n$ are often doubled. Thus, $n \bar{u}$-lā-ella, taking away; nyemm, i.e. $n y \bar{i}-m a$, a woman; $\bar{a} p-d e n n a$, i.e. $\bar{a} p, d e$, and the suffix na, etc.

Ly has apparently a sound corresponding to that of $l l$ in Frencb 'ailleurs.' This sound is often written ily by Mr. Hamilton. Thus, nâ ùmmá, thou wentestnot, but nâ ùmmai-lyē, didst thou not
 $\bar{u}-i l y a ̄ m$, coming, etc.

The accent usually rests on the penultimate. There are, however, several exceptions. For details the student is referred to the grammars of Messrs. Needham and Hamilton. There is apparently much more change in the accentuation in Dafla than in Miri.

Tones.-Miri is said to abound in tones, but no attempt has ever been made to describe them. We have no information about tones in Dafià.

Prefixes.-Most Miri words consist of two or more syllables. Monosyllables suche as $\bar{u}$, a bow ; liō, a child ; yâ, a night, are comparatively rare. In Daflà monosyllabic words are much more common, though they, in some cases, are only apparently monosyllables, a final vowel having been dropped, as in $\bar{a} b$ from $\bar{a}-b o$, a father. Miri and Daflā agree in using otiose prefixes. The most common prefix of this kind seems to be $a$ or $\bar{a}$, used before nouns and adjectives. Thus, Miri $\bar{a}$-bü, father ; $\bar{a}-n \ddot{u}$, mother ; $\bar{a}-l \vec{a} k$, hand; $\bar{a}-k i$, belly ; $\vec{a}-s \bar{\imath}$, water ; $\bar{a}-p u i$, all ; $\bar{a}-n \bar{u}$, new; $\bar{a}-n i n$, near, etc.; Dafla $\bar{a}-b o$, father; $\bar{a}-n a$, mother; $\bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, hand; aiyé, belly; $\bar{a} s s a ̂$, long; $a-n \bar{u}$, quick. This prefix is connected with the Burmese prefix $a$ which is used in the formation of nouns and adjectives, and with the Tibetan prefix $a$ in words such as $a-m a$, mother; a-phyi, grandmother ; a-thō-ba, beautiful, etc. We may compare the prefix a in the Kuki and Nāgā languages and perhaps the demonstrative pronoun $a$ in many Tibeto-Burman languages.

The same, or a similar, prefix also occurs in the forms $\bar{e}, \bar{z}, o$, and $\bar{u}$ or $\dot{u}$.
$\dot{E}$ or $e$ occurs in Miri $\bar{e}-n g a ̆$, fish; $\bar{e}-k \bar{u}, \operatorname{dog} ; \bar{e}-k \bar{u} m$, house; $\bar{e}-p \bar{u} k$, arrow, etc. ; Daflà $e$-hi, tooth ; e-yin, potato ; e-zzz, cloth, etc.
$I$ or $i$ is apparently identical with e. Thus, Dafta $\bar{i}-k \bar{\imath}, \operatorname{dog}$; illyi, pig; isshi, water, etc. I have not found any certain instances in Miri.
$O$ is also apparently peculiar to Daflā; thus, oppo, Miri $\bar{a}-p \bar{n} n g$, liquor ; opp $\bar{u}$, Miri $\bar{a}-p \bar{u} n$, flower ; $\bar{o}-p \bar{u}$, Miri $\bar{e}-p \bar{u} k$, arrow, etc.
$\dot{U}$ and $\ddot{u}$ occur in words such as Miri $\ddot{u}-m \ddot{u}$, fire ; Daftā $\bar{u}-m$ or $\ddot{u}-m$, fire ; $\bar{u} t t u ̈$, bread ; $\bar{u} s s i ̈ u$, firewood, etc.

In most of these cases the prefix is probably the same, the different forms being due to a kind of harmonic sequence.

A prefix $k \bar{e}$ or $k \bar{a}$ is apparently used before adjectives. Thus, Miri $k \bar{e}-m \bar{o}$, dark ; $k \bar{e}-n \bar{o}$, hungry; $k \bar{e}-s h \bar{a}$, like; Daflà kā-n, dark; kāch, dírty; k $\bar{a}-n a ̂$, hungry, etc. A corresponding prefix $k a$ or $g a$ is used in Kachin, Nāgà, Bodo, and some Kuki-Chin languages.

Several other prefises probably exist. Thus we find a pretix beginning with $b$ in Miri adjectives such as $b \hat{a}-t \bar{e}$, great; $b \hat{a}-j \bar{e}$, many; $b \hat{a}-d o n g$, long; $b \bar{a}-l \bar{u} v$, slippery, etc. A prefix mé apparently occurs in Miri mé-lām, last, compare lām $\cdot k \bar{u}$, baok, etc, In most
cases, however, we are not as yet able to decide whether a word contains an otiose prefix or not.

The otiose prefixes are usually dropped in words which form the first part of a compound. Thus, Miri $\bar{a}-m \bar{i} k$, eye ; $m \bar{\imath} k-s h \bar{a} p$, eye-lash; Dafiā $a-n y i$, eye ; nyī-sāmam, eyebrow, etc.

Articles.-There are no articles. The numeral 'one' is often used as an indefinite article. Thus, Miri $\bar{a}-m \bar{\imath} \bar{a}-k a ̂$, a man; Daflā beny $\bar{a} k k i n-g a ̂ a, ~ a ~ s t i c k ; ~ n y \bar{\imath} \bar{a} k k, ~ a ~ m a n . ~$ Very often the particles kâ (Miri) and gáa (Daflā) are used alone. Thus, Miri $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}-k a$, , Daffā $n y \bar{i}-g \hat{a}$, a man. $K \hat{a}$ and $g \hat{a}$ are probably identical with the so-called Tibetan article $k a$, kha, or $g a$. The Burmese generic suffix $a-l h u$, which is added to numerals when no special suffix is required, might perhaps also be compared.

Relative clauses and demonstrative pronouns are used in order to convey the idea of definiteness.

Nouns.-Gender.-Gender is only apparent in the case of animate beings. The gender of human beings is distinguished by using different words or by adding suffixes. The usual suffixes are $b \bar{o}$, male, and $m \bar{o}, m a$ and $n e$, female, in Miri, and $p a$ or $b a$, male, and $m a$ and $n a$, female, in Daflā.

## Miri :

Another male suffix lvong or löng seems to occur in Miri mīllvong or mī-lōng, a male human being. Thus, $\bar{a}-b \bar{u},{ }^{1}$ father, $\bar{a}-n i i$, mother : tā-tō, grandfather ; yai-ō, grandmother: mī-lvong, man; mī-ma, woman : p $\bar{a} k-b \bar{o}$, a male slave; pāng-ne, a female slave: māk-bō, a brother-in-law; $m \bar{a}-m \bar{o}$, a sister-in-law. Mí-lōng, man, and $m \bar{i}-m a$, woman, are also used in order to distinguish gender; thus, $k \bar{o}$ mē-lōng, child male, son ; k $\bar{o}-m i \overline{-}-m a$, daughter.

## Daflā:

Another male suffix $g \bar{a}$ seems to occur in Daflà nye-g $\bar{a}$, a male human being.

Thus, $\bar{a}-b o$, father ; $\bar{a}-m \bar{a}$ and $\bar{a}-n a$, mother: $\bar{a} t t \bar{a}$, grandfather; ai, grandmother: nye-gă, man; nyemm, woman: $n y e r r \bar{a}$, a slave; $p \bar{a}-n$, a female slave: $t \bar{u} m-b a$, a bachelor, etc. Nye-g $\bar{a}$, man, and nyemm, woman, are also used in order to distinguish gender ; thus, kâ nye$g \bar{a}$ or nye-g $\bar{a} k \hat{a}$, son; ka $n y e m m$ or nyemm $k a ̂$, a daughter : ny $\bar{\imath}$ nye-g $\bar{a}$, a man; ny nyemm, a woman. The two last instances show that nye-gā and nye-ma are compounds consisting of $n y \bar{i}$ and the suffixes $g \bar{a}$ and $m a$ respectively.

The gender of animals is distinguished by means of suffixes, before which the noun or its last syllable is repeated. The repetition of the noun must be compared with the use of generic prefixes with numerals. The prefixed syllable is the essential part of the noun.

Miri:
The usual suffixes are $b \hat{a}, r a ̈$, and $t \bar{u} m$, male, and na, female. $B a \hat{a}$ and $n a$ are also suffixed as a kind of male and female

## Daflā:

The usual suffixes are $b a$ or $p a$, and $g \bar{a}$, male, and na, female. Nye-g $\bar{a}$, man, and nyemm, woman, are said to be used to

[^18]adjective. In this case they are preceded by the prefix $\bar{a}$, and followed by $k \vec{a}$. Thus, $\bar{e}-k \bar{\imath} k i \bar{i} b \vec{a}, \mathrm{a} \operatorname{dog} ; \bar{e}-k \bar{\imath} k i \bar{i}-n a$, a bitch : $\boldsymbol{8 i}-$ tūm tüm-râ, a male bear; sī-tūm tūm-na, a female bear: men-jāk jāk-tūm, a he. buffalo; men-jāk jāng-na, a she-buffalo: gör $\bar{u} \bar{a}$-bâ-kâ, a bull ; gōr $\bar{u} \bar{a}-n a-k \hat{a}$, a cow.
distinguish the gender of animals as well as of human beings. Thus, $\bar{i}-k i k i \bar{i}-b$, a $\operatorname{dog} ; \bar{i}-k i \bar{i} k i-n$, a bitch: si$-b i n$ bim-pa, a he-goat; sī-bin bīn-na, a she.goat : sebbī begga, a he-monkey; sebbi be-n, a female monkey: $\bar{i}-k \bar{\imath}$ nye-g $\bar{a}$, a $\operatorname{dog} ; \bar{i}-k \bar{\imath}$ nyem$m a$, a bitch.

Mr. Hamilton mentions some cases in which the last syllable of the noun is slightly altered before the suffix. Thus, sa $h a-b$, a bull; sa ha-n, a cow. Mr. Robinson gives sii-bō, a bull, and sü̈-ne, a cow. The base is $8 a$.

Number.-When it is necessary to denote the number of a noun, and no numeral is added, some word meaning 'many,' 'all,' and so on, is added. The usual word in Miri is ki-ding. In Daflā we find words such as tūll $\bar{u} \bar{e}$, malūhēr, mullūēr, at-chamma, $e$-dē, etc., all meaning 'many,' 'all.' Mr. Robinson gives pàng, all, and à -rok, many.


Case.-The various functions which a noun performs in a sentence are usually indicated by means of postpositions.

The nominative does not take any suffix. Thus, Miri $p \bar{a} k-b \bar{o} l \bar{u}-t \bar{o}$, the slave said; Dafla mem e-yin ha-b lyĩ-dna, the-root potato like is, the root is like a potato. A particle $\boldsymbol{a}$ is often added. Thus, Miri ē-kī-a ngōm rek-tō, dog me bit, a dog bit me; Daflā ngâ-lu $n y \bar{z}-s i n g-a h \bar{a} \bar{u}-t-m \bar{u}$, , we Daflās there go-not, we Daflās do not go there. In Dafla $e$ is sometimes used instead; thus, nyï-e ü-lyäm, a-man coming, when a man comes.

The suffix $a$ is sometimes added to a noun or adjective as a kind of copula or verb substantive. Thus, Miri s $\bar{\imath} n g a ̄-k a ~ b \bar{a}-b \bar{u}-k a \bar{e}-k \bar{u} m-a$, this my father's house-is, this is my father's house; Daflā sī ngām abbui-yā-a, this me-concerning old-more-is, he is older than I. $A$ is probably originally a verb substantive or a demonstrative pronoun. It is
 Dafiā ainyâ-yă ha, younger that, the younger.

The nominative is the case of the subject. There is apparently no difference whether the verb is transitive or intransitive.

The accusative is the case of the object. It is often, especially in the case of inanimate nouns, formed without any suffix. Thus, Miri $n g \hat{a} n \bar{a}-n \ddot{u}-m a \operatorname{ga}-s o \bar{o} r-k a \hat{a} b \bar{z}-t \bar{o}, \mathrm{l}$ mother-to cloth-a gave, I gave a cloth to my mother; Daflā ùm pār-tâ, fire light, light a fire. The usual suffix is em in Miri and am in Daflà. It is used to denote not only the direct object, but also the indirect one with verbs meaning ' to give,' 'to say,' and so on. Thus, Miri $n g \hat{a}-k a \bar{a} t t a ̄ r k \bar{o}-s \bar{a} g-e m b \bar{i}-k \bar{a}$, my property-of share give, give me my share of the property; bui-ka $\bar{a}$-bū-em lū-tō, his father-to (he) said; porōk au-em äm-buin bí-lang$k \bar{a}$, fowl young-to rice give, give the ohickens some rice; Daflā tab-a nyï-am che-lyäm, snake man biting, if a snake bites a man; ngâ āmmām ezz ji-nma, I mother-to oloth gave. The suffix am or em should probably be compared with the $m$ which is added to the articles re and mo in Róng in order to form an accusative. It is also used to denote time and circumstances. Thus, Miri nī-tōm mō- $\bar{\alpha} n-m \bar{u}$ t $\bar{a}-k \bar{a} m-e m$ d $\bar{u}-p \ddot{u}$, singing done-
all-not whole-time stay will, I will stay until the singing is finished. Compare the use of this suffix in the formation of adverbial participles.

## Miri:

The usual suffix of the dative is ma or me. Thus, Dumai-ma bi-to-kī, Dumaito give; ngâ Ishar-me päp i-tō, I God-to $\sin$ did. Compare Burmese $m h \bar{a}$, in, at, in presence of, coucerning.

The genitive is often expressed by putting the governed before the governing noun, without any suffix. Thus, Miri dá-lūng $\bar{a}-m \bar{\imath}$, village-of men, the men of the village; $\bar{a}$ $d \bar{i}$ tai $\bar{o}-l a \hat{a}$, hill-of top-on, on the top of the hill; Dafla $n y \bar{\imath} \bar{u} \bar{u}$, man's blood; sa-ta $\bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, an elephant's leg. A suffix $k a$ in Miri, and $k a$ or $g a$ in Dafla is often added, especially in the case of the possessive genitive. Thus, Miri ngá Dumai-ka au-a, I Dumai's son-am; Daflā $n g \hat{a}-k a \bar{a}-b o-k a$ (or $\bar{a} b-g a$ ) nām, my father's house. Compare Kanāshī and Sunwār $k \bar{a}$, Yūkhā $g \bar{a}$, Tibetan $k y i$, Meithei $g i$, Empēo $g u$, etc. This postposition has originally a genitive and ablative force. Compare Burmese $k a$, from.

Miri :
The suffix of the locative is $l a \hat{a}$ or $l \bar{o}$; thus, $d \vec{a}-n y \bar{z}-l a \vec{a} m a-t o-k \vec{a}$, sun-in put, put it in the sun ; bui $\bar{e}-k \bar{u} m-l a \hat{a} d \bar{u} n g$, he house-in is; shor $\bar{i}-l \vec{a}$ rin-to-k $\bar{a}$, ropes-in bind, bind him with ropes. Compare the Tibetan dative suffix la which denotes the relation of space in the widest sense. Another suffix $\vec{a}$ occurs in sád-püu, here, etc.

The ablative is formed by adding $k, k-k a$, and $k$-ke to the locative suffix $l \bar{o}$. The genitive suffix $k a$ often precedes the ablative suffix. Thus, $\bar{e}-k \bar{u} m-l o k$, house from ; $D u$ -mai-ka lok-ka, from Dumai ; nä-ka nā-naka lok, our mother from. $K$ and $k-k a$ in lok and lokka are identical with the genitive suffix. The use of the genitive before lok( $-k a$ ) shows that the locative suffix láa is originally a noun in the locative; compare nâ ngâ-ka lâa $\bar{a}-g i n-p \ddot{u} d u ̈ n g$, thou mine in always art, thou art always with me.

The vocative is like the nominative. Thus, $b \bar{a}-b \bar{u}, \mathrm{O}$ father ; $a u-a, \mathrm{O}$ son.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $\bar{a}-r \bar{a}-l a \bar{a}$, inside, within; kè-ēg-lá, between, under; rà-d $\bar{a}-$ lá, among; taiō-lâ, on the top of ; kē-vià$p u \vec{u}$, before ; mē-läm-pü, behind, etc.

## Daflā:

The suffixes of the locative are $a$ and lä. Thus, au-w-â, top-on; $\bar{u} l l \bar{u}-\hat{a}$, on the rock; àl-lâ, in a day. Usually, however, $s \hat{a}$ and $h \hat{a}$, the locatives of the demonstrative pronouns $s \bar{i}$, this, and $h a$, that, are added. Thus, zilla sâ, station this-in, in the station; $\bar{a}-l \bar{a} h a$, hand that-on, on the hand.

The ablative is formed by adding $k$ or $k h a$ to the locative. Thus, au okka, top from ; $\bar{u} \bar{u} ~ m n a \bar{a} k ~ l a ̂ k, ~ g h o s t ' s ~ c o u n t r y ~ f r o m, ~$ from the dead; nanga sakka, village thisfrom, from the village ; daräb hokka, property that-from, from the property.

The vocative is like the nominative. A particle $\hat{a}$ is, however, sometimes added; thus, $\bar{a} b-\hat{a}, \mathrm{O}$ father.

Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. Such are $a-r u ̈-h a ̈$, inside; $\hat{a}-g \bar{u} m-h a ̂$ and $d a ̈ k-h a ̂, ~ n e a r ; ~ b a, ~ t o ; ~ k a-t a ̄-~$ $b a$, on account of ; $k \hat{a}-k u-\hat{a}$, behind; lag-ba and lal $h \vec{a}$, with; lep $\vec{i}-h a ̈$, amung, etc.

Adjectives.-There is no real difference between adjectives and verbs. When used in order to qualify a noun, the adjectives take the form of relative participles, the suffix na being added. Another suffix ba or pá, corresponding to the Tibetan article pa, is often added in Daflà. Sometimes, however, no suffix is used. Adjeotives sometimes precede and sometimes follow the noun they qualify. Thus, Miri ai-na mi-ma, a good
 boat; $\bar{a}-d a ̈ a g u ̄ d \bar{a}$, far country, a distant country.

The suffix of the comparative is $y \bar{a}$, and the compared noun precedes in the aocusative. A particle pünam, than, is inserted between the compared noun and the comparative in Miri. Thus, Miri ngâ-ka gā-sōr nâ-k gā-sōr-em pü̈-nam ai-yä-dāk, my cloth thy cloth than good-more-is; nâ-k-em puí-nam bâ-tè-y $\hat{a}-d \hat{a}$, thine than large-more-is, it is too large for thee; Daflà mui-ga bor ha mui-ga buir-ma hàm auâ-yā-dna, his brother he his sister her-than tall-more-is, his brother is taller than his sister.

In Miri bui-ka buiráa bui-ka buir-ma lok-ke bâ-tē-dek, his brother his sister from tall-more, his brother is taller than his sister, we have another suffix dek, apparently corresponding to Burmese $a-t h a k$, and to tak in some Kuki-Chin languages.

The superlative is expressed by comparing with 'all.'

## Miri:

$\bar{A}$-pui-lok, all from, or $\bar{a}$-pui-lok-en puinam, all-from-considering than, is prefixed to the comparative. Thus, $\bar{a}-p u i-l o k$ $a i-y \bar{a}$, all-from good-more, best ; ná-ka gāsōr $\bar{a}-p u i-l o k-e n t ~ a i-d \hat{a}$, thy cloth all-from good-is; D $\bar{u}-p \bar{u}-r \bar{r}-k a \quad k e n-t \bar{u} \quad \bar{a}-p u i-l o k-e m$ pünam kän-kān-yā, Dūpuni’’s earringe allfrom pretty-pretty-more, Dūpūri's earrings are the prettiest.

## Daflā :

Müllū-ja-ha, mūl-lū-jā-hām, or, according to Mr. Robinson, päng, all, is prefixed to the comparative. Thus, $\bar{n} \dot{a}$ näm-a mūlli-ja-ha a $\bar{l}$-yānna, his house all-than good-more; mül-l̄̈-ja ezz hām āl-yānn ezz, all olothes those-than good-more cloth, the best cloth of all ; pàng au-yà, all-than ligher, highest.

Adverbs are formed by adding the suffix $p \ddot{u}$ in Miri and $b a$ in Daflà. Thus, Miri $a i-p u i$, well ; $a i-m a \bar{a}-p u i$, badly ; $b \hat{a}-j \dot{e}-p u i$, highly ; simāt-püi, foolishly; Dafā à $l-b a$, well; $a-n \bar{u}-b a$, quickly; $h a-b$, thus; hog- $b a$, why ? etc.

Numerals.-The numerals are given in the lists of words. They follow the noun they qualify. The suffix $k \vec{a}$ (Miri) or $g \vec{a}$ (Daflà ) is usually added to the numerals. Compare the Indefinite article. The first six numerals are preceded by the prefix $\bar{a}$.

The form $\bar{a}-t \bar{e} r-k \hat{a}$, one, in Miri is only used as a numeral, and not as an indefinite article. Compare Burmese tach, pronounced tit, one. The $r$ in $\bar{a}-t e \bar{r}-k \hat{a}$ may be oompared with the $r$ in Miri $\bar{e}-e k$ er-bá, pig male.
'Four' is $p \bar{i}$ in Miri and $p l i$ or $p l$ in Daflā. Compare $p a-l i$ in Luslēi and connected languages.
'Six' is keng and kī in Miri, kr' in Daflā. Compare Burmese khrok, pronounced khyauk. Mr. Robinson gives the Dafa form àkple.

The numerals 'seven,' 'eight,' and 'nine' are compounds, and the prefix $\bar{a}$ is not used before them." Compare the dropping of prefixes in compound nouns.
'Seven' is k $\bar{\imath}$-nit in Miri, and kannī in Daflà. Mr. Robinson gives kãnag. The word seems to mean 'two more than the hand.' Compare Bunán nyizhi, Bârâ oni, etc.

VOL. III, PAR'I I.
'Eight' is $p_{\bar{i}-n y \bar{z}}$ in Miri, and $p l \bar{\imath}-n$ in Dafià. Mr. Robinson Las plag-nag. The word means 'four times two.'
 $g u$, Burmese ko.

The numerals 11 to 19, 21 to 29, etc., are formed by inserting Miri läng ; Daflä la, and, between 'ten,' 'twenty,' etc., and the numerals 'one,' 'two,' etc.

The higher numerals are formed by suffing the multiplier to the numeral 'ten.' Thus, Miri $\bar{e}$-ing $\tilde{a}-\bar{m} m-k a \hat{a}$, tens three, thirty. In Daffa the ordinary word for 'ten' is not used in this way but a word chom, corresponding to shom in Lushei and connected languages. Thus, chom-um-kâ, thirty; jem-pl-kâ, forty, etc. Daflā nyi-krii, twenty, is formed by prefixing the multiplier to another word for 'ten.' Krü must be compared with Angämi kerr, ten.

The numerals are usually preceded by generic prefixes. These are often words with a meaning of their own. Thus, in Miri $\bar{a}-p u i ~ p u i-k e n g-g a ̈, ~ D a f l a ̄ ~ p u ̈ p ~ p u ̈-k r-g \hat{a}, ~ e g g s ~ s i x, ~$ the prefixes $p u i$ and $p i i$ are simply shortened forms of the words for 'egg.' In other cases the generic prefixes have apparently now lost their meaning. They are never used before the numerals 'seven,' 'eight,' and 'nine' in Miri. The prefix $\bar{a}$ is often used instead both in Miri and Dafla.

Such prefixes are :-

## Miri:

$b \bar{a} r$, for rupees; lōr, for flat things; dōr, for animals; löng, for houses; pir, for birds; pōm, for villages; pui, for round things, eggs, months, etc. Thus, porok pür-pí-lâ, fowls four; gä-sōr bör- $\bar{u} m-k a \hat{a}$, three cloths, etc.

## Daflā:

$b \bar{a} r$, for money, months, etc.; bor, for leaves of trees; dor, for animals; nām, for houses; pom, for villages; pü, for eggs, etc. Thus, bol bar-g-ba, month oneabout; nangū pom-pla-gâ, four villages, etc.

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns :-

Miri:
$n_{y} \hat{a}, \mathrm{I}$.
nyöm, me.
nyâ-ka, my, mine.
$n g a ̂-l \bar{u}$, we.
má, thou.
nöm, thee.
$n a ̂-k a$, thy, thine.
nâ-lū, you.
lui, he, she.
buim, him, her.
bui-ka, his, her, hers.
$b \bar{u}-l \bar{u}$, they.
Reflexive pronouns are:-
Miri:
Ai-yï, self; accusative ai-yüm, genitive aik $\bar{a}$. The particles $s h \bar{u}$ and muin-
$n g a ̈, I$.
ngām, me.
$n g a, n g a ̂-k a, ~ m y, ~ m i n e . ~$
ngâ-lu, we.
nâ, thou.
$n \bar{a} m$, thee.
$n \hat{a}, n \hat{a}-k a$, thy, thine.
$n a ̂-l u$, you.
$m a$, he, she.
mäm, him, ber.
mui-ga, mü-ga, his, her, hers.
büllu, they.

## Daflà:

Atte, self, is only used in the accusative. The partiole sū or $s h \bar{u}$ gives a reflexive
${ }^{s} h \bar{u}$ give a reflexive force to the verb. Thus, nâ-l $\bar{u}$ liā-pī-l $\bar{a}$ gè-muinn-sh $\bar{u}-d \bar{u}-n a$, you why quarrelling-with-each-other-are? Compare the reflexive particle che in Mikir, $s$ in Bāhing, etc.

The Demonstrative pronouns are:-

## Miri:

$s \bar{i}$ and $s i-d a$, this; $d a$ and $a-d a$, that; $a-l a$, that person or thing in sight but not near. $S i z$ and $d a$ are inflected by adding the ordinary suffixes. Thus, accusative sim and dem; genitive $s \hat{a}-k a$ and $d a-k a$; ablative $s a ̂-k$ and $d a k$.
$A$ in $\alpha-d a$ and $\alpha-l a$ is apparently an independent pronoun. Compare $a-l a \hat{a}$, thatin, there; a-lokka, therefrom. A corresponding pronoun $a$ occurs in many other connected dialects.
$D a$ is often added to a noun as a kind of definite article; thus, $g \bar{a}-s \bar{o} r$ dem ngom $b \bar{i}-k \bar{a}$, cloth that me-to give, give me the cloth.
force to the verb. Thus, $\bar{i}-k \bar{i}$ che $\cdot \bar{u}-s \bar{u}-$ denna, dogs biting-one-another-are, the dogs are fighting.

## Daflà:

$s \bar{i}$, this; ha, that; $\bar{a}-l a \vec{a}$, that person or thing in sight but not near.
$S \bar{i}$ and $h a$ take the forms of $s \hat{a}$ and $h a ̈$, respectively, when prefixed to a noun, to a postposition, or to a suffix beginning with a consonant. Thus, genitive sä and $s \hat{a}-k a$, $h a ̈$ and $h a ̈-k a ;$ butaccusative säm and $h a \bar{a} m$. Mr. Robinson gives the forms $s \bar{a}$ and $c h \bar{o}$, this, and $\bar{a} \bar{o}-n \bar{a}$, that. Instances of the use of these pronouns are: s $\hat{a}$ nyemm $s \bar{i}$, this woman tais; hä nyi $h a$, that man that; $h \vec{a}$ $g \bar{u} d \bar{a} h a$, , that country that-in. The nominative of $h a$ is $h a$ and $h \vec{e} . \quad H a$ is very commonly added to nouns as a kind of defnite article. Thus, $k \hat{a} h a$, son that, the son.
$T a$ and $b a$ are demonstrative bases common to Miri and Daftā. They are only found in the locative. Thus, Miri ta-lá, Daflà tā-lâ, there, up stream; Miri ba-lă, Daflá $b \bar{a}-l a ̂$, there, downstream. Daflā, and perhaps also Miri, apparently also possess a demonstrative pronoun $k a$, that; thus, $n g \hat{a} \bar{u}-d n a-k h a-l a$, 'I am-come' that ( $k$ ) saying, saying that he has come; k $\bar{a}-i l y \bar{a}-t \hat{a}$ lia $h a-l$, 'tend' that saying, saying that he should tend. Compare Adverbial participles.

There are no relative pronouns. Kelative participles are used instead, and a demonstrative pronoun is often added as a kind of correlative. The usual suffix of the relative participle is na. Thus, Miri ngòm sīm gā-sōr $\operatorname{sim} b \bar{\imath}-n a \bar{a}-m \bar{l}$ da $\operatorname{si}-k \bar{a} n g$, me-to this cloth this giving man that dead-is, the man who gave me this cloth is dead; Dafla $k a \hat{b} \bar{u}-n a$ nyemm, child bearing woman, a woman who has borne a child. The suffix $n \bar{a} m$ forms verbal nouns which are used as relative participles, in most cases with a passive meaning. Tihus Miri ngâ-ka Dhonirām-lokke rēnām gōr̄̄̄ da yōk-kai, my Dhani-räm-from buying cow that lost-was, the cow which I bought from Dhanirām was lost; Dafā oml $\bar{a} b-n \bar{a} m$ ny $\bar{\imath}$, poison striking man, a man who has been touched by poison; $m \bar{o} b \bar{u} \bar{a} b-n \bar{a} m n y \bar{\imath} h \bar{e} s \bar{i}$, gun firing man that this, this is the man who fired the gun.

Instances such as Miri Dhonirām-ka lū-dâ long-â-dem, Dhanirām's said-being dayon, on the day which Dhaniräm mentions, where the verb substantive dâa is used as a relative participle, make it probable that the sutfix $n \pi$ is also originally a verb substantive.

We often also find relative clauses rendered by means of two coordinate sentences, after the pattern : ' I saw a man, he is here.'
vcl. ili, paitt l.

The interrogative pronouns are :-
$\boldsymbol{M i r i}:$
$s \bar{e}-k \bar{o}$, who ? in-kwö and $i n-k \hat{a}$, what? $k \bar{a}-$ $p \bar{i}$, what? what matter? a-dit-ká, how much $\boldsymbol{P}$ how many? k $\bar{a}-p u ̈$, , bow ? kà $p \bar{u}-l \bar{a}$, why?

The indefinite particles $d \bar{\imath}$ and $t \bar{e}$ make interrogative pronouns indefinite. Thus, $s \bar{e}-k \bar{o}-d \bar{i}$, somebody; sé-k $\bar{o}-t \bar{e} k \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, anybody exists-not, nobody.

Daflā:
$h \bar{i}$, who? hogo, what? hogad-gâ, how much? how many? hogahab, how? hog-ba, why?

The indefinite particles $j \bar{a}$ and $g \dot{a}$ make interrogative pronouns indefinite. Thus, $h o g-g \hat{a}$, something; hog-j $\bar{a}$, anything, etc.

Verbs.-Verbs do not vary for gender, number, or person. The different teuses are formed by adding suffixes.

The usual verb substantive is $d \bar{u} n g$ in Miri and dōng or $d \vec{a}$ in Daflā. It probably corresponds to Tibetan ' $a-d u g$-pa, to be, to exist, Mikir do, to stay, to abide, etc. This verb is commonly added to other verbs as a kind of auxiliary. Compare the corresponding use of ' $a-d u g-p a$ in Tibetan. Other forms of the verb substantive will be mentioned below.

## Miri:

The verb dung, to be, occurs in several slightly different forms such as $d \bar{u} n g, d \bar{u}$, da, d $\bar{a} k, d \bar{a}, d \bar{a} n g$. It is possible that two different roots are contained in these forms. They are, however, used promiscuously.

The forms $d \bar{u} n g$, $d \bar{a} k$, etc.', are used for the present, and sometimes also for the past time. Thus, $n g \hat{a} d \bar{u} n g$ or $d \ddot{a} k, I$ am ; $\bar{a}-m \bar{i}-a g \bar{i}-\bar{a}-d \bar{a} k$, a man has come.

The past tense is usually formed by adding the suffix ai, probably another form of the verb substantive. Compare ai in Kachin, and $\bar{e}$ in some Kuki-Chin and Nägà languages. Thus, ngâ dūng-ai or $d \bar{a} g-a i, \mathrm{I}$ was.

The nominative suffix $a$ seems to belong to the same root. Compare ngá buim $k \bar{a}$ -ling-a, I him to-see-wishing am, I wish to see him.

## Daflā:

The form döng, to be, is given by Mr . Robinson. Mr. Hamilton gives dáa, which is often abbreviated to $d a$ and $d$. The present tense is $d \hat{a}-d \hat{a}$ or $d \hat{a}-d-n a$, the latter form containing the abbreviated verb $d$, and the suffix $n a$, probably another verb substantive. Mr. Robinson gives dōng-p $\bar{a}$ in the present and dōngpon $\bar{a}$ in the past. $D \hat{a}$ is, in other respects, conjugated as an ordinary verb.

The nominative suffix $a$ seems to be another verb substantive. Thus, sī ngām $b o r-i y \bar{a}-a$, he me-than young-more-is, he is younger than I. Compare nominative, above.

The Present tense is formed by adding the verb substantive to the root. Thus, Miri ngâ $k a \bar{a}-d \bar{u} n g$ or $k \vec{a}-d a ̂, ~ I ~ s e e ; ~ n g a ̂-l \bar{u} ~ t u ̈ u-d a ̂, ~ w e ~ d r i n k ; ~ D a f i a ̄ ~ n g a ̂ ~ k a ̄-p a ̈-d a ̂, ~ I ~ h a p-~$ pen to see ; ngáa $\bar{a}$-lā achi-dá, my leg sore-is.

## Miri:

The form $d \bar{u}$ is often used before the particle dī denoting vague probability.

## Daflà:

The usual suffix of the present tense is $n a$ or $n \bar{e}$, probably a verb substantive.

Thus, $p \bar{o}-d \bar{o} n g \bar{o}-d \bar{u}-d \bar{u}$, rain falling-is-possibly, can it be raining ?

Compare Rengmā Nágā lē or nē, Semà lā, etc. The suffix of the relative participle is perhaps identical. $D$, the shortest form of the verb daj, is usually prefixed to na and $n \bar{e} . \quad$ Denna is often substituted for dra. The $e$ in denna can be considered as a kind of svarablakti. Thus, ngà $\cdot l \bar{u} i \ddot{u}-l-n \bar{e}$, we go; ngâ dâ-dna or dâ-dné, I am; Aīang-a chen-dna, the Abors know; ngâlu ōpū-hâ oml âp-denna, we arrows-in poison put, we poison our arrows.

Past time. -The suffixes used in Miri and Daflia differ widely. Only oue suffix seems to be common to both, Miri $t \bar{o}$, and Dafli $t$. Compare Mikir täng, to finish, the suffix tā in many Kuki-Chin languages, etc.

## Miri:

I'he present tense is sometimes used to denote the past. Thus, Dhonirām-ka buir-ma gīdūng, Dhanirām's sister came.

The usual suffix of the past time is $t \bar{o}$. The suffix $a i$ is often added, and $t \bar{o}$ is then changed to $t \bar{u}$. Thus, bui $l \bar{u}$-t $\overline{0}$, he said; $n g a ̂ d a ̂-t \bar{u}-\alpha i, \mathrm{I}$ ate.

The suffix $k \bar{a}$ or $k a ̄ n g$, usually denotes a distant past, but is also used in the same way as $t \bar{o}$. Thus, yōk-k $\bar{a} n g$, it is lost; $\bar{a}-s \bar{\imath}-l a \bar{a} \bar{o}-l e k-k a \bar{a} g$, water-in fallen-has, it has fallen into the water.

The suffix $a i$ seems to be added to $k \bar{a}$ in gōr $\bar{u} y \bar{o} k-k a i$, the cow was lost.

The suffix $l i \bar{u}$ which is often added, is merely an assertive particle. Thus, bui $g \bar{i}-k \bar{a} n g-k \bar{u}$, he has departed. Compare $n g a ̂ a \bar{a}-m \bar{z}-k \hat{a}$ kā-tū-ai si$-l d u-k \bar{u}$, I man-a saw this-indegd, this is the man I saw.

## Daflū:

The suffix $t$, mentioned above, is often inserted before the various suffixes of the past time.
$L \bar{a}$ seldom occurs alone, $t$ being usually prefixed. Tla is often changed to tella and tlēya. Là must be compared with Angāmi, Semã, and Rengmā lè, Mikir lä, etc. Compare also the suffix of the conjunctive participle. Instanoes of its use are palch-l $\bar{a}$, he has killed; pen-ji-tla , he divided-gave; mâ-yūn-tella, he wasted; $k \tilde{a} a-n y i-g \hat{a} d \hat{a}-t l \bar{e}-y a$, sons two were, there were two sons.

The most usual suffix of the past tense is nma, nam-ma, or nemma, probably a past tense of the root $n a$ or $n \bar{c}$ mentioned above. The real suffix is probably ma. Compare man in Bâṛâ and other lauguages of the Bodo group.

The interchange between nma and nam$m a$ is analogous to that between $d n a$ and denna, llā and tella. Thus, ngà kä-nma, I saw; ma tach-namma, he asked; alnemma, it was good. Nna is apparently sometimes used instead of nma; thus, $\bar{u}$ nqog, he went; ry $y \bar{n}$-kū-rnna, he was lost; $n \vec{a} d a-n n a$, you have eaten. These forms are probably only present tenses used to denote the past.
$T$ and $p$ are sometimes inserted before the suffix nma. Thus, ngá lyi-t-namma, I have worked; ngä ji-t-namma, I gave; $n g a ̂ ~ k a \bar{a}-p \bar{a}-t e n m a$, I happened to see; ma $j \bar{i}-p-n a n m a$, he has given, etc.
'The $p$ which is inserted in forms such as $j \bar{i}-p-n a m m a$, is also used alone as a suffix of the past time, in the form $p \bar{a}$ or $b \bar{a}$, to which $t$ and $n$ or ne are usually prefixed. Thus, ma pāt dorog mem-pā, he tiger one killed-has; ngä $y \bar{u} b-t-b \tilde{a}$, I have slept; bùllu $\bar{u}-n-b \bar{a}$, they have gone; pottūng-a $d \bar{u} g-n e-b \bar{u}$, a splinter pricked (him), etc. Mr. Robinson gives panā as the usual suffix of the past. We may compare 'libetan pa-yin, pen, and pin.

The suffix $p \bar{a}$ is often used to form a perfect. Compare the instances above. A kind of perfect is also formed by adding $n y \bar{a}$, to finish. 'Thus, sa ká jàt-nàm pakh$j \bar{z}-\bar{a}-l y i-k h r a ̄ n-n y \bar{a}$, cow young fat kill-give-indeed-do-even-finished, you hare killed the fatted calf and given it to him.

A Present definite is formed by adding $s$-danna; thus, nyâ kū-s-danna, I am seeing. The usual form, however, is identical with the present tense.

An Imperfect is formed by adding $d \hat{a}-n m a$ to the participle in $l$. Thus, $n g \hat{a}$ $k \vec{a}-l d \hat{a}-n m a$, I was seeing.

The suffix of the Future is ne-pü, na$p \ddot{u}$, or $n$-pï, i.c. $p i i \quad$ added to $n a$ or $n e$. Thus, $n g a \hat{a} k \tilde{a}-i l-n e-p i u, ~ I ~ w i l l ~ s e e ; ~ m a ~ j i-n-~$ $p u i$, he will give. The syllable $i l$ in $k \bar{a}-i l-$ $n e-p i \ddot{u}$ occurs in various forms such as $i l$, $i l y \bar{\alpha}, l y i, l y$, etc. It is probably a verb meaning 'to be occupied with,' 'to be,' and seems to convey the idea of an action which is not yet finished. Compare the participles $\ddot{u}-l y$-k $\bar{u}$-l $\bar{u}$, while returning; $\ddot{u}-t-k \bar{u}-l \bar{u}$, having returned.

A kind of periphrastic future is formed by adding t $\bar{a}$ to the root. $T \bar{a}$ is probably a verb meaning ' $t o$ intend.' Compare ng $\hat{b}$ $\bar{u}-t \bar{a}-d n a, I$ to-go-intend; ngâ nyĩu $\bar{\eta}-l a$
$g r \bar{u}-t \bar{u}-i l-n e$, I camp going shoot-intendingam, I will go out shooting; ngá ben-tā-il$n e$, I will say. $T \bar{a}$ is often abbreviated to $t$ before lyi; thus, ngà kū-tlyinne, I will see; ngâ-lu da-tlyinn, we will eat.

Mr. Robinson gives $b \bar{o}$, which is identical with $p \ddot{i}$, as the suffix of the future.
The suffix pï̈ in Miri and Daflā is probally identical with Mikir pō, which denotes an action leginning now and continuing in the future. Miri $y \bar{e}$ perbaps corresponds to Mikir $j \bar{z}$, which denotes an action beginning later on.

The suffix of the Imperative is $k \dot{a}$, to which $t o$, $\bar{o}-\bar{u}$, or lang is usually prefixed. Thus, $b \bar{i}-k \bar{a}$, give; $p \bar{a}-t o-k \bar{a}$, strike; $k \bar{a}-t \bar{o}-$ $\bar{i}-k \vec{a}$, see; kā-läng-ka, see. The suffix to$k \bar{a}$ implies that the action should be performed once, while $k \bar{a}-l \bar{a} n g-k \bar{a}$ means 'see, as a rule.' Tō- $\bar{z}-k \bar{a}$ probably contains the verb $\bar{\imath}$, to do.

The imperative of the first person plural is formed by adding $l \bar{a}-j \bar{e}$; thus, $\bar{a}-s e v-l \bar{a}$ $j \bar{e}$, let us make merry. J $\bar{e}$ is probably identical with the future suffix $y$ e.

The usual suflix of the Imperative is $t \hat{a}$; thus, $d a-t \hat{a}$, eat; $j i-t \hat{a}$, give. In $\bar{a}-t-k \bar{u}$, come in again, tal has been shortened to $t$.

Another suffix of the imperative is $b a$. It usually refers to an action which ought to take place in future. Thus, jibba, give. Compare Infinitive of purpose.

The suffix $y \bar{a}-t \hat{a}$ conveys the idea of continuality; thus, $\bar{k} \bar{a}-y \bar{a}-t \vec{a}$, watch (continually).

The future is used as an imperative of the first person plural. Thus, ngâ-lu datlyinn, let us eat.

The suffix of the Negative Imperative is $y \bar{o}$, to which in Miri the suffix $k \bar{a}$ is added. Thus, Miri $k \bar{a}-y \bar{o}-k \bar{a}$, DaHà $k \bar{a}-y \bar{o}$, do not see. Yō is probably a verb meaning ' to cease,' ' to desist.' The usual negative $m \bar{a}$, with the suffix $b a$, is sometimes used as a prohibitive suffix in Daflā; thus, $k \bar{a}-m \bar{a}-b a$, do not look.

An infinitive or verbal noun is formed by adding the suffix nam. Thus, Miri dūm-dūm-dü-nām-em tat-tō, drum-beating (he) heard; Daflă $k \bar{a}-n \bar{a} m$, seeing; da-nām, eating, food. The root alone is used in the same way in Dafla, and sometimes, when followed by postpositions, also in Miri. Thus, Miri nâ-ka gī-rosim, your going-after; dâ-üm-tüng- $\bar{a} m$-rosim, eating-all-drinking-ail-after, when he had wasted all; Daflā sâmīn âdna-mäm t $\bar{a}-p \bar{a}-t e l l a$, dancing sound-making heard, he heard the sound of dancing; $p \bar{e}-l y-h \hat{a}$, cutting-in, while cutting. Compare Adverbial participles.

The suffix of the Infinitive of purpose is $p i i i$ in Miri and $b a$ in Daflā. Mr. Robinson gives $b \bar{o}$ for Daftā. This suffix is identical with the future suffix, and probably also with the Dafla postposition $b a$, to, for. The purpose is also sometimes expressed in a periphrastic way by means of the participle 'saying' preceded by a future or an imperative. Thus, Miri ngōm b̄̄-püu em-nā bōm- $\bar{a}-t \bar{o}-y \ddot{u}$, me to 'give-will' saying bring-didst? didst thou bring it in order to give it to me? Daflà illyi kā-ilyā-tá ka laa-l $\bar{u}$-m-tella, ' pigs tend' that saying sent, he sent him in order to tend pigs.

The suffixes $p \ddot{i}$ and $b a$ are usually preceded by other elements.

Miri:
$K \bar{a}$ is usually prefixed to $p \ddot{u}$; thus, $\bar{a}-g e \bar{r} \bar{i}$-kā-pie, work to do, in order to

Daflā:
$B a$ is usually added to $d a$ or $d$, i.e. the short form of $d \bar{a}$, to be, or to $t \bar{a}$, to
work. $P_{\ddot{u}}$ is, however', also used alone and the form is then identical with the future. Thus, ngá $g \bar{z}-p \ddot{u}$ mui $i-d \bar{u} n g$, I going-for (or go-will) wish, I wish to go.
intend. The latter form is the usual in. finitive of purfose, the former being often used as a verbal noun. Thus, ai-hä-b da-lū-da-ba mū-tlā, belly-the-for eat-awar-to wished, he wished to eat his full ; pol bar-$g$-ba $\bar{u}$-dba lyi$-d n e-p u ̈$, month one to-go bewill, it is a month's journey ; nyi-e oml $n \bar{a}-t \bar{a}-b \bar{a} \quad u ̈$-lyām, men poison take-to coming, when the men come in order to take the poison.

Participles.-The relative participles have been dealt with under Relative Pronouns.

Different kinds of adverbial participles are formed by adding postpositions to the verbal noun. Thus, the conditional mood is formed by suffing mui in Miri and nyi in Daflā, and then adding the locative suffix. Compare Miri ngá k $k \bar{a}-m u i-l \overline{0}$, if I see; Daflā $k \bar{a}-p \bar{a}-n y i-l a \hat{a}$, if I happen to see. The suffix am or em, which is usually added to the accusative, is used in the formation of several participles.

## Miri:

Däk-kōm and dâ-dem are common suffixes. Both contain the suffix em, preceded by a pronoun $k \bar{o}$ or da, that. $D \bar{a} k$ and $d \vec{a}$ are forms of the verb substantive. Thus, ka-dāk-kōm, though I saw; $\bar{i}-d \bar{a} k$ $k o ̈ m$, though doing, but; bū-lūu $\bar{a}-s e r \cdot d a \hat{a}$. dem àluiā-na au $\bar{a}$-rēg-lâ dūng-ai, tbey merry-being-that-in eldest son fields-in was, while they were feasting the eldest son ras in the fields. These forms consist of a finite rerb with a demonstrative proroun added as a correlative. $B \bar{u}-l \bar{u} \bar{a}$ -ser-dá-dem, is lit. 'they feasted, that-in.'

## Daflā:

$A m$ is added to the pronoun $k a$ or to the root. Thus, hür-lyi-kām, when thirsty; ii-lyäm, when coming, che-lyäm, when biting.

The locative suffixes $h \hat{a}$ and $l a \hat{a}$ are used in a similar way. Thus, $\ddot{u}-l y-h a$, , in the act of going; d $\hat{a}-d-k \hat{a}-h \hat{a}$, while living; $k \bar{a} \cdot t-l a \hat{a}$, though baving seen, etc.

The suffix ba, which forms adverbs, is also added to adverbial participles. Thus, $n g \hat{a}$ da-p $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}-m \bar{a}-b a \quad k \bar{a}-n a \hat{a}-b a \quad s \bar{i}-l y \bar{a}-s \bar{u}-$ tailye, I to-eat-get-more-not-as hungerwith dying-be-will, I sball probably die with hunger, not getting anytbing to eat. A whole sentence may be turned into an adverb by adding $b a$. Ihus, ná nyerrō achham lyī-dna-ba lyi-m-ta-ba, thy servant many work-as work-make, let me work like thy servants.

The suffix of the conjunctive participle is $l \bar{a}$ or $n \bar{a}$; thus, Miri or-shin$-l \bar{a} ~ b \bar{z}-t \overline{0}$, dividing give, divide and give; nōm ai-mō-pü em-nā $\bar{b} \bar{\imath}-t \bar{o}$, thee good-do-will saying gave, I gave it to you in order to do you good; Daflā hen dād-lā ha benma, senses recovering he said; rongá-hokka $\bar{u}-k$-na t $\bar{a}-p \bar{a}-t e l l a$, fields-from returned-having (he) heard.
$L \bar{a}$ is often shortened to $l$ in Daflā, and $t, t e$, and pe are very commonly prefixed. Thus, dá-t-la, having been; ü-t-kū-la, baving returned; má-yūm-tella, having spent; sä-lü-pe-ia, laving feasted. Ella is sometimes substituted for lā; thus, nü-lä-ella, haring taken anay. Compare tho corresponding forms in the present and past tenses.

There is no Passive voice. 'I am struck ' must be translated 'somebody struck me.'

Compound verbs are freely formed in order to modify the meaning. Thus :-

## Miri:

$g i-\bar{a}$ to-go-enter, to come; tät-ken, to-hear-know, to understand; lu$-b \bar{b}$, to-saygive, to explain ; gè-ki , to-wear-measure, to try on clothes; $\bar{a} p-k \bar{e}$, to-shoot-kill, to shoot to death, etc.

## Daflā:

$n \bar{u}$-len, to-take-oome-out, to take out; $b e n \cdot n y \bar{a}$, to-sing-finish, to finish singing; $k \bar{a}-c h i n$, to-see-know, to recognize; $g a \hat{a}-k \bar{a}$, to-wear-see, to try on clothes, etc. The different members of a compound may be separated by intervening words. Thus, hä àb ha näm arroil hok len $\dot{a}-t-k \bar{u} h a-t l a$, then father that came-out 'enter-now' said, then the father came out and asked him to enter. Len and ha here form a kind of compound. In this way all co-ordinate verbs may be treated, it being unnecessary to add the tense suffixes more than once in a sentence.

Causals are formed by suffixing the verb 'to do,' Miri mō, Daflā ma or m. Tha\&, Miri gē-mō-to-k $\bar{a}$, to-wear-cause; Daflā $\bar{u}-m$-tella, to-go-caused, sent. The verb mō nr $m a$ is also used alone, and sometimes also used as the first component of a compound. Thus, Miri sim in-kâ-lok mō-d $\bar{u}-n a$, this what-from make? what is this made of ? mōpet, to-do-tear, to tear ; Daflā má-yūm, to waste ; máa $p \bar{u} b$, to kise.

Desideratives are formed by adding $l \ddot{u}$ or ling- $a$ in Miri, and $n u$ in Daflā. Thus,
 $k \bar{a}-n u-d \vec{a}$, I wish to see. The verb mui, to wish, is preceded by the infinitive. See Infinitive of purpose above.

The sufinx of potentiality is lă. Thus, Miri ngâ $k \bar{a}-l \bar{a}-p \ddot{u}$, I can see; $b \bar{u}-l \bar{u} \quad l \bar{u}-l \bar{a}-$ $p u i-a i$, they could tell; Daflā ngâ ta-lā-s $\bar{u}-d n a$, I to-hear-able-am. Miri also possesses another suffix veg; thus, ngâ l $\bar{a}-v e g-d \bar{u} n g$, I can see.

Other words added in order to form compound verbs are :-

## Miri :

$\bar{a} m$ and $i n$, all, completely; di, perhaps, probably; gōr, quickly; kīrām, nearly; $k \bar{u}$, back, again; p $\bar{\alpha} k$, out, away; p $\bar{o}$, first; ti$-\vec{a}$, always, etc. Thus, dâa $-\bar{a} m$ -tüng-ām, to-eat-all-drink-all, to waste ; sī-kīrām-tūr-kī̀ām-düng, dying-nearly-living-nearly-am, I am on the point of death; bōm-tō-k $\bar{u}$, I brought back; mé$p \bar{a} k$, to put away, to transgress; $k \bar{a}-p \bar{o}-t \overline{0}$, he saw first; gör $\bar{u}$ g $\bar{z}-t \bar{i}-\hat{a}-d \bar{u} n g-a i$, cow going-always-was, the now used to go.
vol hi, part i.

## Dafiā:

$\bar{a}$ giving an intensive force to the compound; cho, first; $k i$ and $y \bar{a} k$, forming frequentatives; $k \bar{u}$, back, again; $l \bar{a}$, away; lyūm, entirely; min, together; mūr, wrongly; $r \bar{u}$, towards, etc. Thus, $d \hat{a}-\bar{a}$, to sit down; pat-a gâ-ki-danna, the bird is always flying; ngà $k \bar{a}-p \bar{a}-g e l-k u{ }_{u}$, I found again; mindui si-lyūm-namma, buffaloes die-entirely-did, all the buffaloes died ; sâ-mīn-da-ba, dancing-together-for, in order to feast; ngá lyī-mûr-tella, I did-
wrongly, I sinned; ha ben-r $\bar{u}-n a m m a$, he said-towards, he answered.
The Negative particle is $m \bar{a}$, in Miri also māng. It may be put before or after the tense suffixes. These latter suffixes are, however, usually dropped in the negative form. Thus,

## Miri:

ai-mä-na, good-not-being, bad ; ngâ nītōm mō-māng-ai, I sing did-not, I did not sing ;
 ngá mē-pāk-tō-māng, I transgressed not; bui dū-māng, he did not stay, etc.

The suftix $g \bar{e}$ is substituted for $y \bar{e}$ before the negative particle in the future; thus, bui ngōm $p \bar{a}-g \bar{e}-m \bar{a}$, he me strike-will-not, he will not strike me. 'The same suffix $g e{ }_{e}$ occasionally also occurs in other forms. Thus, $g \bar{i}-g \bar{e}-t o-k \vec{a}$, go; dâ-gè-lā $\bar{a}-\operatorname{ser}-l \bar{a}-$ $j \bar{e}$, eating let-us-make-merry, let us eat and make merry.
$K \bar{a}$ is substituted for $d \bar{u} n g$, to be, in the negative form. Thus, $\bar{a}-s \bar{i}-\bar{a}-b \bar{u}-l a \hat{a} \bar{e}-$ $n g a \hat{a} k \bar{a}-m \bar{a} n g$, river-in fish is-not, there is no fish in the river.

The Interrogative particles are $n a$, $\bar{a}, y \ddot{u}$, and làng $\bar{a}$. After the future in $p \ddot{i}$ only $\bar{a}$ is used. $\bar{Y} \ddot{\ddot{ }}$ is a disjunctive particle, and längä is the negative interrogative. Thus, è-küm-a in-kâ-lâ dū-na, house where is? where is the house? na ngōm bi$-p u i-\bar{a}$, thou me-to give wilt? will you give it to me? $\bar{a}-s \bar{i}-a \quad \hat{a}-r \bar{z}-d \bar{u}-y \ddot{u}$, is the water deep (or not) ? náa gà-mā-lāng-ă, didst thou not go?

Other words are froely used as verbs. Thus, Miri $b \hat{a}-t a-\imath^{\top} \bar{u}-n a \bar{a}-k \bar{a} l-t \bar{o}$, great-verybeing famine-arose; kē$-m o ̄-y \bar{e}$, it will get dark; Dafla $s \bar{z}$ sat ta-ba-ly $\bar{e}$, this clephant male is? is this a male elephant? ngâ Podu-ga kâ-a, I Podu's son-am; hâ nyī ha audenna, this man this tall-is.

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb. The direct object precedes the indirect one in Miri, but follows it in Daflã. In interrogative sentences Miri agrees with Daflā.

## [ No. 2.]

## TIBETO-BURMAN FAMILY. North Assam Group.

## DAFLA.

## Specimen 1.

(R. C. Hamilton, Esq, I.C.S., 1900.)

Lok nyī āk dâ-tlā, kâ a-nyi-gà dà-tlēya. Ainyâ-yā-a àb
Once man one was, sons two were. Young-more father hām benma, 'āb-â, ngâl-ga darāb hok ngā-p nemma sī-jā jibba.' the-to said, 'father, our property from me-to share now give.' Hâ āb ha būll-ba darāb hām pen-jī̀tlā. Hâ kà-kuá Then father the them-to property the divided-gave. That after ainyâ-yã ha ā-pa-gâ dâ-tla müga darāb mūllī.ja hām nū-lai-ella young-more the days-few staying his property all that gathering ā-dâ gūdā-ba ū-nna. Hía gūdā hâ nyedai-nyet-ma-min-lā daräb-patch far country-to went. That country that-in merry-making property mūllūngām mâ-yūm-tella. Hab mâ-yūm-tella dâd-kâ-hâ hâ gūdā all wasted. Thus wasted-having remaining-while that country
hâ demā dūurē ū-tlā, mü-g ai ho-b da-pā-mā-tla. Hâ hâ that-in great famine arose, his belly that-for to-eat-got-uot. Then that gũdā-ga nyī āk-ga dâk hâ ā-tlā. Hâ nyì hē rongâ-hà, country-of man one-of presence that-in went. That man that fields-in, 'illyi kā-il-yā-tâ,' ka ha-l ū-m-tella. Illyi da-nām da-nām aihi hok 'pigs tend,' that saying go-made. Pigs eaten eaten seeds that-from ai lhâ-b da-lū-da-ba mũ-tlā; nyī ākk ne-khrām hâ nyī hām belly the-for eat-full-to wished; man one even that man that-to hog-jā ji-mā. Hen-dād-lā ha benma, ngá āb-ga nyerrā-atchūm ha anything gave-not. Senses-recovering he said, my father's servants they ūttü anyinâ da-dba kā-pā-tella, dellē dekhyenga dâ-dba kā-pā-tella, ngá bread enough eat=to found, excess superfluous remain-to found, I da-pā-yā-mā-ba kā-nâ-ba sī-lyā-sū-tailyē. Ngâ sâkka āb-ga dâk to-eat-find-not-as hunger-with die-am-about-to. I here-from father's presence hâ ū-t-lyinne ben-tailne, "ā̀b, nām lā ūī-son hām lā nâ-nyām ngâ the-in go-will say-will, "father, thee-to and God to and you-troo-to $I$ lyī-mūr-tella; nâ kâ hab lyi-yā-kū-mā. Ngām nâ nyerrā-atchām did-worong; thy son as did-more-not. Me thy servants


| dàk-ba | ū-tlā. | Okka | ādâ | ü-il-nām | àb | ha | kā-pā-tella |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| presence-to | went. | But far-off | coming | father | the | see-happening |  |

ai-ā-mū-pā-lā yâ-rū-lā lūpá gar-gāb-lā má-pūb-tella. Kâ-a benma, ‘āb, pitying running-towards neck embracing kissed. Son said,'father,
nām lā ūī-son hām lā nâ-nyām ngâ lyī-mūr-tella; nâ ká hab thee-too and God to and you-two-to $I$ did-wrong; thy son as lyiyā-kū-mā.' Okka āb ha nyerrā-atchām hām benma,' ngâ muillija ezz did-more-not.' But father the servants the-to said, 'my all garments hām āl-yā-nn ezz hām a-nū-ba nā-len-gadlā sām than good-more-being garment the quickly taking-out-bringing him kâ-m-tâ, ā-lā hâ tā letchlâ ga-m-tâ, āl bâ lukhlâ tā ga-m-tâ, sa put-on-let, hand on also ring put, foot on shoe also put, oow ha-n kâ jit-nām sâ bō-ā-gad-ala pakhr-tâ, ngâl da-tlyinn ā-hâ female young fatted here bringing kill, we eat-will heart-in khrũm-dba. Hog-ba hab lyi-tlyinnē ? ngâ kâ sī ūī mnâk lâk content-to. Why thus do-shall? my son this ghost country from cbā-lin-lyi-k-na ha-lyi-ba, kā-pā-gel-kū ; nyīnām, kā-pā-gel-kū.' Hokka rise-out-does-again-who like, (I-)saw-again; lost, (I-)saw-again.' Then būllü sâ-min-dab lyi-rāb-namma.
they dance-together-to began.
Okka kâ a-bū ha rongá hâ lyi-tla. Rongá hokka ū-k-na nām But son elder that fields in worked. Fields from returning house â-gūm-hâ ü-ly-kū-lā dūm-dūm tāl toppū ma lā sá-min lā
near coming-back drun cymbal flute playing and dancing and âdna-mām tã-pā-tella. Hâ nyerrā ākk-gá gâ-la tach-namma, 'hâ sound-making heard. Then servant one calling asked, 'that áddan ha hog ma-dna?' Nyerrā ha benma, 'nâ bor ha sound that what makes?' Servant the said, 'thy younger-brother that ü-t-kū-la, nâ àb nâ bor-am âlla ū-k-namma come-back-having, thy father thy younger-brother well returned
kā-pā-kū-la sa kâ jītna hām pakh-lā. Hām tātlā hā-hā-ālla seen-again-having cow young fat that killed. This hearing angry-being nām hâ ā-k-mā-tlā. Hâ āb ha nām arrü hok len, house the-in entered-not. Then father the house inside from came-out, 'ā-t-kū,' ha-tla. Okk ha āb hām ben-rũ-namma, 'taiā, tásinā, 'come-inside,' said. But he father the-to answered, 'hear, look, ha-da nyi āllá nām müllā nâ benām hām tellū-tella-lā 80-many years days-in thee on-behalf-of thy word that obeyed-having-and lyj-t-namma, okka ngâ à-zin-orūm lag-hâ da-tā-ba sâ-min-daba na sibin worked, but my friends with eating-for feasting-for thou goat dor-g khrām ji-mā; okka nā ká ha nâ darāb-patch nū-lā-ella one even gavest-not; but thy son that thy property taking-away

| nyemm | lag-ba | sâ-lū-pela | ū-d-kū-n | hām | sa | ka | jītnām |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| women | with | feasted-having | rettrrning | that-to | cowo | young | fatted |
| pakh-jī-ā-khrām-nyā.' | Ãb | ha | benma, | ' ka, | nâ | nga | lag-ba |
| kill-give-even-didst.' | Father | the | said, | 'son, | thou | me | with |

dá-ki-sū-dna, ngâ darāb-patch mūllū-sī ná-ka mă-ba hī-ga ? Okka hog-ba remainest-always, my property all-this thine not-if whose? But why hab lyì-mā-tailne? Nâ bor ha sī-tla, tūr-dâ-dà; nỵim-tella, thus do-not-shall? Thy younger-brother this died-having, alive-is; lost-being, kā-pā-gel-kū.'
found-again-is.'

DAFLȦ.

## Specimen II.

(R.C. Hamilton, Esq., I.C.S., 1900.)

Ngá-lu Nyī-sing-a ōpū-hâ oml āp-denna. Oml dâk tāl-ba We Dafläs arrows-on poison, put. Poison: place up-to halyi nanga sâka la pol bar-g-ba ū-dba lyī-d-ne-pü. Ngâ-lu plains villages here-from and moon one-about go-to be-will. We Nyī-sing-a hâ ū-t-mā, Aiāng-a oml nā-l pā-dna. Oml sün-a chã

Daflās there go-not, Abor's poison bringing supply. Poison tree tea sün-a hab lyī-dna. Oml-a sün mem hâ dâ-dna. Mem eyin hab lyī-dna. tree thus is. Poison tree root in is. Root potato thus is.
Ked hokka dū-l nā-dna. Sün ha jelyū hâ dâ-dna; ūllū Ground from digging take. Tree the marshy-ground on is; stony lün â-gūm-hâ dâ-dna; hâ â-gūm-hâ dūr derā tāppām-a dâ-dne. rock near is; that near summer winter snow remains. Ullū lün au-wâ tab mūlli-gâ dâ-dne. Tab sâtne nyī har-po Stony rock top-on snakes many are. Snakes' girth man's leg dâ-dne. Kāyā-ba lā chanyi haba lyī-dna; e-hi hom-gâ āssâ-denna.
is. Blackish and yellow like are; teeth three (-fingers) long-are.
Tab-a nyī-am che-lyām, sī-dna. Nyī-e oml nā-tā-ba ü-lyām ūllū au Snake man biting, dies. Men poison bring-to coming stone top hokka tab-a pâl-lā nyī-am che-dna. Nyī nikhrü hokka illyi ā-ngâ-ne from snakes dropping men bite. Men twenty from ten five-or tab-a che-dna. Oppo porâ illyi sab sa lâ-lā ūī pātna, snakes bite. Liquor fowls pigs mithons cous offering God appease-wish, ūi pā-mā-lyām nyadang-a hodna; ishi tā-lā oml kā-pā-mā-dna; God appeased-not rain falls; water down-coming poison see-cannot; nyī mūlling. $\mathfrak{a}$ sì-dna. Oml-a a-hâ àb-na a-nū-ba sī-dna, ā-lâ-hâ men many die. Poison body-in striking quickly die, arm-in āb-na hāsobba sī-dna. Aiāng-a dorob chen-dna, ngâ-lu chem-mā. striking slowly die. Abors antidote know, we know-not.

Oml āb-nām nyī hām kâ bū-na nyemm-a khrâ-tā-lyām āl Poison struck man that child bearing woman stepping-over woell du-k-na hatna; nyī ūī hām issha tâ-yâ-tella tū-lyăm āl becomes-again say; man's blood that water mixing drinking well du-k-na hatna. becomes-again say.

## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

We Dallàs tip our arrows with poison. The place where it is obtained is a month's journey from the plains. We Daflás do not go there, the Abors bring it down. The tree yielding the poison is like a tea tree; the poison is in the root, which is like a potato and is dug out of the earth. The bushes grow on level ground near a great rock, round which snow lasts all the year round. On the top of it are many snakes, the largest being as big round as a man's leg. 'They are black and yellow, with teeth three fingers' breadth in length. If one bites a man, he dies. When men come to dig for the poison, the snakes drop down from the top of the rock and bite them; out of twenty they bite five or ten.

The men offer up liquor, fowls, pigs, mithon, and cows in order to appease God. If they do not, rain falls and the floods cover the poison place, and many men are killed.

A man struck on the body with a poisoned arrow dies at once; but if struok on the arm he dies after a few hours. The Abors know of an antidote; we do not.

But they say that if a woman who has just borne a child steps over the wounded man he recovers, also if he drinks human blood mixed with water.

MIRI.

## Specimen I.

(F. J. Needham, Esq., 1896.)
(District Lakhimpub.)
Āmi ākō bui-ka au ānyīkâ dūngai. ${ }^{1} m e \overline{-}-n a^{2}$ au-da bui-ka ābū-em
Man a-certain him-of sons two existed. The-younger son-he his father-to lū-tō, 'bābū, ngâ-ka āttār kōsāg-em bī-kā.' Dēlō bui bū-lūm said, 'father, my of-(our)-property share give-(me).' Then he them-to āttār-em orshū-lā bī-tō. Au ānjána-da ${ }^{3}$ ai-ka ēkūm-la long bâjē dū-the-property dividing gave. Son small-the his-oton home-at days many staymāng. Bui-ka āttār āpuidem lāng-kūm-lā mōē-na deg ākon-lâ did-not. His property all collecting-together a-far country some-to gī-lā ai-ka āttār āpuing-em sīmāt-kēshā-pü ${ }^{5}$ dāām-tüngām-tō. ${ }^{6}$ (he)-roent-away-(and) his-own property all foolishly wasted. Bui-ka āttār apuing-em sīmāt-kēshā-pü dâām-tüngām rosim deg da lâ His property all in-a-foolish-manner wasting after country that in bâta-rū-na ākāl̄-tō; bui-ka ${ }^{8}$ dâ-nam sin kā-tō-măng. Dēlō bui da deg-ka a-mighty famine-occurred; his food even he-had-not. Then he that country-of āmi ākon ${ }^{9}-1 a ̀$ āger-ī-kā-pü ${ }^{10}$ gī-kāng; āmi da buim ārēg-lâ ēek mana-certain-with work-to went; man that him the-field-into swine àpīn-bī-kā-pü malik-tō. Bui kenō-rū ${ }^{12}$-dūngai. Dēpīlā ēөk àmpü-em bui to-feed sent. He hungry-very-was. Therefore the-pigs husks he dâ-lü-dūngai; dálü-dākkōm ${ }^{13}$ dânam bï-na kāmāng. to-eat-wishing-was; although-desirous-(of-food) food givens there-was-not.

[^19]Bui-ka sīmāt-aidá-kū-dem bui lū-tō, ' ngâ-ka bābū-ka pāk-bō-kiding-ka' His senses-recovering-upon he said, 'my father's slaves' dânam pui-lā sin ngat-dâ, ngâ aiyü kenō-lā sīkīram-tūrkiram ${ }^{3}$ dūng. food having-sufficed also vemains-over, I myself hungering at-death's-door am. Ngà bābū lâ gīlā lū-pü, "nga Ishar" me tē nōm tē pāp-ītō, $I$ (my)father to going say-will, " $I$ God against and yourself and sin-did, nâ-ka au-pü ngōm gâg-yō-vong-kā, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ngōm pāk-bō-pü mē-to-kā." Dēlō your son-like me call-no-longer, me a-slave-like keep."' Then bui ai-ka bābū lâ gī-kāng, mōtē lokke bui-ka bābū buim kā-lā aiā-tō. he his.own father to went, far from his father him seeing pitied (him). Bui-ka goldon ${ }^{6}$ lá ōlet-lā māmpuk-tō. Au-da bui-ka bābū-em lū-tō, His neok upon falling (he)-kissed-(him). The-son-he his father-to said,

| 'ngá | Islar | me | tē | nōm | tē | pāp-ī-tō, | dē-pī-lā | ngà | nâ-ka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'I | God | against | and | yourself | and | sin-did, | consequently | $I$ | your |
| au | kēshā-mā.' | Bui-ka | bābū | ai-ka | pāk-bō-kiding-em | lū-tō ${ }_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| son | like-am-not.' | His | father | his-own | slaves-to | said, |  |  |  |

'gāsōr āpui-lokem-pünam-aina-kâ bōm-lā buim gē-mō-tokā; bui-ka ālāk 'robe all-from-than-good-one having-brought him put-it-on; his finger' lâ āngūțī-ākâ, ${ }^{7}$ bui-ka ālē lâ tē jūtăng ${ }^{8}$ gē-mō-tokā; ngâ-lū āpin-dâgēlā upons ring-a, his feet upon also shoes put; us eating āser-lājē. Ngâ-lū-ka au sim sī-lā, tūr-düng-kū; yog-lā, be-merry-let. Our son this having-died, has-returned-to-life-again; being-lost, $\begin{array}{cl}\text { pā-tō-kū.' } & \text { Dēlō bū-lū āser-tō. } \\ \text { (he-has)-been-found-again.' } & \text { Then they made-merry. }\end{array}$

Bū-lū āser-dâ-dem ${ }^{9}$ bui-ka ābuiā-na au ārēg lâ dūngai; bui They while-were-merrying ${ }^{10}$ his eldest son the-fields in voas; he ai.ka ēkūm "pui-lā mākshâ-shânām ${ }^{11}$ lāng dūmdūm-dü ${ }^{12}$-nām-em tat-tō. Bui his.own house (on)-nearing dancing and drumming heard. He pākbō ākâ gág-lā tau-tō, 'kāpī-kān ngâ-lū-ka èkūm là?' Pāk-bō lū-tō, slave a calling asked, 'what-matter our house at?' The-slave said, 'nâ-ka buirâ ai-pü pui-dūng-kū; dē-pī-lā nâ-ka bābū āmī-em 'your brother safely has-returned-again; consequently your father mens

[^20]| gâg-lā | bū-lū-m | āpīn-em-dâ-mō-dūng.' | Dēlō ābuiā-na | au | da |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| having-called-(together) | them | feeding-is.' | Then | elder | son |

āglīlā ēkūm ārā-la gī-pü-muī-māng. Bui-ka bābū gīlen-lā buim angering the-house within-to go-will-wished-not. His father going-out him kūm-lā gōk-tō. Abuiā-na au da ai-ka bābū-em lū-tō, 'nâ-ka āgēr entreating called. Elder son the his-own father-to said, 'your work dūtāk bâjē-rūng-ka lok-ke ngâ ī-dūng. Lēkōtē ná-ka āgōm-em ngầ years many from $I$ am-cloing. Any-time your orders $I$ mē-pāk-tō-māng. Mē-pāk-māng-kōm nâ ngõm ājon-kiding dâ-mō-kā-pü disregarded-have-not. Not-disregarding-though you me-to (my)-friends to-feed sāgōlī-ka au sin lēkōtē bī-māng. Nâ-ka ānjâ-na au bui nâ-ka a-goat-of the-young even ever gave-not. Your younger son he your āttār-em sīmāt-pü dâām-tüngām-tō-vong, idākkōm nâ buim bhoj ${ }^{1}$ property in-a-foolish-manner has-wasted-completely, but you him a-feast bī-dūng.' Bui-ka bābū lū-tō, 'aua, nâ ngâ-ka-lâ āgin-pü dūng; ngâ-ka are-giving.' His falher said, 'son, you me-with always are; my
āttār āpuidem nâ-ka; nâ-ka buir'â sī-lā, tūr-dūng-kū; property all (is)-yours; your brother being-dead, has-returned-to-life-again; yog-lā, pā-dūng-kū; dē-pī-lā ngâ-lū āser kāndūai.' being-lost, has-been-found-again; therefore we happy ought-io-be.'

[^21]
# tibeto-burman family. North Assam Group. 

 MIRI.Standard Dialect.

## Specimen I.

(F. J. Needham, Esq., 1899.)
(Disthict Lakeimpue.)


1 The second $s i$ is used for emphasie.

- Mainam is an adjective used as a verb.
${ }^{3}$ Da $p \overline{p i} \bar{\sigma}-k \bar{c} \overline{-} p i i$ is the infinitive of purpose; $d t \bar{p} \overline{0} \overline{0}$ is the root of the verll.
- Kiding is the sign of the plural.
${ }^{5}$ Ursing taid-ld meane lit. ' tree top in.'


## FREE TRANSLATION OF THE FOREGOING.

This case is false. I did not go to steal anything at his house. The facts are these. I missed my cow which I had bought from Dhaniràm a year ago. The cow though earefully kept by me used to visit her former owner's house very often, and I had to go and fetch her several times. On the day referred to by Dhanirām I went to his house to see if my cow had gone there. That was after sunset. I walked through his compound as usual to see whether my cow was straying there. It so happened that at the time his sister Mālōti, a grown-up girl of 18 years, came to the compound with a water-pot in her hand. It was then nearly dark. She saw me unexpectedly going towards her though I myself had not noticed her. She got frightened and screamed as if she thought I was a ghost. The people of the house, including Dhaniram, came and seized me, saying that I had come there to visit the girl. That was the story Dhaniram told to the Police, but in the Court, in order to hide the shame of the sister, he gives out that I was stealing his mangoes and that Mälōti saw me first on the tree.

## MISHMI.

The Mishmis inhabit the mountains lying north of the Assam Valley from the Dibang River in the west to about the Lama valley or Dzayul, a sub-prefecture of Lhassa, in the east. They have been found in settlements as far south as the Nemlang River, an offishoot of the Irawaddy, and their colonies sweep round to the east of the great mountain called the Dapha Bhum, and then up the Brahmaputra proper to the confines of Tibet.

The Mishmi villages to the south of the Brahmaputra are scattered and mixed up with Khämti and Singpho settlements. To the north and west we find the tribe in possession of the whole country.
' The Mishmis,' says Lieutenant G. L. S. Ward, 'arc small, active, wiry men, with very high cheek bones, flat noses and a general Mongolian cast of feature.'

Their country is rugged and difficult of access. There has, therefore, been little intercourse between them and the British. Only 220 Mishmis have been returned for this Survey as living within British territory. They are found in the north-east of Lakhimpur, on the south side of the Brahmaputra. At the last Census of 1901 only 71 speakers were returned.

There are four main divisions of the Mishmis, eaoh sub-divided into numerous minor clans. The four divisions are Chulikātā, Bebejiya, Digāru, and Mijū.

The Chulikātā Mishmis are settled on both banks of the Dibang River and, to the east of it, so far at the Digāru River. Some of the larger and richer villages are situated at the Dibang north of Kaladoi towards Tibet. They are the most numerous tribe of the Mishmis.

The name Chulikātā is used by the Assamese in order to denote the tribe. It means 'crop-haired' and is used because the Chulikātās crop their front hair on the forehead. They call themselves Midu, or, aocording to Mr. Robinson, Nedu.

Our knowledge of the dialect of this tribe is based on a list of standard words and phrases in Sir George Campbell's Specimens, which has been reprinted below.

The Bebejiyas or outcast Mishmis occupy the valleys of the Ithun River and its tributaries, between the Chulikātās and the Digarrus. The Ithun is a tributary of the Dibang River which it joins at Kaladoi village (about $28^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ north latitude). The Bebejiyas extend towards the high ranges of the Southern Tibet border on the north, and on the south they are bordered by the Chulikātās. Bebejiya is an Assamese name; they call themselves Mithun.

The Bebejiya dialect is said to be almost identical with Chulikātā. The two tribes also agree in appearance and dress, and they cut their hair in the same manner. They do not, however, intermarry.

The Digarus are settled in the mountains between the Digaru River and the Brahmaputra. They are also called Tārỗa, Taiu or Taying, and Meme Mishmis.

Their language has been dealt with by Mr. Robinson. A list of words has been printed by sir George Campbell, and another one by Mr. J. F. Needham. I have printed a list based on Messrs. Robinson and Needham.

The Mejus or Mijūs are settled to the east of the Digārus and extend towards the Lama valley or Dzayul, a sub-prefecture of Lhassa. 'Their language is known from
accounts written by Messrs. Robinson and Needham. The list of standard words and phrases printed below has been compiled from both.

## AUTHORITIES-

Brown, Ref. N., Oomparison of Indo-Chinese Languages. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. vi, 1837, pp. 1023 and ff. Note on Mishmi on p. 1026; vocabulary (of Digāru) on p. 1032.
Robinson, W.,-Notas on the Languages spoken by the Mi-Shmis. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xxiv, 1856, pp. 307 and ff.
Dalton, Edward Toite,-Descriptive Ethnology of Bengal. Calcutta, 1872. Account of the Mishpis on pp. 13 and ff.
Campbell, Sie George,-Specimene of Languages of India, including those of the Aboriginal Tribes of Bengal, the Oentral Provinces, and the Eastern Frontier. Calcutta, 1874. Sulikātā and Digarũ Mishmee on pp. 239 and ff.
Needeam, J. F.,-A few Dígârô (Târoan), (Miju) (M'jû), and Thibetian words collected during a trip from Sadiya to Rima and back in December 1885 and Janutury 1886. s. l. and a.
Gait, E. A.,-Oensus of India, 1891. Assam, Vol. I.-Report. Shillong, 1892. Note on the language on p. 186.
Ward, Liedtenant G. L. S., -Military Report on the Mishmi Oountry published by the Intelligence Branoh, Quarter Master General's Department. Simla, 1901.

The language spoken by the Mishmis is split up into dialects, but all these seem to agree in several points. The remark made by the Rev. N. Brown that Mishmi possesses several very peculiar tones, probably applies to all dialects. We are not, however, informed of the nature of these tones. They perhaps correspond to those current in Tibetan.

## CHULIKĀTĀ.

The Chulikātā dialect is apparently closely related to Digāru Mishmi. The list of standard words and phrases published by Sir George Campbell, which is all we know of this dialect, contains sereral misprints, and it is not sufficient to serve as the basis of a grammatical sketch. It is not possible to do more than to draw attention to a few facts.

A prefix $\bar{a}$ or $a$ plays a great roble in the formation of nouns and adjectives; thus, $a-k h m o$, hand; a-mihu, fire; a-kuna, ear; $\bar{a}-k u$, wife; $\bar{a}$-nom $\bar{a}$, near, etc. $E$, $i$, and $u$ are used in the same way. Thus, e-nabo, nose; e-läbyā, eye; e-kura, head; eppo, slave; $i-n i$, sun ; $i-k u \bar{u}, \operatorname{dog} ; u-k a$, house, etc. Corresponding prefixes are used in Daflā, Miri, and the other Mishmi dialects.

The prefix ma in $m a-j i$, water, is also found in Digāru $m \bar{a}-c h \bar{\imath}$, water, etc. $N \bar{a}$ is used as a prefix in the words $n \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, father, and $n \bar{a}-n i$, mother, corresponding to Digaru $n \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$ and $n \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$ respectively. . Ni in $n \bar{a}-n i$ corresponús to Miri and Dafla $\bar{a}-\imath e$, mother.

Nouns.-Some of the suffixes used to denote gender are identical in Chulikātā and Digāru. The word for woman is $i \bar{a} h$ in Chulikāt $\bar{a}$ which is identical with $y \bar{a}$ in Digāru $m \bar{\imath}-y \bar{a}$, woman. This latter form oscurs in Cbulikātā a-myau, child female, daughter, corresponding to Digāru mïyā $\vec{a}$. The female suffix $a-p i$, in Chulikātā corresponds to Digãru t $\bar{a}-p \bar{u}$, and the female suffix kro to Digāru $k r \bar{u}^{\prime}$.
'The piural is formed in the usual way by adding words meaning ' many,' 'all,' etc. Most of the plural forms enumerated in the list are difficult to analyse, and different words are uscd in each case. $D \bar{u}$ in $e-k \bar{u} d \bar{u}$, dogs, corresponds to Digāru diu, many. In $m \bar{u}-b \bar{a} e-j \bar{a}$, fathers, $e \cdot j \bar{a}$ perhaps means 'all'; compare $z \bar{a}$ and $j \bar{a}$, all, in Lushēi and.
connected languages. The plural suffix lumbro in prí lumbro, good men, and other forms, seems to occur in the personal pronoun ngia-lumbro, $I$, and is probably an honorifio or intensifying suffix.

I have not been able to analyse the case suffixes. Ji seems to mean 'to,' or 'from'; $j u i-b o$, of ; kepow- $j i$ and $g \bar{a}-j u i-b o$, from, etc. The genitive is apparently expressed in the same way as in Digaru by simply putting the governed before the governing noun. Thus, new nä-bü a, thy father's sons.

Adjectives.-The word for 'good' is pra or prai corresponding to Digāru prä. The form prai-bo, good, seems to contain a suffix bo corresponding to Daflà ba, and the Tibetan article $p a$. The final do in ruen-do, high, is perhaps the verb substantive; compare Daflā and Miri dâ, Digāru dì.

The adjectives seem to precede the noun they qualify. The same is, however, the case in Sir George Campbell's Digäru, while Messrs, Robinson and Needham state that the adjective always follows the noun in this dialect.

There is apparently no suffix of the comparative. Thus, ruendo ji iruendo, high from high, higher; tapume ji ruendo, all from high, highest. Tapum prai-bo, all good, best, may be compared with päng au-yā, all high-more, highest, in Mr. Robinson's Daflà.

Numerals.-The first five numerals agree with those occurring in Digāru. We may note the prefix $k \bar{a}$ in the numerals $k \bar{a}-n i$, two; $k \bar{a}-s h$, three, and $k \bar{a}-p p i$, four. Compare the prefix $g$ in the corresponding Tibetan numerals. $I l u \bar{u}$, eight, corresponds to Digãru illam; lihi-li, nine, probably means 'one from ten.' Compare Digãru kenyōng, Miri $k \hat{a}-n a \bar{a} n g$. 'Ten' is hush, but another form lon, corresponding to Digãru hā-läny, occurs in ma-nga-lon, fifty. The higher numerals are formed as in Digarru by prefixing the multiplier to the numeral 'ten.' Thus, a-ni-hush, twenty ; ma-nga-lon, fifty.

Pronouns.-The personal pronouns will be found in the list of words. Some of them are very puzzling. Ngia-lumbro, I, is probably an honorific form. A short form nga occur's in new a nga midn $h u-l \bar{a}-p a t a$, his son I much beaten-have, I have beaten his son with many stripes. This form corresponds to ngá in Daflā and Miri. Ngio, thon, is probably miswritten for nyâ, and new, thy, is probably identical. The forms for the third person apparently contain many misunderstandings. $N g i o \bar{a} p u$, he, seems to mean something like 'thy companion'; compare Singphō a-paung, friend; Burmese paung, to keep company. Mit and mimūt, his, seem to correspond to Digāru mtā, he, and he aibu, they, contains the pronouu hë which means 'be' in Digāru and 'that' in Daflā. The same pronoun also occurs in heya, this. In etani, to-day, we apparently have another demonstrative pronoun ela; compare Digāru tai-hing, to-day.

The interrogative pronouns are $\bar{a}-\bar{a} y a$ and asia, who? esia, what $?$ phiāhā, how much? how many? Digāru has shā, who? and $m^{\prime} j \bar{a}$, what?

The conjugation of Verbs cannot be explained from the materials at our disposal. The imperative $b \bar{a} \cdot n \bar{a}$, go, seems to correspond to Digàru $b \bar{o}-n \bar{a}$, go, while forms suoh as $j i-b \bar{a}$, sit; $h i-b \bar{a}$, dic ; $h \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, give, etc., contain a suffix $b \bar{a}$ which is used in the same way in Daftā. Most forms, however, are not clear to me.

The preceding remarks on Chulikāta grammar show the close connexion between this dialect and Digaru, and there can be no doubt that they are merely dialects of the same languago.

## DIGARU.

Digãru Mishmi has been dealt with in a short grammatical sketch by Mr. Robin. soll. The remarks on Digãru grammar which follow are based on this sketch and on the list of words published by Mr. Needham. The spelling is, as far as possible, that of Mr. Needbam.

Prefixes.-The most usual prefires are $m \bar{a}$ and $n \bar{a}$, often abbreviated to $m$ and $n$, perhaps corresponding to the prefixes $m a$ and $n$ which form nouns and adjectives in Kachin.
 water ; $m^{\prime} s \bar{e}$, navel ; $m^{\prime} s h \bar{i}$, claw ; $m^{\prime} p l \overline{\bar{a}}$, stone ; $m^{\prime} d a n y$, poor ; $m^{\prime} j \bar{a}$, what? and so on.
$N \bar{a}$ is usually prefixed to nouns denoting relationship. Thus, $n \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, fathor; $n \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, mother; $n \bar{a}-p \bar{u}$, elder brother, etc. This $n \bar{a}$ is perhaps originally the possessive pronoun of the second person. The same, or a similar, prefix is, however, also used before other nouns. Thus, $n \bar{a}-m i n g$, fire; $n^{\prime} k w \bar{\imath}$, dog; $n^{\prime} g \bar{a}$, near, etc. Compare $n^{\prime}$ in Kachin.

A prefix $k \bar{a}$ seems to occur in words such as $k \bar{a}-l \bar{o}$, field; $k \bar{\alpha}-r \bar{a}$, rain; $k \bar{a}-c h \bar{h}$, rat; $k \bar{a}-n \bar{o}-\bar{a}$, darts; $k \bar{a}-r \cdot \bar{o}$, quickly. Compare the prefix $k a$ or $g a$ in the Kachin, Bodo, and Nāgà languages.

The prefix $\bar{a}$ or $a$ occurs in words such as $\bar{a}-l \bar{l}$, bow ; $\bar{a}-p r i \ddot{u}$, arm ; $\bar{a}-r u i$, snow ; $a-s h \bar{a}$, mithon, etc. It does not appear to be used in the same way as the prefix $a$ in Kachin and Burmese, in order to form nouns from verbs.

Nouns.-Gender is only apparent in the case of animate nouns. The gender of human beings is distinguished by using different words. Thus, $n \bar{a}-b \bar{a}$, father ; $n \bar{a}-m \bar{a}$, mother: pāmrō, brother; mȫ-thī, sister: mōoū, man; mīyä, woman. In other cases, the words $m \bar{o} w \bar{a}$, male, and $m \bar{\imath} y \bar{a}$, female, are added in order to denote the gender; thus, $m \bar{o} w \bar{a} \bar{a}$, male child, son; mīy $\bar{a} \bar{a}$, daughter: m'po mō$w \bar{a}$, a male slave; $m^{\prime} p o$ māy $\bar{a}$, a female slave. Mōwā is probably derived from $m \bar{z}-w \bar{a}$, and $m \bar{\imath} y \bar{a}$ from $m \bar{u}-y \bar{u}, m \bar{z}$ meaning 'human being' and the real suffixes being $w \bar{a}$ and $y \bar{a}$. Compare $a i-w \bar{u}$, son, and $a i \bar{a}$, daughter, in Mr. Robinson's vocabulary. Compare the male suffix wa in Kachin.

The usual suffixes in the case of animals are $k \bar{a} r \bar{u}$ (Needham) or kariu (Robinson), male, and $t \bar{a} p \bar{\imath}$ (Needham) or tassi (Robinson), female. 'Thus, $n$ 'gū̀ $k \bar{u} r \bar{\imath}$, a male dog ; $n^{\prime} g u \bar{\imath} t a ̄ p \bar{\imath}$, a bitch : ma-chu karï, a bull; ma-chu tassi, a cow. Other suffixes are rì̀, male, and $k r \bar{u}$, female ; thus, tāmy $\bar{u} m r \hat{\bar{\imath}}$, a male monkey; tāmyūm $k r u \bar{u}$, a female monkey. In $t \bar{a}-l \bar{a}$, cock, we hare apparently a male suffix $l \bar{a}$, identical with the corresponding suffix in Kachin. 'A hen' is $m$ 'chē (Needham) or inteo tassi (Robinson).

Number.-When it is necessary to distinguish the number of a noun, a numeral or some word meaning 'all,' ' many,' etc., is added. Thus, $n$ 'gū su-miwe, dog all, dogs.

Case.-The nominative and the accusative do not take any suffix. The genitive is expressed by putting the governed before the governing word ; thus, m $\bar{a}-j \bar{\imath} r \bar{o}$, the buffalo's horn. Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions such as kwa or $k \tilde{o}$, in ; do or $d \tilde{o}$, with ; $k \bar{o}$ and tappe, from, etc. Thus, $m \bar{a}-s h \bar{\imath} k \tilde{o}$ shâ-n $\bar{a}$, water in place, put it in the water; hē dagâ tõ n'gū̀ ségonde, he dao with dog kill-will, he will ikill the dog with his dao; mā-sāng häbang $k o ̄ c h \bar{i}-n a \bar{a}$, wood jungle from bring, etc.

Adjectives.-Adjectives follow the noun they qualify. Thus, mā-ch $\bar{\imath} r u \bar{m} \bar{a}$, water deep; má-j $\bar{i} d a-r a n g$, buffalo large ; n'guī $\bar{a}-\bar{a}$, a small dog.

There are no suffixes of the comparative. Mr. Robinson mentions that the adjective may get a prolonged or shortened pronunciation in order to denote a high or low degree. Thus, $k \tilde{a}$-long, long, may le pronounced with a lengthening out of the sound. It then means 'very long..' In a similar way latyou, short, may be pronounced with a short and abrupt sound in order to convey the idea of 'very short.'

Numerals.-The wumerals are given in the list of words. They follow the noun they qualify. Thus, n'gū̀ lā̈-prei, dog four, four dors.

The numbers 11-19 are formed by adding the numerals 'one,' 'two,' otc., to 'ten;' thus, hälü, or hälong, khing, ten one, eleven; hālï sāng or halo ra-chong, thirteen, etc. $\boldsymbol{R a}$ in ra-chong (Robinson) probably means 'and;' compare $l \bar{a}$ in Da\&ia and Miri. The numerals $20-90$ are formed by prefixing 'two,' 'three,' etc., to hā-läng, ten. Thus, $k a ̄-8 a ̄ n g ~ h a ̄-l a ̈ n g, ~ t h r e e ~ t e n s, ~ t h i r t y ; ~ k a ̈-p r e i ~ h a ̈ l a ̄ n g, ~ f o r t y, ~ e t c . ~$

Pronouns.-The following are the personal pronouns, $-h \hat{\bar{a}}$ or $h \vec{a}, \mathrm{I}$; nyă, thou; $h \bar{e}, \bar{e}$, or m'ta, he. The plural is, according to Mr. Robinson, formed by adding long, before which $h \bar{a}$ becomes hing. Thus, hing-long, we; myâ-long, you; m'tä-long, they. Compare the corresponding suffix $l u$ in Miri and Daflā. Mr. Needham gives ing-mé, we. The possessive pronouns are the same as the personal ones. Thus, h $\overline{\bar{a}} m^{\prime} t i n g$ práa, my coat (is) good; nyâ ang tāgē, jour house far? is your house far? Sir George Campbell also gives the form na, thy; thus, na näbā, thy father; na m'pling, behind thee.

The demonstrative pronouns are $\bar{e}-c h \bar{u}$ or $e-s \bar{a}$ this, and $w \bar{e}-c h \bar{a}$ or $h i-s \bar{a}$, that.
The interrogative pronouns are shā (Needham) or sā-hā (Robinson), who ? and $m^{\prime} j \bar{a}$ (Needham) or es $\bar{a}-h \bar{a}$ (Robinson), what?

There are no relative pronouns. We are not, bowever, informed how relative clanses are expressed. It seems that they are usually formed after the pattern 'I saw a man, he has come,' instead of 'the man whom I saw has come.'

Verbs.-Verbs do not vary for gender, number, or person.
The Present tense is formed without any suffix. Thus, $h \hat{\tilde{a}} d \bar{i}$, I sit; nyă häbā, thou strikest; he shom, he runs. The verb $d \bar{\imath}$, to sit, to be, is sometimes suffixed as a
 I can speak Mishmi. Compare Kachin dai, Miri and Daflà dá.

The suffixed mam in the last instance is an affirmative particle and no tense suffix.
The suffix of the Past tense is $y \bar{a}$ (Needlam) or a (Robinson). Thus, hãa taihing hān $\bar{a}-y \bar{a}$, I to-day came, I came to-day; $h \tilde{\bar{a}} \bar{a} b r \bar{a} n g ~ t u ̈-y \bar{a}$, I finger cut-have, I have cut my finger. Compare the corresponding use of yau, to finish, in Khāmti, and of $y \overline{0}, y a i, j o i$, and $j o u$, to finish,in many Kuki-Chin dialects. A corresponding suffix yu ocours in Southern Kachin.

The suffix of the Future is $n$-dē. Thus, h $\overline{\bar{a}} R \bar{\imath} m \bar{a} b o-n-d \bar{e}$, I Rima go will, I am going to Rima; $h \tilde{a} t \bar{a} p \tilde{\bar{e}} \underline{d l} \bar{a}-n-d \bar{e}$, I cooked rice eat-will; nyâ de$-a n-d \bar{e}$, thou wilt sit. Compare the suffix na in Southern Kachin.

The suffix of the Imperative is $n \vec{a}$. Thus, $m \bar{a}-\bar{a} \bar{n} g$ ch $\overline{-}-n \bar{a}$, wood bring; $h \tilde{\bar{a}}$ $m^{\prime}$ ting hang-n $\bar{\alpha}$, my coat give, give me my coat.

An imperative of the first person plural is formed by adding $k \ddot{e}$ or $g \ddot{e}$; thus, pö-ke or $p \bar{o}-g \bar{e}$, let us go; $\underline{d h} \hat{\bar{a}}-k \bar{e}$ or $d h \tilde{a}-g \bar{e}$, let us eat; y $\bar{i}-k \bar{e}$ or $y \bar{i}-g e$, let us stay. Compare Infinitive of purpose, and also the suffix gé of the negative future in Miri.

[^22]The suffix of the negative imperative is $g \bar{a}$, to which $\bar{z}$ is usually prefixed. Thus marā- $\bar{\imath}-g \bar{a}$, don't laugh ; di $\bar{\imath} \bar{i}-g \bar{a}$, don't sit; d $\bar{u}-\bar{\imath}-g \bar{a}$, don't smoke.

The root alone is used as a verbal noun. Mr. Robinson gives the sentence m'tāat teku bri no, he rice to-buy wishes, he wants to buy rice. Bri-no may as well, in this instance, be considered as a compound rerb. In m'tā ma-chom teo te, he tree to-sell cuts, he cuts down the tree to sell it, the root seems to be used as an infinitive of purpose.

Mr. Robinson mentions a suffix $g \bar{e}$ which is used in order to denote purpose. Thus, mia-a tesa huv-ge tase-ge bonde, girls these to-dance to-sing go-will, these girls will go to sing and to dance. In Mr. Needham's Digāru this sentence would run mī-y $\bar{a} \bar{a} \bar{e} \bar{e} c h \bar{a}$ bui-gē ta-sē-g $\bar{c}$ bon-dē, women joung these 'let us dance,' 'let us sing' go-will. It will be seen from this instance that the suffix $g \bar{e}$ is a suffix of the future, that tense being used to denote the purpose in Digaru as in other connected languages.

Participles.-No instances are given by Messrs. Robinson and Needham, and I am unable to explain the forms in Sir George Campbell's specimens. Bhē in $h \tilde{a} t \bar{a}-p \bar{e}$ dh $\tilde{\bar{a}}-d \bar{i}-b h \bar{e}$, I cooked rice eat-if, is perhaps the suffix of an adverlial participle.

Compound verbs seem to be formed by simply putting two verbs together. There are no certain instances of Causatives. They are perhaps formed by suffixing gó (Needham) or kwon (Robinson). 'Thus, sī, die; sè-gō or se-kwon, kill. Desideratives seem to be formed by adding $n o$; thus, according to Mr. Robinson, hā teku lvi-no, I paddy to-buy-wish. Compare Daflā nu. Potentiality is indicated by adding hēné (Needham) or $h a n e \bar{e}$ (Robinson). Thus, $h \bar{a} \bar{a} j \bar{z}-h \bar{e}-n \bar{e}-d \bar{\imath}-m a m$, I to-do-able-am-affirmative-particle, I can do it; m'lă bō-hané-n-dé, he to-go-able-be-will, he can go. Note the future in the last instance.

Ihe Negative particle is a suffixed $i m$ (Needham) or yem, yom (Robinson). Thus, $h \tilde{a} r e \bar{e}-i m, \mathrm{I}$ am not afraid; dü ai-im, many are-not, there are not many; mt' $\bar{a} n y \hat{a} h \bar{a}-l \bar{a}-$ no-yem, he thee to-strike-wishes-not, he does not wish to strike you. Mr. Robinson states that lum is added in the negative future and in the potential mood. Thus, $h \bar{a}$ ché-lum, I shall not take it; atya-ha-ne-lum, say-able-not, I cannot speak. Both forms are apparently future tenses, all Mr. Robinson's instances of the potential mood being in the future. 'There is, therefore, probably a future suffix $l a$, or $l u$, which is used before the negative.

The usual tense suffixes are sometimes dropped before the negative. Thus, h $\tilde{\bar{a}}$ taihing täm-yūm lāa-teng-im, I to-day monkey saw-not, I did not see a monkey to-day.

The Interrogative particle is $g \bar{e}$. Thus, $t \tilde{\bar{a}} \tilde{\bar{a}}-g \bar{e}$, fish are? are there any fish? $n y a \vec{a} \cdot \bar{e}-d \bar{i}-g \bar{e}$, thou afraid-art? art thou afraid? nyâ p $\bar{a} m r \bar{o} \bar{i}$ - $d \bar{l}-g \bar{e}$, thy brother is $\mathfrak{P}$ hast thou a brother? nyâ $k a-s \bar{a}-d \bar{\imath}-g \bar{e}$, do you understand? The particle of disjunctive questions seems to be liyă; thus, $\tilde{\bar{a}}$ kyă ai-im $k y a ̆$, are there (any) or are there not? The interrogative particle seems to be dropped when the sentence contains an interrogative pronoun. Thus, hā-nô $\tilde{\bar{a}}$, where is (it)?

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, object, verb.

## MIJD.

The remarks on Miju grammar which follow are based on the grammatical sketch given by Mr. Robinson and on Mr. Needhan's vocabulary. The spelling of the latter has been followed so far as possible.

Prefixes.-The most common otiose prefixes are $k a, m$, and $r a$.
$K a$ or $k a \bar{a}$ occurs in nouns such as ka-mai, woman ; k $\bar{a}-\bar{p} h a ̈ n$, flower, etc. It is often dropped in compounds. Thus, sa-mai, sister; mai-s $\bar{a}$, young woman. Another prefix, $k \bar{\imath}$, occurs before nouns of relationship; thus, kī-pai, father; kī-na $\tilde{u}$, mother; kī-kīng, grandfather, etc. This $k i$ is perhaps originally the possessive pronoun of the first person. The prefix $k a$ is commonly used in the formation of adjectives. Thus, ka-nai, near; $k a-t a i$, large; $k^{\prime}-t-\overline{\bar{a}}$, new; ka-tany, deep; ka-sit, good, etc. Compare the corresponding prefix ka or ga in Kachin, Bodo, and Nāgè languages.
$M$ is used before nouns. Thus, $m^{\prime} c h \bar{u}$, mouth ; m'blai, tongue ; m'san, claw ; m'gu, coat; m'blı̄, house; m•phā, lightning. Compare Kachin ma.
$R a$ is prefixed to nouns such as ra-nga, fish; ra-mai, tail; ra-ming, sun; ra-mang, name. Compare the $r$-sufix in forms such as Rāngkhōl ir-ming, Hallām rā-ming, name. Mr. Robinson gives nga, fish; le-mik, sun; and lā-mong, name. It is possible that different prefixes are represented in the words quoted.

A prefix $t a$ seems to occur in words such as $t a-l \bar{i}$, bow; ta-lō-i, buffalo; ta-mang, fire-place; ta-ming, salt, etc.

In n'dâr, petticoat; n $\bar{a}-c h \bar{\imath}$, star ; $n^{\prime} d a k$, belly; $n^{\prime} k h a-y e n g$, village, ata, we have a prefix $n a$ corresponding to Digàru and Kachin $n$ '.

Nouns.-The Gender of human beings is distinguished by using different words, or by adding rōwai, male, and ka-mai, or mai, female. Thus, kī-pai, father; ki-maī (Needham) or mum (Robinson), mother: kī-kūng, grandfather; ki-ngô, grandmother: shämyē, brother; sä-mai, sister: mangrā rōwai, a male slave; mangrä ka-mai, a female slave.

The usual suffixes in the case of animals are $n^{\prime} d \bar{u}$, rang $\bar{a}$, rahär, rapai, and ngälõ, male, and na $\tilde{u}$, female. I'hus, $l \bar{\imath} n ' d \bar{u}$, pig male; $l \bar{\imath} n a \tilde{u}$, pig female: mō rang $\bar{a}$, a male monkey; mō naũ, a female monkey: kwī rahār, a dog; kwīnaũ, a bitch: krai rapai, a cock; lerai naũ, a hen : shā ngālô, a he-mithon; shā nâ̂, a she-mithon. Mr. Robinson gives $l \bar{\imath} n g a ̄ l o \tilde{l}$, a $\log ; l \bar{\imath} k a-m a i$, a sow.

Number.-Number is, when necessary, indicated by adding a numeral or else some word meaning ' many,' ' all,' etc. Thus, kwī grün, dog eight, eight dogs; klas ka-plak, flower all, all the flowers.

Case.-The nominative and the accusative do not take any suffix. The genitive is expressed by simply putting the governed before the governing noun. Thus, wō lāp, bamboo leaf, the leaf of the bamboo; s $\bar{a}-b \bar{u}$ rō, child hand, the child's hand. Other relations are indicated by means of postpositions. I have not been able to trace other postpositions than $l i$ and $l \bar{a}$, in, into.

Adjectives.-Adjectives usually follow the noun they qualify. Thus, man-chü keiyem, cow black, a black cow; kang ga-khrang, a long borm.

The comparative degree is expressed by simply putting the compared noun before
 man; wé nyâ umong leam, he thee more has, he has more than thou.

Numerals.-The numerals are given in the list of words. They follow the noun they qualify. The numerals 11-19 are formed by inserting $m \vec{a}$, and, between kap, ten, and the numerals ' one,' 'two,' etc. A suffix kâ, corresponding to $k \hat{a}$, in Miri and gâ in Daflā is added in Mr. Needham's list. Thus, kap mä ko-m $\bar{y}-k a \hat{a}$, eleven; kap mā nät-k $\hat{a}_{,}$
nineteen. 'Twenty' is ka-tal-má (Needham), or ke-tag (Robinson). Sung-gyep, thirty (Robinson), is formed by prefixing sung, i.e., the numeral $k \bar{u}-s a \bar{a} m$, three, without the prefix $k \vec{a}$, to gyep, another word for 'ton.' Bri-si, forty, in the same way contains $l r i$, the base of kam-brin, four, prefixed to si, ten. Ngriin-si, fifty, seems to contain another word for 'five,' ngrün.

Pronouns.-The personal pronouns are $k \bar{i}, \mathrm{I}$; $k \hat{\imath}-$-thal, we; nyâ or nâ, thou; nä-thal or nä-ne-thal, you; wë, he, she; wë-thal or vë-thal, they. Mr. Needham, who does not mention any plural forms, gives $\bar{a} n g k a i$, he.

Demonstrative pronouns are $\bar{a} n$, this; w $\boldsymbol{\overline { a }}$ (Needlam), phē-hai (Robinson), that.
The interrogative pronouns are ny $\bar{a}$ (Needham) or (hoi-) $n \bar{a}$ (Robinson), who ? shin (Needham) or sin-doi (Robinson), what? Thus, än tang-klau n $\bar{\alpha} p \bar{i}-k o n g$, this spear who given-has? who has given you this spear?

Relative clauses are formed in the same way as in Digāru. We have no information as to how relative participles are formed.

Verbs.-Verbs do not differ for gender, number and person.
The root alone is used in order to denote the Present time; thus, ki$n d a t, ~ I ~ c a l l ; ~$ nyä $k \bar{a} p$, thou shootest; we $g \bar{i}-\bar{a} r$, he runs. The suffix meng, probably a verb substantive, is sometimes added. Thus, wē kāp-meng, he shoots, or, is shooting.

The usual suffixes of Past time are $g \bar{a}$ and kong; compare Miri $k \bar{a}$ and $k a \overline{n g}$. Kong is often used to denote a remote past, and may be translated as a perfect. Thus, mang. $\bar{a}-n \bar{i} t e \bar{e}-c h i m n k o-m o ̄ s a \bar{t}-g \bar{a}$, yesterday wild-hog one killed, vesterday I killed a wild hog; Hēram wīt-kong, Heram sold (it); yāhä thai-kong, where have-(they-) gone?

The suffix of the Future is $y \bar{u}$ or $y u n g$; thus, $k \bar{\imath} s h \bar{a}-y \bar{u}$, I will eat; we $k \bar{a} p-y u n g$, he will shoot.

The suffix of the Imperative is shu . Thus, ton-zai-sh $\bar{u}$, sing; Chohun miro salsh $\bar{u}$, Chohun with (-you) bring; t $\bar{i}-k o n g$ t $\bar{\imath}$ thong-sh $\bar{u}$, water-ghaut water fetch, fetch some water from the water ghaut. The root alone is often used; thus, $h \bar{u}$, come.

The negative imperative is expressed by prefixing $a i$ to the verb. Thus, ai-shä, don't eat; ai-nuì, don't sleep; ai-thā, don't go.

The root alone is used as a verbal noun. Thus, kī mai mā non-niu, I dance not can. The same form is apparently also used as an infinitive of purpose. Thus, vé-thal ta-ming wit shüp-kong, they salt sell bought, they have bought the salt in order to sell it; $\bar{a} n$ thong $h \bar{u}$, this see come, come and see this; loom sät thai-kong, bear kill went, they have gone in order to kill a bear.

There are no materials available for showing the formation of participles.
Compound verbs.-We are only informed ab ut the formation of the compounds denoting potentiality, in which non-niu, able, is added to the root of the principal verb. Thus, nyâ zai-non-niu, thou sing-canst.

The Negative particle is a prefixed $m \bar{a}$. Thus, $k w \bar{c} m \bar{a}-c h a k-y u n g$, the-dog not-bite-will; n'khar li ki-chong mä-chak, village in men not-are, there are no men in the village. The vowel of the negative is sometimes shortened or changed in other ways. Thus, Necdham mo-phän, Rolinson $m^{\prime}$-phan, bad.

The Inter rogative particle is $\bar{i}$; compare Kachin $\bar{i}$. Thus, wă zai-meng, tyat$m a-\bar{i}$, the-birds are-singing, hear-not? the birds are singing, do you not hear? m'blī lā
$h \bar{i}-1$, house into come? will you come into the house $?$ The interrogative partiole is dropped after an interrogative pronoun. Thus, $\bar{a} n n y \bar{a} b \bar{a} n g$, this whose oloth'? whose aloth is this? kI gangnyã lä-kong, my bow who taken-has? who has taken my bow $P$

Order of words.-The usual order of words is subject, objeot, verb.


LIST OF STANDARD WORDS AND PHRASES


## in the dialects of the north assam group.














| Abor (when differeut frow Miri). | Chulikètê or 'Thying Miohwi (Cawubell). | Digaru Minbui (Robinoon and Needham). | Mrjã Minbmi (Hobinmon and Noodham). | Eoglish. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ...... | Heaibu ia ji jigā . . | ...... | ...... | 161. They are. |
| ..... | Ngialombro igũpo | Hà di-yã | ...... | 162. 1 was, |
| ...... | Ngio jigja (? ${ }^{\text {jigax }}$ ) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ..... | ...... | 163. Thou meat. |
| ...... | Ngio-āpu maji ji . . | ..... | ...... | 164. Нe พะe. |
| ...... | Ehondna jigā . . | ... | ..... | 165. We were. |
| ...... | Ehund ma jigā . . | ..... | ...... | 166. You were. |
| ...... | Heaiba mujigā . . . | ...... | ..... | 167. They were. |
| ...... | Aibo ah àpā . . | Dī-nīich . | ...... | 168. Be. |
| ...... | Äji poyà . . . | ...... | ..... | 169. To be. |
| ...... | Ngā-è . . . . | ...... | ..... | 170. Being. |
| ...... | Eja jipo mijah . | ...... | ..... | 171. Having been. |
| ...... | Ngialumbro eyokaohns . | ...... | ..... | 172. I may be. |
| ..... | Ngialumbro kachna . . | ..... | ...... | 173. I ahall bo. |
| ..... | Ngialumbro mamota apie . | ..... | ...... | 174. 1 should be. |
| ...... | Nūpā āhủ prā wã . . | Hãbà-nã . . . . | Pong-ght . . | 175. Beat. |
| ...... | Noupã āhū prã wã . . | ..... | ...... | 176. To beat. |
| ...... | Āhã lyāh . . . | ...... | ..... | 177. Beating. |
| ...... | Åhū lyāh . . . | ..... | ...... | 178. Having beatan. |
| ... ... | Ngialumbro âha lyāh | Hã̃ hēbā . . . | (P) Ki.pong . . | 179. I beat. |
| ...... | Ngio àhã lyāh . . | ...... | ...... | 180. Thou beatest. |
| ...... | Ngio-āpu âhū lyāh . . | ...... | ...... | 181. Ho beata. |
| '0. ${ }^{*}$ | Ehandora pràlã . . | 1.x... | ..... | 182. We beat. |
| ...... | Ehand āhâ lyăh . . | ...... | ...... | 183. You beat. |
| ...... | Heaibr āhū lyāh . | ...... | ... .. | 184. They beat. |
| ..... | ...... | Hã̃a hâbá-gà . | (?) Ki pong-gā . | 185. I beat (Past Tense). |
| ..... | ...... | ..... | ...... | 186. Thou beatest (Pant |
| $\cdots$ | ...... |  | ..... | 187. He beat (Past Tonse). |



| Abor（when differeut frow Miri）． | Chaliketa or Taying Mialmi （Campbell）． | Digàru Mihhnul（Robinnon and Needham）． | Mija Miobmi（Robinoon and Needhami）． | Englieh． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ．．．．．． | ．．．．．． | ．．．．．． | $\ldots$ | 188．We beat（Patt Tonno）， |
| ．．．．． | ．．．．． | ．．．．．． | ．．．．． | 189．Yon leat（Past Tenos）． |
| ．．．．．． | ．．．．． | ．．．．． | ．．．．． | 190．They beat（Patt Tonso）． |
| ．．．．．． | Ngialumbro majiji lyāh | ．．．．． | （P）Ki pong mong | 191．I am beatiug． |
| ．．．．． | Ngislumbro igãpo muja ． | ．．．．． | ．．．．． | 152．I was beating． |
| ．．．．．． | Ngialumbro ähũ muja lyăh | ．．．．．． | ．．．．．． | 103．I had beattn． |
| ．．．．．． | Ngialumbro padunēpja êhù | ．．．．． | ．．．．． | 194．I may beat． |
| ．．．．．． | Ngialumbro âhí pràwà ． | Eã hābā－ndè ． | （P）Ki pong yang | 195．I shall beat． |
| ．．．．． | $\cdots$ | ．．．．．． | ．．．．． | 196．Thou wilt beat． |
| ．．．．．． | ．．．．．． | ．．．．． | ．．．．． | 197．He will beat． |
| ．．．．．． | ．．． | ．．．．． | ．．．．．． | 198．We shall beat． |
| ．．．．．． | ．．． | ．．．．． | ．．．．．． | 199．You will beat． |
| ．．．．． | ．．．．．． | ．．．．． | ．．．．．． | 200．They will beat． |
| ．．．．．． | Nigialumbro āhū proa（？ prāwā）lyặh． | ．．．．．． | ．．．．．． | 201．I should beat． |
| ．．．．．． | Ngialumbro âhū lai ．． | ．．．．． | ．．．．．． | 202．I am beaten， |
| ．．．．．＂ | Ngialumbro āhū proa（？ prāwā）lyāh． | ．．．．． | ．．．．． | 203．I was beaten． |
| ．．．．． | Ngialumbro na－ãhã prāwà ． | ．．．．． | ．．．．． | 20．4．I shall be beaten． |
| Substitute èn for gi | Ngialumbro bà prāwā yah． | H⿳亠二口㐅⿸⿻一丿工⺝乚㇒ bo ．． | （P）Ki phai ．． | 205．I go． |
| －．．．． | Ngio bă nā lah ．－． | ＂．．．＂ | ．．．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 206．Thon goest． |
| ．．．．． | Ngio－àpu bā lyah ．． | ＊＇．．． | ．．．．．． | 207．He goes． |
| ．．．．． | ．．．．．． | ．．．．＇ | ．．．．．． | 208．We go． |
| ．．．$\cdot$ ． | ．．．．． | ．．．．．． | ．．．．． | 209．Yon go． |
| ．．． | ．．．．．． | ．．．．． | ．．．．． | 210．They go． |
| ．．．．． | Ngialumbro bā byah （ P lyāh）． | Hã ${ }_{\text {a }}$ bō．jà ． | （P）Ki phai－gã | －211．I went． |
| ．．． | Ngio bã na bah（P lyãh）． | ．．．．． | ．．．．．． | 212．Thou wentest． |
| ．．．．．． | Ngio－āpu bâlagã edya ． | ．．．．．． | ．．．．． | 233．He went． |
|  |  | ．．． | ．．．．．． | 814．We went． |


|  | Aks (Darrang). | Enatern Dallis (Hamiltou). | Dafià (Ilobiuson). | Miri. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - . | Jah khammā . . | Nâ-lo ū-nıa | No-lu āng-ne-pa-nā . | Nâ-lā gìl-kā |
| - • | Nā khā-debi | Büllu à-nma |  | Bū-lú gly |
| - . | Khā-bueh | $\grave{U}_{\text {Inni }}$ | Āng-ne | Gi-tokā |
| - - | Di-deh - | U-ly-hí . . . | ...... |  |
| - . | Khà-me-bi | U-pela . . . | ...... |  |
| ame? | Ba nini han chenia? | Nâ amin-a bog? | Nô mãng-men hogo ? | Nâ-ka āmain inkwâ-na? |
| horse? | Phu-grà adiat kri-nia-ba? | Sí ghurā si hogad-ja aklkhadenna? | ..... | Sì-gत̄rā-sī adit-kê mūji This-horse-this how old dūug ? is ? |
| om here | Aiya Kashmir ke-nia ra-da? | Sûka Kashmir hâ-ba hagadgâa ā-dà-dà ? | ...... | $\underset{\text { Kashmizr-country }}{\text { Kashir-deg }} \underset{\text { from-here }}{\text { sâk }}$ adit-kê motē dīk ? how far is ? |
| ing are father's | Ben e-niya ma-khu eā ke-nia-dah ? | Nâ āb-ga nām-hâ kâ-w-a hagad-gâ dâ-dne? | $\cdots$ | Nâ-kı bābū-ka <br> Your fikūm-lầ  <br> father's houses-in <br> ko-milvong adit-kî <br> child-male how-muny <br> dang?  <br> are?  |
| 1 a long | Nah reera-goio din . | Ngâ sâlo âdâ âlgâ ga-poдаштв. | $\ldots$ | Silō ngâ bâjō-pü gī-to. To-day I much went. |
| ncle is 3 sister. | Ãva nei 日йm gri-dain . | Ngâ acch-ga kầ ha hâ bairmam nānma. | $\ldots$ | Ngâ-ka pai-ka au bui-ka My uncle's son his bairma yamnê-lā-to. sistar married. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { is the } \\ & 3 \text { white } \end{aligned}$ | Pha-grā grou saio zin gno luri-du-ge da. | Hâ nām ārrühâ ghara pāllĩga jin-e dâ-dà. | ..... | Ekūm ārã-lâ yêsina gorā-ka <br> House in white horse's jin ding. <br> saddle is. |
| le upon | Ziu aai gno luri-du-goio age laoye. | Jin hām hâ lāng auwã ep-tî. | $\cdots$. | Jin bui-ka lāmkū-lầ <br> Saddle his back-on <br> mê-tokā.   <br> put.   |
| bis son гірев. | E-bad eai nau gain . . | Hâ kâ-am ngâ ègâ jinma . | ...... | Ngâ bui-ka an-em bâjê-pü I his son much pā-to. beat. |
| satule on <br> , hill. | Tu ge phu du-ge phu-llehu rawkbri-da-ja. | Ha güddā au-wà mindui вa rekh-dâ. | ...... | Bui ādi taio là gorū̃ He hill top on cattle rā-kī-dūng. ${ }^{1}$ keeping. |
| 1a horse <br> e. | Suin e-lâ sei pha-grã e-zai-gui-nel re-da. | Ha ghurā au-wâ san lye-kuhâ dà-dua. | ...." | Bui ursing kēēg-lâ gorā He tray below horse taio-là dōng. upon is. |
| is taller | E-ıü-mi i-ama pehü pho-da | Hî bor hâ buir'-mam auâ-yādà. | ..... | Bui-ka buirâ bui-ka buirma His brother hio sister lok-ke bâttēdek. from bigger. |
| ab in $t w o$ half. | V.gno takarpha kehiya o.phuiñ. | Hâ dor ha taka bār-nyi-gâ là à-doli-gà. | $\ldots$ | Dı āttār-ka ārıü That article's price bär-nyí-kâ lāng ādūlì. ${ }^{2}$ rupees-two and half. |
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[^0]:    vol. III, part I.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\prime}$ Compare Mas Müller's Letter to Chevalier Bunsen on the Classification of the Turanian Languages, p. 36.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Similarly in Persian, an Aryan language, the relationship of the genitive is indioated by the so-called izafut, which is also of pronominal origin, though, in this oass, the pronoun is relative and is appended to the goveraing, not to the governed noan.-G. A. G.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ I tale this opportanity of aoknowledging the great assistanco which bas been rendered me in the preparation of what follows by the lev. A. H. Franoke. He has kindly andertaken to read through the whole section in proof, and he has favoured me with numerous valuable notea and oorrections. The chapters dealing with Balti and Purik have been practically rewritten by him, the materials originslly prepared for this Survey having turned ont to contain several wrong forms.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Tioetan alphabet which was introduced in the seventh century was probably based on an older plphabst which had, in its turn, bean developed ufter some old Indiau soript.

[^5]:    - $\quad$ Elementa Linguae Tanguticae quibus etiam utuntur Tartari, Tibetani, Boutanenses, Barantolani, imo et ipsi incolae Regni Bengalae ad Gangem. Acta Eruditorum, 1722, pp. 417 and ff . Contains an account of the Tibetan alphabet.
    Bafer, Throphilus Siegfried,-Elementa Litteraturae Brahmanicae Tangutanae Murgalicae. In Oommentarii Academiae Scientiarum Imperialis Petropolitanae. Tom. iii (1728), pp. 389 and ff. Petropolis, 1732 ; Tom. iv (1729), pp. 289 and ff. Petropolis, 1735. (Contains an account of the Tibetan alphabet as shown in a syllabary brought by Messersclumidt from Siberia, and compares it with Dēva-năgari.)
    Möller, Gerf. Friedr, -Commentatio de scriptis Tanguticis in Siberia repertis. Petropolis, 1747.
    A New General Oollection of Voyages and Travels, etc., Vol. iv, London, 1747, pp. 457 and ff.; 565 and ff. Contains notes on the Tibetan language and alphabet.
    Georoide, Adgostinos Anyonids,-Alphabetum Tibetanum, Missionum Apostolicarum commodo editum. Praemissa est disquisitio qua de vario litterarum ac regionis nomine, gentis origine, moribus, superstitione, manichaeismo fuse disseritur; Beausobrii calumniae in S. Augustinum aliosque Ecclesiae patres refutantur. Romae 1762.
    Ayaddzzi, Gioyanni Ceristoforo,-Alphabetum Tangutanum c. Tibetanum. Romae, 1773.

[^6]:    VOL. III, PART J.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The ane of the word tan by thu father, when spenking to hie son, is not correot. Nga must be und instead. :

[^8]:    :Át._'Tibetall.

[^9]:    vol. int, part i.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ I take this opportanity of acknowledging the most raluableassistance whioh has been rendered me in the preparation of the notes which follow by Major H.A. B. Seaior, I.S.C. He has sent we an excellent version of the Parable, tablea of the conjugation of the Liwbu verb, and numerous important oorrections to the olretoh of Limbn granmer which I had prepared before seeing hio notes. The ensulog pages are ulmost entirely based on thesa materiala.

    VOL. III, PART 1.

[^11]:    AUTHORITIES-
    Campbell, A.,-A Note on the Limboos and other Hill Tribes hitherto undescribed. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. ix, Part i, 1840, pp. 595 and ff.
    -On the Literature and Origin of certain Hill Tribes in Sikkim. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xi, Part i, 1842, pp. 4 and ff.
    Hodoson, B. H.,-On the Aborigines of the Sub-Bimalayas. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol. xvi, Part ii, 1847, pp. 1235 and ff. Reprinted in Selections from the Records of the Government of Bengal, No. xxvii, Calcatta, 1857, pp. 120 and $f$, and under the title On the Abarigines of the Himalaya, in Hodgson's Essays on the Languages, Literature, and Religion of Nopal and Tibet. London, 1874, Part ii, pp. 29 and ff.
    Olmpaele, A.,-Note on the Limboo Alphabet of the Sikkim Himalaya. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol, xxiv, 1855, pp. 202 and ff.
    Beames, J.,-Outlines of Indian Philology with a Map shewing the Distribution of Indian Languages. Calcatta, 1867. Appendix A contains numerals in Limba, etc.
    Honter, W. W., -A Comparative Dictionary of the Languages of India and High Asia. London, 1868.
    Dalton, Edward Toite, -Descriptive Ethrology of Bengal. Calcatta, 1872. Containa a Limbu vocabalary compiled from Campbell and Hodgson.
    Campeell, Silt Grobge,-Specimens of Languages of India. Calcutta, 1874, pp. 151 and ff.
    Hooreb, Sie J., 一Himalayan Journals. London, 1891, p. 95.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ An old name of the Kiräticonntry in Eastern Nepal. The phrase is interpreted to nean that a hcuae-tar, at tro annas per family, yielded nine hundred thousand annas.-Hodgson. See, however, abuve p. 318.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Revd. T. Grahame Bailey informs me that Milohang or Milohanang is evidently Min-chhän or Mfin-chhänäng, names, or passibly nicknames, given to Kanढ̄meri by Koohi speakers. Tibarskad stands for Thebör-skadd, a name or nickasme given by apeabers of ordinary Kanāw'rì to the dialeot apoken far east in Kanewar just before the Tibetan area beging, This dialect is not intelligible to them, but is presumably a form of Kanew'ri. According to the same authority the word Kandw'rì should properly be written Kanauri. The Kanauris themselves seem to call their langaage Kanoring skadd or Kanj̄reu-nu skadd.

[^14]:    

[^15]:    vol. ith, part i.

[^16]:    W. P. L.- -560

[^17]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mr}$. Anderson gives phu-gora, and adds that the word in borrowed from Assamese. Hut gor $\bar{a}$ or gra is probably identical with Barra gorrai; Lushēi su-ko-r, and aimilar forms in other connected languages. It contains the root rang which oceurs in the words fiur 'borse' in most Indo-Chinese langaages.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ The asual forms for 'father' end 'mother' in Miri are $b \bar{a}-b \bar{u}$, father, and $n \bar{a}-n \ddot{u}$, mother. The forme $\bar{a}-b \bar{u} \bar{u}$ and $\bar{a}-n \bar{u}$ are used when outaiders ask queations aboat one's father or mother. The distinction between the two forms is not, howerer, quite alear. In the paradele $\bar{a}-b \bar{u}$, father is used in the first sentence, while afterwards only the form $\bar{b} \bar{a}-b \bar{u}$ ocoars.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ bed two sons is rendered ' his two sons existed '; buika is genitive of bui, he.

    - $n a$ is the termination of a relative partioiple.
    ${ }^{3} d a$ is merely the demonstrative pronoun used here for emphasis and recognition; au-anjana-da meaning 'the joadger sod in question.'
    - ldng-kūmlā is a compound verb meading 'to collect, gather together.'
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ simāt $=$ fool, $k \bar{e} s h \bar{\alpha}-p \ddot{u}=$ like. $p u ̈$ is the adverbial sufir.
    - Miris (like the Absamese) have no word eqnivalent to our word 'waste' and no words to express 'riotons living.' 'Wasted ìis substance in riotons living' would be rendered tār bastu hakal kai pheläilē in Assamese and it in the same in Miri, viz., dáām-tüngām-tō = (literally) ate and drank evergthing.
    ; $\bar{a} k \bar{a} l$ is the Asammese word for famine. Miris have no word.
    ' buika danam sin kātōmāng meaua lit. 'His food even existed not,' i.e., he had not even food.
    ${ }^{9}$ dmī-àion $=$ man-someone.
    ${ }^{20} \bar{a} g e r-\bar{i}-k \bar{a}-p \ddot{u}$ is a compond verb meaning to do work. K $\bar{a}-p \ddot{u}$ is the sign of the infinitive of parposo.
    ${ }^{11}$ This in the only construction possible to make this portion of tbe parable comprehensible in Miri.
    ${ }^{12}$ This $r u \bar{u}$ is a soperlative particle, for instance ai, good; ai-rū, very good; bate $\overline{\text {, big, large ; bate-rī̀, very large. }}$
    ' ${ }^{1}$ Adverbial participle; däkkð $n$ Lere meane 'although.'

[^20]:    ${ }^{2} k a=$ possessive case sulfix.
    ${ }^{2}$ kiding is an adjective used to denote plurality.
    ${ }^{3}$ sikīram türkiram is a colloquial phrase menning 'on the point of death.'

    + Ishar is an Assamese word. Miris have no word for God. They believe in spirits called Oyk̄.
    s This is the only manner in whioh the sentence 'Am no more worthy to be oalled thy son' can be rendered in Miri, $v i z .$, 'call me no longer your son.' Vong is a completive particle, yō $k \bar{a}$ the negative imperative case safir.
    ${ }^{\text {© }}$ galdon is an Assamese word. Miris have no other word for neck.
    ; $\bar{a} n g u ̄ t \bar{i}$ is also an Assamese word, Miris baving no word for a ring, though they wear many.
    ${ }^{9} j \bar{u} \bar{i} \bar{a} n g$ is from the Hindöstānī word $j \bar{u} \dot{i} \bar{a}$, a shoe.
    ${ }^{2}$ dadem is the sign of the adverbial partioiple.
    10 This senteace ' While they were merrying' is neoessary in Miri in order to oarpy on the sense.
    ${ }^{11}$ māksht shanām is a compound verb meaning ' to dance.'
    ${ }^{\text {in }} d \ddot{u}$ is to best a drum. $D \bar{u} m d \bar{u} m=$ drum ; $d \bar{u} m d \bar{u} m$ diünā$m$, to heat a drum.
    vol. III, part i.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Uhog is an Assamese word. Miris have no word for feast.

[^22]:    vol. ili, part i.

